March 6, 2009

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP Lawyers • Patent & Trade-mark Agents World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street, Suite 1100 Ottawa ON K1P 1J9 tel.: (613) 237-5160 fax: (613) 230-8842 www.blgcanada.com

PETER C.P. THOMPSON, Q.C. direct tel.: (613) 787-3528 e-mail: pthompson@blgcanada.com

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 27th floor Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli,

Union Gas Limited 2009 Rates Board File No.: EB-2008-0220 Our File No.: 339583-000020

We are writing to respond to the March 2, 2009 letter from Union Gas Limited ("Union") to the Board questioning the time spent in this proceeding by professionals in this firm in providing services to our client, Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME"). Union also questions the time spent by advisors to the Association of Power Producers of Ontario ("APPrO") in providing professional services to their client with respect to this matter.

Union criticizes the tasks this firm performed on behalf of CME and the tasks APPrO's advisors performed on behalf of their client on the following grounds:

- (a) It was unreasonable to have two professionals rather than one work together; and
- (b) The time spent by professional advisors to CME and APPrO exceed time spent by others in representing their clients' interests in connection with this matter.

The claim for costs submitted by CME on February 4, 2009, is in an amount of \$12,131.00 for fees and \$147.00 for disbursements. With the additional time we spent in reviewing and commenting on the Draft Rate Order Union, which circulated on February 12, 2009, we are requesting, as stated in our letter to the Board of February 19, 2009, a cost award in the amount of \$12,593.00 for fees and \$147.00 for disbursements, plus GST. Accordingly, the amount of CME's Cost Claim which Union is criticizing is about \$12,600.00 for fees plus disbursements and GST.

In this proceeding, Union sought to recover from ratepayers, by way of a Z Factor, an amount which exceeded \$4M. The Cost Claim submitted by CME of \$12,600, which Union is criticizing, is miniscule in comparison to the amount in issue in this case. Intervenors successfully resisted Union's claim. In its Decision with Reasons dated

January 29, 2009, the Board found favour with submissions made by CME and others to the effect that Union's claim to recover an additional amount of more than \$4M in rates should be dismissed.

We were somewhat surprised to receive Union's letter of March 2, 2009 criticizing CME's Cost Claim because, about two (2) weeks before that date, we had received an email from Mr. Ripley of Union thanking us for our February 19, 2009 letter commenting on the appropriateness of the formal Order Union had circulated. That letter requested an increase in the fees component of the Cost Claim submitted on February 4, 2009, from \$12,131.00 to \$12,593.00.

We mistakenly construed Mr. Ripley's "thank you" e-mail as an expression by Union of satisfaction with all aspects of our February 19, 2009 letter to the Board. Prior thereto, we had not been informed by Union that the amount claimed in our February 4, 2009 Cost Claim was a matter of concern. A copy of Mr. Ripley's e-mail dated February 19, 2008, is attached.

Case Management by Two Professionals Rather than One

Most intervenors utilize two or more professionals to represent their interests in proceedings before the Board. It is customary for intervenors to be assisted by two or more professionals; be they two counsel or counsel and one or more consultants. Two or more professionals cannot work together on a case without each of them reviewing it.

Mr. DeRose and I have been working together for several years in this firm's management of interventions in Union's Applications for Rates. Union's criticism of the fact that both Mr. DeRose and I worked together on this case and reviewed the Application is unreasonable and lacks merit. We submit that the Cost Claims of CME and APPrO cannot reasonably be objected to on the grounds that they cover services provided by two professionals.

Duration of Time Spent

Union criticizes the total hours which Mr. DeRose and I spent in providing services to CME by comparing that total to the average and totals of time spent by other professionals in providing services to other intervenors. We submit that such comparisons are inherently unfair since we do not have access to the Cost Claim documents submitted by other Cost Award eligible participants in this proceeding. Without access to these documents, we cannot point to the tasks we performed which may not have been performed by others; or the extent to which the time we spent in performing a particular task might differ from the time spent by others performing a similar task, and provide an explanation as to why such differences might exist.

When Cost Claims are judicially assessed, there is generally an onus on the complaining party to point to the performance of some superfluous task or to an excessive amount of time being spent in conducting a particular task. Union has done nothing to discharge

such an onus in its criticisms of the Cost Claims submitted on behalf of CME and APPrO.

Although we do not have the particulars of the Cost Claims submitted by others upon which Union bases its criticisms of CME and APPrO, we do have the Written Arguments submitted on behalf of others, including the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC") and Energy Probe. The brief Written Arguments by VECC and Energy Probe rely upon Written Arguments prepared and submitted by other intervenors. In these circumstances, it is obvious that the time spent by professionals representing VECC and Energy Probe will materially differ from the time we spent performing tasks on behalf of CME because CME participated much more extensively in the Written Argument phase of the proceeding.

