

Ontario Power Generation Inc.

2014-2015 Payment Amounts

EB-2013-0321

Technical Conference

EXHIBIT AND UNDERTAKING LIST

Exh. KT

Exhibits

April 23, 2014

- 2.1 NEWS RELEASE FROM OPG, DATED MARCH 1, 2012.
- 2.2 LONG-TERM ENERGY PLAN.
- 2.3 COPY OF THE OPG SUNSHINE LIST.
- 2.4 AUDITOR GENERAL'S REPORT WITH RESPECT TO OPG HUMAN RESOURCES.

April 22, 2014

NO EXHIBITS WERE FILED IN THE COURSE OF THIS PROCEEDING.

UNDERTAKINGS

JT

Response Filed

April 23, 2014

- 2.1 TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE INTERROGATORY 5, ISSUE 4.7, AS SET OUT IN MR. ELSON'S LETTER. May 8, 2014
- 2.2 TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE INTERROGATORY 11, ISSUE 4.12, AS SET OUT IN MR. ELSON'S LETTER. May 2, 2014
- 2.3 TO PROVIDE A PERCENTAGE BREAKOUT OF CONTRACT VALUES BY FIXED PRICE, TARGET PRICE AND ANY OTHER STRUCTURE IN THE CONTRACTS FOR THE TABLE PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO GEC INTERROGATORY 2. May 2, 2014

2.4	TO OBTAIN COST INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL BUDGETARY PROGRAMS, IN ADDITION TO ANY MONITORING ALREADY IDENTIFIED IN THE EXISTING INTERROGATORY RESPONSE.	May 2, 2014
2.5	TO PROVIDE AN ANTICIPATED DATE FOR CONCLUSION OF TALKS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES.	May 2, 2014
2.6	TO EXPLAIN THE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN BUDGETED AND ACTUAL AMOUNTS FOR DARLINGTON REFURBISHMENT PROJECT, DEFINITION PHASE.	May 2, 2014
2.7	TO PROVIDE A SUMMARY REPORT OR OTHER AVAILABLE INTERNAL DATA REGARDING DETAILS OF COMPONENT CONDITION ASSESSMENTS.	May 2, 2014
2.8	TO PROVIDE, ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS, THE CALCULATION THAT RESULTED IN A 5 PERCENT REDUCTION IN CONTINGENCY IN THE RESPONSE TO BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY 31(b).	May 2, 2014
2.9	TO CONFIRM WHETHER A BREAKOUT OF CONTINGENCIES, MANAGEMENT RESERVE, INTEREST AND ESCALATION FROM THE TOTAL DARLINGTON BUDGET IS SHOWN IN THE BUSINESS CASE, AND IF NOT, TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE IT.	May 2, 2014
2.10	TO PROVIDE A VERSION OF THE TABLE IN RESPONSE TO CCC INTERROGATORY 20 SHOWING REGULATED OPERATIONS ONLY.	May 8, 2014
2.11	TO IDENTIFY THE CHANGES TO SURVEY METHODOLOGY MADE AS A RESULT OF STAKEHOLDER INPUT BETWEEN THE FIRST AND LAST SURVEYS.	May 8, 2014
2.12	TO EXPLAIN WHY THE REVIEW OF PENSION AND BENEFITS PLANS HAS NO IMPACT ON AMOUNTS REQUESTED FOR THE TEST PERIOD.	May 8, 2014
2.13	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE DETAILS ON THE COMPANY'S COSTS FOR THIS APPLICATION.	May 2, 2014
2.14	TO PROVIDE A LIST OF BENCHMARKING STUDIES, SURVEYS, REPORTS AND ANALYSIS, OR EXPLAIN WHY IT CANNOT BE PROVIDED.	May 8, 2014
2.15	TO CONFIRM WHETHER A REPORT EXISTS RELATED TO THE IT BENCHMARKING DATA, AND PROVIDE IT OR EXPLAIN WHY IT WILL NOT BE PROVIDED.	May 8, 2014
2.16	TO CONFIRM WHETHER A REPORT EXISTS RELATED TO THE ELECTRICITY UTILITY HR METRICS DATA, AND PROVIDE IT OR EXPLAIN WHY IT WILL NOT BE PROVIDED.	May 2, 2014
2.17	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON HOW BT SAVINGS INTEGRATE WITH DRIVERS OF THE DEFICIENCY.	May 8, 2014
2.18	TO ADVISE WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE 10,375 HEADCOUNT APPEARS ON THE SUNSHINE LIST.	May 2, 2014
2.19	TO PROVIDE A RECONCILIATION OF THE OPG SUNSHINE LIST WITH THE PEOPLE ACTIVELY EMPLOYED BY THE COMPANY AT THE TIME THE LIST WAS PRODUCED.	May 2, 2014
2.20	TO PROVIDE THE COMMUNICATION PLAN FOR ROLL-OUT OF BT PROGRAM.	May 2, 2014
2.21	TO PROVIDE OR GIVE THE REFERENCE TO THE BT CORPORATE SCORECARD.	May 2, 2014

