Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 2

### AMPCO INTERROGATORY 1

- 2 Interrogatory # 1
- 3 Strategic Objective #1 Power System Planning
- 4 <u>Issue 1.1</u>

1

- Is the Operating budget of \$5.79 million allocated to strategic objective # 1 reasonable and
   appropriate?
- 7 <u>Reference:</u>
- 8 Exhibit A/Tab 1/Schedule 1/Page 12
- 9 Exhibit B/Tab 1/schedule 1/ Pages 1-3
- <sup>10</sup> The OPA states at A-1-1 page 12 that supporting the development and implementation of
- the conservation, generation, and transmission options identified in the IPSP will continue as a priority for the period 2009-2011.
- At B-1-1 Pages 1-3, the actions to achieve strategic objective 1 are listed and supporting IPSP 1 implementation is described as initiative 1.
- 15 Question:
- a) Has the OPA specifically requested Hydro One Networks Inc. to undertake preliminary
   project development work on IPSP projects?
- b) If yes to a) please provide details of the work requested by the OPA including
   milestones, timelines and the progress to date.
- c) If no to a) does the OPA plan to request that Hydro One Networks Inc. undertake
   preliminary project development work on IPSP projects?
- d) Does the OPA have an agreement with Hydro One Networks Inc. to provide compensation for IPSP project development work?
- e) Please comment on whether project development work undertaken at the request of the OPA is transferable to a third party.
- 26

### 27 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) The OPA has not specifically requested Hydro One Network Inc. ("HONI") to undertake
   preliminary project development work on IPSP projects. However, the OPA has had
   preliminary discussions with HONI and other interested parties on a number of projects
   in the IPSP for which the OPA has identified that development work should proceed.
- The projects covered in the discussions include Little Jackfish/Lake Nipigon and
- Manitoulin enablers and the reinforcements of the North-South and Toronto area

Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 2

transmission. HONI has indicated their interest in pursuing the development work with
 all the projects identified in the IPSP in their recent transmission rates application to the
 OEB. Other parties have also expressed similar interest in select projects.

b) Please refer to OPA's response to (a) above. As there is currently no party formally
 selected as the developer of the various projects identified in the IPSP for development
 work, milestones, timelines and progress have not been established at this time for
 these projects

- c) The OPA is awaiting guidance in such matters as the selection of transmitters for
   project development work from the amendments to the Transmission System Code
   (EB-2008-0003) or OEB decisions, such as HONI's 2009/2010 Transmission Revenue
   Requirement & Rate Application (EB-2008-0272), before actively working with the select
   transmitters on the development projects.
- d) The OPA does not have an agreement with HONI to provide compensation for IPSP
   project development work.

e) The OPA has no comment on this matter. The OPA expects that this is a matter that
 would be dealt with by the OEB.

Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 2

### AMPCO INTERROGATORY 2

- 2 Interrogatory # 2
- 3 Strategic Objective #1 Power System Planning
- 4 <u>Issue 1.1</u>

Is the Operating budget of \$5.79 million allocated to strategic objective # 1 reasonable and
 appropriate?

- 7 <u>Reference:</u>
- 8 Exhibit A/Tab 2/Schedule 1/Page 13

9 At A-2-1 Page 13, the OPA states that it will begin to develop the second integrated plan

10 (IPSP 2) and that IPSP 2 will reflect customer and stakeholder expectations. Prior to the

filing of the OPA's IPSP 1 in August 2007, the OPA conducted several consultation

- 12 sessions with stakeholders.
- 13 Question:
- a) Please provide a breakdown of the activities and expenditures by stakeholder for the
   stakeholder consultation held prior to the filing of IPSP 1 in August 2007.
- b) Please provide the expected total expenditures for stakeholder consultation activities
   prior to the filing of IPSP 2.
- 18

## 19 **RESPONSE**

a) Funding provided to stakeholders involved in the consultation held prior to the filing of
 IPSP 1 in August 2007 included participation in person at workshops, webinars, and
 preparation of written submissions on any of the discussion papers.

Funding Guidelines developed by the OPA were posted to its website and eligibility for awards was based on the same criteria as set out in the OEB's Practice Direction on Cost Awards. The guidelines are found as Attachment 1 to this exhibit

<sup>25</sup> Cost Awards. The guidelines are found as Attachment 1 to this exhibit.