When considering whether the duration of the time spent in this case by the professional advisers to CME and APPrO is reasonable, we ask the Board to take into account the extent to which a written hearing process tends to prolong a proceeding. In our letter of October 28, 2008, requesting intervenor status and cost award eligibility for CME in this case, we expressed the view that a face-to-face oral hearing process, rather than a written hearing process, would likely be the most efficient way of dealing with Union's Application. We reiterated that point of view in a letter dated December 16, 2008, in Enbridge Gas Distribution's ("EGD") 2009 Rates proceeding. Energy Probe referred to that letter in the brief Written Argument it filed in this case. Union resisted our proposal that a face-to-face process be adopted and reiterated its preference for a written hearing process, which the Board subsequently directed the parties to follow.

In support of our position that, in this case, a Cost Claim of about \$12,600.00 for fees, plus disbursements and GST, falls within a range of reasonableness, we rely on the costs that our client was awarded for its participation in Phase 1 of the Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution ("EGD") for 2009 Rates. That case involved a face-to-face Technical Conference and Settlement Conference, following the exchange of Interrogatories. That process eventually led to a settlement and did not involve the Written Argument process which took place in this case. In EGD's case, the Board approved CME's Cost Claim in an amount of about \$11,600.00 for fees, plus disbursements and GST. A copy of the Cost Claim and the Board's Decision and Order pertaining thereto are attached.

As we have already noted, we believe that a Written Hearing process of the type adopted in Union's case is less efficient and more time consuming than the face-to-face process adopted in EGD's case. Our Cost Claim in Union's case for fees, of about \$12,600.00, is about \$1,000 more than our Cost Claim for fees of about \$11,600.00, which the Board approved in Phase 1 of EGD's 2009 Rate Case. These circumstances, we submit, demonstrate that the Cost Claim of about \$12,600.00 for fees, plus disbursements and GST in this case, falls within the range of reasonableness.

A summary of the tasks Mr. DeRose and I performed in our management of this case on behalf of CME is contained in Schedule A to this letter. The time that we spent in performing these various tasks is as particularized in the Cost Claim which we submitted on behalf of CME. We respectfully suggest that the tasks particularized in the Cost

Claim and the time spent in performing them are compatible with the nature of the proceeding and the amount in issue therein.

For these reasons, we urge the Board to find that the tasks that the professionals in this firm performed in their management of Union's 2009 Rate Application on behalf of CME and the time spent in performing them were reasonable and that the Cost Claim of about \$12,600.00 for fees, plus disbursements and GST, falls within the ambit of reasonableness.

We request that the Board approve, as submitted, the Cost Claim reflected in our February 4, 2009 filing as well as the supplement thereto reflected in our letter to Union of February 19, 2009.

We also urge the Board to find that Union's criticisms of Mr. Wolnik's role in this case are without merit. Mr. Wolnik is an experienced and able consultant and, as indicated in the Summary attached as Schedule A, his services were relied upon by all intervenors to gain a better understanding of the DOS-MN service and the potential upstream transportation cost reductions associated therewith.

Please contact me if the Board requires any further information in connection with this matter.

Yours very truly,

Peter C.P. Thompson, Q.C.

PCT/kt/slc enclosures

c. Chris Ripley (Union Gas Limited) Interested Parties EB-2008-0220 Paul Clipsham (CME)

OTT01\3668019\1

From:

Chris Ripley [CRipley@uniongas.com]

Sent:

February 19, 2009 1:23 PM

To:

Thompson, Peter C. P.

Subject: RE: EB-2008-0220 CME Comments DRO

Thanks Peter.

Chris.

Chris Ripley

Manager, Regulatory Applications cripley@uniongas.com Office (519) 436-5476 Cell (519) 365-0450

From: Thompson, Peter C. P. [mailto:PThompson@blgcanada.com]

Sent: February 19, 2009 11:30 AM

To: Adams, Bonnie Jean; Aiken, Randy; Bartlett, Jim; Battista, Richard; Berge, Nadine; Buonaguro, Michael; Butters, David; Campbell, Donna; Cass, Fred; Chen, Rachel; Clipsham, Paul; Cramer, Duane; DeRose, Vincent J.; DeVellis, John; Duffy, Patrick; Forster, Ric; Girvan, Julie; Gruenbauer, Jim; Harbell, James; Killeen, Bill; Kuntz, Margaret; MacDonald, Glen; MacIntosh, David; Mondrow, Ian; Myers, Jonathan; Newton, Murray; Penny, Michael; Reuber, Barbara; Chris Ripley; Ross, Murray; Ruzycki, Nola; Ryckman, Norm; Scott, Jennifer; Stacey, Jason; TCE Regulatory@transcanada.com; Thompson, Peter C. P.; Williams, Bob; Wolnik, John; Young, Valerie

Subject: EB-2008-0220 CME Comments DRO

Please find attached a letter of comment with respect to Union's Updated Draft Rate Order, submitted on behalf of Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME") in the above-noted proceeding, which was filed today through the OEB's Web Portal. Paper copies are being sent as required.

Peter C.P. Thompson, Q.C. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street, Suite 1100 Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9

Tel (613) 787-3528 Fax (613) 230-8842 e-mail pthompson@blgcanada.com

This communication is intended to be received by the individual or entity to whom or to which it is addressed and contains information that is privileged, confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorized use, copying, review or disclosure is prohibited. If received in error, please contact me at the telephone number above. Thank you.

