

**ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC.
2011-2012 Payment Amounts for Prescribed Facilities**

Oral Hearing

EB-2010-0008

K

EXHIBITS

November 26, 2010

- 16.1 TABLE IN RESPONSE TO UNDERTAKING J10.11.
- 16.2 LONG-TERM ENERGY PLAN RELEASED BY GOVERNMENT ON NOVEMBER 23, 2010.
- 16.3 DRAFT SUPPLY MIX DIRECTIVE POSTED ON THE ONTARIO ENVIRONMENTAL REGISTRY AS NUMBER 011-1701.
- 16.4 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "COMPARISON OF EVIDENCE ON SCENARIOS".

November 2, 2010

- 15.1 STAFF COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS FOR OPG PANEL 10B.
- 15.2 OPG'S REPLY SUBMISSIONS WITH REGARD TO A MOTION TO VARY, DATED MARCH 30TH, 2009.

November 1, 2010

- 14.1 LETTER FROM MR. POCH TO THE BOARD, DATED JUNE 26TH, 2009.
- 14.2 SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS.
- 14.3 HARD COPY OF EXHIBIT F4, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1, ATTACHMENT 1.

October 29, 2010

- 13.1 R. AND INCO DECISION.
- 13.2 UNITED CITY PROPERTIES AND TONG DECISION.
- 13.3 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "RATING NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY UTILITIES: ELECTRICITY, NATURAL GAS AND PIPELINES."
- 13.4 SPREADHSEET ENTITLED "COST RECOVERED FROM RATEPAYERS UNDER PROPOSED CWIP, AND CURRENT REGULATORY TREATMENT."

- 13.5 POLLUTION PROBE SUPPLEMENTARY COMPENDIUM FOR PANEL 10.
- 13.6 AMPCO COMPENDIUM OF MATERIALS FOR PANEL 10.
- 13.7 BOARD STAFF BOOKLET OF DOCUMENT FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPG PANEL 10.
- 13.8 REPORT OF THE BOARD IN EB-2009-0152.

October 28, 2010

- 12.1 ENERGY PROBE COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS FOR PANEL 8.
- 12.2 BOARD STAFF BOOK OF DOCUMENTS.
- 12.3 CICA GUIDE BOOK, SECTION 31.10.
- 12.4 OPG COMPENDIUM.
- 12.5 CHART ENTITLED "COMPARISON AFTER TAX WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL RESULTING FROM ALTERNATIVE COST CAPITAL STRUCTURES".

October 26, 2010

- 11.1 POLLUTION PROBE COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.

October 25, 2010

- 10.1 COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS FROM CCC.
- 10.2 2010 ONTARIO BUDGET.
- 10.3 SEC COMPENDIUM.
- 10.4 BOOKLET OF DOCUMENTS FROM BOARD STAFF NOT CURRENTLY ON THE RECORD.

October 22, 2010

- 9.1 DECISION IN ELECTRICAL SAFETY AUTHORITY ARBITRATION.
- 9.2 DECISION IN UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO/SOCIETY OF ENRGY PROFESSIONALS ARBITRATION.
- 9.3 ARNETT REPORT.
- 9.4 BOOKLET OF MATERIALS PREPARED BY SEC.

9.5 CME COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.

October 21, 2010

8.1 AMPCO COMPENDIUM.

8.2 SEC COMPENDIUM.

8.3 BOARD COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF PANEL 7.

October 19, 2010

7.1 GEC COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS FOR PANEL 6.

October 18, 2010

6.1 AMPCO COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.

6.2 SEC COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS, OPG PANEL 4.

6.3 POLLUTION PROBE REFERENCE BOOK FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF OPG
PANELS 6 AND 10.

October 15, 2010

5.1 SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.

5.2 BOARD STAFF COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS FOR PANEL
5.

October 14

4.1 AMPCO COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.

4.2 COMPENDIUM OF CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS PREPARED BY BOARD
STAFF FOR PANEL 3.

October 12, 2010

3.1 BOARD STAFF COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS FOR PANEL 2

3.2 SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS FOR PANEL 2

October 5, 2010

2.1 MOTION RECORD OF THE CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS.

- 2.2 SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION CROSS-EXAMINATION MATERIALS, PANEL 1.
- 2.3 AMPCO BOOK OF DOCUMENTS.
- 2.4 CHART PREPARED FROM WORLD NUCLEAR ASSOCIATION DATA.

