

500 Consumers Road North York, Ontario M2J 1P8 PO Box 650 Scarborough ON M1K 5E3

Bonnie Jean Adams Regulatory Coordinator phone: (416) 495-6409 fax: (416) 495-6072 Email: bonnie.adams @enbridge.com

October 30, 2009

VIA COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge") EB-2009-0154 2010 Natural Gas Demand Side Management (DSM) Plan

Further to the letter dated October 15, 2009, enclosed please find the following corrected evidence:

- Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1

Also enclosed please find the updated Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, which has been updated to reflect the recent filing of Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 5 – Drain Water Hear Recovery Program.

The submission has been filed through the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System ("RESS"), two copies are being delivered by courier and the evidence will be available on the Enbridge website at <u>www.enbridge.com/ratecase</u> as of Saturday October 31, 2009.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

Bonnie Jean Adams Regulatory Coordinator

cc: EB-2009-0154 Interest Parties (via email)

Updated: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit A Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT LIST AND DESCRIPTIONS

A- ADMINISTRATIVE

<u>A- ADM</u>	NIST	<u>KATIVE</u>			
<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>A</u>	1	1	Exhibit List and Description		M. Brophy
<u>B- EVID</u>	ENCE	<u>.</u>			
B	1	1	2010 Demand Side Management Plan - Summary	Provides a summary of the 2010 DSM Plan and orientation for the layout of evidence. Provides context for how the Plan relates to Board Decisions on the 2007-2009 Framework, the Company's Plan for 2007-2009 and the 2010 Program Assumptions.	M. Brophy P. Squires
		2	Summary of 2010 Budget	Provides a volumetric estimate and O&M budget estimate for the year 2010. The budget estimate was prepared in compliance with the Board's Framework decision.	M. Brophy P. Squires
		3	Fiscal 2010 DSM Monitoring and Evaluation Plan	The process for Evaluation and Audit was approved in the Board's Framework decision. This section outlines a priority list for evaluation activities for 2010 identified by the Company in consultation with the Evaluation and Audit Committee.	M. Brophy P. Squires
		4	Market Transformation	Provides a set of Market Transformation Programs, metrics and incentives developed by the Company in accordance with the Board's Framework decision.	M. Brophy P. Squires

Updated: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit A Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 5

EXHIBIT LIST AND DESCRIPTIONS

B- EVIDENCE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>	Description	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>B</u>	1	5	Drain Water Heat Recovery System Market Transformation Program	Provides a program update	M. Brophy P. Squires
	2	1	Program Descriptions	Provides a program description for programs proposed as part of the 2010 Plan.	M. Brophy P. Squires
	3	1	New Programs and Program Assumptions	This section includes assumption information and substantiation for programs elements that are supplemental to the Board Decision dated April 30, 2009. These supplement the "measure specific" assumption approved by the Board to form a complete set of approved program assumptions.	M. Brophy P. Squires
		2	EGD DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	Table of Program Assumptions	M. Brophy P. Squires
		3	Substantiation Sheets for Selected 2010 Input Assumptions	Substantiation Sheets	M. Brophy T. MacLean P. Squires
		4	2010 Free Ridership Summary	Table of Free Ridership	M. Brophy P. Squires
		5	Custom Resource Acquisitions Technologies	Table of Measure Lives	M. Brophy P. Squires

Updated: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit A Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 5

EXHIBIT LIST AND DESCRIPTIONS

B- EVIDENCE

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>	Description	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>B</u>	3	6	Avoided Costs		M. Brophy T. MacLean P. Squires
	4	1	Industrial Monitoring and Targeting Pilot Program	Proposal for new industrial sector support programs.	M. Brophy P. Squires
<u>C- SUPI</u>	PORTI	NG MATER	RIAL		
<u>C</u>	1	1		Letter of Support – Energy Star	
		2		Letter of Support – Atlantic Packaging	
		3		Letter of Support – NRCAN	
		4		Letter of Support – CME	
<u>D – PHA</u>	SE II ·	- 2010 DSN	I LOW INCOME PLA	<u>N</u>	
D	1	1	2010 DSM Low Income Plan Overview	Provides an overview of the 2010 DSM Low Income Plan.	M. Brophy P. Squires
	2	1	Program Descriptions	Provides a program description for programs proposed as part of the 2010 Low Income Plan.	M. Brophy P. Squires
	3	1		Letter of Support from Social Housing Services Corporation (SHCS)	

Updated: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit A Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 5

EXHIBIT LIST AND DESCRIPTIONS

<u>I – INTERROGATORIES</u>		
<u>l</u> 1 1	Board Staff Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
2 1-5	BOMA Interrogatories	M. Brophy
<u>I – INTERROGATORIES</u>		P. Squires
<u>Exhibit Tab Schedule Title</u>	Description	<u>Witness(es)</u>
<u>I</u> 3 1-10	CCC Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
4 1-8	CME Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
5 1-12	GEC Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
6 1-12	IGUA Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
7 1-6	LIEN Interrogatories	M. Brophy P. Squires
INTERVENOR SUBMISSIONS		r . Oquires
1	BOMA Submission	
2	CCC Submission	
3	CME Submission	
4	GEC Submission	
5	IGUA Submission	
6	LIEN Submission	

Updated: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit A Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 5

EXHIBIT LIST AND DESCRIPTIONS

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION SUBMISSION

1

EGD Submission

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 3

2010 DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PLAN – SUMMARY

- With over a decade of experience, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge" or the "Company") has been recognized as a leader in Demand Side Management ("DSM"). Delivery of DSM to Enbridge customers has resulted in net benefits of over \$1.4 billion in net energy bill reductions and reduced natural gas consumption by over 3.6 billion cubic meters. The Company has received numerous DSM awards, most recently the EnerQuality Award of Excellence - 2008 Industry Partner of the Year.
- 2. In August of 2006 the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board" or "OEB") issued a Decision in the Generic DSM proceeding (EB-2006-0021) setting the Framework for a multi-year DSM plan for the gas utilities (2007-2009). In a subsequent Decision, the Board approved input assumptions for the utilities to use in their plan submissions. The Company's DSM Plan for 2007-2009 was approved by the Board in January 2007. Late in 2008 the Board began consultation with the utilities and other interested parties on the DSM Framework and program assumptions to be used in the next multi-year plan period beginning in 2010. On April 29, 2009 the Board issued a Decision regarding some "measure specific" input assumptions to be used in 2010. Since 2010 DSM programs had not been developed or filed at that time, the Navigant review was based on the Board approved 2008 assumption list and any information that was available to Navigant regarding potential measures for 2010. Navigant indicated in their report that some elements related to 2010 would need to be addressed when the 2010 programs were available for Board approval. In April 2009, the Board instructed all rate-regulated gas utilities in Ontario to file a one year plan for 2010, extending by one year the framework and budget escalators established for the 2007-2009 three-year plan approved in EB-2006-0021 and applying the Board approved 2010 assumptions.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 3

3. In accordance with the Board's direction, this document presents the Company's 2010 DSM plan using the DSM Framework as approved in EB-2006-0021 and the assumptions as approved in EB-2008-0346. As outlined in Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 2, the 2010 plan provides a DSM budget of \$23.8 million. This amount represents an escalation of 5% from the 2009 budget in accordance with the EB-2006-0021 formula. This budget also reflects the Board's letter of May 13, 2009 to rate-regulated natural gas utilities regarding Low Income DSM programs. Funds allocated for Low Income DSM have been removed from this budget and will be considered under a separate proceeding (EB-2008-0150). Based on recent customer and industry feedback, Enbridge has proposed an additional pilot program that requires incremental funding in 2010 beyond the prescribed formula. Enbridge requests approval as part of its 2010 DSM Plan the discrete pilot program as outlined in Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1. Details of the budget are outlined in Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 2. The 2010 DSM Plan will be adjusted over time as may be required to respond to changes in the marketplace, new barriers, new opportunities, and to optimize the DSM portfolio. This principle is in accordance with page 10 of the Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I (EB-2006-0021):

Program Design and Implementation. The Utilities agree to the principle that their DSM programs should be managed with regard to the best available information known to them from time to time. Normal commercial practice requires that a Company should react through changes to program design, implementation and/or mix, to material changes in base data as soon as is feasible given relevant operational considerations.

4. The process for Evaluation and Audit was outlined in the Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I (EB-2006-0021). The Monitoring and Evaluation Plan included here for 2010 follows the approach outlined and approved in the Multi-year Plan (EB-2006-0021). During 2010, the Company intends to continue to work with the Evaluation and Audit committee to reassess evaluation priorities. Details for the Monitoring and Evaluation Plan are included in Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 3.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 3

- Although the Company is ultimately accountable for development and execution of the Plan, the Company did take the opportunity through a Consultative meeting in April of 2009 to obtain and consider input from Consultative members on program concepts.
- The Company has developed a set of Market Transformation Programs and metrics for 2010 in accordance with the Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I (EB-2006-0021). The proposed budget for Market Transformation is \$995,557 in 2010.
- 7. Program Descriptions for programs proposed in the Plan are included in Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1. Prescriptive program assumptions reflect the Board's Decision in EB 2008-0346 regarding assumptions where applicable. Information specific to program delivery has been included such as free ridership and incremental costs. Descriptions for any new programs are also included and noted. Program Substantiation Sheets for new prescriptive programs and information specific to program delivery are included in Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 3. Based on the information available at this time all proposed programs meet the benefit to cost ratio of 1.0 as outlined in the Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I (EB-2006-0021).
- In conclusion, the Company's DSM Plan for 2010 meets the budget and framework criteria established in the Board's EB-2006-0021 Phase 1 Decision, with addition of the pilot program as mentioned. The Company respectfully requests approval of the Plan as filed.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