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act*, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. for an Order or Orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other charges for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas commencing January 1, 2009.

PHASE 1 COST CLAIM OF CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS ("CME")

December 18, 2008

Peter C. P. Thompson, Q.C. Borden Ladner Gervais LLP World Exchange Plaza 100 Queen Street Suite 1100 Ottawa ON K1P 1J9

Telephone (613) 237-5160 Facsimile (613) 230-8842 Counsel for CME

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Tab Nos</u> |
|--|----------------|
| Form 3 – Affidavit in Support of Cost Claim | 1 |
| Cost Claim of Peter C.P. Thompson | 2 |
| Form 1 – Summary of Statement of Hours | |
| Form 2 – Summary of Disbursements | |
| Cost Claim of Vincent J. DeRose | 3 |
| Form 1 – Summary of Statement of Hours | |

OTT01\3617366\1

FORM 3

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF COST CLAIM

| Board File No.: | EB-2008-0219 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Party Name / Intervenor: | Car | nadian Manufacturers 8 | & Exporters ("CME") |) |
| Items Claimed excluding GST: | | | | |
| Legal / Consultant Fees | + | Disbursements | = | Net Sub-Total |
| \$ 11,582.00 | | \$ 1,911.88 | = | \$ 13,493.88 |
| (Peter C.P. Thompson) (Vincent J. DeRose) | | (Peter C.P. Thompson) (Vincent J. DeRose) | | |
| Goods and Services Tax: Full Registrant (Claiming Control Color Claiming Color Col | SST at ST at al GS | at 5%) | Qualifying Non-Profit Tax Exempt (Claimin | (Claiming GST at 2.5%) g no GST) \$ 672.40 |
| Total of Cost Claim: | | | | |
| Net Sub-Total | + | Total GST Claimed | = | Total Cost Claim |
| \$ 13,493.88 | \$ | 672.40 | = | \$ 14,166.28 |
| I, Peter C.P. Thompson, of the Cit | ty of | Ottawa, in the Province | e of Ontario, MAKE | OATH AND SAY: |
| I am a representative of the matters attested to herein. | abov | e-noted party (the "pa | rty") and as such ha | ve knowledge of the |
| 2. I have examined the above | Cost | Claim, and all of the d | ocumentation in sur | pport of it. |
| The above Cost Claim repre purpose of its intervention in above). | | | | |
| SWORN BEFORE ME at the City of 2008. | f Otta | awa, in the Province of | Ontario on the 18 th | day of December, |
| <u>DimoJinning</u> COMMISSIONER for taking Affidavits | s, etc. | | Peter C.P. Tho | mpson |

Norma Jean Jennings, a Commissioner, etc., Province of Ontario, for Borden Ladner, Gervais LLP, Barristers and Solicitors. Expires January 11, 2009.

FORM 1

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF HOURS - CONSULTANT AND LEGAL COUNSEL

A separate form is required for each consultant or legal counsel

| EB-2008-0219 Board File No. | _ | Canadian Ma Party Name / Inte | nufacturers & Exporters ("CME") ervenor |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Peter C.P. Thompson Legal Counsel Name | 1967 Year of Call | | Borden Ladner Gervais LLP Law Firm |
| N/A Consultant Name | N/A Years of Relev | rant Experience nust be attached) | N/A Consultant Firm |

| | Hours | Hourly Rate | Sub-Total | GST | Total |
|--|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Preparation (Prep) | 25.1 | \$330.00 | 8,283.00 | 414.15 | 8,697.15 |
| Attendance Technical Conference (Attend TC) | 4.5 | \$330.00 | 1,485.00 | 74.25 | 1,559.25 |
| Attendance Settlement Conference (Attend SC) | 2.5 | \$330.00 | 825.00 | 41.25 | 866.25 |
| Attendance Oral Hearing (Attend OH) | 0.0 | \$330.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Argument (Argument) | 0.0 | \$330.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Case Management (CM) | 0.0 | \$330.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTALS: | 32.1 | | \$10,593.00 | \$ 529.65 | \$11,122.65 |

| 1 | | | | *************************************** |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Note: All claims must be in Canadian dollars | . If applicable, state exchange rate: | e:; and country of initial currency: | |

