Hydro One Networks Inc.
8th Floor, South Tower
483 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5
www.HydroOne.com

Tel: (416) 345-5700
Fax: (416) 345-5870
Cell: (416) 258-9383
Susan.E.Frank@HydroOne.com

Susan Frank
Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer
Regulatory Affairs

BY COURIER

March 26, 2009

Mr. Douglas Fee
Ottawa River Power Corporation
President
283 Pembroke Street West
Pembroke ON
K9A 6Y6

Dear Mr. Fee:

EB-2009-0019 – Ottawa River Power Corporation Service Area Amendment – Interrogatory Questions to Ottawa River Power Corporation

I have attached a copy of Hydro One Networks' Interrogatory questions to Ottawa River Power Corporation.

An electronic copy of Hydro One Networks' Interrogatory questions has been filed using the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System (RESS).

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY ANDREW SKALSKI

For Susan Frank

Attach.

c. Ms. Kirsten Walli
EB-2009-0219 Intervenors

HYDRO ONE NETWORKS INC. INTERROGATORIES – OTTAWA RIVER POWER CORPORATION

1. Ref. – January 9, 2009 Evidence, Page 3, Section 4. Efficient Rationalization

   a). Please clarify if Ottawa River Power Corporation is applying to amend its licensed service area to serve Phase 1 of the development or to serve the entire development at this time.
   b). What is the proposed timing of the servicing of Phase 1 of the development?
   c). What is the proposed timing of the servicing of the balance of the development?

2. Ref. – January 9, 2009 Evidence, Page 3, Section 4. Efficient Rationalization
   Ref. Feb 22, 2009 Supplemental, page 3, Item 3 (c)

   You state *"Only Minimum reinforcement of the upstream system will be required for the entire development of approximately 440 units"*. Later, in the Supplemental Evidence you state that *"Upstream reinforcement required to supply the whole development would be the prorated cost of upgrading on the station transformers from 3 MVA to 5 MVA."*

   a). Please clarify what upstream reinforcement is required.
   b). What are the transformer sizes for Substations 1, 2 and 3.
   c). What are the current peak demands on Substations 1, 2, and 3.
   d). What is the estimated peak load for the entire development?
   e). What is the estimated cost to upgrade the station transformer?
   f). Please verify that this cost to upgrade the station has been included in the DCF calculation to determine the capital contribution requirements.

3. Ref. January 9, 2009 Evidence, Page 4, Section 7. Addition Information for Contested Applications

   Item 5 suggests that the Ontario Energy Board's ("the Board") 1999 licensing process was flawed in determining that Hydro One was the default service provider outside the boundaries of a former municipal electric utility.

   a). Please clarify how the Board would have otherwise determined the service areas of all the LDC's in the Province and especially in this case when ORPC and Hydro One jointly service the Town of Mississippi Mills.
   b). Your statement " …. *that the natural evolution should be that the LDC would grow with the development"* indicates that only LDC's other than Hydro One should be permitted to grow when rural lands are developed. Please explain.

4. Ref. January 9, 2009 Evidence, Page 4, Section 7. Addition Information for Contested Applications

   Item 9 states " …. *had the Almonte village been expanded at the time of the village expansion for the industrial park on the southeast side of Ottawa Street, this would not be an issue today*."

   a). Please elaborate on this statement.
   b). When did the village expand for the industrial park. '
   c). Was this an annexation of lands from the neighbouring municipality?
   d). Was a By-law subsequently passed to permit the PUC of the time to service the annexed area?

5. Ref. January 9, 2009 Evidence, Attachment 3,
   Ref. Feb 22, 2009 Supplemental, page 1, Item 1 (a)

   In Item 1 you describe the SAA lands as Part of Lot 16, Concession 10 of the Geographic Township of Ramsay. Attachment 3 appears to depict a registered plan of subdivision.

   a). Has the plan been registered?
   b). Has the plan been approved by the municipality?