Table 1 Summary of 2010 Budget

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
Item No.	Program Name	Participants	Net effective m3	Total O&M Costs
1	Residential			
2	Existing Homes - Water Conservation	711,165	11,889,667	\$4,543,074
3	Existing Homes - Equipment Replacement	18,000	857,660	\$1,276,000
4	Residential New Construction	62,500	3,971,705	\$1,296,500
5	Program Development and Market Research			\$500,000
6	Total Residential	791,665	16,719,032	\$7,615,574
7	Business Markets			
8	Small Commercial	3,655	2,736,926	\$840,200
9	Commercial		12,641,500	\$1,901,887
10	Multi-Residential	22,688	17,227,317	\$2,022,292
11	Large New Construction		3,922,185	\$920,025
12	Industrial		22,173,483	\$3,070,402
13	Agriculture		1,800,000	\$234,833
14	Total Business Markets	26,343	60,501,411	8,989,639
15	Market Transformation			
16	Residential			\$995,557
17	Total Market Transformation			\$995,557
18	Total All Programs	818,008	77,220,443	17,600,770
19	Portfolio Administration			\$6,200,000
20	TOTAL		77,220,443	23,800,770
21 22	Supplemental Pilot Program (Industrial Sector Support Programs)			\$ 1,250,000
23	TOTAL all programs and Industrial Support			25,050,770

Note: \$1,433,250 and \$154,350, represent Low Income Resource Acquisition and Market Transformation program budget allocations respectively. This was deducted from the 2009 O&M Budget to arrive at a base amount i.e. \$22,667,400 for the purpose of applying the 5% escalation factor to determine the 2010 financial budget.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 1 of 3

FISCAL 2010 DSM MONITORING AND EVALUATION PLAN

Introduction

- Development of the Company's Monitoring and Evaluation Plan for the period 2010 is in alignment with the framework outlined in the Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I (EB-2006-0021).
- 2. The Board's Decision with Reasons Phase I stated that:

Parties agree that the Utilities should conduct forward-looking DSM research. The appropriate level of budgets for research shall be determined by each Utility from time to time (depending upon the need, market conditions, etc.) and each Utility should include a summary of its forecasted research in its multi-year DSM plan filed with the Board.¹

In addition, the Decision provided that an Evaluation Audit Committee be formed. The duties outlined for the Evaluation Audit committee include, "Consultation prior to the filing of the DSM plan on evaluation priorities for the next three years (or the duration of the multi-year plan)."²

3. Objectives and Priorities of the 2010 Evaluation Plan

Prior to development of the Evaluation Plan, the Company sought comment from the 2009 EAC on suggested evaluation priorities for 2010.

The Company identified six overall objectives for the 2007 - 2009 Evaluation Plan and will continue with these objectives for the 2010 year:

maintain and enhance ongoing program tracking and documentation procedures;

¹ EB-2006-0021, Decision With Reasons, August 25, 2006, page 15

² Ibid, page 18

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 2 of 3

- fulfill commitments from the Generic Hearing including research items listed in Appendix A, updating the DSM Potential Study and reviewing all prescriptive program assumptions (completed);
- undertake third party evaluation of custom project savings;
- provide evaluation research necessary to measure the impacts of new market transformation programs;
- support development and evaluation of new DSM programs during the plan period; and
- undertake other evaluation research on a priority basis.
- 4. The table below shows the completed items from 2007 and 2008, the planned items for 2009 and the Company's forecast of how these evaluation objectives will be addressed in 2010.

	Activity	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
1.	Maintain and enhance program tracking and documentation	Х	Х	Х	Х
2.	Commitments from Generic Hearing				
	 Items from Appendix A EnerGuide for New Houses – savings post OBC changes Enhanced furnaces and High Efficiency Furnaces – free ridership Faucet aerators – savings Low-flow Showerheads – savings Home Rewards w/o Programmable Thermostat – assess 2008 impacts Custom projects – free ridership 	X	x		
	Update DSM Potential Study		Х	Х	
	Review all prescriptive assumptions	х	Х	Х	
3.	Third party evaluation of custom project savings	х	х	Х	Х
4.	Evaluation Research re: new market transformation programs	х			Х
5.	Development of new DSM programs	х	Х	Х	Х
6.	Additional evaluation research as needed	х		Х	Х

Witnesses: M. Brophy

P. Squires

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 3 Page 3 of 3

Collaboration with Union Gas and Other Parties

5. In 2007 and 2008 the Company partnered with Union Gas to commission three studies: deemed savings for selected residential measures, free ridership and spillover for selected residential programs, and free ridership and spillover for custom programs. The Company also collaborated with Union Gas (and with the joint Union / Enbridge EACs) in the development of a Sampling Methodology and Terms of Reference for the third party engineering review of custom projects for 2007 and 2008 program years. In 2009 Union Gas and Enbridge collaborated in development of responses to the Board's Draft assumptions for 2010 and the Assumption Update for 2009. In 2010, the Company, in consultation with the Evaluation Audit Committee, will continue to look for appropriate opportunities to partner with Union Gas and other parties when executing the Evaluation Plan. Alignment of evaluation activities with Union Gas may be affected by differences in customer base, program portfolio, evaluation priorities and other factors.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 1 of 8

MARKET TRANSFORMATION

- This section outlines the Company's 2010 DSM Market Transformation ("MT") Plan. The format for this submission follows the "scorecard" approach requested by the Board in the EB-2006-0021 proceeding.
- 2. In its letter dated April 14, 2009, the Board instructed all rate-regulated gas utilities in Ontario to file a one-year plan for 2010, extending the framework and budget escalators established for the 2007-2009 three-year plan in EB-2006-0021, by one year. In its May 13th letter, the Board further directed the utilities to remove Low Income programs from the 2010 DSM budget. The target SSM level remains at \$500,000 for successful completion of the Market Transformation scorecard metrics.
- 3. Table 1 below presents the updated budget and SSM amounts for each initiative included in the 2010 DSM Market Transformation Plan.

			2010	
David			<u>Budget</u>	<u>SSM</u>
Program	Home Performance Contractors Drain Water Heat Recovery		\$80,000 \$865,557	\$150,000 \$350,000
Other MT Initiatives		subtotal	\$945,557	\$500,000
Other wit minatives	Channel Market Support		\$50,000	\$0
		subtotal	\$50,000	\$0
		TOTAL	\$995,557	\$500,000
Witnesses M Br	anhy			

TABLE 1 – 2010 Market Transformation Budget and Target SSM

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 2 of 8

4. <u>Home Performance Contractor Market Transformation Program</u> Program Description

This program, launched in 2007, aims to increase the frequency of weatherization measures included in home renovation and upgrade projects in the residential sector through industry-delivered training and education.

- In 2007 and 2008, the Home Performance Contractor workshops were very favourably received by attendees. Due to creative promotional strategies and word-of-mouth referrals, attendance was strong and workshop feedback was positive.
- 6. The target audience for these workshops includes renovation sales representatives (who typically work for larger renovation firms), and individual contractors (typically one- or two-person operations where the Sales Representative is also the individual doing the renovation work). The common criteria for all attendees is that these people are involved in the influencing of homeowners to include weatherization measures in the project.

7. Program Metrics

The key "ultimate outcome" metric is an average increase in frequency (as measured by a 5-point scale) of a list of eight weatherization measures (see scoring example below). Workshop participants will complete a pre-course survey prior to the start of the workshop to establish a baseline on their current use of specific air-sealing measures. Approximately six months later, a follow up survey will be administered to those same participants to measure the uptake of the air sealing measures. Respondents will be matched and only those who complete both the pre and the follow up surveys will be included in the results.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 3 of 8

8. Contractor engagement and the number of workshops held will also be measured.

9. Scoring Example

The five-point scale to be used is as follows: 1=Never, 2=Sometimes, 3=Often, 4=Almost always, 5=Always.

Sample Data					
Measures (to be based on workshop curriculum)	Baseline Frequency (average score in 5-point scale from pre-course benchmarking study)	Post-Program Frequency (average score in 5-point scale from post-course benchmarking study)	Difference		
1. Comprehensive air sealing of the attic floor with 2 component foam	2.0	2.7	0.7		
2. Comprehensive air sealing of the attic floor with 1 part foam, caulking and poly	2.0	2.4	0.4		
3. Some air sealing of the attic floor with 1 part foam, caulking and poly	2.3	2.9	0.6		
4. Air sealing baseboards, window & door trim, electrical outlets & switches	3.1	3.7	0.6		
5. Air sealing basement sill plate and joint header area	3.1	3.8	0.7		
6. Weather- stripping existing doors	2.9	3.5	0.6		
7. Weather-stripping existing windows	2.7	2.9	0.2		
8. Insulating garage ceilings, cantilevers, etc. with 2 component foam	2.2	2.3	0.1		
		Average Result:	0.48		

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 4 of 8

10. In the example above, the average increase in frequency scores was 0.48, achieving a metric score of 107% (0.48/0.45*100).

Budget / SSM Summary

Budget Target SSM <u>2010</u> \$80,000 \$150,000

Scorecard Summary

	ctor Performance MT Program	2010 Metric Value Levels			
Element	Metrics	50%	100%	150%	Weight
ULTIMATE OUTCOMES	a) Average Increase in frequency scores of all weatherization measures	Average increase in frequency scores of all weatherization measures of 0.3	Average increase in frequency scores of all weatherization measures of 0.45	Average increase in frequency scores of all weatherization measures of 0.6	/60
MARKET EFFECTS	b) Contractor Engagement	40	70	100	/20
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	c) Contractor Training Workshop	5	8	11	/20

11. <u>Drain Water Heat Recovery System Market Transformation Program</u> <u>Program Description</u>

This program was launched in 2009, and will continue into 2010. This program complements the current program being offered by Union Gas on the same technology, for the low-rise residential new construction market. Extensive consultation was held with Union Gas staff to ensure compatibility between the two utilities' programs, and consideration was given to simplify the builder's

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 5 of 8

process and administration to streamline the program for builders that operate in both franchises. The key difference between the two utility programs is that the Company will be targeting its promotional activity to the key water heater rental service providers (Direct Energy, Reliance Home Comfort and National Home Services) who will, in turn, promote the technology to the builder market, whereas Union Gas targets the builders directly.

12. The Company will be offering a builder incentive of \$400 per Drainwater Heat Recovery unit installed.

13. Program Metrics

The scorecard below outlines the program elements and metrics proposed for this program. Metric descriptions are provided below the table. The scorecard metrics for 2010 have been updated to reflect deeper market penetration after a year of program operation.

