

Ontario Reserve Margin Requirements 2017 - 2021

December 30, 2016

Public

Disclaimer

The posting of documents on this website is done for the convenience of _____ and other interested visitors to the _____ website. Please be advised that, while the _____ attempts to have all posted documents conform to the original, changes can result from the original, including changes resulting from the programs used to format the documents for posting on the website as well as from the programs used by the viewer to download and read the documents. The _____ makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, that the documents on this website are exact reproductions of the original documents listed. In addition, the documents and information posted on this website are subject to change. The _____ may revise, withdraw or make final these materials at any time at its sole discretion without further notice. It is solely your responsibility to ensure that you are using up-to-date documents and information.

This document may contain a summary of a particular _____. Where provided, the summary has been used because of the length of the _____ itself. The reader should be aware, however, that where a _____ is applicable, the obligation that needs to be met is as stated in the "Market Rules." In the event of any discrepancy or inconsistency between the provisions of a particular _____ and the summary, the provision of the _____ shall govern.

Document Name	Ontario Reserve Margin Requirements: 2017 - 2021
Issue	Issue 1.0
Reason for Issue	First issue
Effective Date	December 30, 2016

Table of Contents

Table of Contents **i**

List of Tables **ii**

1. Executive Summary **1**

2. Introduction **2**

3. Reserve Margin Study Methodology **3**

 3.1 Study Inputs 3

 3.2 Basecase and Criteria Assessment Methodology 4

4. Reserve Margin Study Results **5**

5. Conclusions **7**

Appendix A: Key Modelling Assumptions **8**

References **13**

List of Tables

Table 1: Ontario Reserve Margin Requirements by Year 1
Table 2: Summary of Reserve Margin Requirements 5
Table 3: Modified Basecase LOLE Results and Reserve Margins 6
Table A1: Annual Energy Consumption and Peak Demand 11
Table A2: Emergency Operating Procedures and their Net Impact..... 12

1. Executive Summary

Through the annual release of the (ORMR) report, the IESO communicates Ontario’s planning reserve margins required over the next five years to reliably supply the province’s forecast demand, as required by the Section 8.2 of the IESO’s ¹.

Reserve margin requirements are calculated to satisfy the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) resource adequacy design criterion stated in NPCC Regional Reliability Reference Directory # 1: . The reserve margin requirement in any year is the amount of supply resources in excess of the annual peak demand needed to meet the NPCC reliability criterion of an annual loss of load expectation (LOLE)³ of 0.1 days/year. It is expressed as a percentage of annual peak demand.

The IESO uses the General Electric Multi-Area Reliability Simulation (GE-MARS) program to derive annual reserve margin requirements. The MARS model includes the available capacity and operational characteristics of existing and planned resources; energy limitations of renewable resources; resource planned outages and equivalent forced outage rates on demand; retirement and refurbishment schedules; interface limits between Ontario’s 10 transmission zones; and demand forecast and forecast uncertainty over the study horizon.

Ontario’s Reserve Margin Requirement to meet an annual LOLE of 0.1 days/year averages approximately 18.0 percent over the five-year study period. Table 1 below presents the annual reserve margin requirement results of the study⁴.

Table 1: Ontario Reserve Margin Requirements by Year

Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Reserve Margin (%)	18.3	18.8	17.7	17.5	18.0

Further, the Ontario system is expected to satisfy the NPCC resource adequacy criterion over the five-year study period 2017 to 2021, without reliance on emergency operating procedures or emergency capacity support from neighbouring Planning Coordinator Areas, assuming all planned resources are delivered on time.

End of Section

¹ IMO_REQ_0041 “Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria” can be found at www.ieso.ca

² NPCC Directory # 1: can be found at www.npcc.org

³ LOLE is a common reliability index used to assess resource adequacy. It represents the number of days per year, on average, in which the demand exceeds the available resource capacity, and hence, there is an expectation that firm load will be disconnected to resolve resource deficiencies.

⁴ With the 2017 Long Term Energy Plan currently under development, there may be a need to augment operational reserve margins starting in 2020 due to uncertainty of resource availability associated with potential nuclear refurbishment delays and generator aging. The impact of these uncertainties is described in Module 4 of the Ontario Planning Outlook available at <http://www.ieso.ca/Documents/OPO/MODULE-4-Supply-Outlook-20160901.pdf>.

2. Introduction

Through the annual release of the [Ontario Reserve Margin Report](#) (ORMR), the IESO reports the planning reserves (“reserve margins”) required in Ontario over the succeeding five years to reliably supply Ontario’s forecast demand. This report fulfills the requirements of Section 8.2 of the IESO’s [Planning Reserves Report](#)¹.