| | DETAILED STATEMENT OF HOURS - Peter C.P. Thompson | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Date | Description of Services | Time | Allocation | | | | |
| 22-Oct-08 | Considering Notice of Application, e-mail to ratepayer representatives, and responses re: two-phased approach | 0.9 | Prep | | | | |
| 23-Oct-08 | Considering various e-mails and drafting letter of intervention | 0.9 | Prep | | | | |
| 24-Oct-08 | Revising draft letter of intervention | 0.9 | Prep | | | | |
| 24-Oct-08 | E-mail to Mr. Clipsham | 0.2 | Prep | | | | |
| 24-Oct-08 | Considering e-mail from Mr. Clipsham | 0.1 | Prep | | | | |
| 27-Oct-08 | Considering e-mails from Mr. Clipsham and Mr. Humphries; finalizing CME's response to Notice of Application | 0.3 | Prep | | | | |
| 27-Oct-08 | Telephone call from Ms Girvan re: CME's position on two-phased hearing | 0.1 | Prep | | | | |
| 31-Oct-08 | Considering letter to OEB from Mr. Shepherd and Mr. Mondrow and EGD | 0.2 | Prep | | | | |
| 12-Nov-08 | Considering EGD's letter re: hearing process | 0.2 | Prep | | | | |
| 19-Nov-08 | Considering draft Issues List; drafting letter to OEB; finalizing letter to OEB with CME's comments on Issues List | 0.9 | Prep | | | | |
| 25-Nov-08 | E-mail to Mr. DeRose re: TCPL interim toll reductions | 0.1 | Prep | | | | |
| 26-Nov-08 | Reviewing Mr. Aiken's Interrogatories to EGD | 0.2 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | Reviewing EGD's pre-filed evidence | 2.9 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | Reviewing Mr. Aiken's Interrogatories to EGD | 0.3 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | Drafting and dictating Interrogatories to EGD | 3.5 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | Finalizing CME's Interrogatories to EGD | 1.0 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | E-mail to Mr. Clipsham | 0.1 | Prep | | | | |
| 01-Dec-08 | Telephone call to Mr. Clipsham (voicemail) | 0.1 | Prep | | | | |
| 03-Dec-08 | Reviewing Mr. Schuch's e-mail and organizing materials for Technical Conference tomorrow | 1.0 | Prep | | | | |
| 04-Dec-08 | Preparation for Technical Conference during travel to Toronto | 2.0 | Prep | | | | |
| 04-Dec-08 | Attendance at Technical Conference | 4.5 | Attend TC | | | | |
| 09-Dec-08 | Preparation for Settlement Conference, including reviewing pre-filed evidence last year re: new power generators; discussion with Mr. DeRose; reviewing EGD response to Technical Conference undertakings | 2.5 | Prep | | | | |
| 10-Dec-08 | Preparation for Settlement Conference during travel to Toronto | 2.5 | Prep | | | | |
| 10-Dec-08 | Attendance at Settlement Conference | 2.5 | Attend SC | | | | |
| 11-Dec-08 | Reviewing draft Settlement Agreement; drafting suggested revisions; considering revisions suggested by other intervenors; e-mail to Mr. Clipsham; e-mail comments to Mr. Stevens | 1.8 | Prep | | | | |
| 11-Dec-08 | Reviewing revised Settlement Agreement; considering e-mail from Mr. Clipsham; e-mail to Mr. Stevens | 0.3 | Prep | | | | |

| 12-Dec-08 | Considering Mr. Stevens' e-mail | 0.1 | Prep |
|-----------|--|------|------|
| 12-Dec-08 | Drafting and finalizing e-mail to Mr. Stevens re: presentation of Settlement Agreement | 0.5 | Prep |
| 16-Dec-08 | Revising and converting e-mail to Mr. Stevens to letter to OEB | 0.8 | Prep |
| 16-Dec-08 | E-mail to Mr. Cass | 0.1 | Prep |
| 16-Dec-08 | E-mail to Board and interested parties | 0.1 | Prep |
| 16-Dec-08 | Considering and responding to e-mail from Mr. Schuch | 0.2 | Prep |
| 16-Dec-08 | Considering letter to OEB from Mr. Kacicnik of EGD | 0.1 | Prep |
| 18-Dec-08 | Reviewing Board's Decision approving Settlement Agreement | 0.2 | Prep |
| | | | |
| | TOTAL HOURS: | 32.1 | |

FORM 2 SUMMARY OF DISBURSEMENTS

| Board File No.: | | EB-2008-0219 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------|----------|--------------|--|--|
| Party Name / Intervenor: | venor: Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME") | | | CME") | | |
| Party or Group that made the dis | bursement: | Borden Ladı | ompson | | | |
| | NET | COST | GST | | | |
| Photocopies | 222 | 2.50 | 11.13 | | | |
| LPIC Levy Surcharge | 50 | .00 | 2.50 | | | |
| Travel: air | 1,387 | .66 | 69.39 | | | |
| Travel: parking | 31 | .54 | 1.46 | | | |
| Travel: taxis | 192 | .32 | 7.68 | | | |
| Travel: meals | 27 | .86 | 1.14 | | | |
| | | | | GRAND TOTAL: | | |
| SUB-TOTAL: | \$1,911 | .88 | \$ 93.30 | \$2,005.18 | | |