2.22	TO FILE THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED "A LEADER'S GUIDE TO CULTURE."	May 2, 2014
2.23	TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON FINAL PERFORMANCE UNDER EACH OF THE METRICS IN THE 2013 BALANCE SCORECARD, SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY ISSUES.	May 2, 2014
2.24	TO PRODUCE OPG'S AMENDED CODE OF CONDUCT.	May 2, 2014
2.25	TO IDENTIFY WHICH ACTIONS WERE TAKEN IN RESPONSE TO THE AUDITOR GENERAL'S REPORT AND WHICH WERE ALREADY UNDERWAY.	May 8, 2014
2.26	TO PRODUCE A LIST ADDITIONAL ACTIONS OPG WILL IMPLEMENT, PARTIALLY OR FULLY, IN 2014 AND 2015 IN RESPONSE TO THE AUDITOR GENERAL'S REPORT, AND ESTIMATE ASSOCIATED COST SAVINGS FOR EACH, IF ANY.	May 8, 2014
2.27	TO CLARIFY WHETHER FIRST-DAY HEALTH AND DENTAL BENEFITS COVERAGE APPLIES TO NEW EMPLOYEES DURING THEIR PROBATIONARY PERIOD.	May 2, 2014
2.28	TO PROVIDE AVAILABLE INFORMATION ON SAVINGS RESULTING FROM ADOPTION OF 24-MONTH WINDOW FOR FILING DENTAL AND HEALTH CLAIMS.	May 2, 2014
2.29	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON SAVINGS RESULTING FROM CHANGE FROM FIVE TO FOUR CONSECUTIVE SICK DAYS PERMITTED WITHOUT MEDICAL FOLLOW-UP.	May 2, 2014
2.30	TO CONFIRM WHETHER OVERTIME IS INCLUDED IN CALCULATION OF 35-HOUR AND 40-HOUR FTEs.	May 2, 2014 (corrected May 8, 2014)
2.31	TO CONFIRM WHETHER 2013 ACTUAL OVERTIME AMOUNTS CAN BE QUANTIFIED, AND ADVISE WHETHER THEY CAN BE PROVIDED.	May 2, 2014
2.32	TO ADVISE WHETHER IT IS POSSIBLE TO PROVIDE A TABLE SHOWING END-OF-YEAR NUMBER OF ONGOING REGULATED REGULAR EMPLOYEES, NON-REGULAR EMPLOYEES, DARLINGTON REFURB EMPLOYEES, AND NEW-BUILD NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES.	May 2, 2014
2.33	TO REVIEW AND CONFIRM A TABLE BY BOARD STAFF REGARDING FTEs AND HEADCOUNT, AND PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR MISSING CATEGORIES AS APPROPRIATE, BEFORE THE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE.	June 6, 2014
2.34	TO PROVIDE THE BOTTOM-LINE NUMBER ASSOCIATED WITH THE TABLE IN RESPONSE TO BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY 101.	May 8, 2014
2.35	PROVIDE REFERENCE TO COMPANIES USED IN SALARY SURVEYS IN MOST RECENT COLLECTIVE BARGAINING PROCESS.	May 8, 2014
2.36	TO PROVIDE BROADER ONTARIO PUBLIC SECTOR AVERAGES USED IN BENCHMARKING ANALYSIS IN MOST RECENT COLLECTIVE BARGAINING PROCESS.	May 2, 2014
2.37	TO EXPLAIN HOW THE RATIO OF 3:1 IN RESPONSE TO BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY 121(b) WAS ARRIVED AT, AND EXPLAIN HOW THE METHODOLOGY DIFFERED FROM THE AUDITOR GENERAL'S.	May 2, 2014

- | | | |
|------|---|-------------|
| 2.38 | TO CONFIRM WHETHER DATA IS AVAILABLE TO POPULATE THE TABLE IN CCC 24, ATTACHMENT 5 FOR 2014 and 2015 BASED ON FORECASTS, AND IF SO, TO UPDATE THE TABLE WITH THOSE NUMBERS. | May 2, 2014 |
| 2.39 | TO PROVIDE THE TWO CONSULTANTS' REPORTS DONE AFTER THE CONTRACT RENEWAL WITH NHSS. | May 8, 2014 |
| 2.40 | TO ADD A "TOTAL" COLUMN TO THE TABLE IN RESPONSE TO BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY 124, REMOVES THE "2007" COLUMN, AND CROSS-ADD FROM 2008 TO 2015 TO THE "TOTAL" COLUMN. | May 2, 2014 |

