

March 26, 2019

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

EB-2018-0305 - Enbridge Gas Inc. – 2019 Rate Adjustment

Please find, attached, interrogatories on behalf of the Consumers Council of Canada for Enbridge Gas Inc. pursuant to the above-referenced proceeding.

Please feel free to contact me if you have questions.

Yours truly,

Julie E. Girvan

Julie E. Girvan

CC: EGI, Regulatory Affairs
All Parties

**INTERROGATORIES FOR ENBRIDGE GAS INC.
FROM THE CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF CANADA**

EB-2018-0305

2019 RATES

A. GENERAL

CCC-1

Ex. A/T3/S1/p. 2

The evidence set out the annual bill impacts associate with the Application. With respect to those impacts:

- 1) Please explain whether they include the disposition of the DVA balances proposed for 2019. If they do please break out the impacts between the rate adjustment and all other factors;
- 2) Please explain why, if the bill impact of the ICM for the Union North residential customers is \$8.80, why the overall impact is \$6.81 and \$4.88 for each of the Union North rate zones (North East and North West)

CCC-2

Ex. A/T3/S1/p. 8

Please explain what relief EGI is seeking from the OEB with respect to the new Conditions of Service. Does EGI have plans to consolidate the Conditions of Service for all of the rate zones (Union and EGD)? If so, what is the timing associated with this initiative?

CCC-3

Ex. A

Please provide the most recent Company-wide organization chart down to the Director level.

B. RATE SETTING MECHANISM/INCREMENTAL CAPITAL MODULE

CCC-4

Ex. B1/T1/S1

The Application is based on the OEB's Decision approving the amalgamation of Union and EGD and establishing the rate-setting mechanism (EB-2017-0306/0307). Please indicate if the Application is entirely consistent with all of the elements of the Decision. If it is not, please identify where it is not consistent and the rationale for any alternative proposals.

CCC-5

Ex. B1/T1/S1/p. 23

Table 9 provides a list of Deferral Account closures that were approved in the MAADs and Rate-setting Decision. What are the current balances in the accounts?

CCC-6

Ex. B1/T1/S1/p. 27

EGI has a forecast of \$117.238 million for the Capital Pass-Through Projects for 2019. Will this amount be trued up based on actual costs? If not, why not? If so, how will the amounts be trued up?

CCC-7

Ex. B1/T2/S1/p. 19

Please set out all project costs for the Sudbury Replacement Project. What is the expected revenue requirement impact for each year 2019-2023? Please explain why it is appropriate for the 2018 costs to be part of the 2019 ICM.

CCC-8

Ex. B1/T2/S1/p. 24

With respect to the Sudbury Replacement Project \$3.4 million in expected to go into service in 2019. What is the month in which it is expected to be in-service?

CCC-9

Ex. B1/T2/S1/p. 22

With respect to the NPS 30 Don River Replacement Project what were the costs that were presented in the LTC proceeding? What are the current cost projections? Please explain, in detail, all variances. Please provide the construction schedule that was filed in the LTC proceeding and the current construction schedule. What is the most current projected in-service date? What factors could impact that schedule?

CCC-10

Ex. B/T2/S1/p. 27

With respect to the Kingsville Reinforcement Project what were the costs that were presented at the LTC proceeding. What are the current cost projections? Please explain, in detail, all variances. Please provide the construction schedule that was filed in the LTC proceeding. What is the most current projected in-service date? What factors could impact that schedule?

CCC-11

Ex. B/T2/S1/p. 27

What is the current status of the LTC Application regarding the Stratford Reinforcement Project? What is the most current projected in-service date? What factors could impact that date?

CCC-12

Ex. B/T2/S1/p. 31

EGI has set out the Total Incremental Revenue Requirement by rate zone for each of the ICM requested projects. Does EGI propose a true-up process regarding these projects? If not, why not? If so, how would that true-up process work? W

CCC-13

Ex. C1/T1/S1/p. 55

Please file the Customer Connection Policy that was revised in 2015. Please explain the nature of the changes made in 2015 and the rationale for those changes. Please specifically identify how the Contribution in Aid of Construction was changed. Please explain the extent to which EGD undertook any customer engagement with respect to these changes.

C. UTILITY SYSTEM PLAN/ASSET MANAGEMENT PLANS

CCC-14

Ex. A/T3/S1/ p. 4

Please indicate what relief EGI is seeking from the OEB with respect to the Utility System Plan and the Asset Management Plans for the EGD and Union rate zones.

CCC-15

Ex. B1/T2/S1/p. 5

Please explain why EGI has excluded Community Expansion amounts from System Access Capital?

CCC-16

Ex B1/T2/S1/p. 2

The evidence states that, "As there are finite resources to complete capital projects, projects are selected for the AMP on the basis of their relative priority. All projects are evaluated and prioritized/optimized to ensure that capital resources are employed to address the highest priority items across all asset categories." Please explain if this comment pertains to planning with respect to the two rate zones individually or to the consolidated Company. When does EGI intend to undertake capital planning across the Company as a whole?

CCC-17

Ex. B1/T2/S1/p. 9 and Ex. C1/T1/S1/p. 3

Does EGI intend to use a Company-wide materiality threshold for its ICM requests for 2021?

D. CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT

CCC-18

Ex. A/T3/S1/p. 5

Please explain what relief EGI is seeking from the OEB with respect to the customer consultation undertaken by both EGD and Union.