| Borden L | adner Gerva | ais - EXPENSE | REPORT | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---|
| NAME: 15 PER THOU | moson | DATE: | DEC | 1.208 |) |
| Billable: | Non-Billable: | | | | |
| Prof. Dev.: | Promotion: | | Inter Office T | ravel: | |
| BILLABLE INFORMATION: | | | | | |
| Client File No./Name: | 2-6 | 50 200 | PRATE | 5 339583 | -000117 |
| NON-BILLABLE INFORMATION: | | | · | <u> </u> | |
| Event: TOCALICAL | CENTER. | ONCE | · | | |
| Location: DEB 4000 | DN TO | Date(s) of Event | : DEC | 4, 2008 | |
| Expenses | Expenses Outside Canada | Total (Inc. GST) | NET Cost of Service | GST | Non-Tax. Expenses |
| Transporation (Air, Bus, Train) | | [do815 | - 6363: | 3 <u>31.8</u> 2 | |
| Mileage (km. @/km) | | | | | |
| Car Rental | | | | | |
| Taxis SC, SC | | 1000 | 96.16 | 3.84 | |
| Hotel Room only/# of Nights | | | | | |
| Food and Drink SNARKS or Charles | | 8 CO | 7.68 | 0.32 | |
| Parking CHAUA MRADET | | 16:50 | 15.77 | 0.73 | |
| Long Distance | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous (specify) | | | | | |
| TOTALS: | φυ (| 742. W | 155.94 | 36.71 | |
| | | , | | Total Expenses | 90 65 |
| | - | | | -/- | |
| | | | Less: 1 | ravel Advance | 20 65 |
| ****** | | | Net C | heque Payable/ | 92. |
| Expenses are to be remitted within one v Original receipts are required for all items Non-billable expenses must be approved | s. | red. | ********* | ************* | ******* |
| LAWYER OR GROUP LEADER'S APPROVAL | : <u>bOO()</u> | Oug/ | | | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Thompson, Peter C. P.

From: Air Canada [confirmation@aircanada.com]

Sent: December 3, 2008 5:45 PM

To: Thompson, Peter C. P.

Subject: Air Canada - 04-Dec: Ottawa - Toronto (booking ref: PUTZYA)

***** PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS E-MAIL *****

Itinerary/Receipt

Your booking is confirmed. Please print this itinerary/receipt for your reference. Thank you for choosing Air Canada and we look forward to welcoming you on board.



Learn what you can do with this barcode



Looking for Travel Insurance? Protect yourself and your family against unforeseen circumstances.



Need a hotel in Toronto? Competitive room pricing guaranteed. Earn Aeroplan Miles for every purchase.



Need prearranged City Attractions and Services?



Need a car in Toronto? Get your aircanada.com discount with



Reduce your carbon footprint!

You can now take the initiative to directly offset the carbon emissions of your flight. Air Canada and Zerofootprint have partnered to allow you to make a difference for the environment. Offset now | Learn more



Bring along your favourite headset

Did you know that each year, millions of used headsets are thrown away? You can help significantly reduce waste by bringing along your favourite headset each time you fly. We even provide complimentary adaptors onboard all aircrafts equipped with outlets not compatible with single-prong headset jacks.

Booking Information

AIR CANADA (*)



Booking Reference:

PUTZYA

Customer Care

Electronic Ticketing confirmed. This is your official itinerary/receipt.

Air Canada 1-888-247-2262

Main Contact:

Mr Peter Thompson pthompson@blgcanada.com Home: 1-613-7415680 Work: 1-613-2375160

Flight Arrivals and **Departures** 1-888-422-7533

Online Services

Manage my booking online (view/change my booking; select seats*).

- Alert me of flight status changes directly to my mobile phone or email.
- Flight Arrivals & Departures check online if my flight is on time.
- Check-in online and print my boarding pass.

* Can my booking be changed online?

Flight Itinerary

| Flight | From | То | Stops | Duration | Aircraft | Fare Type | Meal * |
|--------|--|--|-------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| AC441 | Ottawa, Ottawa Int'l (YOW) Thu 04-Dec 2008 07:00 | Toronto, Pearson Int'l (YYZ) Thu 04-Dec 2008 08:23 - Terminal 1 | 0 | 1hr23 | 320 | Tango Plus | |
| AC458 | Toronto, Pearson Int'l (YYZ) Thu 04-Dec 2008 16:10 - Terminal 1 | Ottawa, Ottawa Int'l (YOW) Thu 04-Dec 2008 17:13 | 0 | 1hr03 | 321 | Latitude | |

Passenger Information

Passenger 1: Adult

Name:

Mr Peter Thompson

Frequent Flyer Pgm: Air Canada - Aeroplan

Ticket Number:

0142165133255 Program Number:

0311063200

Meal Preference:

None

Special Needs:

None

Sport equipment(s): None Seat Selection:

None

Credit Card:

xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-

Purchase Summary

| Fare Summary Passenger Type | Adult |
|---|----------|
| Departing Flight - <u>Tango Plus</u> | 199.00 |
| Returning Flight - Latitude | 369.00 |
| Surcharges | 24.00 |
| Taxes, Fees and Charges | |
| Canada Airport Improvement Fee | 35.00 |
| Air Travellers Security Charge (ATSC) | 9.33 |
| Canada Goods and Services Tax (GST/HST #10009-2287) | 31.82 |
| otal airfare and taxes before options (per passenger) | 668.15 |
| lumber Of Passengers | 1 |
| otal | 668.15 |
| RBC Travel Insurance (declined) | 0.00 |
| irand Total - Canadian dollars | \$668.15 |
| | |

The following charges (tax inclusive) will appear on your credit card statement: Air Canada: \$668.15 (Airfare - per ticket)