Budget / SSM Summary

Budget Target SSM <u>2010</u> \$865,557 \$350,000

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 6 of 8

Scorecard Summary

Drainw	2010 Metric Value Levels				
Element Metrics (weighting)		50%	100%	150%	Weight
	a) Builders Enrolled		17	23	/10
ULTIMATE	b) Units Installed	900	1,800	2,700	/40
OUTCOMES	c) Builder Knowledge	50%	60%	70%	/15
	d) Service Provider Promotion	60%	70%	80%	/20
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	e) Builder Training Workshops	1	3	5	/5
	f) Contractor/Sub Workshops	1	3	5	/5
	g) Trade Show Promotion	1	3	5	/5

- a) Builders Enrolled: The number of builders enrolled in the program will be tracked through the rental service providers. If a builder is enrolled, this does not necessarily mean that they are installing the technology in every home; however, it is an indicator of how widespread the awareness of the technology may be, and how many builders may be talking about the technology with potential homebuyers.
- b) Units Installed: This is the key "ultimate outcome" metric for the program, indicating the penetration of this technology in the residential new construction market, and therefore has the largest weighting of all the metrics.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 7 of 8

- c) Builder Knowledge: Non-enrolled builders will be surveyed at the end of the year to establish their level of exposure and knowledge of the technology and the Company's program. This metric will indicate how effective the service providers have been in promoting the program (regardless of uptake) and educating the market on the benefits of the technology. The baseline for this metric is assumed to be at, or close to, zero at the time of program launch.
- d) Service Provider Promotion: This metric will measure the extent to which participating service providers fulfill a series of prescribed promotional activities through the year to increase market awareness of the technology.
- e) Builder Training Workshops: The number of workshops delivered to builders with at least 10 builders in attendance.
- f) Contractor/Sub Workshops: The number of workshops delivered to contractors/sub-contractors with at least 10 contractors in attendance.
- g) Trade Shows/Builder Shows: The number of trade shows/builder shows with the Company's presence promoting Drainwater Heat Recovery.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 4 Page 8 of 8

14. Channel Market Support

Program Description

As in previous years, the Company will continue to participate in market support activities that address common energy efficiency barriers of education, awareness, training, and access to reliable information on conservation opportunities.

- 15. Previous examples of market support activities include:
 - Participation in consumer/community events and shows, where consumers have access to conservation literature and Company staff to answer specific customer questions
 - Participation in industry/trade events to develop channel partners for greater leverage in program delivery and to get industry feedback on how to address specific market barriers
 - Development and distribution of demographically targeted conservation literature (i.e. children or youth-oriented material, translation of conservation literature into different languages)

Budget / SSM Summary

Budget Target SSM 2010 \$50,000 \$0

Filed: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 5 Page 1 of 4

Drain Water Heat Recovery System Market Transformation Program

Program Update

- 1. This program was launched in 2009, and will continue into 2010. This program complements the current program being offered by Union Gas on the same technology, for the low-rise residential new construction market. The following is an update to the Market Transformation program filed for the 2010 year. This update reflects discussions of the Enbridge Evaluation Audit Committee ("EAC") and Union Gas in an effort to align scorecards for this program. Scorecard elements have been harmonized with Union Gas. Minor differences in metric values reflect the fact that 2010 will only be Enbridge's second year for this program, where the Union Gas program will be in its fourth year. The Enbridge scorecard also has consensus endorsement from both Enbridge and its EAC.
- 2. The program design was updated to reflect adjustments suggested by the Company and acceptance by the Board in its recent decision dated September 30, 2009. This includes the Company's proposal to withdrawal the Home Performance Contractor Program and direct the \$80,000 budget and \$150,000 SSM towards the Drain Water Heat Recovery Program. When combined with the Channel Market Support budget of \$50,000, this provides the full scope of Market Transformation for 2010 (not including Low Income which is being addressed as a supplementary phase to this proceeding). A full summary is provided on the following page.

Filed: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 5 Page 2 of 4

Budget / SSM Summary

Revised - 2010 Market Transformation Budget and Target SSM

		2010		
			<u>Budget</u>	<u>SSM</u>
Program	Drain Water Heat Recovery		\$945,557	\$500,000
Other MT Initiatives		subtotal	\$945,557	\$500,000
	Channel Market Support		\$50,000	\$0
		subtotal	\$50,000	\$0
		TOTAL	\$995,557	\$500,000

- 3. The revised scorecard below has the endorsement of both the Company and its EAC. The primary improvement is the reduction in the number of metrics being tracked, which better focuses evaluation on development of the market, and will remove unnecessary administrative burden. This has also been reflected in an increase in one of the metrics (i.e. builders enrolled).
- 4. The Company will be offering a builder incentive of \$400 per Drain Water Heat Recovery unit installed.

Program Metrics

 The scorecard on the following pages outlines the program elements and metrics proposed for the Drain Water Heat Recovery program. Metric descriptions are provided in the table.

Filed: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 5 Page 3 of 4

Drainw	2010 Metric Value Levels				
Element	Metrics (weighting)	50%	100%	150%	Weight
ULTIMATE OUTCOMES	a) Units Installed (new build) as percentage of 2010 housing starts (across all builders)	10%	13%	16%	/80
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	b) 1 ST time new Builders Enrolled (incremental)	15	20	25	/20

Scorecard Summary

- a) Units Installed as percentage of 2010 housing starts. This is measured across all builder installations so that all units installed by any participant in the program will be counted. This is the key "ultimate outcome" metric for the program, indicating the penetration of this technology in the residential new construction market, and therefore has the larger weighting of the metrics.
- b) 1st time new Builders Enrolled: The number of builders enrolled in the program will be tracked through the rental service providers, RenewAbility, and/or Enbridge. A builder enrolled means they are installing the technology in at least one home. It is also an indicator of how widespread the awareness of the technology may be, and how many builders may be talking about the technology with potential homebuyers. This metric is based on new incremental builders' enrolled year over year versus a cumulative result.

Filed: 2009-10-30 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 1 Schedule 5 Page 4 of 4

c) This market transformation program is part of a 1 year extension to the existing framework. In anticipation of continuing the Drain Water Heat Recovery Program into a post-2010 DSM plan, the Company has agreed to develop a longer term logic model for this program by the end of June 2010 to articulate a vision of a what a transformed market will look like, when it is forecast to occur, and key ways in which the market is expected to change between now and then given current and expected future program strategies. This will necessarily be a "living document" that will evolve over time as the Company learns more about the market. The Company anticipates developing similar long term plans for future market transformation programs it may propose.

Program Name: ENERGY STAR[™] for New Homes V#3

<u>Goal</u>: To capture energy savings and promote excellence in building practices in residential new construction by encouraging participation in the ENERGY STAR[™] for New Homes initiative. For new homes built in Ontario, compliant to OBC 2006, with permits issued prior to March 31, 2009.

<u>Target market</u>: Builders of new, residential, low rise homes in the Enbridge franchise territory

End-use addressed: Space heating and electricity savings

<u>Measure:</u> Improvements to the energy efficiency of the building envelope, mechanical systems, and appliances through adherence to ENERGY STAR[™] technical requirements as outlined by Natural Resources Canada

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers an incentive of \$100.00 to builders for each labelled home and supports participating builders through tradeshows, workshops and advertising campaigns.

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Promotion through Enbridge New Housing Market Consultants (internal sales channel), sponsorship of EnerQuality Corporation, and marketing communications

Measure Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	1018 m ³
Electricity	1450 kWh
Water	
Equipment Life	25 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$4701
Free Ridership	5%

Reference: As per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	2200
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$220,000
Fixed Costs	\$100,000
Total Program Costs	\$320,000

Program Name: ENERGY STAR[™] for New Homes V#4

<u>Goal</u>: To capture energy savings by promoting excellence in building practices in residential new construction by encouraging participation in the ENERGY STARTM for New Homes initiative. For new homes built in Ontario, compliant to OBC 2006, with permits issued after March 31, 2009.

<u>Target market</u>: Builders of new, residential, low rise homes in the Enbridge franchise territory

End-use addressed: Space heating and electricity savings

<u>Measure:</u> Improvements to the energy efficiency of the building envelope, mechanical systems, and appliances through adherence to ENERGY STAR[™] technical requirements as outlined by Natural Resources Canada

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers an incentive of \$100.00 to builders for each labelled home and supports participating builders through tradeshows, workshops and advertising campaigns.

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Promotion through Enbridge New Housing Market Consultants (internal sales channel), sponsorship of EnerQuality Corporation and marketing communications

Measure Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	881 m ³
Electricity	734 kWh
Water	
Equipment Life	25 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$4275
Free Ridership	5%

Reference: See Substantiation Sheet

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	300
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$30,000
Fixed Costs	\$30,000
Total Program Costs	\$60,000

Program Name: Energy Savings Kit for Residential New Construction

<u>Goal</u>: To promote the adoption of energy efficiency measures in the new construction market

<u>*Target market:*</u> Residential New Construction Home Builders in the Enbridge franchise territory who are NOT Energy Star builders

<u>End-use addressed</u>: Water heating, electricity, and water conservation

Measure: Provision of package of energy saving devices to builders

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers no-charge supply to the new home builder of the following components: programmable thermostats, low-flow faucet aerators, low-flow showerheads, and Compact Fluorecent Lightbulbs (CFLs).

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Enbridge Channel Consultants, service organizations, Home Builder Associations, newspaper and magazine advertising, rental providers

<u>*Reference:*</u> See Substantiation Sheet. This is a new program delivering pre- approved measures to the new home construction market.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	
1 kitchen aerator	23m ³
3 bathroom aerator (3 x 6 m ³)	18 m ³
1 showerhead 1.25gpm replacing 2.0 - 2.5 gpm	66 m ³
1 programmable thermostat	146 m ³
1 showerhead 1.5gpm replacing 2.25 gpm	46 m ³
Electrical	
6 13 W CFL bulbs (6 X 45 kWh each)	270 kWh
1 programmable thermostat	54 kWh
Water	
1 kitchen aerator	7,797 L
3 bathroom aerator (2004 L each)	6,012 L
1 showerhead 1.25gpm replacing 2.0 – 2.5 gpm	10,886 L
1 showerhead 1.5 gpm replacing 2.25	6,334 L
Equipment Life	
Aerators and showerhead	10 years
Programmable thermostat	15 years
CFL's	8 years

Free Ridership	
Kitchen aerator	31%
Bathroom aerator	31%
1.25 gpm showerhead replacing 2.0 – 2.5 gpm	10%
1.5 gpm showerhead replacing 2.25 gpm	10%
Programmable thermostat	43%
CFL bulbs	24%
Incremental Costs	
1 kitchen aerator	4.00
3 bathroom aerator	5.65
1 showerhead 1.25gpm replacing 2.0-2.5 gpm	11.50
1 programmable thermostat	35.50
1 hand held showerhead 1.5 gpm replacing	30.00
2.25gpm	
6 - CFL's (incr cost = 0 per sub doc)	0.00
Total incremental cost	\$ 86.65
Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	10,000
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$866,500
Fixed Costs	\$ 50,000
Total Program Costs	\$916,500

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Novitherm Panels

<u>Goal</u>: To capture energy savings by installing Novitherm reflector panels behind radiators of hydronically heated homes

Target market: Owners of existing homes in the Enbridge franchise territory

End-use addressed: Space heating (boilers)

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of reflective panels behind radiators located on exterior walls of the home

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers the Novitherm reflector panels free of charge to customers. The customer must apply for the program, pay for shipping and self install the panels.

