

13) Ref: Board staff Interrogatory #31

In response to 31(a), Greater Sudbury indicated that it has cancelled the King Street West Nipissing Project. Please confirm whether this project has actually been cancelled or if it was moved under a different category. If it was cancelled, please outline the reasons.

The King Street West Nipissing project was deferred indefinitely by Bell Canada, owner of the poles. The second sentence of the response should have read "When the King Street Project proceeds, this project will..."

14) Ref: Board staff Interrogatory #32

In response to 32(b), Greater Sudbury indicated that the revised cost for the Insulator Replacement Program is \$320,000 and that the remaining \$467,197 can be removed from the 2009 capital budget.

At the same time, Greater Sudbury indicated that the only program that could be considered as a candidate for reduction is the Porcelain Insulator Replacement program.

Please provide the revised 2009 capital expenditures taking into account the above two items (reduction in costs of Insulator Replacement Program and change in scope of the Porcelain Insulator Replacement program). If there are other reductions, please itemize them.

It must be stated that the unfortunately the Contracting out process for the Insulator Replacement program has proceeded past the point of no return. The contract for this \$320,000 project has been let.

Taking into account the remaining item described, the 2009 Capital program would be reduced by \$467,197. Therefore the total capital program would be \$10,868,524-467,197=10,401,327.

15) Ref: Board staff Interrogatory #41

It seems that Greater Sudbury has not responded to this interrogatory. If the interrogatory has been responded to elsewhere, please provide the appropriate reference.

It was included as Q.41 with our March 30th responses but we have included it here as well.