Reserve margin requirements are determined in accordance with the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) resource adequacy design criterion stated in Regional Reliability Reference Directory # 1: [NPCC Resource Adequacy Design Criterion](#). The criterion states as follows:

Directory #1 further states that in meeting this requirement, the Planning Coordinator or Resource Planner shall

The LOLE represents the number of days per year on which supply is expected to be insufficient to meet demand.

The reserve margin requirement in any year is the amount of resources in excess of the annual peak demand needed to meet the reliability criterion of an annual LOLE of 0.1 days/year.

Currently, Ontario’s reserve margin requirements are determined without reliance on emergency operating procedures or support from neighbouring Planning Coordinator Areas through non-firm imports. However, experience shows that Ontario’s interconnections can be relied on during times of need and that occasional use of the interties to support Ontario’s reliability is feasible. In light of this, the IESO is continuing to investigate the potential for considering non-firm imports to reduce future reserve margin requirements where the level of assumed interconnection support must reflect prevailing conditions, e.g. expected transfer capabilities between Ontario and neighbouring areas as well as declining trends in anticipated reserve margins across North America.

End of Section

¹ IMO_REQ_0041 “Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria” can be found at www.ieso.ca

² NPCC Directory # 1: www.npcc.org

3. Reserve Margin Study Methodology

In deriving the annual reserve margin requirements, the IESO uses General Electric's Multi-Area Reliability Simulation (GE-MARS) program, a probabilistic simulation tool that is widely used in the industry.

The IESO's MARS model includes detailed demand and resource information and a simplified 10-zone transmission network with inter-zonal transfer limits included. For more information on the IESO's MARS simulation approach, see

3.1 Study Inputs

To accurately reflect the available capacity of existing and planned resources and forecast demand over the study horizon, the following details are modelled:

- Monthly maximum continuous ratings (MCR) of thermal units (nuclear, gas, oil and biofuel) based on information provided by market participants (MPs);
- Planned outage schedules of thermal units as supplied by MPs or estimated by the IESO;
- Equivalent Forced Outage Rates on demand (EFOR_d) of thermal units, calculated by the IESO based on actual (historical) forced outage data and energy production data;
- Energy and capacity limitations of energy-limited resources (hydro and biofuel) provided by MPs or calculated by the IESO;
- Effective capacity available from demand measures: Demand Response (DR), Dispatchable Loads and the peaksaver PLUS program;
- Variability in the production capability of wind resources;
- Expected hourly production capability of solar resources including seasonal variations;
- Ontario's 10 major electrical zones with defined inter-zonal transmission limits;
- Hourly coincident demand forecasts for Ontario's 10 electrical zones; and
- Load forecast uncertainty driven primarily by weather variability that affects demand.

The target in-service dates of planned resources are also reflected in the study. Planned retirements and long-term refurbishment outages of existing resources over the planning horizon are also scheduled according to their expected out-of-service and return-to-service dates.

Also modelled in MARS is Ontario's firm capacity export contract with Quebec under which Ontario will make available 500 MW of capacity to Quebec during the winter of 2016/2017 and the winter of 2017/2018.

A more detailed description of the study methodology and key model assumptions is provided in Appendix A.

¹ <http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Reliability-Requirements/Forecasts-%26-18-Month-Outlooks.aspx>

3.2 Basecase and Criteria Assessment Methodology

Basecase Assessment

In conducting the analysis for each study year, an initial simulation is performed with the system “as-is” (the Basecase system) and the LOLE results are noted. The adequacy of the Basecase system is evaluated by comparing the LOLE for each year to the NPCC criterion of 0.1 days/year. In instances where the Basecase results exceed the LOLE criterion, adjustments to the timing of planned outages are made as described in Section A.1 of the Appendix to produce a Modified Basecase. The aim of these changes is to reduce the annual system risk below the threshold of 0.1 day/year, thereby demonstrating compliance with the NPCC Directory #1 requirement.

Criteria Assessment

The goal of the Criteria Assessment is to determine the minimum amount of Ontario resources needed to satisfy the LOLE criterion of 0.1 days/year. Starting with the Modified Basecase system for each year, this assessment is performed by re-running the simulation repeatedly in an iterative fashion while reducing the available resources until an LOLE of 0.1 days/year (+/- 0.005) is achieved.

