

**From:** [BoardSec](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** TRIM: FW: Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2015-0179  
**Date:** September 14, 2015 10:04:20 AM

---

---

**From:** webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca]  
**Sent:** September-14-15 10:01 AM  
**To:** BoardSec  
**Subject:** Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2015-0179

## LETTER OF COMMENT

### Comments:

i take the strongest exception to being required to pay for a series of non-economical-viable projects proposed to be undertaken by the sole provider of natural gas available at my home.

I further question and doubt Union Gas' statement that it will limit the "rate impact on a current residential customer's bill to a maximum of \$24 per year for all ....expansion projects it will complete"

I want to know why I should believe this forward looking statement and the consequence of it being incorrect.

All my life as a professional engineer I have been required to justify capital expenditures that I have made and I fail to comprehend why I should be required to support a company making improper investments.

**Name:** Hamilton Bridgens

**Address:** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Company:** retired