October 4, 2010

- 1.1 DOCUMENT ENTITLED THE 2011/2012 REGULATED FACILITIES PAYMENT AMOUNTS: STAKEHOLDER INFORMATION SESSION NUMBER 1, HELD ON MARCH 29, 2010.
- 1.2 BOOKLET OF EXHIBITS.
- 1.3 COMPENDIUM OF MATERIALS FROM AMPCO.
- 1.4 18-MONTH OUTLOOK OF IESO DATED AUGUST 2010.
- 1.5 UNDERTAKING J15.6 FROM THE EB-2007-0905 PROCEEDING.

J

UNDERTAKINGS

**Response
Filed**

November 26, 2010

NO UNDERTAKINGS WERE FILED

November 2, 2010

- | | | |
|------|--|---------------|
| 15.1 | TO PROVIDE HST RETURNS FILED FROM JULY 2010 ONWARD, WITH ALLOCATION FACTOR FOR REGULATED AND UNREGULATED COMPONENTS. | Nov. 12, 2010 |
| 15.2 | TO EXPLAIN THE COMPONENT OF GROSS REVENUE CHARGE BUILT INTO PAYMENT AMOUNT. | Nov. 12, 2010 |

November 1, 2010

- | | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| 14.1 | TO PROVIDE REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH ARNETT REPORT. | Nov. 3, 2010 |
| 14.2 | TO RECONCILE PWU EXHIBIT K13.4 FOR RATE BASE FOR YEAR. | Nov. 16, 2010 |
| 14.3 | PROVIDE RECONCILIATION OF Q1 2008 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TO REGULATORY EARNINGS BEFORE TAX. | Nov. 12, 2010 |
| 14.4 | TO RECONCILE TOTAL TAXABLE INCOME NUMBER OF \$487 MILLION FROM LAST APPLICATION TO \$553 MILLION IN THIS APPLICATION. | Nov. 12, 2010 |
| 14.5 | TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF WHEN THE TAX LOSSES GET FACTORED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS. | Nov. 17, 2010 |

- | | | |
|------|--|--------------|
| 14.6 | TO RECALCULATE TABLE 1 AT EXHIBIT I1, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 2 TO REFLECT 1,000 KILOWATT-HOURS A MONTH INSTEAD OF 800 KILOWATT-HOURS A MONTH, AND, IF NOT ABLE TO FULFILL THE UNDERTAKING, TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION. | Nov. 3, 2010 |
|------|--|--------------|

October 29, 2010

- | | | |
|------|--|---------------|
| 13.1 | TO PROVIDE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS AND THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON CWIP IN THE E-MAIL FROM THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY. | Nov. 11, 2010 |
|------|--|---------------|

October 28, 2010

- | | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| 12.1 | TO EXPLAIN WHERE OPA PAYMENTS ARE IN RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS. | Nov. 11, 2010 |
| 12.2 | TO PROVIDE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION AMOUNTS FOR TEST PERIOD. | Nov. 4, 2010 |
| 12.3 | TO PROVIDE FURTHER BREAKOUT OF THE \$1.1 billion FOR USED FUEL DISPOSAL PROGRAM, AS IT APPEARS IN EXHIBIT C2, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 2, TABLE 3. | Nov. 12, 2010 |

October 26, 2010

- | | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| 11.1 | TO PROVIDE ESTIMATE OF PROBABILITY THAT THE DISCOUNT RATE FOR DARLINGTON REFURBISHMENT WOULD BE OUTSIDE THE RANGE OF 6 TO 8 PERCENT, THE 7 PERCENT, PLUS OR MINUS 1 PERCENT, OVER ITS LIFE. | Nov. 11, 2010 |
| 11.2 | TO PROVIDE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT IMPACT OF A 120 BASIS POINT CHANGE IN ROE. | Oct. 28, 2010 |
| 11.3 | TO ADVISE IF SHAREHOLDER WAS TOLD WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE COST-OF-CAPITAL REPORT WOULD BE. | Nov. 4, 2010 |
| 11.4 | TO PROVIDE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE PROVINCE AND OPG REGARDING RELIEF FROM REQUIREMENT TO DO A REFERENCE PLAN. | Nov. 1, 2010 |
| 11.5 | TO EXPLAIN TOTAL IMPACT OF DARLINGTON REFURBISHMENT ON 2010 NUMBERS, AND IMPACT OF NUCLEAR LIABILITIES. | Nov. 12, 2010 |

