

April 20, 2009

**Via E-Mail and RESS**

Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
PO Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street  
Suite 2700  
Toronto, ON  
M4P 1E4

**Re: Commodity Pricing, Load Balancing and Cost Allocation Methodologies for  
Natural Gas Distributors EB-2008-0106; Undertaking of Gas Marketer Group to  
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**

Dear Ms. Walli,

Please find attached the Undertaking of the Gas Marketer Group (Direct Energy Marketing Limited, Ontario Energy Savings L.P., and Superior Energy Management Gas L.P.) to Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.; Exhibit K 3.1 in the in the above noted proceeding.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully

*Original Signed by*

Ric Forster  
Director  
Government & Regulatory Affairs

Cc: Mr. Norm Ryckman, Enbridge Gas Distribution  
Interested Parties

**GAS MARKETER GROUP (GMG)**  
**UNDERTAKING OF GMG TO ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.**

EB-2008-0106  
EXHIBIT K 3.1

	GMG Proposal	Evidentiary Reference
A	<i>REVIEW OF QRAM FOR GAS LDCs</i>	
1	<i>Trigger Mechanism</i>	No Trigger Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 23; Response to Board Question 1.1
2	<i>Price adjustment frequency and forecast period</i>	Monthly Rate Adjustment with monthly forecasting to match utility buying protocol Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 24; Response to Board Question 2.2; Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 10; First Paragraph
3	<i>Calculation of reference price</i>	Single, mechanistic, transparent calculation of Reference Price based on month ahead index at designated trading point. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 26; Response to Board Question 6.1; And; Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 27; Response to Board Question 7.1
4	<i>Deferral and variance A/C disposition</i>	Clear deferral accounts monthly to match forecasting period. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 19; "Removal of Triggers and Clearing of PGVA's"
5	<i>Impact on Revenue Requirement (re. reference price)</i>	Changes in revenue requirement should be reflective of change in reference price Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 26; Response to Board Question 5.2
6	<i>Implications/costs of standardizing pricing mechanisms</i>	Transparent, mechanical regulated rates set on a monthly basis. Cost to be recovered by utilities through distribution rates. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 26; Response to Board Question 6.1; And; Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 27; Response to Board Question 7.1 And; Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 31; Response to Board Question 11.2
7	<i>Filing requirements</i>	Streamlined mechanistic approach to rate setting and regulatory approvals based on stakeholder input to facilitate monthly rate setting. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 27; Response to Board Question 7.1
B	<i>LOAD BALANCING (LB)</i>	Weather normalized MDV re-establishment and multi-point balancing for Enbridge. Status quo for Union. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 28; Response to Board Question 8.2
C	<i>COST ALLOCATION</i>	No Change Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 29; Section C.1 "Background"
D	<i>BILLING TERMINOLOGY</i>	Harmonized terminology. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 30; Section D.1 "Background" last paragraph
E	<i>IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES</i>	Stakeholder inputs to implementation plan. Cost recovery for utilities through distribution rates. Exhibits E8, E14, E19; Page 31; Section E; "Implementation Issues"