Delivery Channel: Direct mail, Contractors/Distributors

<u>*Reference:*</u> Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346. Incremental cost based on EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant	
Natural Gas	143 m ³
Electricity	0
Water	0
Equipment Life	18 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	\$238
Contractor Install	
Free Ridership	0%

Program Assumptions	2010	
Number of Participants	4,000	
Program Costs		
Total Variable costs	\$916,000	
Fixed Costs	\$ 65,000	
Total Program Costs	\$ 981,000	

Program Name: Programmable Thermostat – Existing Homes

<u>Goal</u>: To capture energy savings by upgrading from a manual thermostat to a programmable thermostat

Target market: Owners of existing homes in the Enbridge franchise territory

<u>End-use addressed</u>: Space Heating (furnaces and boilers)

Measure: Installation of a programmable thermostat

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers an incentive of \$15.00 to home owners who upgrade to a programmable thermostat in their home

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Bill Inserts, direct mail, trade shows, community events, newspaper and magazine advertising

Measure: Installation of a programmable thermostat

<u>*Reference:*</u> Gas savings as per Navigant Draft Report. Electricity savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	146 m ³
Electricity	54 kWh
Water	0
Equipment Life	15 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$50
Free Ridership	43%

Program Assumptions	2010	
Number of Participants	14,000	
Program Costs		
Total Variable costs	\$ 210,000	
Fixed Costs	\$ 85,000	
Total Program Costs	\$ 295,000	

Program Name: Residential Water Conservation TAPS Program

<u>Goal:</u> To capture energy savings through the reduction of hot water use and through efficient lighting.

Target market: Owners of existing homes in the Enbridge franchise territory

End-use addressed: Water heating and electricity

<u>Measure:</u> Low-flow showerheads, bathroom and kitchen faucet aerators, and compact fluorescent light bulbs

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers no charge installation of up to two low-flow showerheads, plus provision of a bathroom and a kitchen faucet aerator and four compact fluorescent light bulbs.

Delivery Channel: TAPS Program contractors

<u>*Reference:*</u> Aerators and Showerheads: Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost based on EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Compact Fluorescent light bulbs: Savings, measure life and FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

New Measure: Yes - CFL

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	
Faucet Aerator – Kitchen	23 m ³
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom	6 m ³
Low Flow showerhead	
Base Case A bag test greater than 2.5 gallons per minute	116m ³
Base Case B bag test 2.0 to 2.5 gallons per minutes	66 m ³
Bag Test	
Electricity	
Compact Fluorescent Lightbulbs (13w) four/household	180 kWh
Water	
Faucet Aerator - Kitchen	7797 L
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom	2004 L
Low Flow showerhead (Base Case A)	17168 L
Low Flow showerhead (Base Case B)	10886 L
Equipment Life	
Faucet Aerator (Kitchen & Bathroom)	10 years
Low Flow showerhead	10 years

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B

Tab 2

Schedule 1 Page 8 of 47

	<u> </u>
Compact Fluorescent Lightbulbs	8 years Soh
Incremental Cost (per participant)	Pag
Customer Install	0
Contractor Install / Delivery	
Faucet Aerator – Kitchen	\$1.00
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom	\$1.00
Low Flow showerheads	\$19.00
Compact Fluorescent Lightbulbs	\$0.00
Total incremental cost	21.00
Free Ridership	
Faucet Aerator (Kitchen and Bathroom)	31%
Low Flow showerhead	10%
Compact Fluorescent Lightbulbs	24%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	136,500
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$4,474,074
Fixed Costs	\$ 69,000
Total Program Costs	\$4,543,074

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 9 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Capital Financing Program

<u>Goal</u>: To explore providing access to low or no interest capital for energy efficient equipment.

Target market: Large Industrial and Commercial Customers

End-use addressed: Natural gas, electricity, and water conservation

Measure: N/A

.

<u>Program elements</u>: If viable the program would redirect, as appropriate, DSM sector fixed or variable budget and use these funds to provide low or no interest access to capital for large customers that are facing this barrier. This element will be used where it is more effective than traditional incentives.

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Offered through Energy Solutions Consultants who work on custom projects

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 10 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Agriculture

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing facilities through retrofit of building and process components

Target market: Agricultural customers

End-uses addressed: All end uses within the Agriculture sector

Measures:

- Customized energy savings plan for facility.
- All energy efficient agricultural applications which provide demonstrable energy savings

Program elements:

- Provision of the expertise and services of the Enbridge Industrial Energy Solutions Consultants (ESCs) to assist customers in executing and completing projects
- Incentives toward cost of audits and surveys to identify and assess opportunities and set priorities
- Incentives toward cost of implementing projects. Incentives are linked to the amount of natural gas saved.
- ESCs who work closely with the customers to identify energy efficiency opportunities and are able to participate in the implementation of energy saving measures

Delivery Channels:

- Industrial Energy Solutions Consultants
- Industry associations (including farm organizations, co-operatives, etc.),
- Communication Network of industry experts and business associates including consulting engineers, manufacturers, and suppliers

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings (gross m ³)	3,000,000
Induced Electricity Savings (gross	
kWh)	
Induced Water Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated average for	11 years
program)	
Free Ridership	40%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$198,450
Fixed Costs	\$ 36,383
Total Program Costs	\$234,833

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 11 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Hospitals

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing hospitals through retrofit of building components

Target market: Hospital Facilities Management

End-uses addressed: Space heating and water heating

Measures: Customized energy savings plan for the building may include such measures as:

- Retrofit of boilers
- Improvements to other elements of the heating system
- Improvements to ventilation system
- Upgrading of building automation system
- Building envelope improvements
- Repairs to steam traps

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESC) provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
- Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Roof Top Units.
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

Delivery Channels:

- Performance contractors
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms and industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 12 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings (gross m ³)	3,429,173
Induced Electricity Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	17 years
average for program)	
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 342,917
Fixed Costs	\$ 50,543
Total Program Costs	\$ 393,460

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 13 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Hotels

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing hotels through retrofit of building components

Target market: Owners of hotels and motels and large hospitality buildings

End-uses addressed: Space heating, water heating and ventilation

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers
- Reflective panels for radiators
- Controls, including Building Energy Management Systems
- Building envelope upgrades including air sealing measures
- Ventilation upgrades including makeup air
- Electricity and water conservation

Program elements:

- Optional preliminary energy review of their facilities by an Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultant (ESC)
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Property Managers
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Industry association

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 14 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings (gross	1,051,13
m ³)	3
Induced Electricity Savings	
(gross kWh)	
Induced Water Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	18 years
average for program)	
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$105,113
Fixed Costs	\$43,583
Total Program Costs	\$148,696

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 15 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Industrial

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing facilities through retrofit of process and building components

Target market: Large Industrial customers

End-uses addressed: All uses

<u>Measures:</u>

- Customized energy savings plan for facility
- All energy efficient industrial applications which provide demonstrable energy savings

Program elements:

- Provision of the expertise and services of Enbridge Industrial Energy Solutions Consultants (ESCs) to assist customers in executing and completing projects
- Incentives toward cost of assessments and surveys to identify and assess
 opportunities and set priorities. Incentives toward cost of implementing projects.
 Incentives are linked to the amount of natural gas saved
- ESCs who work closely with the customers to identify energy efficiency opportunities and are able to participate in the implementation of energy saving measures

- Industrial Energy Solutions Consultants
- Industry associations
- Network of industry experts and business associates including consulting engineers, manufacturers etc

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings (gross m ³)	44,346,965
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	15 years
average for program)	
Free Ridership	50%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$2,114,453
Fixed Costs	\$955,949
Total Program Costs	\$3,070,402

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 16 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Long Term Care Facilities

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing long term healthcare facilities through retrofit of building components

Target market: Healthcare Facilities Management

End-uses addressed: Space heating and water heating

<u>Measures</u>: Customized energy savings plan for the building may include such measures as:

- Retrofit of boilers
- Improvements to other elements of the heating system
- Improvements to ventilation system
- Upgrading of building automation system
- Building envelope improvements
- Repairs to steam traps

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESC) provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
- Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Roof Top Units.

- Performance contractors
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms and industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 17 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	144,307
(gross m ³)	
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	20 years
average for program)	
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 14,431
Fixed Costs	\$ 1,018
Total Program Costs	\$15,449

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 18 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Multi-Residential (Non Profit)

<u>Program Goal</u>: Improve efficiency of space heating and water heating in multi-residential non profit housing buildings

Target market: Non-Profit Multi-Residential Property Owners and Property Managers

End-uses addressed: Space heating, water heating, ventilation, etc.

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers and boiler system improvements
- Higher efficiency combination water and space heating systems
- Controls, including Building Energy Management Systems
- Water conservation: low flow showerheads and faucet aerators
- Energy efficient washing machines
- Electricity conservation

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESCs) provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- EGD can provide preliminary review of facilities by ESC
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Roof Top Units.
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Social Housing organizations
- Property Managers
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms
- Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 19 of 47

2010
644,875
044,075
15 years
15 years
20%
\$ 64,487
\$ 7,881
\$ 72,368

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 20 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Multi-Residential (Private)

<u>Program Goal</u>: To improve the efficiency of space heating and water hearing in multiresidential buildings

Target market: Multi-Residential Property Owners and Property Managers

<u>End-uses addressed</u>: Space heating, water heating, ventilation, etc.