(AMENDED) WITH REFERENCE TO D2-T2-S1, PAGE 3, TO INCLUDE 2010 DEFERRAL ACCOUNT NUMBERS

October 25, 2010

- | | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| 10.1 | TO PROVIDE PRESENTATIONS TO THE MINISTRY, AND TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, IN CONFIDENTIAL FORM. | Nov. 18, 2010 |
| 10.2 | TO PROVIDE CONCURRENCE FROM MINISTER OF FINANCE AND MINISTER OF ENERGY FOR OPG'S BUSINESS PLAN REFLECTING REVISED RATE APPLICATION. | Oct. 26, 2010 |

10.3	TO PROVIDE THE TOTAL OPG FORECAST REVENUE PRIOR TO CONSOLIDATION PROVIDED TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, AND THE DATE UPON WHICH IT WAS PROVIDED, REFLECTING 9 MONTHS OF 2010 AND 3 MONTHS OF 2011.	Oct. 29, 2010
10.4	TO CONFIRM WHETHER IN-LINE FUEL-HANDLING EQUIPMENT IS INCLUDED IN DEPRECIATION REVIEW OR INCLUDED IN ACTIONS NOT YET COMPLETED.	Oct. 29, 2010
10.5	TO PROVIDE HOW MUCH OF EACH OF WATER TRANSACTIONS, SEGREGATED MODE OF OPERATIONS, ANCILLARY SERVICES AND CONGESTION MANAGEMENT CREDITS WAS CONTAINED 2009 AND 2008 NUMBER FROM CHART ENTITLED "REGULATED HYDROELECTRIC SEGMENT".	Nov. 5, 2010
10.6	TO PROVIDE AMOUNT OF WRITE-DOWN FOR HEAVY WATER, AND WHEN WRITE-DOWN OCCURRED.	Nov. 1, 2010
10.7	TO CALCULATE CHANGE IN THE TOTAL DEPRECIATION REQUIRED FOR EACH OF 2011 AND 2012 IF PICKERING A AND PICKERING B WERE BOTH ASSUMED TO GO TO 2020.	Nov. 19, 2010
10.8	TO PROVIDE TABLE WITH BREAKOUT OF "OTHER" CATEGORY INTO SUBCATEGORIES, SHOWN OVER TIME FOR 2007-2012.	Nov. 11, 2010 (redacted)
10.9	TO PROVIDE RELEVANT DOCUMENTS ON OPG'S INTERPRETATION OF ACCOUNTING RULES.	Nov. 12, 2010
10.10	TO PROVIDE TIMELINE FOR DARLINGTON REFURBISHMENT AS DESCRIBED IN 2008 BUSINESS PLAN PRESENTATION.	Nov. 12, 2010
10.11	TO PROVIDE DEPRECIATION IMPACTS FOR PICKERING A AT 2021, PICKERING B AT 2014, AND DARLINGTON AT 2019, AND INVESTIGATE WHETHER THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION THAT COULD BE DONE IN A BRIEFER PERIOD OF TIME.	Nov. 19, 2010 Nov. 24, 2010 (Addendum) Nov. 25, 2010 (Addendum 2)
10.12	TO PROVIDE THE STATUS OF PROPOSED BILL C-15.	Oct. 29, 2010
10.13	TO PROVIDE APPROPRIATE DEPRECIATION EXPENSES RESULTING FROM THE OVERSTATEMENT FOR 2008 AND FOR 2009, AS REFERENCED IN BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY NO.2	Nov. 4, 2010
10.14	TO PROVIDE FOR OPG CORPORATE UPDATED INFORMATION FOR CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENSE AS REFERENCED IN BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY L-1-85.	Nov. 4, 2010

October 22, 2010

9.1	TO PROVIDE FTE NUMBERS FOR PERIODS PRIOR TO 2010 IF AVAILABLE.	Nov. 16, 2010
9.2	TO PROVIDE EXPLANATION FOR INCREASE IN REGULATED HYDRO, AS SHOWN IN EXHIBIT L, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 74.	Nov. 8, 2010
9.3	TO PROVIDE BREAKDOWN OF DRUG BENEFITS BETWEEN PRESCRIPTION AND OVER-THE-COUNTER DRUGS FOR 2005 AND 2009.	Nov. 11, 2010
9.4	TO PROVIDE THE FINANCIAL IMPACT ON OPG IF THERE IS A PWU WORK STOPPAGE.	Nov. 12, 2010
9.5	TO PROVIDE A COPY OF SCORECARD.	Nov. 8, 2010