Of the 28 participants eligible, 18 submitted claims for their participation or submissions to discussion papers and were paid according to the funding guidelines developed by the OPA. The average amount paid to requesting parties was approximately \$8,300 for their participation in the IPSP stakeholder consultations during the period held prior to filing the IPSP in August 2007.

1

Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 2 of 2

1

2

Stakeholder Activity	Amounts Paid	
Submissions to Discussion Papers	\$38,600	
Load Forecast - webconference – September 14, 2006	\$6,000	
CDM Workshop – September 26 – 28, 2006	\$44,071	
CDM Summary – webconference – October 26, 2006	\$5,250	
Supply & Transmission Workshop – November 22 – 24, 2006	\$53,546	
Procurement – webconference – January 22, 2007	\$2,250	
TOTAL	\$149,717	
Average amounts paid to claimants	\$8,317	

3

In addition, for the seven in-person regional meetings scheduled between May and
 June 2007, all First Nations and Métis communities were offered funding by the OPA to
 cover their travel and accommodation expenses for attendance at one of the in-person
 sessions. The total payment made in support of these expenses as of December 31,
 2007 was close to \$65,000.

b) Consultation activities to be undertaken in support of IPSP 2 have not been established at this point, therefore expected total expenditures are not available. The 2009 Budget incorporates \$750,000 towards the support of consultation with First Nations and Métis for the enhanced consultation activities as requested in the Ministerial Directive of September 17, 2008, which will be in addition to any general stakeholder consultation undertaken. Filed: February 17, 2009, Exhibit I-3-2, Attachment 1, Page 1 of 3

about OPA sitemap						
Ontario Power	Authority	1		1		
	System Planning	Generation Development	Conservation	OPA Initiatives		
quick links: Home News Careers About OPA Contact	Home >> Stakeholder Consultation >> Consultation Archive Stakeholder Consultation Consultation Archive				Sea	
	IPSP Participan	t Funding Information		A A A	OPA S Combined Power Surv	
current items:	These events occurred in the past.         The funding provided will assist stakeholders to participate in the OPA's IPSP stakeholder consultation process.         The OPA is committed to engaging stakeholders across as broad a spectrum as possible, including community leaders, First Nations and Aboriginal people, the public, customers, associations, transmission and local distribution companies, generators and investors. Consultations with stakeholders are based on the principles of relevance, transparency, inclusiveness, accessibility, contribution, and disciplined and fair management.         Eligibility         1. The OPA will determine the eligibility of participants based on Sections 3 and 4, "Cost Eligibility" and "Cost Eligibility and "Cost Eligibility and "Cost Eligibility" and "Cost Eligibility for a cost awards". (Please see Related Downloadable Files section below for a copy of this document.)         2. The burden of establishing eligibility for a cost award is on the participant.         3. Transmitters, wholesalers, generators, distributors, and retailers of electricity, marketers of natural gas, and gas storage companies (either individually or in a group), parties with direct commercial or business interests and the Independent Electricity System Operator are not eligible for funding.         4. Staff members or representatives of municipal or provincial governments are not eligible for funding.         5. Non-residents of Ontario are not eligible.         Funding Principles         1. The OPA will fund the participation of only one representative per organization per event. The OPA must be notified in advance in the event that an alternate is to participate in a session.				Extended t February 2	
RFPs Electricity Contracts Stakeholder Consultation Publications Presentations & Speeches					Latest Southwest Research F and Studie Northern Y Region Tov February 1 Southwest 2009 Publi Informatio Sessions New Green Projects Ge More Green Projects Ge More Green Projects Ge More Green I Present A speech b Andersen, Executive ( the OEN or 10, 2009	
	show cause	common interests are encoura as to why separate funding is just will be notified whether they	ustified.			
	•		<b>~</b>	-	•	