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers and boiler system improvements
- Higher efficiency combination water and space heating systems
- Controls, including Building Energy Management Systems
- Water conservation: low flow showerheads and faucet aerators
- Energy efficient washing machines

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESC) provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
- Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Roof Top Units.
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Property Managers
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms
- Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 21 of 47

Program Assumptions*	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings (gross m ³)	19,848,645
Induced Electricity Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water Savings (m3)	
Equipment Life (estimated average for program)	18 years
Free Ridership	20%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 1,695,438
Fixed Costs	\$ 124,401
Total Program Costs	\$ 1,819,839

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 22 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Municipalities

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing municipal buildings through retrofit of building components

Target market: Municipal Facilities Management

End-uses addressed: Space heating and water heating

<u>Measures</u>: Customized energy savings plan for the building may include such measures as:

- Retrofit of boilers
- Improvements to other elements of the heating system
- Improvements to ventilation system
- Upgrading of building automation system
- Building envelope improvements

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESCs) provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- EGD can provide preliminary review of facilities by ESC
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Property management companies
- Performance contractors
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers, and designers
- Energy management firms and industry associations.

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 23 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	2,085,137
(gross m ³)	2,000,107
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	16 years
average for program)	16 years
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$208,514
Fixed Costs	\$73,196
Total Program Costs	\$281,710

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 24 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Office Buildings

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing office buildings through retrofit of building components

Target market: Office building owners and operators

End-uses addressed: Space heating, water heating and ventilation

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers
- Reflective panels for radiators
- Controls, including Building Energy Management Systems
- Building envelope upgrades including air sealing measures
- Ventilation upgrades including makeup air
- Electricity and water conservation

Program elements:

- Optional preliminary energy review of facilities by an Enbridge Energy Solutions
 Consultant
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Property Managers
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms
- Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 25 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	1 004 221
(gross m ³)	1,994,331
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	16 voors
average for program)	16 years
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$199,433
Fixed Costs	\$104,770
Total Program Costs	\$304,203

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 26 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Retail and Shopping Centers

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing retail buildings and shopping centers through retrofit of building components

Target market: Retail and shopping center owners

End-uses addressed: Space heating, water heating and ventilation

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers
- Reflective panels for radiators
- Controls, including Building Energy Management systems
- Building envelope upgrades including air sealing measures
- Ventilation upgrades including makeup air
- Electricity and water conservation

Program elements:

- Optional preliminary energy review of their facilities by an Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultant
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 27 of 47

- <u>Delivery Channels</u>:
 Property Managers
 HVAC contractors
 Consulting engineers and designers
 Energy management firms

 - Industry associations

2010
(As filed)
251,343
231,343
14 years
14 years
12%
\$25,134
\$11,342
\$36,476

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 28 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Schools

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing elementary and high school buildings through retrofit of building components

Target market: Schools Facilities Management

End-uses addressed: Space heating and water heating

<u>Measures</u>: Customized energy savings plan for the building may include such measures as:

- Retrofit of boilers
- Improvements to other elements of the heating system
- Improvements to ventilation system
- Upgrading of building automation system
- Building envelope improvements
- Repairs to steam traps

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESC) will provide customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs
- EGD can provide preliminary review of facilities by ESC
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building (Typically for secondary schools)
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Prescriptive Boilers:
 - \$1,000 per elementary school
 - \$4,300 per secondary school
 - Additional menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans

- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers, and designers

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 29 of 47

- Energy management firms Industry associations. ٠
- •

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	2,563,489
(gross m ³)	2,000,400
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	19 years
average for program)	10 years
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$256,349
Fixed Costs	\$83,815
Total Program Costs	\$340,164

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 30 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Universities

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing university and college buildings through retrofit of building components

Target market: University & College Facilities Management

End-uses addressed: Space heating and water heating

<u>Measures</u>: Customized energy savings plan for the building may include such measures as:

- Retrofit of boilers
- Improvements to other elements of the heating system
- Improvements to ventilation system
- Upgrading of building automation system
- Building envelope improvements
- Repairs to steam traps

Program elements:

- Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultants (ESC) provides customized energy solutions to suit the customer's business needs.
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
- Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans

- Performance contractors, and Energy management firms
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers, and designers
- Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 31 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	4 474 444
(gross m ³)	1,174,441
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	11 years
average for program)	TT years
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 117,444
Fixed Costs	\$ 10,957
Total Program Costs	\$ 128,401

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 32 of 47

Custom Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Warehouses

<u>Program Goal</u>: To capture energy savings in existing warehouses through retrofit of building components

Target market: Warehouse owners and operators

End-uses addressed: Space heating, water heating and ventilation

Measures: A customized energy savings plan for the building may include:

- Higher efficiency boilers
- Reflective panels for radiators
- Controls, including Building Energy Management Systems
- Building envelope upgrades including air sealing measures
- Ventilation upgrades including makeup air
- Electricity and water conservation

Program elements:

- Optional preliminary energy review of facilities by an Enbridge Energy Solutions
 Consultant
- Availability of independent third party to conduct building audits and implementation; audit based on building annual consumption
 - Audit incentives available at a rate of \$0.01/m³ of previous year's consumption up to \$5,000 per building
- Support for Monitoring & Targeting through on site qualification, providing billing history & billing review, meter exchanges where warranted (for real time monitoring) or additional meter readings (for monthly monitoring)
- Support and training for Building Recommissioning. Incentives expected to be provided for Implementation Plan and Final Report.
- Implementation Incentives:
 - \$0.10/m³ of gas saved up to a maximum of \$100,000 per building
 - Menu of prescriptive offerings for HVAC related measures which could include boilers, Heat Recovery/Energy Recovery Ventilation, Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Air Doors, Roof Top Units, Destratification Fans
- Promotion: Trade shows, Trade magazines & Seminars, Industry associations

- Property Managers
- HVAC contractors
- Consulting engineers and designers
- Energy management firms
- Industry associations

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 33 of 47

Program Assumptions	2010
Resource Savings	
Natural Gas Savings	000 756
(gross m ³)	223,756
Induced Electricity	
Savings (gross kWh)	
Induced Water	
Savings (m ³)	
Equipment Life (estimated	12 voore
average for program)	12 years
Free Ridership	12%
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$22,376
Fixed Costs	\$8,082
Total Program Costs	\$30,457

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Air Doors (Single and Double Doors)

<u>*Program Goal*</u>: To improve energy efficiency of commercial/institutional facilities by installing an air barrier on exterior doors to maintain indoor air temperature

Target market: Retail, commercial and institutional entrance ways - Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

Measure: Installation of Air Door equipment on facility entrances.

<u>Program elements</u>: Rebate incentives are for a Single Door - \$300.00 and for a Double Door - 2 doors @ \$300.00 = \$600.00

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant) Single Door	
Natural Gas	667 m ³
Electricity	172 kWh
Water	
Resource Savings (per participant) Double Door	
Natural Gas	1,529 m ³
Electricity	1,023 kWh
Water	
Equipment Life	15
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install (Single Door / Double Door)	\$1650 / \$2,500
Free Ridership	5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	15
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$6,000
Fixed Costs	\$20,000
Total Program Costs	\$26,000

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Commercial Kitchen Ventilation (Demand Control)

<u>Program Goal</u>: To improve the energy efficiency of kitchen ventilation thereby reducing the amount of energy needed to condition the restaurant space

Target market: Commercial kitchens – New & Existing Facilities

<u>End-use addressed</u>: Space heating, cooling, and ventilation

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of demand control kitchen ventilation exhaust hood together with system rebalancing

<u>Program elements</u>: Incentive rebates are paid in three tiers based on the ventilation CFM rating of the system - Tier 1: 0-5000 CFM \$1000. Tier 2: 5001-10000 CFM \$1500. Tier 3: >10000 CFM \$2000

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	Tier 1: 4,801m ³
	Tier 2: 11,486m ³
	Tier 3: 18,924m ³
Electricity	Tier 1: 13,521kWh
	Tier 2: 30,901kWh
	Tier 3: 49,102kWh
Water	0
Equipment Life	15 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	0
Contractor Install	Tier 1: \$ 10,000
	Tier 2: \$ 15,000
	Tier 3: \$ 20,000
Free Ridership	5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	20
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$31,500
Fixed Costs	\$35,000
Total Program Costs	\$66,500

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 36 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Energy Recovery Ventilator

Program Goal: To reduce energy use through heating buildings more efficiently

Target market: Commercial sector - New & Existing

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

Measure: Installation of Energy Recovery Ventilator

Program elements: Incentive paid is \$100 per unit

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant) Existing	
Natural Gas	1.84-5.14 m ³ / CFM
Electricity	
Water	
Resource Savings (per participant) New Construction	
Natural Gas	1.75-4.89 m ³ / CFM
Electricity	
Water	
Equipment Life	20 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$3 / CFM
Free Ridership	5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	50
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$5,000
Fixed Costs	\$5,000
Total Program Costs	\$10,000

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 37 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Heat Recovery Ventilator

Program Goal: To space heat more efficiently

Target market: Commercial sector – New & Existing Table

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

Measure: Installation of Heat Recovery Ventilator

Program elements: Incentive paid is \$100 per unit

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant) Existing	
Natural Gas	1.75-4.90m ³ /CFM
Electricity	
Water	
Resource Savings (per participant) New Construction	1.62-4.55m ³ /CFM
Natural Gas	
Electricity	
Equipment Life	20
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$3.4 / CFM
Free Ridership	5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	75
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$7,500
Fixed Costs	\$5,000
Total Program Costs	\$12,500

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: High Efficiency (Condensing) Furnace (AFUE 96) Program

Program Goal: To heat space in existing small commercial facilities more efficiently.

Target market: Small commercial - Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space heating

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of an Energy Star high efficiency furnace (96% AFUE or greater). Effective January 1, 2010, Natural Resources Canada requires the minimum performance level, or the Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE), for residential gas-fired furnaces with an input rate not exceeding 65.92 kW (225 000 Btu/h) to be 90%.

