

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

## UNDERTAKING J2.8

### Undertaking

To identify the areas of the pre-filed evidence that changes as a result of the correction to the response to AMPCO interrogatory number 23.

### Response

The parts of the pre-filed evidence identified below change as a result of the correction to the response to AMPCO interrogatory number 23<sup>1</sup>. Neither the original correction nor the changes identified below impact any of ScottMadden's conclusions or any of OPG management's decisions reached during the business planning process.

The corrections would not have impacted the target setting or business planning process in any material manner because:

- OPG management set targets for key sub-indicators of the WANO NPI Index. The benchmarks for all of these sub-indicators were correctly calculated and were not affected by the correction to the plant-level NPI index scores.<sup>2</sup> The OPG target for the composite NPI Index was derived as an aggregate of the sub-indicator values.
- The targets established by OPG management for the WANO NPI Index for 2014 significantly exceed both the original and revised "Median" scores for this performance measure.

### **ScottMadden Phase I Benchmarking Report (Ex. F5-T1-S1)**

NOTE: Page numbers are those at the bottom of the page

- Page 5, Table 2 – The "WANO NPI (Index)" Median score moves from 62.46 to 69.50.
- Page 5, Table 2 – The "WANO NPI (Index)" window for Pickering A should be red, not yellow (This results from the COG CANDU 3<sup>rd</sup> Quartile value moving from 57.40 to 60.91).
- Page 6, Table 3 – The 5<sup>th</sup> line up from the bottom (International CANDUs) should be as follows:

	6	6	10
--	---	---	----
- Page 68 – The median bar moves from 62.46 to 69.50.
- Page 68 – The bar for one of the international CANDUs would move from below median to above median.

<sup>1</sup> In the original interrogatory response a zero value for a single international CANDU unit was averaged into the WANO plant level NPI Index scores for the years 2006, 2007, and 2008. Calculation of the WANO unit level NPI Index scores was unaffected.

<sup>2</sup> WANO reports scores for both the overall index and the sub-indicators separately. The error noted in footnote number 1 only occurred with respect to calculations performed on the overall index.

- 1 • Page 70, WANO NPI CANDU Plant Level Benchmarking (top graphic) – The 2006  
2 median value moves from 71.25 to 74.65, the 2007 median value moves from 67.56  
3 to 70.75, and the 2008 median value moves from 62.46 to 69.50.
- 4 • Page 134, 2008 WANO NPI for Major Operators (top graphic) – The International  
5 CANDU WANO NPI average (not labeled) moves from 80.0 to 90.0.
- 6 • Page 135, Table 5 – Same changes as noted above for Page 6, Table 3.
- 7 • Page 135, Table 6 – The “All COG CANDUs (WANO)” WANO NPI “Median” score  
8 moves from 71.12 to 81.72 and the “All COG CANDUs (WANO)” WANO NPI “Best  
9 Quartile” score moves from 86.28 to 98.04.

10

11 **ScottMadden Phase II Final Report (Ex. F5-T1-S2)**

12 NOTE: Page numbers are those at the bottom of the page

13

- 14 • Page 7, Figure 2 – Same changes as noted above for Ex. F5-T1-S1, Page 5, Table  
15 2.
- 16 • Page 8, Figure 3 – Same changes as noted above for Ex. F5-T1-S1, Page 6, Table  
17 3.
- 18 • Page 15, Figure 8 – The “WANO NPI (Index)” Median score moves from 62.46 to  
19 69.50.
- 20 • Page 51, Appendix E – Final Business Planning Targets Established for 2014,  
21 Reliability Cornerstone Targets – The “WANO NPI (INPO)” Median scores moves  
22 from 62.50 to 69.50.