

Hydro One Networks Inc.

8th Floor, South Tower
483 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5
www.HydroOne.com

Tel: (416) 345-5700
Fax: (416) 345-5870
Cell: (416) 258-9383
Susan.E.Frank@HydroOne.com



Susan Frank

Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer
Regulatory Affairs

BY COURIER

January 30, 2013

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street
P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, ON.
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

EB-2011-0140 – OEB East-West Tie Line – Hydro One Networks Inc. Interrogatories

I am attaching two (2) copies of Hydro One Networks Inc.'s ("Hydro One") interrogatories, pursuant to Procedural Order No. 5 in the above-mentioned proceeding.

A copy of this cover letter and the attached interrogatory questions have been filed in text-searchable electronic form through the Ontario Energy Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System and the confirmation slip is also enclosed.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY SUSAN FRANK

Susan Frank

**Hydro One Networks Inc.
East-West Tie Designation Proceeding EB-2011-0140**

Hydro One Networks Inc. Interrogatories

Preamble

Hydro One Networks Inc. (“HONI”) submits the following questions solely on the basis of HONI’s role as the incumbent transmitter, to whose transmission system the new transmission line will be connected. HONI’s questions are therefore limited to addressing the impacts of the new line to HONI’s transmission system, and in particular the existing East-West Tie line.

It is assumed that applicants are familiar with the record to date in this proceeding, including HONI’s submissions and correspondence on issues such as land rights and design standards. While this record forms the foundation of HONI’s position, HONI understands that there will be an opportunity later in this proceeding to make further submissions on these and other issues.

Interrogatories (to all applicants)

1. With respect to the provision of services by HONI:
 - a) What specific services were assumed in the application?
 - b) What were the assumed associated costs?
 - c) In the absence of any input from HONI, on what basis were these assumptions made?
 - d) What is the impact on the application if the assumed services are not provided by HONI as envisioned by the applicant?

2. With respect to the use, modification or expansion of HONI’s stations:
 - a) What specific uses, modifications or expansions were assumed in the application?
 - b) What were the assumed associated costs?
 - c) In the absence of any input from HONI, on what basis were these assumptions made?
 - d) What is the impact on the application if the assumed uses, modifications or expansions do not proceed as envisioned by the applicant?

3. Different tower structures, foundations, tower spacing, etc. were proposed in the various applications. What were the applicant’s design assumptions (e.g. right-of-way spacing from HONI’s assets, tower height, span length, foundation, etc.) to avoid any adverse impact to HONI’s transmission system, including: (i) in the event of a catastrophic failure of the proposed new line; and (ii) access by HONI to the existing transmission line for routine maintenance and service restoration?

4. With respect to the construction, operation and maintenance of the new transmission line, what were the applicant’s assumptions to avoid any adverse impact to HONI’s transmission system, including: (i) in the event of a catastrophic failure of the proposed new line; and (ii) access by HONI to the existing transmission line for routine maintenance and service restoration?