Program elements: Incentive paid is \$100 per high-efficiency furnace

Delivery Channel: External business partners, channel consultants and manufacturers

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	1.7 /kBtu/hr
Electricity	
Water	
Equipment Life	18 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$8.4 /kBtu/h
Free Ridership	17.5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	100
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$10,000
Fixed Costs	\$15,000
Total Program Costs	\$25,000

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 39 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Infrared Heater

Goal: To space heat more efficiently

Target market: Commercial sector - New & Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of Infrared Heater

<u>Program elements</u>: Incentive rebates are based on three tiers – Tier 1: 0-75,000 Btu/h \$1,000. Tier 2: 76,000-150,000 Btu/h \$2,000, Tier 3: 151,000-300,000 Btu/h \$3,000.

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant) 0 -75,000 BTUH	
Natural Gas	.015 m ³ / Btu / h
Electricity	245 kWh
Water	
Resource Savings (per participant) 76,000 – 150,000 BTUH	
Natural Gas	.015 m ³ / Btu / h
Electricity	559 kWh
Water	
Resource Savings (per participant) 151,000 – 300,000 BTUH	
Natural Gas	.015 m ³ / Btu / h
Electricity	870 kWh
Water	
Equipment Life	20 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install Tier 1 / Tier 2 / Tier 3	\$0.0122 / Btu / h
Free Ridership	33%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	60
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$140,000
Fixed Costs	\$ 7,000
Total Program Costs	\$147,000

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: High Efficiency Front Load Washers

<u>Goal</u>: To reduce energy and water use associated with clothes washing in Multi-Residential buildings and other commercial faculties

Target market: Multi-Family sector - Existing Facilities

<u>End-use addressed</u>: Water heating and water use for clothes washing and electricity for clothes drying

Measure: Installation of energy efficient washers

<u>Program elements</u>: Incentive of \$75.00 per energy efficient washers paid to the customers

Delivery Channel: Route Operators and Energy Solutions Consultants.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	117 m ³
Electricity	396 kWh
Water	58.12 m ³
Equipment Life	11 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$600
Free Ridership	10%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	688
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$51,600
Fixed Costs	\$ 60
Total Program Costs	\$51,660

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 41 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Multi-residential Showerhead/Aerators

Program Goal: To reduce energy and water use in Multi-Residential buildings

Target market: Multi-Residential Property Owners and Managers

End-use addressed: Water Heating

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of low flow showerheads and faucet aerators

<u>Program elements</u>: Provision of low flow showerheads and aerators for installation by the property owner/manager

Delivery Channel: Water Services Energy Companies and Energy Solutions Consultants

<u>Reference:</u> 1.5 GPM aerators: Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Showerheads 1.5GPM and 2.0GPM: Savings formula and measure life based on EB 2008-0346 Decision re: showerhead at 1.25GPM. Incremental costs as per utility purchase costs. All Free ridership as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Measure Assumptions:		
 Each prospective participant is required to conduct a flow rate bag test in 5-10% of the building suites, to determine the existing showerhead flow rate. Natural gas / water savings claimed will be based on the difference in the measured flow rate and the energy efficient flow rate. 		
Savings	See table below	
Free Ridership	10%	
Equipment Life	10 years	

Details of efficient equipment	Details of base equipment (avg, existing stock) Determined via flow rate bag test.	Natural gas savings	Water savings	Incremental cost
1.0 GPM aerator (bathroom)	2.5 GPM aerator	11 m ³	2,371 L	\$1.50
1.5 GPM aerator (bathroom)	2.5 GPM aerator	4 m ³	1.382 L	\$2.00
1.0 GPM aerator (kitchen)	2.5 GPM aerator	39 m ³	8,072 L	\$2.00
1.5 GPM aerator (kitchen)	2.5 GPM aerator	16 m ³	5,377 L	\$2.00
1.5 GPM showerhead	2.0-2.5 GPM	28 m ³	5,197 L	\$17.00
1.5 GPM showerhead	2.6-3.0 GPM	55 m ³	9,490 L	\$17.00
1.5 GPM showerhead	3.1-3.5 GPM	79 m ³	13,250 L	\$17.00
1.5 GPM showerhead	3.6 GPM +	91 m ³	15,114 L	\$17.00
2.0 GPM showerhead	2.6-3.0 GPM	4 m ³	1,727 L	\$17.00
2.0 GPM showerhead	3.1-3.5 GPM	28 m ³	5,487 L	\$17.00
2.0 GPM showerhead	3.6 GPM +	40 m ³	7,351 L	\$17.00

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of	22.000
Participants	22,000
Program Costs	
Total Variable	¢104.000
costs	\$124,300
Fixed Costs	\$18,287
Total Program	\$142,587
Costs	

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 43 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Programmable Thermostats Program

Program Goal: To reduce space heating energy consumption

Target market: Small commercial – Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of a programmable thermostat

<u>Program elements</u>: Customer rebate incentive is \$40 per thermostat when used with a natural gas space heating system.

Delivery Channel: External business partners and Enbridge Channel Consultants.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas (savings vary by sector)	82-538 m ³
Electricity	63-266 kWh*
Water	
Equipment Life	15 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$110
Free Ridership	20%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	105
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 4,200
Fixed Costs	\$ 20,000
Total Program Costs	\$ 24,200

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Rooftop Units

Program Goal: To reduce energy use for space heating

Target market: Commercial sector – New & Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

Measure: Installation of efficient Roof Top Units

Program elements: Incentive paid is \$500 per unit

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

<u>*Reference*</u>: Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Commercial New Construction. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	255m ³
Electricity	
Water	
Equipment Life	15 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$375
Free Ridership	5%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	160
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$80,000
Fixed Costs	\$55,000
Total Program Costs	\$135,000

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 45 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Spray N Save

<u>Program Goal</u>: To provide commercial kitchens with the ability to save energy and water in their dishwashing operations

Target market: Food Service applications - New & Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Domestic Hot Water

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of an efficient spray rinse nozzle (0.64 or 1.24 gpm) on hoses at dishwashing stations

<u>Program elements</u>: Payment to business partner of \$100 for the provision and installation of a pre rinse spray nozzle

Delivery Channel: External business partners

<u>*Reference*</u>: 1.24 GPM unit: Savings, measure life and cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Commercial Existing Buildings. FR as per Union gas research. 0.64GPM unit: Savings and free ridership as per Union Gas research. Measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per Union gas purchase price.

Measure Assumptions	
(Resource savings vary by type of facility)	
Resource Savings (per participant) 0.64 GPM	
Natural Gas	318-1,286 m ³
Electricity	
Water	62.2-252 m ³
Resource Savings (per participant) 1.24 GPM	
Natural Gas	190-886 m ³
Electricity	
Water	36.48-170.32 m
Equipment Life	5 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install (0.64 / 1.24 GPM)	\$88 / \$60
Free Ridership (0.64 / 1.24 GPM)	0% / 12.4%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	3,050
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$305,000
Fixed Costs	\$ 15,000
Total Program Costs	\$320,000

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Tankless Water Heater (100 gal / day) Program

<u>*Program Goal:*</u> To reduce energy use through heating domestic hot water more efficiently

Target market: Small Commercial - New & Existing facilities

End-use addressed: Domestic water heating

Measure: Installation of a tankless water heater to replace a storage water heater

Program elements: Incentive paid is \$300

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: External business partners, Enbridge Channel Consultants and manufacturers.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	154 m ³
Electricity	
Water	
Equipment Life	18 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	(\$1,102)
Free Ridership	2%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	20
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$6,000
Fixed Costs	\$8,000
Total Program Costs	\$14,000

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit B Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 47 of 47

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Program Name: Programmable Thermostats Program

Program Goal: To reduce space heating energy consumption

Target market: Small commercial – Existing Facilities

End-use addressed: Space conditioning

<u>Measure</u>: Installation of a programmable thermostat

<u>*Program elements*</u>: Customer rebate incentive is \$40 per thermostat when used with a natural gas space heating system.

Delivery Channel: External business partners and Enbridge Channel Consultants.

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas (savings vary by sector)	82-538 m ³
Electricity	63-266 kWh*
Water	
Equipment Life	15 years
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	
Contractor Install	\$110
Free Ridership	20%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	105
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$ 4,200
Fixed Costs	\$ 20,000
Total Program Costs	\$ 24,200

NEW PROGRAMS AND PROGRAM ASSUMPTIONS

1. Introduction

In its letter of April 29th, 2009, the Board stated its purpose to "provide parties with the Measures and Input Assumptions that should be used by natural gas distributors for the development of the DSM Plans for 2010." The Board went on to state that it "adopts the Navigant Measures and Input Assumptions Report dated April 16, 2009 for use in the 2010 DSM plans."

- The Navigant Report acknowledged three circumstances in which the utilities may wish to propose alternative or additional assumption values in their DSM plans. They are to provide:
 - free ridership values,
 - incremental cost information which reflects the utility's program costs, and
 - information on "additional promising measures" not covered in the Navigant Report.
- 3. In order to deliver cost-effective programs in a manner consistent with the EB-2006-0021 Board Decision, all program assumptions are required.
- 4. This section describes the program assumptions that require approval as part of the 2010 DSM Plan:
 - information necessary to the Utility's program planning and reporting for 2010 but that was not included in the Navigant Report
 - free ridership
 - measure life for custom project technologies
 - information on program incremental costs specific to the Company's program delivery

- new measures submitted with the Company's comments on the Navigant Draft Report but which were not addressed in the Final Report
- corrections for assumptions for a limited number of measures addressed in the Navigant Final Report.
- 5. Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 2 includes an Assumption Table showing all proposed assumptions for the Company's 2010 DSM Plan. Detailed Substantiation Sheets for measures with additional information are included in Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 3. For measures where the only supplement to the Navigant Final Report is the addition of free ridership, no separate Substantiation Sheet is provided.

6. Information Necessary to Utility Program Planning

a) Free Ridership

As noted on page 10 of the Navigant Final Report:

With respect to free ridership, Navigant Consulting is not able to provide estimates of the free-ridership for any of the technologies and measures for DSM programs to be implemented in 2010 because the design of the DSM program and the specific customer segments to be targeted by Union and/or Enbridge in 2010 and beyond are not known at this time. We believe that Union and Enbridge will be in the best position to provide free-ridership estimates for these programs for planning purposes based on evaluation results and/or experience in other jurisdictions when they are being proposed.

