

Final
To: ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD
Fax #: 1-416-440-7656
Re: Hydro One Network's Application for
 an Electricity Transmission Revenue
 Requirement Change.
Date: March 21, 2009
Pages: Nine (9), including this cover sheet.

File No.: EB-2008-0272

FACSIMILE

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2009

**ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD
OFFICE OF THE BOARD SECRETARY**

Attention:, Ms KIRSTEN WALLI, BOARD SECRETARY ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD.

Dear Ms WALLY;

Attached hereto is my Final Argument and covering letter, respecting the above-referenced proceeding.

I regret that I have to use the fax for the delivery of this document, but technical problems with my ISP prevents the filing it by e-mail

Yours truly,

Lewis J. Balogh.

Lewis J. Balogh
 LB./ attachments

OEB BOARD SECRETARY	
File No. <i>EB-2008-0272</i>	Sub File: <i>16</i>
Panel	<i>C.C./P.V./K.Q.</i>
Licensing	
Other	<i>E.Z./N.M./H.T./M.M. N.M./V.B./M.D./C.C.</i>
00/04	

From the desk of...

Lewis Balogh
 Owner
 Balogh Farms & Vineyard
 RR #3 Langton
 Silver Hill, Ontario Canada

519-875-4825
 Fax: 519-875-4318

BALOGH FARM & VINEYARD

RR #3 LANGTON
SILVER HILL, ONTARIO CANADA
519-875-4825
FAX: 519-875-4318

March 21, 2009

Via Fax

Ms Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge St.
Suite 2700 Toronto, On.
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli:

**Subject: EB-2008-0272-Hydro One Networks' Inc.-2009 and 2010
Electricity Transmission Rates Application.
Final Argument: Lewis Balogh Intervenor.**

Attached to this letter are the six pages of the Argument I prepared dealing with the above-captioned Application and the proceeding involved in it.

I thank you for the opportunity of having taken a part in it.

Yours truly,


Lewis J. Balogh.

cc: Glen MacDonald, Hydro One Networks Inc. **Via fax**

EB-2008-0272

Ontario Energy Board

IN THE MATTER OF *the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998;*
S.O. 1998, c. 15. Schedule B.

IN THE MATTER OF a review of an application filed by
Hydro One Networks Inc. under section 78 of the *Ontario
Energy Board Act, 1998*, seeking changes to the uniform
provincial transmission rates.

Final Argument
By

Lewis J. Balogh, Intervenor.

March 21, 2009.

1. BACKGROUND.

1.1 What preceded this Argument.

On September 30, 2008, Hydro One Networks Inc. (the "Applicant") filed an application with the Ontario Energy Board (the Board) under section 78 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*. This application seeks approval for changes to the uniform provincial transmission rates that Hydro One Networks Inc. charges for the transmission of electricity, to be effective and implemented on July 1, 2009. The Board has assigned the file number of EB-2008-0272 to this application.

The Board received 21 requests for intervenor status, which the Board subsequently approved. The intervenors obtained copies of the pre-filed evidence in either hard copy form or in electronic transcription format.

The undersigned Lewis J Balogh applied for and received intervenor status, and took active part in all of the the proceedings.

On November 14, 2008 the Board issued Procedural Order No.1 that contained a proposed list of issues that could impact the approval or disapproval of this application of Hydro One Networks Inc.

A number of intervenors submitted interrogatories to the Board and to Hydro One seeking clarification or additional data that may support the application referenced by file number EB-2008_0272.

By the same Procedural Order No. 1 the Board also ordered that a Settlement Conference be held at the Board's offices on Tuesday, February 3, 2009 and continue to February 5, if needed with Mr. K. Rosenberg acting as facilitator.

The oral hearings began on Monday February 23, 2009 and continued until March 6, 2009. At these hearings the intervenors had the opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses that the applicant, Hydro One provided.

During these cross-examinations there were numerous challenges made to the reliability of the data contained in the pre-filed evidence and to the explanation offered by the applicant's witnesses.

As the closing argument by the Counsel of the Applicant made it clear that Hydro One's position did not change respecting the substantial increases they are seeking, this Final Argument is made to show this intervenor's opposition to these new, higher transmission rates Hydro One is applying for during these times of the continually deteriorating economy of Ontario.