9.6	TO PROVIDE COMPENSATION INFORMATION IN THE FORM OF APPENDIX 2K FILING.	Nov. 19, 2010
9.7	TO PROVIDE REVENUE REQUIREMENT IMPACT IF SENIOR MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION REDUCED BY 25 TO 30 PERCENT.	Nov. 12, 2010
9.8	TO PROVIDE A QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACT OF OPG'S ENERGY MARKET ACTIVITY ON REGULATED COSTS.	Nov. 12, 2010
9.9	TO PROVIDE ACTUAL NUMBER FOR COSTS OF OEB ASSESSMENT FOR 2010.	Nov. 9, 2010
9.10	TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTS FROM MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINISTRY OF FINANCE INDICATING CONCURRENCE WITH BUSINESS PLANS.	Oct. 25, 2010

October 21, 2010

8.1	1, DOES OPG OPERATE THE PUMP STORAGE FACILITY IN A WAY WHICH INTEGRATES THE CONSIDERATIONS OF SURPLUS BASE LOAD GENERATION AND THE DRIVERS OF THE HYDRO INCENTIVE MECHANISM? AND IF SO, HOW DOES IT DO THAT? 2, WOULD IT BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE HYDROELECTRIC INCENTIVE MECHANISM TO BE STRUCTURED SO THAT THE PUMP STORAGE FACILITY IS USED TO REDUCE THE IMPACT OF SURPLUS BASE LOAD GENERATION AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE? 3, DOES OPG HAVE ANY PROPOSALS AS TO HOW THIS MIGHT BE ACHIEVED?	Nov. 1, 2010
8.2	TO PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE KEY ELEMENTS RELATED TO FUEL, DECOMMISSIONING, DEPRECIATION, WASTE MANAGEMENT, ASSET RETIREMENT COSTS AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE COSTS OF EXISTING ASSETS INFLUENCE THE LUEC.	Nov. 11, 2010
8.3	TO PROVIDE THE COST ESTIMATE AT THE PROJECT APPROVAL STAGE VERSUS THE QUALITY ESTIMATE, AND THEN THE ACTUAL.	Nov. 11, 2010
8.4	TO PROVIDE BUDGETED AMOUNTS FOR 2008 AND 2009, STAFF INTERROGATORY RESPONSE 90.	Nov. 8, 2010
8.5	TO PRODUCE 2007 VERSION OF THE TOWERS PERRIN STUDY ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS.	Nov. 9, 2010
8.6	TO PROVIDE OPG'S BEST ESTIMATE OF IMPACT OF ADJUSTING LABOUR COSTS TO 75TH PERCENTILE OR 50TH PERCENTILE.	Nov. 16, 2010
8.7	TO PROVIDE A REFERENCE TO INFORMATION CONTAINED IN BOARD STAFF IR 81(A).	Nov. 11, 2010

October 19, 2010

No undertakings were filed

October 18, 2010

6.1	TO PROVIDE THE PERCENTAGES OF OTHER PURCHASED SERVICES PROVIDED BY AECL FOR 2007 THROUGH 2012.	Nov. 10, 2010 (redacted)
-----	--	-----------------------------

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------------|
| 6.2 | TO PROVIDE THE DOLLAR COST OF CAPITAL AND LOST PRODUCTION FOR PLANNED OUTAGES WITH REFERENCE TO SEC INTERROGATORY NO. 24, PAGE 2. | Nov. 12, 2010 |
| 6.3 | TO PROVIDE THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS OF UNCERTAINTY RESERVE FOR EACH YEAR FROM 2009 TO 2012 PER OUTAGE. | Nov. 8, 2010 |
| 6.4 | TO CALCULATE THE IMPACT OF FORCED LOSS DAYS IMPROVEMENTS FROM 2009 THROUGH 2012. | Nov. 8, 2010 |
| 6.5 | TO UPDATE TABLE 1C FROM EXHIBIT E2, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 2 TO INCLUDE THE 2010 ACTUAL NUMBERS UP TO AND INCLUDING AUGUST AND PLUS THE FORECAST FOR THE REST OF THE YEAR. | Nov. 10, 2010
(redacted) |
| 6.6 | TO PROVIDE OPG'S UNDERSTANDING OF CURRENT STATE OF CIRCUMFERENTIAL CREEP ISSUE AT PICKERING AND DARLINGTON, AND WHETHER IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT IT IN PRODUCTION FORECASTS. | Nov. 8, 2010 |

