## Board Staff Interrogatories Enbridge – 2008 Rates under an IR Framework (EB-2007-0606/0615)

## Correction to IR14 / 23-08-07

14. Ref: Enbridge Ex. B, Tab 1, Sch 1, page 8 of 21, para 23

Issue Number: 4.2

Issue: How should the impact of changes in average use be calculated?

Enbridge states in evidence that the volumes and customers for the years 2002-2006 in Table 1 are the following:

| Years | EGD<br>Volumes <sup>1</sup><br>MN M <sup>3</sup> | PEG<br>calculations<br>of EGD's<br>volumes <sup>2</sup><br>MN M <sup>3</sup> | EGD Customers Error! Reference source not found. Mn | PEG Calculations of Customers <sup>Er</sup> ror! Reference source not found. Mn |
|-------|--|--|---|---|
| 2002  | 11,776   | 11,275   | 1.565   | 1.567   |
| 2003  | 11,775   | 12,646   | 1.615   | 1.622   |
| 2004  | 11,775   | 12,257   | 1.676   | 1.676   |
| 2005  | 12,298   | 12,166   | 1.719   | 1.725   |
| 2006  | 12,290   | NA   | 1.793   | NA  |
| 2007  | 11,776   | NA   | 1.823   | NA  |

- a) Please confirm that Enbridge's annual volumes and number of customers outlined in Table 1 are based on Board approved forecasts.
- b) Please confirm that the difference between Enbridge's annual volumes (Enbridge Ex. B, Tab 1, Sch 1, p 8 of 22, para 23) and PEG's annual volumes (Enbridge Ex. D, Tab 2 3, Sch 1, p 54-106 60 of 113) is due to the different weather normalization methodologies. Please explain.
- c) Please explain the difference between Enbridge's annual number of customers (Enbridge Ex. B, Tab 1, Sch 1, p 8 of 22, para 23) and PEG's annual number of customers (Enbridge Ex. D, Tab 2-3, Sch 1, p54-106 60-113).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Enbridge Ex. B, Tab 1, Sch 1, p 8 of 22, para 23, Table 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Enbridge Ex. D, Tab-2 3, Sch 1, p 54 0f 106 60 of 113, Table 11a