**before July 25, 2006.** The participant listing will be posted on our website on or before July 28, 2006.

- 4. Participants are requested to electronically pre-register through the OPA website for the sessions they want to attend.
- 5. The amount awarded to eligible participants will be a flat rate of \$1500 per day. If a session (or a participant's attendance at a session) is 4 hours or less, then a flat rate of \$750 will be awarded. Web-enabled conference attendance is considered at the half day rate. **Only sessions attended will be funded**.
- 6. Disbursements: Travel expenses will be allowed including reasonable meals, accommodations and related travel expenses when the participant's place of business is greater than 100 km from the meeting site. Taxi, rental car and airport limousine claims will be accepted. Air and rail travel will be limited to "economy" fare rates. Claims for single occupancy rooms at a hotel will be accepted. This does not include "luxury" hotels or suites.
- 7. If the OPA formally requests written submissions, the OPA will award the preparation of such a written submission at an amount to be determined at the time of the request.
- 8. Participants wishing to make a voluntary submission to the OPA, whether as part of a group of intervenors or not, must confirm authorization to represent the organization as requested in the Participant Funding Application Form. Voluntary submissions will not be funded.
- 9. Participants must use the OPA Cost Claim Forms 1 and 2 to summarize their statement of hours and any related disbursements for attending the stakeholder workshops or meetings.
- 10. Copies of receipts must be attached to Cost Claim Form 2 when submitting.
- 11. The OPA will not fund participants' consulting costs, legal costs, or honorariums.
- 12. The amount of funding available to eligible stakeholders participating in the IPSP was approved by the OEB in its decision dated February 13, 2006 on EB-2005-0489. Due to the maximum amount defined in the Settlement Agreement, and approved by the OEB, the OPA reserves the right to cease payment to eligible stakeholders should all funds be disbursed prior to the completion of the engagement program.

#### **Costs Claims**

- 1. Cost claims should be submitted within 30 days of attending stakeholder workshops or meetings.
- 2. Cost Claims Forms 1 and 2 can be downloaded from the OPA website (*please see below*) and submitted via fax or mailed directly to:

Ms. Patricia Gregor, Accounts Payable Clerk Ontario Power Authority 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 1600 Toronto, Ontario M5H 1T1 (416) 969-6401 phone (416) 967-1967 facsimile patricia.gregor@powerauthority.on.ca

#### Contact

For questions regarding participation please contact:



This page is left intentionally blank for double-sided printing purposes.

Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 3 Page 1 of 2

### AMPCO INTERROGATORY 3

- 2 Interrogatory # 3
- 3 Strategic Objective #4 Barriers to the Development of Economically Sustainable
- 4 Conservation and Supply Resources
- 5 Issue 4.1

1

- Is the Operating Budget of \$1.031 million allocated to Strategic Objective #4 reasonable
   and appropriate?
- 8 <u>Reference:</u>
- 9 Exhibit A/Tab 2/Schedule 1/Page 27
- <sup>10</sup> The OPA states at A-2-1 Page 27 that as the Global Adjustment Mechanism (GAM)
- increases, there will be a greater need for ratepayers of all types residential, commercial,
- institutional and industrial to have useful tools to manage their electricity costs. Further
- the OPA states that it expects to work with stakeholders to develop a greater common
- understanding of the drivers of GAM and potential ways to address its impacts.
- 15 Question:
- a) Please provide information on how the OPA expects to work with industrial customers
   and include a workplan and budget.
- b) Please provide a summary of the incentives and outreach that is in place to encourage
   the participation of industrial customers in the OPA's energy conservation programs and
   include the associated costs for outreach?
- 21

# 22 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) Please see the response to Board Staff Interrogatory 11 at Exhibit I-1-11.
- b) The OPA currently has two conservation programs in place that offer incentives to
   industrial customers. The Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program ("ERIP") offers
- prescriptive and custom-measure incentives to commercial and industrial facilities for
- the retrofit of existing buildings. The OPA's suite of Demand Response initiatives
- 28 provides industrial customers with incentives for reducing or shifting their consumption
- of electricity, typically during times of the year and day when electricity consumption has
- <sup>30</sup> proved to be relatively high. Information on the specific program details, including
- incentive values, is available at:<u>http://business.everykilowattcounts.com/ind/programs-</u>
- 32 <u>incentives-rebates.php</u>.

Filed: February 17, 2009 EB-2008-0312 Exhibit I Tab 3 Schedule 3 Page 2 of 2

- Both of these programs include marketing and outreach activities within their program
- <sup>2</sup> budgets, which are not included in OPA's operating budget. As is the case with all OPA
- 3 conservation programs, they are included within the program-specific expenditures that
- <sup>4</sup> are collected through the GAM as charges.