Free Ridership values for all measures in the Company's 2010 DSM plan, together with the source references, are shown in Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 4. For most programs, the free ridership values are the same as those approved in EB-2008-0384. Where the value derives from recent research the source is referenced.

 b) Measure Life Assumptions for Custom Project Technologies
 Measure life assumptions for technologies used in custom projects are used to calculate TRC net benefits and cost effectiveness of the custom projects. The Measure Life Assumptions Table was last approved as part of the 2008
 Update (EB-2008-0384). The Table is shown at Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 5.

7. Assumptions to Reflect Program Delivery

As noted on page 8 of the Navigant Final Report:

If, through bulk purchasing by the utilities, the incremental costs for a given program will be lower than Navigant Consulting estimates, then it would be appropriate for the utilities to recommend changesto the input assumptions as part of their DSM submission.

- 8. The Company has accordingly applied program specific incremental costs to several programs. In some cases this results in increased incremental costs to reflect the installation cost included in the program. In other instances the incremental costs are lower, reflecting bulk purchasing of the measure by the utility. Changes to incremental costs are recorded on individual Substantiation Sheets at Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 3.
- 9. New Measures

On page 6 of the Navigant Final Report notes:

... as new information is available on any measures not covered herein (such as from pilot studies, load research or findings from other jurisdictions), Enbridge and/or Union can propose any additional promising measures for their DSM plans for 2010 rate year and beyond.

 This section presents measures included in the Company's 2010 DSM plan but not referenced or included in the Navigant Final Report. Individual substantiation sheets are provided for each measure.

Compact Fluorescent Light bulbs (CFLs):

This measure was approved in the 2008 Assumption Update (EB-2008-0384). Assumptions for the measure are based on OPA approved assumptions.

Pre-Rinse spray valve 0.64GPM unit.

Recent research commissioned by Union Gas updated deemed savings for the 1.24GPM pre-rinse spray valve and deemed savings for the newer 0.64GPM unit. The Navigant Final Report recommended the updated values for the 1.24GPM unit but did not address the 0.64GPM unit.

Multi-residential showerheads 1.5GPM unit.

The Company has successfully promoted the 1.25GPM showerhead for use in lowrise residential housing. However, concerns of water pressure in high-rise residential buildings limit the potential application of the 1.25GPM unit in the multiresidential sector. In order to capture available energy savings the Company proposes to install 1.5GPM and 2.0GPM showerheads in this sector.

Multi-residential aerators 1.0GPM unit.

Lower flow faucet aerators (1.0GPM) are now available, providing the opportunity for greater energy savings. The Company is introducing this product in the multi-residential sector and monitoring for market acceptance.

Prescriptive Boilers:

For Commercial customers in smaller facilities, the full custom project application process often proves onerous. The prescriptive boiler measure was developed to increase market penetration of efficient boilers in this sector. The prescriptive

measure will reduce the administrative burden for owners of commercial properties and facilitate applications for retrofit of efficient boilers.

Rooftop Units and Tankless Water Heaters:

The Navigant Final Report included measure assumptions for these two technologies in the New Construction sector but did not reference their application in the Existing Commercial sector. Both measures represent significant savings opportunities in the Existing sector. There are no codes or standards which would change the savings assumptions in the existing sector compared to new construction. Therefore the Company proposes to apply the same assumptions to both the New Construction and the Existing Commercial sector.

Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation and Pre-rinse Spray Valves:

Similar to the above, these two technologies were referenced for the Existing Commercial Sector but not New Construction in the Navigant Final Report. The Company proposes to apply the same assumptions to both the New Construction and Existing Commercial sector for these technologies.

Energy Star for New Homes:

The Energy Star for New Homes program was approved in EB 2006-0021. Through the program the Company provides in-kind support and an incentive to builders who build and enroll homes to the Energy Star standard. Energy Star standards have evolved with the recent changes to the Ontario Building Code. Energy Star Version 3 references energy savings compared to homes built to the Code adopted in December 2006. Energy Star Version 4 references homes built to the Code effective in 2009. Qualification for Energy Star versions depends on the date the Building Permit was issued, while the Company's claims for

participants depend on when the house was completed. Hence both Version 3 and Version 4 would be in effect during 2010.

- 11. The Navigant Final Report does not include assumptions for the Energy Star program, commenting that: "... Navigant Consulting was not able to provide a specific estimate for the natural gas or electricity savings for an Energy Star home due to this significant variability in available measures."
- 12. The Energy Star program is effective in raising the level of home performance because it is a whole house approach and can be marketed to the home buyer under an easily recognizable brand. Data from EnerQuality (the program administrator) show that with cost considerations in play there is little variability in the measures which builders select in order to meet the Energy Star standard, at Tab 10 Schedule 1. With this in mind, the Company's view is that the savings assumptions submitted are reasonable. The Company has played an important role in the introduction and support of the Energy Star program in Ontario. The brand is clearly gaining acceptance but there is still a long way to go. The Company's continued support is needed and the Company is submitting this program for continuation in 2010. A letter of support is included at Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedules 1.

13. Energy Savings Kit for New Construction:

In 2010 the Company proposes to introduce a program in the Residential New Construction sector. The Energy Savings Kit will be directed to builders who do not participate in Energy Star and will provide an entry level opportunity for builders to improve the performance of their homes through installation of low-flow showerheads, CFLs and programmable thermostats. Through dialogue with

builders in the franchise area the Company has found that many builders still do not install these basic energy saving devices in new homes. The New Construction Energy Savings Kit will provide an opportunity for the Company to influence modest immediate improvements and develop a relationship with the builders to encourage further improvements in the energy performance of their homes. The program makes use of measures that have been pre-approved in other contexts and provides a suggested free ridership value based on the Company market knowledge until further information can be gathered.

14. <u>Alternative Assumptions</u>

Residential Thermostats:

The gas savings values in the Navigant Draft Report of February 6, 2009 are based on billing analysis studies which Enbridge agrees is the best available information. In the Company's comments on the Draft Report, Enbridge agreed with the Navigant proposed gas savings and made one suggestion, that the electricity savings be reduced to reflect the market penetration of central air conditioning in the low-rise residential sector in Ontario. In their Final Report, Navigant further adjusted both the gas savings and the electricity savings to account for behavioural effects. The gas savings are based on billing analysis which used actual results both with and without programmable thermostats and hence are inclusive of customer behaviour impacts. Therefore, for the gas savings, no further adjustment for behavioural impacts is required and the Company proposes to use the value from the Navigant Draft Report. In contrast, the electricity savings were based on monitored results from two test houses. The Company agrees that it is appropriate to adjust the electricity results for behavioural impacts and proposes to use the electricity savings value from the Navigant Final Report.