Ticket number(s): 0142165133255

| | | ī | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| i | ī | ú | i | ı |
| ľ | 1 | | Ŀ | |
| ı | | | | |
| į | | i | 1 | |

| RECEIVED PAYMEN, | |
|------------------|----|
| PAID \$50.00 | |
| DATE DOC, ON 08 | #4 |
| WITH THANKS | |

Aéroport d'Ottawa Airport

EX1 CT 04/12/08 16:16 Cashier 57 Receipt 095251

Ticket/Billet P1 - No. 055278 04/12/08 05:50 -04/12/08 16:16 Period Od10h27' (GSTPST) \$16.50

Gross total \$16.50

Payment Cash

\$16.50

Net total \$14.60 GSTIPS 5% 0.73 PSTTVP 8% 1.17

GST#TPS 898569942RT. CAD Good Day Au revoir

| TAXI | INDEPEDENT CAB OWNERS' . CO-OPERATIVE INCORPORATED TORONTO, ONTARIO |
|------------------------|---|
| Date: <u>QC 4. Zec</u> | GST INCLUDED |
| From: 2300 4 | CN GO |
| To: ATROCK | |
| Cab # | Driver: |

Flat rates available for Airport, Out of Town, Business Trips, Sightseeing, Etc: Ask Driver for details.

Borden Ladner Gervais - EXPENSE REPORT NAME: Billable: Non-Billable: Prof. Dev.: Promotion: Inter Office Travel: **BILLABLE INFORMATION:** 339583 Client File No./Name: -000017 NON-BILLABLE INFORMATION: Event: Location: Date(s) of Event: / NET **Expenses** Outside **Total** Cost of Expenses Non-Tax. Canada (Inc. GST) Service **Expenses** Transporation (Air, Bus, Train) 751.³³ 37.57 Mileage (____km. @ ____/km) Car Rental Taxis 96.16 Hotel Room only/# of Nights Food and Drink Long Distance Miscellaneous (specify) TOTALS: 883.44 **Total Expenses** Less: Travel Advance Net Cheque Payable 926.4

- 1. Expenses are to be remitted within one week of being incurred.
- 2. Original receipts are required for all items.
- 3. Non-billable expenses must be approved by Group Leader

LAWYER OR GROUP LEADER'S APPROVAL:

Thompson, Peter C. P.

From:

Air Canada [confirmation@aircanada.com]

Sent:

December 9, 2008 5:11 PM

To:

Thompson, Peter C. P.

Subject: Air Canada - 10-Dec: Ottawa - Toronto (booking ref: LMYBAI)

***** PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS E-MAIL *****

Itinerary/Receipt

Your booking is confirmed. Please print this itinerary/receipt for your reference. Thank you for choosing Air Canada and we look forward to welcoming you on board.



Learn what you can do with this barcode



Looking for Travel Insurance? Protect yourself and your family against unforeseen circumstances.



Need a hotel in Toronto? Competitive room pricing guaranteed. Earn Aeroplan Miles for every purchase.



Need prearranged City Attractions and Services?



Need a car in Toronto? Get your aircanada.com discount with



Reduce your carbon footprint!

You can now take the initiative to directly offset the carbon emissions of your flight. Air Canada and Zerofootprint have partnered to allow you to make a difference for the environment. Offset now | Learn more



Bring along your favourite headset

Did you know that each year, millions of used headsets are thrown away? You can help significantly reduce waste by bringing along your favourite headset each time you fly. We even provide complimentary adaptors onboard all aircrafts equipped with outlets not compatible with single-prong headset jacks.

Booking Information

AIR CANADA 🗰



Booking Reference:

LMYBAI

Customer Care

Electronic Ticketing confirmed. This is your official itinerary/receipt.

Air Canada 1-888-247-2262

Main Contact:

Mr Peter Thompson pthompson@blgcanada.com Home: 1-613-7415680 Work: 1-613-2375160

Flight Arrivals and **Departures** 1-888-422-7533

Online Services

Manage my booking online (view/change my booking; select seats*).

- Alert me of flight status changes directly to my mobile phone or email.
- Flight Arrivals & Departures check online if my flight is on time.
- Check-in online and print my boarding pass.

* Can my booking be changed online?

Flight Itinerary

| Flight | From | То | Stops | Duration | Aircraft | Fare Type | Meal * |
|--------|--|--|-------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| AC479 | Ottawa, Ottawa Int'l (YOW) Wed 10-Dec 2008 06:00 | Toronto, Pearson Int'l (YYZ) Wed 10-Dec 2008 07:20 - Terminal 1 | 0 | 1hr20 | 320 | Tango Plus | |
| AC456 | Toronto, Pearson Int'l (YYZ) Thu 11-Dec 2008 15:10 - Terminal 1 | Ottawa, Ottawa Int'l (YOW) Thu 11-Dec 2008 16:10 | 0 | 1hr00 | 319 | Latitude | |

Passenger Information

Passenger 1: Adult

Name:

Mr Peter **Thompson**

Frequent Flyer Pgm: Air Canada - Aeroplan

Ticket Number:

0142165313799 Program Number:

0311063200

Meal Preference: None

Special Needs:

None

Sport equipment(s): None Seat Selection:

