**IN THE MATTER** of the *Ontario Energy Board Act 1998*, Schedule B to the *Energy Competition Act*, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc. for an Order or Orders approving just and reasonable rates and other service charges for the distribution of electricity, effective January 1, 2010.

## **INTERROGATORIES**

## FROM THE

## SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION

- 1. [Tab B/ Attach.1/p.2] Please show the calculation of your monthly bills for each class for each year, as they do not appear to reconcile to your current and proposed tariff sheets. Please confirm that the spreadsheet attached as Schedule 1 to these interrogatories correctly continues your Bill Impacts chart through to April 30, 2014, on the following assumptions:
  - a. The Applicant files for 2012 rates based on cost of service with a rate increase of 6% for all classes.
  - b. The Applicant files for IRM-based rates for 2013 and 2014 with a formula rate increase of 1%.

If the information in the attached Schedule 1 is not correct, please insert corrected figures in the spreadsheet and show their derivation. Subject to any such corrections, please confirm that over the five year period May 1, 2009 to April 30, 2014, at current volumes and customer numbers, and using the cost of service rate increase assumption above, the proposal of the Applicant results in more than \$3 million additional revenue to the distributor relative to methodology normally employed by the Board.

- 2. [Tab B/Attach.1/p.2] Please illustrate the effect of growing load on the proposed adjustment factor over time by preparing a table that:
  - a. Forecasts load and customer numbers over the period 2010 to 2013. If no such forecasts are available, please use an annual increase in load and customers numbers for each class in each year equal to the average increase for that class for the period 2006 to 2008.

- b. Calculates the overall forecast revenues for the Applicant for each of the periods set out in the Bill Impacts chart (extended to December 31, 2013, as we have illustrated), on the assumptions set out in question #1 above.
- c. Compares the forecast revenues using the May 1<sup>st</sup> starting date for new rates each year, as opposed to the proposed January 1<sup>st</sup> starting date.
- 3. [Tab B, p. 3] Please confirm that the Applicant is not proposing to change its fiscal year end or financial reporting period. Assuming that is confirmed, please confirm that, under the Board's normal rules for electricity distributors, rates to recover costs in the calendar year are collected over a twelve month period that starts four months later, such that cost of service for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 is collected over the period May 1, 2010 to April 30, 2011. Please confirm that the Applicant is seeking to change the period over which the revenue requirement is collected, accelerating it from May 1-April 30 to January 1-December 31.
- 4. [Tab B, p. 3] Please set out the GDP-IPI for each month commencing September 2008 and ending with the latest month that is currently available.
- 5. [Tab B, p. 3] Please confirm that the Applicant's proposal results in each component of the annual rate increase being reduced by one-third, including the productivity factor, the stretch factor, and the inflation factor. Please explain why the productivity factor and the stretch factor should be reduced for this Applicant.
- 6. [Tab B, p. 8] Please confirm that the Applicant proposes to pay the amount of \$24,235 applicable to the period January 1, 2010 to April 30, 2010 over twelve months instead of four. Please describe the impact, if any, of rounding in the Applicant's billing program on the proposed rate riders. Please explain why, given the small amount, the Applicant did not consider it more appropriate to propose a one time refund on January 2010 bills.
- 7. [Tab B, p. 8] Please provide the reference in the rate generator that shows the inclusion of this rate rider in bills commencing January 1, 2010. Please confirm that the reference (on page N1.1 of the rate generator filed as a .pdf with the Application) to the tax rider ending December 31, 2009 is incorrect, and the correct date of December 31, 2010, is included in the live version of the rate generator filed with the Board.
- 8. [Tab B, p. 13] Please provide the calculations supporting each of the figures in Table 4. If those calculations are already in the evidence, please direct us to the appropriate reference.
- 9. [Tab B, p. 13] Please confirm that the spreadsheet attached as Schedule 2 correctly sets out the proposed distribution bill impacts for the various sample customers as indicated. If it does not, please provide corrected figures.