April 22, 2014

- | | | |
|------|---|-------------|
| 1.1 | TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE DIFFERENCE IN FORECAST AND ACTUALS FOR IN-SERVICE ADDITIONS FOR THE NORTHEAST PLANT GROUP FOR 2013. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.2 | TO PROVIDE A DRAWING SHOWING LOCATION OF SEVEN ADDITIONAL BOREHOLES DRILLED AFTER CONTRACT AWARD. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.3 | TO PROVIDE A DIAGRAM OF THE ORIGINAL TUNNEL ALIGNMENT. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.4 | TO PROVIDE THE INCREMENTAL COSTS OF TIME-SHIFTING FOR 2012 AND 2013. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.5 | TO PROVIDE CV OF RICHARD ILSLEY. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.6 | TO REVIEW THE BUSINESS CASE SCENARIO TO DETERMINE WHETHER OPG HAS INFORMATION REFLECTED IN TAB 4.5, SCHEDULE 1, STAFF 28, PAGE 2 OF 2. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.7 | TO PROVIDE Q1 2014 PRODUCTION ACTUAL VERSUS FORECAST NUMBERS. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.8 | TO ADVISE OF OPG'S POSITION RE: WHETHER AN MNR-APPROVED AMOUNT WOULD BE CREDITED TO RATEPAYERS AND HOW THAT CREDIT WOULD WORK WITHIN A POTENTIAL HYDROELECTRIC IRM. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.9 | TO QUANTIFY THE ACCURACY OF THE \$100 MILLION ESTIMATE FOR CANCELLATION OF THE NIAGARA TUNNEL PROJECT. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.10 | TO PROVIDE A DEFINITION FROM EUCG OR NAVIGANT OF WHAT FALLS INTO THE OM&A FOR BENCHMARKING PURPOSES. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.11 | TO FILE CNSC REG DOC 2.6.3 AS FINALIZED. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.12 | TO PROVIDE SAFETY PERFORMANCE DATA FOR 2010, 2011 AND 2012, IF AVAILABLE. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.13 | TO CONFIRM WITH GOODNIGHT CONSULTING WHETHER ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO BENCHMARKING NUMBERS FOR THE ISSUE OF 35-HOUR VERSUS 40-HOUR WORK WEEKS. | May 2, 2014 |
| 1.14 | TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE INTERROGATORY NO. 15, PARTS (a) AND (b). | May 8, 2014 |
| 1.15 | TO FILE PSA SUMMARY DOCUMENT ONCE AVAILABLE. | May 2, 2014 |

1.16	TO PROVIDE ANY DOCUMENTATION AVAILABLE THAT SETS OUT IN WRITING THE APPROACH IN RESPECT OF SAFETY UPGRADES.	May 2, 2014
1.17	TO EXPLAIN WHY A CONTAINMENT FILTER VENTING SYSTEM IS REQUIRED FOR DARLINGTON BUT NOT FOR PICKERING.	May 2, 2014
1.18	TO PROVIDE ANY MEANINGFUL CALCULATION THAT SHOWS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PICKERING AND SBG.	May 8, 2014
1.19	TO EXPLAIN THE VALUE USED, IF ANY, FOR CARBON EMISSIONS IN OPG AND OPA'S ASSESSMENTS OF NET PRESENT VALUE OF THE PICKERING LIFE EXTENSIONS.	May 2, 2014
1.20	TO ADVISE WHETHER THERE WAS AN ABILITY TO TERMINATE SHORT OF BREACHING THE CONTRACT, AND IF NOT, TO PROVIDE THE PARTICULARS OF THE PROVISIONS.	May 2, 2014
1.21	TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF HOW THE CONTINGENCY IS FLOWED THROUGH AND WHAT MAKES UP THE NUMBERS.	May 2, 2014
1.22	PROVIDE UPDATED CLOSING RATE BASE TO 2013.	May 2, 2014
1.23	TO ADVISE WHETHER AN ALLOCATION CAN BE MADE BETWEEN NUCLEAR SUPPORT DIVISION PROJECTS THAT BENEFIT DARLINGTON AND PROJECTS THAT BENEFIT PICKERING, AND IF SO, PROVIDE DETAILS. AND TO PROVIDE THE SAME INFORMATION FOR MINOR FIXED ASSETS, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.	May 2, 2014
1.24	TO PROVIDE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BUDGETED AND ACTUALS AMOUNTS IN 2013 FOR PICKERING WITH RESPECT TO MINOR FIXED ASSETS AND EXPLAIN WHY IT DROPPED.	May 8, 2014
1.25	TO ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT THE ERROR IN PLANNED OUTAGE DAYS AND CHANGE IN TERAWATT-HOURS IMPACTED THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR DARLINGTON AND PICKERING.	May 2, 2014
1.26	TO ADVISE WHAT EACH OF THE DRIVERS ARE CONTRIBUTING TO THE BOTTOM LINE.	May 2, 2014
1.27	TO PROVIDE THE 2013 ACTUALS ACCORDING TO THE TABLE FOR NUCLEAR OPERATIONS AND NUCLEAR PROJECTS ANALOGOUS TO HOW IT IS SHOWN IN THE SECOND TABLE.	May 2, 2014