During the Criteria Assessment, several factors are considered when deciding on which candidate resources should be removed in any year. These include:

- Equivalent forced outage rate on demand (EFOR_d) – units with higher EFOR_d are prime candidates for removal since their effective load-carrying capability (ELCC), i.e., the increase in system load that can be served at a particular reliability level after including the unit, is lower than units with a lower EFOR_d. Thus, removing a unit with a comparatively lower ELCC (high EFOR_d) will have a lesser impact on system LOLE than a unit of higher ELCC (lower EFOR_d), thereby allowing for removal of even more capacity until the LOLE criterion is achieved.
- Location – resources located in an export-congested zone are also suitable candidates for removal since congestion on the transmission interface means units at that location do not benefit the system to the same extent as units located elsewhere.
- Unit size – during the unit removal process, units of smaller size and comparatively lower EFOR_d may have to be removed in preference to larger units of higher EFOR_d, simply because removing the larger unit will cause the system LOLE to exceed the 0.1 days/year target.

By following the above guidelines, the Criteria Assessment will yield a near minimal resource requirement. The Reserve Margin Requirement for each year is then calculated as the difference between the available resources and the annual peak demand. Reserve Margin Requirements and the results of the Modified Basecase analysis are presented in Section 4.

End of Section

4. Reserve Margin Study Results

Based on the methodology described previously, several resource mix scenarios could be used to meet the LOLE target of 0.1 days/year (+/- 0.005). By applying the guidelines outlined in Section 3.2, a near minimal reserve margin requirement in each year is achieved. The results are presented in Table 2. For each year of the study period, they include the resultant LOLE, required available resources, projected system peak demand and required reserve margins expressed in both megawatts and percent of peak demand.

In each year, the system peak demand is forecast to occur in July.

Table 2 Summary of Reserve Margin Requirements¹

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
LOLE (days/year)	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100
Required Capacity at Peak (MW)	26,842	26,757	26,308	26,082	26,530
Annual Peak Demand (MW)	22,680	22,519	22,357	22,192	22,479
Reserve Margin Requirements (MW)	4,162	4,238	3,950	3,890	4,050
Reserve Margin Requirements (%)	18.3	18.8	17.7	17.5	18.0

The reserve margin requirement represents the minimum resources in excess of the peak demand that are needed to satisfy the NPCC resource adequacy criterion in each of the next five years. These values take into account forecast demands (including peak demand and load shape) and load forecast uncertainty; scheduled and unscheduled generation outages; nuclear refurbishment schedules; seasonal capacity derates; energy and capacity limitations of energy-limited resources; and major transmission interface limits.

The required capacity is an amount of supply resources equal to the sum of the annual peak demand and the reserve margin requirement.

Over the five-year study period, the required reserve margins vary between 17.5 percent and 18.8 percent. The average Ontario Reserve Margin Requirement over this period is approximately 18.0² percent of annual forecast peak demand. Year-to-year variations are influenced primarily by changes in annual demand forecasts and generator planned outage schedules. For example, a demand profile with a higher load factor³ or an increase in the average generation capacity on planned outage will tend to increase reserve requirements.

¹ These results are based on the assumption that all planned resources for the next five years will be delivered on time.

² Rounded to the nearest 0.5% (approximately 100 MW).

³ Load factor is defined as the ratio of the 'average' load to the 'maximum' load. A higher load factor indicates that the demand is relatively constant, while a low load factor indicates that the high demand is only set occasionally.

For completeness, the available reserve margins for the next five years determined from analysis of the Modified Basecase are presented in Table 3. The results of Table 3 show that Ontario satisfies the NPCC criterion over the planning period under the assumed conditions.

Table 3: Modified Basecase LOLE Results and Reserve Margins

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
LOLE (days/year)	0.071	0.058	0.009	0.005	0.072
Available Capacity at Peak (MW)	27,662	27,206	28,065	28,253	26,915
Annual Peak Demand (MW)	22,680	22,519	22,357	22,192	22,479
Reserve Margin (MW)	4,982	4,687	5,708	6,061	4,436
Reserve Margin (Modified Basecase) (%)	22.0	20.8	25.5	27.3	19.7

End of Section

5. Conclusions

Ontario's Reserve Margin Requirement to meet an annual LOLE of 0.1 days/year averages approximately 18.0 percent over the five-year study period.

The Ontario system satisfies the NPCC resource adequacy criterion over the five-year study period 2017 to 2021, without reliance on emergency operating procedures or emergency capacity support from neighbouring Planning Coordinator Areas, assuming all planned resources are delivered on time.

End of Section

Appendix A: Key Modelling Assumptions

A.1 GENERATION RESOURCES

This study considers all existing resources as well as planned resources expected to come into service over the period from 2017 to 2021. Planned resources include those that are committed (signed contracts) and directed as of December 2015. Any major changes since December 2015 were also captured, for example, the recently contracted LRP I resources have been included. Planned retirements expected to occur over this timeframe are also considered, as are the refurbishment schedules of Ontario's nuclear fleet.