October 15, 2010

- | | | |
|------|--|--|
| 5.1 | TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF NPV. | Nov. 4, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.2 | TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTATION, IF AVAILABLE, AS TO SCENARIOS GIVEN WHERE TWO OR MORE EVENTS GIVING RISE TO ISSUE SHOWN ON CHART 1 WERE CONSIDERED TO HAVE OCCURRED. | Oct. 26, 2010 |
| 5.3 | TO PROVIDE MINIMUM COMPLEMENT SUMMARY FOR DIFFERENT CATEGORIES FOR DARLINGTON AND PICKERING. | Oct. 26, 2010 |
| 5.4 | TO PROVIDE ESTIMATE OF VALUE OF SURPLUS INVENTORY OF HEAVY WATER ¹² . | Nov. 4, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.5 | TO PROVIDE INTERNAL FORECAST OF HEAVY WATER SALES FOR 2011/2012. | Nov. 4, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.6 | TO PROVIDE APPROXIMATE BREAKDOWN OF 2011 PLAN NUMBER OPENING BALANCE BETWEEN URANIUM, URANIUM DIOXIDE, AND FUEL BUNDLES. | Nov. 4, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.7 | TO PROVIDE DOCUMENT TO SUPPORT \$184 MILLION ESTIMATE PROVIDED TO THE OPA. | Nov. 9, 2010 |
| 5.8 | TO PROVIDE 2010 OM&A NINE MONTHS' ACTUAL NUMBERS AND REMAINING THREE MONTHS' BUDGET NUMBERS. | Oct. 26, 2010 |
| 5.9 | TO PROVIDE GRAPH SHOWING FUEL PRICES FOR 10-YEAR PERIOD BEFORE 2007 PRICE SPIKE. | Nov. 4, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.10 | TO PROVIDE INDUSTRY FORECAST DOCUMENTS USED IN 2005 FOR 2006 DECISION, SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY. | Nov. 12, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.11 | TO PROVIDE DISCREPANCY IN NUMBERS FOR BUDGETED AND PLANNED AMOUNTS IN LINE "FEEDER REPAIR BY WELD OVERLAY", EXHIBIT D2, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 2, TABLE 1B. | Nov. 5, 2010
Nov. 12, 2010
(corrected) |
| 5.12 | TO RECONCILE FROM EXHIBIT D2, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 2, TABLE 1B THE AMOUNT PROPOSED TO CLOSE TO RATE BASE IN 2012 WITH THE YEAR-OVER-YEAR CAPITAL EXPENDITURES. | Nov. 11, 2010 |
| 5.13 | TO PROVIDE CONTINGENCY AMOUNTS BY PROJECT FOR OM&A FOR 2011 AND 2012. | Oct. 26, 2010
(unredacted)
Nov. 10, 2010
(redacted) |
| 5.14 | TO PROVIDE LIST OF 18 CONTINGENCY CRITERIA. | Oct. 26, 2010 |