None

Credit Card:

xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-

Purchase Summary

| Fare Summary Passenger Type | Adult |
|--|----------|
| Departing Flight - Tango Plus | 314.00 |
| Returning Flight - Latitude | 369.00 |
| Surcharges | 24.00 |
| Taxes, Fees and Charges | |
| Canada Airport Improvement Fee | 35.00 |
| Air Travellers Security Charge (ATSC) | 9.33 |
| Canada Goods and Services Tax (GST/HST #10009-2287) | 37.57 |
| Total airfare and taxes before options (per passenger) | 788.90 |
| Number Of Passengers | 1 |
| Total | 788.90 |
| RBC Travel Insurance (declined) | 0.00 |
| Grand Total - Canadian dollars | \$788.90 |

The following charges (tax inclusive) will appear on your credit card statement: Air Canada: \$788.90 (Airfare - per ticket)

Ticket number(s): 0142165313799

Aéroport d'Ottawa Airport EX1 CT 10/12/08 17:38 Cashier 57 Receipt 005888 Ticket/Billet P1 - No. 063887 10/12/08 05:05 -10/12/08 17:38 Period Od12h34' (GSTPST) \$16.50 Gross total \$16.50 Payment Cash \$16.50 Net total \$14.60 GSTTPS 5% 0.73 PSTTVP 8% 1.17 GST#TPS 898569942RT. CAD Good Day Au revoir

| | 173 RECEIPT |
|---|-------------------------------|
| i | CAR NO.: G.S.T. NO.:_ |
| | FROM: ALPRENT |
| | то: 053 |
| | DATE: Dec-10/0 MOUNT \$ 50-00 |
| | SIGNATURE: |
| | |
| | RECEIPT |
| | Cab No. 1691 G.S.T. |
| | From OBB |
| | TO AIR PORT. |
| | Date Da 10 08 Amount 50 |
| | Signature |

SSP America
Exchange Domestic T1
Lester B. Pearson Intl Affport
GST # 825875560RT001

290 KRISTINA

| Tb1 14/1 | Chk 2922 Dec10'08 02:48F | Gst 1 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Eat 1 Bruschet 1 Heineker | tta 1 | 6.89 9.46 |
| 6.8 | 5 GST 9 PST 6 Liquor Tax | 16.35 0.82 0.55 0.95 18.67 2.33 |

FORM 1

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF HOURS - CONSULTANT AND LEGAL COUNSEL

A separate form is required for each consultant or legal counsel

| EB-2008-0219 Board File No. | | Canadian Man Party Name / Inter | ufacturers & Exporters ("CME") venor | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Vincent J. DeRose Legal Counsel Name | 2001 Year of Call | | Borden Ladner Gervais LLP Law Firm | |
| N/A Consultant Name | N/A Years of Relev (curriculum vitae m | ant Experience nust be attached) | N/A Consultant Firm | |

| | Hours | Hourly Rate | Sub-Total | GST | Total |
|--|-------|----------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Preparation (Prep) | 4.3 | \$230.00 | 989.00 | 49.45 | 1,038.45 |
| Attendance Technical Conference (Attend TC) | 0.0 | \$230.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Attendance Settlement Conference (Attend SC) | 0.0 | \$230.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Attendance Oral Hearing (Attend OH) | 0.0 | \$230.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Argument (Argument) | 0.0 | \$230.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Case Management (CM) | 0.0 | \$230.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTALS: | 4.3 | | \$ 989.00 | \$ 49.45 | \$1,038.45 |

| Note: All claims must be in Canadian dollars. If applicable, state exchange rate:; and country of initial currency: | • |
|---|---|
| | |

| | DETAILED STATEMENT OF HOURS - Vincent J. DeRose | | |
|-----------|---|------|------------|
| Date | Description of Services | Time | Allocation |
| 16-Sep-08 | Attendance at meeting with EGD re: process | 1.0 | Prep |
| 26-Sep-08 | Reviewing EGD Application | 2.0 | Prep |
| 23-Oct-08 | Reviewing emails from Intervenors and Application | 1.3 | Prep |
| | TOTAL HOURS: | 4.3 | |



EB-2008-0219

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act 1998*, S.O.1998, c.15, (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. for an Order or Orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other charges for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas commencing January 1, 2009.

BEFORE: Pamela Nowina

Vice-Chair and Presiding Member

Paul Sommerville

Member

David Balsillie Member

DECISION AND ORDER ON COST AWARDS FOR PHASE 1

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge") filed an Application on September 26, 2008 with the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") under section 36 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c.15, Sched. B, as amended, for an order of the Board approving or fixing rates for the distribution, transmission and storage of natural gas, effective January 1, 2009 (the "Application"). The Board assigned file number EB-2008-0219 to the Application and issued a Notice of Application dated October 20, 2008.

The Association of Power Producers of Ontario ("APPrO), the Building Owners & Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA"), the Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME"), the Consumers Council of Canada ("CCC"), the Energy Probe Research Foundation ("Energy Probe"), the Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA"), the Association of Physical Plant Administrators ("OAPPA"), the

School Energy Coalition ("SEC"), and the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC") applied for and were granted intervenor status, and were deemed eligible to apply for an award of costs.