Wind

Wind generation is expected to grow in the period of the study. By the end of 2021, about 5,000 MW of grid-connected wind-powered generation is expected to be in-service in Ontario. Given the variability of wind speeds, wind generators are modelled probabilistically on a zonal basis as energy-limited resources with a cumulative probability density function (CPDF) that represents the likelihood of zonal wind contribution being at or below various capacity levels during peak demand hours. The CPDFs vary by month and season.

The CPDFs are constructed based on the contribution of wind resources during a contiguous five-hour window of highest daily demand for the summer and winter seasons, and for each month of spring and fall. Previously, in the absence of sufficient historical (actual) wind production data to confidently estimate expected wind contribution during peak hours, both historical and simulated wind production data were utilized for developing the CPDFs. However, this year the IESO has accumulated 10 years of historical (actual) wind production data so that simulated data will no longer be relied upon when developing the CPDF's.

In the analysis referred to above, the determination of the five-hour window with the highest average demand is based on an analysis of the last five years of historical demand data.

Solar

Grid-connected solar resources are modelled on an aggregated zonal basis in three separate zones. For each zone, the contribution of solar resources is modelled as a fixed hourly profile that varies by month and season. The MW production is calculated from projected installed capacities and hourly solar contribution factors applicable to each zone and for each month or season. Hourly solar contribution factors are in turn determined from an analysis of 10 years of simulated historical data by calculating the hourly median solar contribution for each month and season. As actual solar facility production data is accumulated over the coming years, the IESO will gradually make a transition to full reliance on actual operating history when determining zonal solar capacity factors.

Hydroelectric

Hydroelectric resources are modelled in MARS as capacity-limited and energy-limited resources. Minimum capacity, maximum capacity and monthly energy values are determined on an aggregated basis for each electrical zone. Maximum capacity values are based on historical median monthly production plus the contribution of hydro resources to the operating reserve market at the time of system weekday peaks. Minimum capacity values are based on the 25th percentile of historical production during hours ending one through five for each month. Monthly energy values are based on historical monthly median energy production since market opening.

For new hydroelectric projects, the maximum capacity value is derived based on the average monthly capacity factor at the time of system peak in the zone where the new project is located. The minimum capacity value and the monthly energy value are calculated using the methodology described above based on the historical production data of a similarly sized generator in the zone where the new project is located.

Thermal Resources

Nuclear, gas, oil and biomass resources are modelled as thermal resources, with their capacity values based information provided by market participants.

Previously, the IESO calculated the availability of thermal units using Equivalent Forced Outage Rates (EFOR). However, starting in 2016Q4, the IESO has transitioned to using Equivalent Forced Outage Rate on demand (EFOR_d). EFOR_d is a measure of the probability that a generating unit will not be available due to forced outages or forced deratings when there is demand on the unit to generate¹. It is the most appropriate metric for modelling the forced outage rates given the capabilities of the assessment tools used by the industry. EFOR_d of existing units are derived based on an analysis of a rolling five-year history of actual forced outage data and the generator's energy production data. For existing units with insufficient historical data, and for new units, EFOR_d values of existing units of similar size and technical characteristics are used while recognizing the higher failure rate during the early operating period.

Demand Measures

Demand measures, i.e. Dispatchable Loads, peaksaver Plus and Demand Response (DR) are not incorporated into the demand forecast; they are treated as generation resources.

The effective capacity available from Dispatchable Loads is determined based on an analysis of historical bid-quantity data for peak demand hours submitted by market participant. In MARS, Dispatchable Loads are modelled as resources that are available at all times and are represented as monthly capacity values aggregated for each transmission zone.

¹ IEEE Std 762 - IEEE Standard Definitions for Use in Reporting Electric Generating Unit Reliability, Availability, and Productivity

The effective capacity of DR and peaksaver PLUS resources is determined based on an analysis of the historical performance of the participants in these programs. In MARS, DR and peaksaver PLUS are modelled as capacity that is available at all times and are represented as monthly values aggregated for each transmission zone. However, unlike Dispatchable Loads, a monthly limit is imposed on the number of activations of each resource.

Planned Outages

Planned outages are in general based on outage submissions from market participants as of mid-2016. During the Basecase Assessment and to the extent possible, planned outages are modelled as submitted, within the limitations of the MARS software. However, in instances where the planned outage schedule includes multiple overlapping outages that significantly increase system LOLE, adjustments to the timing of the relevant outages are made based on technical judgement. These adjustments are intended to reflect the improved coordination that would ordinarily be achieved through the IESO's outage management process which seeks to ensure that equipment outages do not unduly impact the reliability of the IESO-controlled grid.