October 14, 2010

4.1	TO PROVIDE PRODUCTION UNIT ENERGY COST FOR OPG FACILITIES FOR 2010, 2011 AND 2012.	Nov. 1, 2010
4.2	TO CONFIRM WHETHER REFORECASTING HAS BEEN DONE IN LIGHT OF THE FEED-IN TARIFF INITIATIVES, AND If SO, PROVIDE REFORECASTING.	Nov. 3, 2010
4.3	TO PROVIDE UPDATED CHART IN BOARD STAFF QUESTION 45 FOR 2010.	Oct. 25, 2010
4.4	ADD HEADCOUNT NUMBERS FOR 2010, 2011 AND 2012 TO TABLE 13 OF EXHIBIT F2, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1.	Nov. 16, 2010
4.5	TO PROVIDE DOLLAR VALUE OF THE TWO SPOT MARKET PURCHASES FOR 2009.	Oct. 21, 2010
4.6	TO INQUIRE WHETHER A STUDY HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN, TRACKING THE COST OF INDEXED CONTRACTS VERSUS MARKET PRICE OF URANIUM. AND IF SO, PRODUCE IT, SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY; AND TO ADD THAT CHART, FOR THE YEARS 2008, 2009 AND 10, A COMPARISON OF WHAT WAS ACTUALLY PAID UNDER INDEXED CONTRACTS, AND WHAT IT WOULD BE HAD YOU BEEN ABLE TO BUY ON THE MARKET.	Oct. 22, 2010
4.7	TO PROVIDE APPROPRIATE CONVERSION MULTIPLIER FROM KILOGRAMS TO POUNDS OF URANIUM, OR PROVIDE NUMBERS IN CHART 2 CONVERTED INTO POUNDS.	Oct. 21, 2010
4.8	TO PROVIDE 2011 AND 2012 FOR INDEXED CONTRACTS, AND COSTS IF MARKET-BASED RATES ARE \$48 PER POUND AND \$61 PER POUND.	Oct. 22, 2010 (unredacted) Nov. 10, 2010 (redacted)
4.9	TO PRODUCE SEPARATE TABLE SHOWING DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE THREE TYPES OF PURCHASES OVER THE SAME TIME PERIOD AS EXHIBIT F2, TAB 5, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 12 of 12, ATTACHMENT 1.	Oct. 25, 2010 (unredacted) Nov. 10, 2010 (redacted)
4.10	TO PROVIDE COMPARISON OF UX WEEKLY AND NUCLEAR MARKET REVIEW U308 PRICE INDICATORS.	Oct. 25, 2010
4.11	TO PROVIDE MATERIALS ON PROCUREMENT STRATEGY, IN CONFIDENCE.	Nov. 17, 2010
4.12	TO PROVIDE FORECAST FROM LAST APPLICATION FOR OTHER HEAVY WATER SERVICES, REVENUE AND NET, AND COMPARISON TO ACTUAL AMOUNTS.	Nov. 1, 2010 (redacted)
4.13	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THERE are INCENTIVE STRUCTURES TO INDIVIDUALS WITHIN OPG TO ACHIEVE EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE AT PROCURING URANIUM AT LOW PRICES.	Nov. 3, 2010

October 12, 2010

3.1	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE AVERAGE TOTAL COMPENSATION COSTS FOR THE 13 POSITIONS.	Oct. 18, 2010
3.2	UNDERTAKING NO. J3.2: to PROVIDE REVENUE REQUIREMENT IMPACT IF FORCED-LOSS RATE WERE 1.1 PERCENT.	Oct. 18, 2010

3.3	TO PROVIDE UPDATED ACTUAL RESULTS UNDER TGC FOR 2010 AND ACTUAL TGC FIGURE FOR 2009.	Nov. 3, 2010
3.4	TO PROVIDE BOTH NPI AND UNIT CAPABILITY FACTOR, AND UPDATED ACTUALS OR TRACKING FOR 2010.	Nov. 3, 2010
3.5	TO PROVIDE THE UPDATE TO THE PHASE 1 REPORT PREPARED BY OPG.	Oct. 27, 2010 (unredacted)
3.6	TO RECONCILE AMOUNTS IN FIGURE 7, SCOTTMADDEN REPORT 2, AND PAGE 16 OF EXHIBIT F2, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 1, ATTACHMENT 1.	Oct. 18, 2010
3.7	TO RECONCILE NUMBERS FOR PICKERING B CONTINUING OPERATIONS ON P.16 OF TAB 1 WITH NUMBERS ON P.17 OF TAB 1.	Oct. 18, 2010
3.8	UNDERTAKING NO. J3.8: TO CONFIRM WHETHER THE NINE OUTAGES ARE ROUTINE.	Oct. 20, 2010
3.9	UNDERTAKING NO. J3.9: TO DETERMINE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT OF LOWER "INDUSTRIAL STANDARD" CAPITALIZATION THRESHOLD.	Oct. 22, 2010
3.10	UNDERTAKING NO. J3.10: TO CONFIRM BUDGET INCREASE OF APPROXIMATELY \$35 MILLION IF PRODUCTION TARGET IS INCREASED TO 29 TERA-WATT-HOURS.	Nov. 1, 2010
3.11	TO ADVISE HOW MUCH OF PLANNED REDUCTION IN TOTAL GENERATING COST PER MEGAWATT-HOUR FOR PICKERING A IS DUE TO COST REDUCTIONS, AND HOW MUCH IS DUE TO FORECAST INCREASES IN CAPABILITY FACTOR.	Oct. 20, 2010
3.12	TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON INITIATIVES THAT ARE GOING TO GENERATE SOME PLANNED COST SAVINGS FOR THE PLANNING PERIOD, AND WHICH OF THOSE REQUIRE CNSC APPROVAL AND WHAT ARE THE DOLLARS ATTACHED.	Oct. 25, 2010