In Procedural Order No. 1, issued November 13, 2008, the Board ordered that the Application be heard in two phases, with the setting of rates to be dealt with in Phase 1. In Procedural Order No.3, issued on December 18, 2008, the Board set out the process for eligible intervenors to file their cost claims for all Phase 1 costs incurred up to December 18, 2008.

The Board received cost claims from APPrO, BOMA, CME, CCC, Energy Probe, IGUA, OAPPA, SEC and VECC. On January 8, 2009, Enbridge submitted a letter stating that the cost submissions filed were within the guidelines set out in the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*.

The Board reviewed the cost claims filed by APPrO, BOMA, CME, CCC, Energy Probe, IGUA, OAPPA, SEC and VECC, and found that one claim was not in accordance with the *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*, and has made the following adjustment:

• CME: \$10.50 reduction in disbursements.

The Board accepts the cost claims filed by SEC and VECC notwithstanding that they were filed after the deadline specified in the Procedural Order. The Board finds that all parties are eligible for 100% of their reasonably incurred costs of participating in this proceeding. The Board finds that each party's claims, adjusted as described above, are reasonable and should be reimbursed by Enbridge.

THE BOARD THEREFORE ORDERS THAT:

1. Pursuant to section 30 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, Enbridge shall immediately pay:

| • | APPrO | \$14,356.21; |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| • | BOMA | \$ 6,639.06; |
| • | CME | \$14,156.05; |
| • | CCC | \$ 8,974.35; |
| • | Energy Probe | \$ 3,625.65; |

IGUA \$ 8,922.34;
OAPPA \$ 1,520.00;
SEC \$ 9,943.48; and

• VECC \$ 6,208.06

2. Pursuant to section 30 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, Enbridge shall pay the Board's costs of and incidental to this proceeding immediately upon receipt of the Board's invoice.

DATED at Toronto, February 9, 2009 **ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD**

Original Signed By

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary

Schedule A - Summary

A summary of the tasks BLG professionals performed in their management of this case on behalf of CME is as follows:

- We received and reviewed Union's Application and Pre-filed Evidence;
- We submitted a Letter of Intervention and suggested therein that a face-to-face Technical Conference/Settlement Conference process would likely be more efficient than a Written Hearing process for determining matters in issue. Union reiterated its preference for a Written Hearing process and the Board directed that such a process be followed;
- We drafted, finalized and submitted Written Interrogatories with respect to Union's prefiled evidence after reviewing questions submitted by Mr. Aiken and Board Staff. In submitting CME's Interrogatories, we attempted to avoid any duplication with those already submitted by Board Staff and Mr. Aiken;
- Following e-mail exchanges between intervenor representatives, a conference call was scheduled to discuss matters in issue. In a face-to-face process, this discussion among intervenors would normally take place following the completion of a Technical Conference or just before the commencement of a Settlement Conference. The conference call was scheduled for December 22, 2008, so that parties could use Union's Written Argument, due on December 19, 2008, as the framework for the discussions;
- As in many other cases, we prepared for and led the discussions during the conference call. This is done in an attempt to consolidate, to the extent possible, intervenors' positions on matters in issue. We performed this task during the conference call held on December 22, 2008;
- Each of the issues raised in Union's Written Argument was reviewed with conference call participants. All intervenors benefited from this process and gained insight into the range of arguments that could be presented with respect to the Z Factor issue and other issues;
- One issue discussed during the course of the conference call pertained to the possible status of the Dawn Overrun Service-Must Nominate ("DOS-MN") introduced by TransCanada Pipelines Limited ("TCPL") for the winter of 2008 and 2009. John Wolnik, one of APPrO's advisors, who participated in the conference call, undertook to do some research and report back to all intervenors with respect to the parameters of this particular service. Mr. Wolnik, who is very experienced in matters of this nature, responded by email to all intervenors on December 23, 2008 and his work clearly helped all intervenors better understand the facts pertaining to this new service and the cost reductions in Union's Upstream Transportation costs which might be related thereto;
- Following the conference call, there were a number of email exchanges between intervenors with respect to matters in issue;

- It was initially contemplated that Mr. DeRose would draft the Written Argument of CME in this case and to that end, he engaged in discussions with the client. Mr. DeRose's availability to complete the task became materially constrained as a result of the rapid deterioration in his mother's health. As a result, I assumed the task of dictating and revising the draft Argument of CME, which I then delivered to Mr. DeRose prior to my departure from Canada on December 27, 2008;
- Mr. DeRose completed the task of finalizing the Written Argument in consultation with me and with the client. I provided input by email and telephone because I was then vacationing with my family outside of Canada;
- Following the filing of the Written Argument of CME, we reviewed the Written Arguments submitted by others and Union's Reply Argument;
- We reviewed the Board's Decision and Reasons dated January 29, 2009, and reported to our client;
- We prepared CME's claim for costs and submitted it on February 4, 2009; and
- We reviewed CME's draft Rate Order and submitted a letter of comments thereon dated February 19, 2009, for which Union thanked us as we have already noted.

Peter C.P. Thompson, Q.C. March 6, 2009

OTT01\3669009\1