

March 29, 2011

RESS, EMAIL & COURIER

Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2010-0008 - Ontario Power Generation Inc. ("OPG")
2011-2012 Payment Amounts for Prescribed Facilities**

We are legal counsel to OPG. This letter is in response to the letter of Mr. Jay Shepherd, legal counsel to School Energy Coalition dated March 28, 2011. SEC has requested that the Board grant an extension of the deadline for the filing of a Rule 42.01 motion to review. OPG opposes this request and submits that the Board deny the same.

The Board's Decision with Reasons in this proceeding was issued on March 10, 2011. The time period prescribed by the Board's rules is clearly set out in the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure and is known to all parties. SEC has had sufficient time to coordinate its affairs and to consider, consult and conclude whether or not to bring a motion to review. The same amount of time is available to all parties and there is no reason to believe SEC's needs are exceptional in this regard.

Furthermore, a denial of SEC's request is not prejudicial to SEC. Mr. Shepherd states that "I am advised that if it is the Board's preference, I can obtain instructions from Mr. Williams and Mr. McNally, as management of the organization, on whether to proceed with the motion, and therefore could file the motion on time on Wednesday".

OPG disagrees that granting an extension to SEC's will not prejudice any party as stated by Mr. Sheppard. If an extension is granted, SEC will have additional information regarding the decisions of other parties with respect to a motion to review and, if another party chooses to file a motion, the motion materials. This information provides SEC with an advantage not available to other parties in both making a decision whether to file a motion and in preparing materials.

OPG recognizes that any party to the proceeding could file a notice of motion on or before March 30, 2011. Subject to the forgoing, as applicant, OPG seeks finality to the issues in this proceeding and in the absence of a material reason to do so the Board should not grant an extension. As

noted above, it is clear that SEC has the basis of a decision to file a review motion and there is no excuse for delay.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Charles Keizer', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Charles Keizer

Tel 416.865.7512
Fax 416.865.7380
ckeizer@torys.com

cc: All Intervenors
Michael Millar
Ted Antonopolous