During the Criteria Assessment, as resources are removed to bring the system LOLE to 0.1 days/year, the planned outage schedule is further modified as necessary to minimize the system LOLE and thereby facilitate further resource removals. These additional outage schedule adjustments are made in keeping with the previously stated approach and avoid the artificial inflation of reserve requirements by an outage schedule that in reality, would be better coordinated closer to real-time through the outage management process. Notwithstanding the adjustments to timing, the full outage duration needs of each facility are still accommodated.

For those generating units with no specified outages over the planning period, planned outages are based on forecast planned outage factors (POFs) submitted by market participants and/or a generic outage plan derived from historic outage patterns of existing units. Planned and forced outage impacts for hydro and wind are assumed to be already accommodated in the energy/capacity assumptions used.

A.2 TRANSMISSION LIMITS

The Ontario transmission system is represented by 10 interconnected zones with transmission limits between the zones explicitly modelled. The limits modelled are the operating security limits (OSL) specified for each interface and any projected limit increase due to future transmission system enhancements is appropriately represented.

A.3 INTERCONNECTION SUPPORT

Although the NPCC resource adequacy criterion allows for reliance on interconnection support when evaluating system LOLE, in the current study such reliance has not been considered when determining Ontario's reserve margin requirements.

After years of transition during which the Ontario power system ended its reliance on coal-fired generation, incorporated significant amounts of new or refurbished generation and completed reinforcements of the transmission system, the performance of the new supply mix is now more

predictable and better understood. The IESO intends to further evaluate the reliability benefits offered by the inerties and will, as appropriate, incorporate potential interconnection support in determining Ontario’s future reserve margin requirements.

A.4 DEMAND FORECAST

In the MARS program, demand is modelled as an hourly profile for each day of each year of the study period. In the present study, the modelled demand already takes into account the effects of target conservation programs and embedded generation. The methodology used to produce these forecasts is described in [Methodology to Perform Long Term Assessments](#) . An allowance for load forecast uncertainty is also modelled as described below. The annual energy consumption and peak demand for each year of the planning horizon are provided in Table A1.

Table A1: Annual Energy Consumption and Peak Demand

Year	Demand Forecast	
	Energy (TWh)	Peak (MW)
2017	137.4	22,680
2018	135.7	22,519
2019	134.0	22,357
2020	133.4	22,192
2021	133.6	22,479

Load Forecast Uncertainty

Load forecast uncertainty (LFU) arises due to variability in the weather conditions that drive future demand levels. LFU is modelled in MARS through the use of probability distributions. These distributions are derived from observed historical variation in weather conditions that are known to effect demand, viz. temperature, humidity, wind speed and cloud cover. A provincial-wide LFU distribution is developed for every month of the year and applied to all 10 transmission zones.

A.5 EMERGENCY OPERATING PROCEDURES

Emergency operating procedures (EOPs) are available to help mitigate potential resource shortfalls in the operating time frame. As summarized below, these procedures include reductions in operating reserves (OR), voltage reductions and public appeals. This approach is approved for operational planning as indicated in the NPCC Regional Reliability Reference Directory #1 – .

The order of initiating EOP actions is as follows:

- 3% voltage reduction;
- 5% voltage reduction;

- Public appeal;
- 30-minute operating reserve;
- 10-minute operating reserve.

Table A2 summarizes the assumptions regarding the load relief from EOPs.

Emergency operating procedures are currently not considered in the ORM study since they are held back as additional measures to be deployed as required during real-time operations.

Table A2: Emergency Operating Procedures and their Net Impact

EOP Measure	EOP Impact	
	% of Demand	MW
Public Appeals	1.0	
No 30-minute OR (473 MW)		0
No 10-minute OR (945 MW)		0
Voltage Reductions	2.0	
Aggregated Net Impact	3.0% Reduction in Demand	

[†]Although 30-minute and 10-minute OR are included in this list of EOPs, the analysis does not impose a requirement to provide for OR since only loss of load events are being considered. Therefore, the net benefit of applying EOPs in the analysis excludes relaxation of OR requirements.

ó End of Section ó

References

No.	Document Name	Document ID
1	Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria	IMO_REQ_0041
2	Methodology to Perform Long Term Assessments	IESO_REP_0266
3	Design and Operation of the Bulk Power System	NPCC Regional Reliability Reference Directory # 1
4	IEEE Standard Definitions for Use in Reporting Electric Generating Unit Reliability, Availability, and Productivity	IEEE Std 762™-2006

End of Document