October 5, 2010

2.1	TO ADVISE WHETHER, AT THE END OF THE FIVE-YEAR PLAN, STATION COMPONENTS AS THEY PERTAIN TO THE REGULATED ASSETS ARE GOING TO BE NEWER, OLDER, OR ABOUT THE SAME.	Oct. 18, 2010
2.2	TO DESCRIBE WHETHER THERE HAVE BEEN ANY COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN OPG AND IESO ON THE OPG SBG FORECAST, AND IF SO, TO PROVIDE THOSE WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.	Oct. 13, 2010
2.3	TO ADVISE WHAT COMPONENT OF OPG'S FORECAST IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE IMPACT OF RENEWABLES.	Oct. 18, 2010
X2.4	TO PRODUCE THE BUSINESS EXECUTION PLAN.	Oct. 7, 2010 (unredacted) Nov. 10, 2010 (redacted)
2.5	TO PROVIDE THE DOLLAR FIGURE OF AGC INCLUDED IN ANCILLARY SERVICES.	Oct. 13, 2010
2.6	TO PROVIDE AMOUNT OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT SETTLEMENT CREDITS ACTUAL FOR 2007 THROUGH 2010, AND ANY FORECASTS FOR 2011 AND 2012.	Oct. 8, 2010
2.7	TO ADVISE WHETHER THE 2009 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT SETTLEMENT CREDITS FIGURE IS INCLUDED IN THE THIS \$782 MILLION.	Oct. 7, 2010

2.8 TO IDENTIFY THE AREAS OF THE PRE-FILED EVIDENCE THAT CHANGE AS A RESULT OF THE CHANGE TO THE RESPONSE TO AMPCO IR 23. Oct. 18, 2010

October 4, 2010

1.1 TO PRODUCE THE YEAR-TO-DATE SBG TOTALS FOR 2010. Oct. 13, 2010

1.2 TO ENQUIRE WHETHER A FORECAST HAS BEEN DONE AND, IF SO, WHAT THE FORECAST WAS FOR 2010. Oct. 8, 2010

1.3 TO PROVIDE THE YEAR-TO-DATE FIGURES FOR IESO NON-ENERGY CHARGES FOR 2010. Oct. 8, 2010

1.4 TO COMPLETE TABLES 1 AND 2 ON PAGE 2 OF 3 OF EXHIBIT L, TAB 1, SCHEDULE 88, TO INCLUDE INFORMATION FOR NON-REGULATED HYDRO, CORPORATE, AND TOTAL OPG. Oct. 13, 2010

1.5 TO PROVIDE ENERGY CONSUMED BEHIND THE METER FROM 2007 TO 2010 Oct. 7, 2010

1.6 TO ADVISE WHAT PORTION OF THE 2011 AND 2012 BUDGETS CONSTITUTE NEW MONIES APPROVED IN MAY 2009. Oct. 8, 2010

1.7 TO CONFIRM THAT IN THE PREPARATION OF THE SBG FORECAST, THE IN-SERVICE DATE FOR BRUCE UNIT 1 WAS Q4 2011, AND FOR BRUCE UNIT 2 WAS Q3 2011. Oct. 13, 2010

1.8 TO PROVIDE THE OUTCOME OF CONTACT WITH THE MINISTER OF FINANCE AND MINISTER OF ENERGY RE: RESOLVING CONFLICT WITH CORNWALL ON PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES DUE THEM FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. Oct. 13, 2010

1.9 TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO EXPLAIN THE DERIVATION OF THE RESULTS SHOWN IN THE LAST TWO LINES OF CHART 4 AND CHART 5. Oct. 18, 2010

1.10 TO LOOK BACK OVER RECORDS TO DETERMINE THE ON-SITE USAGE OF ELECTRICITY FOR PLANT AUXILIARIES DURING THE TIME IT WAS METERED. Oct. 5, 2010

1.11 TO PROVIDE CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED PROBABILITY OF GENERATING HIM REVENUE OF \$23 MILLION BASED ON THE FORECAST PRESENTED IN EB-2007-0905. Oct. 18, 2010

1.12 TO CALCULATE THE ANNUAL CAPACITY FACTOR OF PGS IN EACH DIRECTION DURING 2009. Oct. 20, 2010