

**Board Staff Interrogatories  
2012 IRM3 Rate Application  
Peterborough Distribution Inc. (“Peterborough”)  
EB-2011-0194**

**Account 1521 – Special Purpose Charge**

**Board Staff Interrogatory No. 1**

Ref: Application, Manager’s Summary – page3

On page 3 of the Manager’s Summary, Peterborough states:

The Rate Application includes a SPC refund in the amount of \$104,986 including interest. Amounts collected from customers during 2011 are recorded as adjustments during quarter 4 2010 in column BI, Sheet 9 of the Rate Generator.

- a) Please confirm Peterborough’s SPC assessment amount and provide a copy of the original SPC invoice.
- b) Please complete the following table related to the SPC.

SPC Assessment (Principal balance)	Amount recovered from customers in 2010	Carrying Charges for 2010	December 31, 2010 Year End Principal Balance	December 31, 2010 Year End Carrying Charges Balance	Amount recovered from customers in 2011	Carrying Charges for 2011	Forecasted December 31, 2011 Year End Principal Balance	Forecasted December 31, 2011 Year End Carrying Charges Balance	Carrying Charges for 2012 (Jan 1 to April 30)	Total for Disposition (Principal & Interest)

**2012 Shared Tax Savings Workform**

**Board Staff Interrogatory No. 2**

Ref: 2012 IRM3 Shared Tax Savings Workform – Sheet 3

Ref: Application, EB-2008-0241 – page 306

A section of Sheet 3 “Re-Based Bill Det & Rates” of the 2012 IRM3 Shared Tax Savings Workform is reproduced below.

**Board Staff Interrogatories  
2012 IRM3 Electricity Distribution Rates  
Peterborough Distribution Inc.  
EB-2011-0194**

18	<b>Last COS Re-based Year was in 2009</b>						
19							
20	<b>Rate Group</b>	<b>Rate Class</b>	<b>Fixed Metric</b>	<b>Vol Metric</b>	<b>Re-based Billed Customers or Connections</b>	<b>Re-based Billed kWh</b>	<b>Re-based Billed kW</b>
21					<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>
22	RES	Residential Regular	Customer	kWh	30,883	301,495,708	
23	GSLT50	General Service Less Than 50 kW	Customer	kWh	3,638	121,412,816	
24	GSGT50	General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	Customer	kW	368	297,624,170	731,891
25	LU	Large Use - Regular	Customer	kW	2	63,699,061	128,427
26	USL	Unmetered Scattered Load	Connection	kWh	404	1,909,385	
27	Sen	Sentinel Lighting	Connection	kW	401	659,151	1,795
28	SL	Street Lighting	Connection	kW	8,540	6,261,525	17,527

The forecasted customer count from page 306 of Peterborough's last Cost of Service application is reproduced below.

2009 Test - Normalized						
	Customers (Year-End)	Consumption (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Distribution Revenues \$	Unit Revenues \$/kWh	Unit Revenues \$/kW
Residential	30,883	301,495,708		7,779,121	0.0258	
GS<50	3,638	121,412,816		2,306,768	0.0190	
GS>50	368	297,624,170	731,891	2,480,778		3.3895
Large User	2	63,699,061	128,427	163,274		1.2713
Unmetered Scattered Load	9	1,909,385		282,837	0.1481	
Street Light (connections)	8,540	6,261,525	17,527	582,749		33.2486
Sentinel Lighting (connections)	401	659,151	1,795	54,883		30.5755
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>43,841</b>	<b>793,061,816</b>	<b>879,640</b>	<b>13,650,410</b>		

Board staff is unable to reconcile the year end customer count from Peterborough's last Cost of Service application with data provided in Sheet 3 of the 2012 IRM3 Shared Tax Savings Workform.

- a) Please provide evidence in support of the number of connections provided in Sheet 3 of the 2012 IRM3 Shared Tax Savings Workform. If any data was entered in error, please indicate the error and Board staff will make the required changes to the model.

## Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (LRAM)

### Board Staff Interrogatory No. 3

Ref: IndEco LRAM/SSM Third Party Review

Peterborough has requested an LRAM recovery associated with 2005 to 2010 CDM programs for a total amount of \$686,841 and SSM associated with 2005, 2006, and 2007 Third Tranche CDM programs in the amount of \$56,463.

- a) Please confirm that Peterborough has used final 2010 program evaluation results from the OPA to calculate its LRAM amount.
- b) If Peterborough did not use final 2010 program evaluation results from the OPA, please explain why and update the LRAM amount accordingly.
- c) Please provide a table that shows the LRAM amounts Peterborough has collected historically.
- d) Please confirm that Peterborough has not received any of the lost revenues requested in this application in the past. If Peterborough has collected lost revenues related to programs applied for in this application, please discuss the appropriateness of this request.
- e) Please identify the CDM savings that were proposed to be included in Peterborough's last Board approved load forecast for CDM programs deployed from 2005-2009 inclusive.
- f) Please provide a table that shows the LRAM amounts requested in this application by the year they are associated with and the year the lost revenues took place, divided by rate class within each year. Use the table below as an example and continue for all the years LRAM is requested:

Program Years (Divided by rate class)	Years that lost revenues took place			
	2009	2010	2011	2012
2005	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx
2006	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx
2007	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx
2008	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx
2009	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx
2010		\$xxx	\$xxx	\$xxx

- g) Please provide a table that shows the monthly LRAM balances, the Board-approved carrying charge rate and the total carrying charges by month for the duration of this LRAM request to support your request for carrying charges. Use the table below as an example:

Year	Month	Monthly Lost Revenue	Closing Balance	Interest Rate	Interest \$