Normalized Normalized </th <th>Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year</th> <th>istribution or 2010 Program Year</th> <th></th>	Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	istribution or 2010 Program Year										
The 2008-0346 The 200	indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-	ir EB 2008-		0346							
Item Savings AssumptionsItem Savings AssumptionsItem Savings AssumptionsItem Savings AssumptionsIcasElectricityWaterEquipmentIncremental CostFreeI (a)(j)(g)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(h)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(j)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(j)(h)(j)(g)(j)(j)(j)(j)(h)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(g)(j) <td>indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346</td> <td>indicates measure assumption not refere</td> <td>on not refere</td> <td>θ</td> <td>nced in EB 2</td> <td>008-0346</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346	indicates measure assumption not refere	on not refere	θ	nced in EB 2	008-0346						
Savings Assumptions Image Image </td <td>indicates program specific information for 2009 indicates corrected value</td> <td>indicates program specific information for 2 indicates corrected value</td> <td>Iformation for 2</td> <td>or 2</td> <td>600</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	indicates program specific information for 2009 indicates corrected value	indicates program specific information for 2 indicates corrected value	Iformation for 2	or 2	600							
AntiliarIncrementFreeElectricityWaterEquipmentIncremental CostRidership(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g)(h)(j)(j)(k)(f)(g) </th <th>indicates revised value</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>6</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1000</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	indicates revised value			6			1000					
KMh L Lite Kute Ku			Natu	Natul	Natural Gas	Electricity	Water	Equipment	Increme	ental Cost	Free	
(1) (9) (1) <th>Efficient Equipment & Load Technologies Technologies Technologies Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technol</th> <th>Base Equipment & Load Technologies Type</th> <th></th> <th>E</th> <th>ň</th> <th>кWh</th> <th>L .</th> <th>Years</th> <th>Customer Installed</th> <th>Contractor Installed</th> <th>2 mile 2 mile 2</th> <th>Reference</th>	Efficient Equipment & Load Technologies Technologies Technologies Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technologies Type Technologies Technol	Base Equipment & Load Technologies Type		E	ň	кWh	L .	Years	Customer Installed	Contractor Installed	2 mile 2	Reference
- 18 - 8750 2% 0 7,797 10 4.00 31% 0 6,012 10 4.00 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 1 30.00 10% 31% 30.00 0 6,334 10 - 11.50 10% 270 0 8 10 5.65 33% 1450 0 24% 5% 35.50 43% 1450 0 25 0 54.701 5% 734 0 25 0 5% 5% 734 0 27 5% 5% 5% 734 0 27 5% 5% 5% 1 10 51 10 5% 5% 0 7.94	(c) (d)	(c) (d)			(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	
\cdot \cdot 18 \cdot 5750 2% 0 $7,797$ 10 4.00 31% 0 $6,012$ 10 5.65 31% 0 $6,012$ 10 5.65 31% 0 $6,012$ 10 5.65 31% 0 $6,034$ 10 5.65 31% 0 $5,334$ 10 5.65 31% 0 $10,886$ 10 5.65 10% 270 0 $10,886$ 10 5.65 43% 270 0 8 0 0.000 24% 54 0 5 0 35.50 43% $1,450$ 0 25 0 35.75 5% $1,450$ 0 25 0 35.75 5% $7,34$ 0 25 0 31% $7,94$												- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
0 7,797 10 4.00 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 0,0186 10 5.65 31% 10 10,886 10 - 1150 10% 270 0 8 0 0.00 24% 54 0 15 35.50 43% 54 0 25 0 34,275 5% 734 0 25 0 34,275 5% 734 0 25 0 34,275 5% 734 0 25 0 34,275 5% 7 1450 10 31% 1 1 10 279 2767 31% 1 1 10 2794	Tankless Water Heater base 11 Heater base 11	base		÷	130	-		18		\$750	2%	Savings, measure life and incremental costs as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
0 6,012 10 5.65 31% 0 6,334 10 5.65 31% 0 6,334 10 30.00 10% 270 0 10,886 10 - 11.50 10% 270 0 8 0 - 11.50 10% 54 0 15 - 11.50 10% - 54 0 25 0 24% - - - 54 0 15 - 35.50 43% - - 54 0 25 0 54,701 5% - - 734 0 25 0 5% - - - 734 0 25 0 5% - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Faucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.5 Average existing stock, 2.5 base 23 GPM)	base		5		0	7,797	10		4.00	31%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings and equipment life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Residential Existing. Incremental costs as per utility purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385 for Existing homes.
0 6.334 10 30.00 10% 0 10,886 10 - 11.50 10% 270 0 10,886 10 - 11.50 10% 270 0 8 0 0.00 24% 2 1450 0 15 35.50 43% 2 1450 0 25 0 54/701 5% 734 0 25 0 54/701 5% 734 0 25 0 54/701 5% 734 0 25 0 54/701 5% 734 0 25 0 54/701 5% 734 0 25 0 54/701 5% 9 0 5 0 5% 5% 10 5 0 54/767 5% 5% 9 5 5 5 5% 5% 9 5<	Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5 Average existing stock, 2.2 base GPM) (3 aerators)	Average existing stock, 2.2 base GPM			18	0	6,012	10		5.65	31%	as above
0 10,886 10 - 11.50 10% 270 0 8 0.00 24% 270 0 8 0.00 24% 54 0 15 35.50 43% 1450 0 15 35.50 43% 734 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 734 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 70 10 25 0 \$4,275 5% 70 734 0 25% 10 5% 70 73 10 \$16 10 5% 70 275 5% 31% 10	Average existing stock, 2.2 base GPM	Average existing stock, 2.2 base GPM		7	46	ο	6,334	10		30.00	10%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings and equipment life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Residential Existing. Incremental costs as per utility purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385 for Existing homes and EGD builder survey.
270 0 8 0.00 24% 54 0 15 35.50 43% 54 0 15 35.50 43% 1,450 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 1,450 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 1 734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 1 1 734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 1 </td <td>Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, 2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead base 6 installed, 1.25 GPM replacing 2.0-2.5 GPM)</td> <td>2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM) base</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>66</td> <td>0</td> <td>10,886</td> <td>10</td> <td>ı</td> <td>11.50</td> <td>10%</td> <td>as above</td>	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, 2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead base 6 installed, 1.25 GPM replacing 2.0-2.5 GPM)	2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM) base		9	66	0	10,886	10	ı	11.50	10%	as above
54 0 15 35.50 43% 1,450 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 2 1,450 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 2 734 0 25 0 \$4,775 5% 2 734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 2 0 10 25 0 \$4,275 5% 2 10 7.97 10 \$16 10	CFL (13W) (6 bulbs) 60W Incandescent n/a		n/a		0	270	0	8		0.00	24%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life and FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385. Incremental cost as per utility purchase costs.
1,450 0 25 0 \$4,701 5% 734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 0 - 18 - \$1,767 5% 0 - 18 - \$1,767 5% 0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31%	Standard Thermostat weather	weather		~	146	54	0	15		35.50	43%	Not referenced in EB 2006-0346 Decision. Gas savings as per Navigant Draft Report. Electricity savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Existing Homes. Incremental cost as per EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
734 0 25 0 \$4,275 5% 0 - 18 - \$1,767 5% 0 - 18 - \$1,767 10 0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31%	Energy Star Home (version 3) Home built to OBC 2006 weather 1	weather		-	1,018	1,450	0	25	0	\$4,701	5%	As approved in EB 2008-0384 and 0385
0 - 18 - \$1,767 0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31%	Energy Star Home (version 4) Home built to OBC 2006 weather as of Mar 31, 2009		weather		881	734	0	25	0	\$4,275	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental costs: see Substantiation Sheet. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385 for Energy Star V3.
0 - 18 - \$1,767 31% 0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 1 0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31% 1 1												
0 7,797 10 \$1 - 31% 0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31%	High Efficiency Condensing Furnace High-Efficiency Furnace weather AFUE 96 AFUE 90	weather			129	0		18	•	\$1,767		
0 2,004 10 \$1 - 31%	Faucet Aerator (kitchen, distributed, 1.5 Average existing stock, 2.5 base GPM)	Average existing stock, 2.5 GPM	pase		23	0	7,797	10	\$1		31%	Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision.
	Faucet Aerator (bathroom, distributed, Average existing stock, 2.2 base 1.5 GPM) GPM	Average existing stock, 2.2 GPM	base		9	0	2,004	10	\$1		31%	as above

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 8

	DSM Input Accumutions for 2010 Dro	Enbridge Gas Distribution									
		indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	∃ ∋r EB 2008-i	0346							
		indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346	ion not refer	enced in EB 20	008-0346						
		indicates program specific information for 2009	nformation f	or 2009							
		indicates corrected value									
		indicates revised value									
13	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM)	Average existing stock, 2.2 GPM	base	46	0	6,334	10	\$4		10%	Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost based on EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
14	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.25 GPM)	Average existing stock, 2.2 GPM	base	63	0	10,570	10	\$4	ı	10%	as above
15	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM replacing 2.0-2.5 GPM)	2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM)	base	66	0	10,886	10		\$19	10%	Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost based on EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
16	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM replacing 2.6 + GPM)	2.6 + GPM showerhead (3.0 GPM)	base	116	0	17,168	10	ı	\$19	10%	as above
17	Pipe Insulation	Water Heater w/o pipe insulation	base	18		ı	10	\$2	\$4	4%	Savings, measure life and increnmental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
18	Programmable Thermostat	Standard Thermostat	weather	146	54	0	15	\$50		43%	Gas savings as per Navigant Draft Report. Electricity savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
19	Tankless Water Heater	Storage Tank Water Heater	base	130	-	-	18		\$750	2%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
20	Reflector Panels	Radiant heat w/o reflector panels	weather	143.0		ı	18		\$238	%0	Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost based on EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
	COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION										
21	Condensing Gas Water Heater 100 gals	Storage Tank Water Heater	base	332			13		\$2,230	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008. 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
22	Condensing Gas Water Heater 500 gals	Storage Tank Water Heater	base	873			13		\$2,230	5%	as above
23	Condensing Gas Water Heater 1000 gals	Storage Tank Water Heater	base	1,551			13		\$2,230	5%	as above
24	Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit)	Single stage rooftop unit	weather	255	,	ı	15	,	\$375	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
25	Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency	Storage Tank Water Heater 91 gal tank, 80% efficiency	base	154			18		-\$1,102	2%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008. 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
26	Infrared Heaters (0 - 75,000BTUH)	Regular Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	245		20		\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- ^α g 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
1				Ĩ							

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 2 of 8

	Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	ribution 010 Program Year									
		indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	er EB 2008-	0346	0100 001						
		Indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346			008-0346						
1		indicates program specific information for 2009 indicates corrected value	nrormation r	or 2009							
1		indicates revised value									
	Infrared Heaters (76,000 - 150,000 BTUH)	Regular Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	559		20		\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	as above
	Infrared Heaters (151,000 0 - 300,000 BTUH)	Regular Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	870		20		\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	as above
	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (0 - 4999 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	4,801	13,521		15		\$10,000	5%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision for Commercial Existing. FR as per EB 2008- 0384 and 0385.
1	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (5000 - 9999 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	11,486	30,901		15		\$15,000	5%	as above
	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (10000 - 15000 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	18,924	49,102	,	15		\$20,000	5%	as above
	Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) savings vary by sector	Ventilation without ERV	weather	1.75-4.89 / CFM	•		20		\$3.00/CFM	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
	Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) -savings vary by sector	Ventilation without HRV	weather	1.62-4.55 / CFM			20		\$3.40/CFM	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
	Condensing Boilers (90% estimated seasonal efficiency)	Non-condensing Boiler (76% estimated seasonal efficiency)	base	0.014 m ³ /BTUH			25		\$12.00/10 ³ / BTUH	5%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision for Commercial Existing. FR as per EB 2008- 0384 and 0385.
	Destratification Fans	No destratification fans	weather	0.56/ft ²	(-)0.0034/ft ²		15		\$7,021	10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Full Service)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	886	ı	170,326	Q	\$60	,	12.4%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision for Commercial Existing. FR as per Union Gas research.
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Limited)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	190	I	36,484	5	\$60		12.4%	as above
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Other)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	200	I	38,383	5	\$60	ı	12.4%	as above
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) (Full Service)		base	1,286		252,000	5	\$88		%0	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings and free ridership as per Union Gas research. Measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per Union Gas purchase price.
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) (Limited)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	339	I	66,400	5	\$88	ı	%0	as above
	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle 0.64 GPM) (Other)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	318	1	62,200	5	\$88		%0	as above

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 3 of 8

Image: constraint of the		Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	ribution 010 Program Year									
Indext with the stand of th	1											
Indicates measure assumption on referenced in E2.008.04.6 Indicates measure assumption on referenced in E2.009.04.6 Indicates measure assumption on referenced in E2.008.04.6 Indicatesemeasure assumption on referenced in E2.008.04.6 <		_	indicates assumptions as pe	ir EB 2008-	0346							
Image: constraint of the constr		_	indicates measure assumptiv	on not refer	enced in EB 2	008-0346						
Image: contraction of the contract			indicates program specific in	Iformation f	or 2009							
Contretenting case Valaer Healer 100 salitModelMode			indicates corrected value									
Condutising Case Water Heater 500 galsHeater 90 main Tank WaterLease 10 modelHeater 91 GalLease 10 modelHeater 91 GalLease 10 modelHeater 91 GalLease 10 modelHeater 91 GalSee 2200S%S%Schrehmalt efficiencyStronger Tank WaterBalen UndelJackJackJackJackS2230S%S%Schrehmalt efficiencyStronger Tank WaterAverage existing stockbase 1551 Y 10 S2 2 10%S%Faucet Arentor (kitchen, installed, 1.5Average existing stockbase 24 2 3 3 2 2 10% 3 3 Faucet Arentor (kitchen, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 3 3 10% 2 10% 3 Faucet Arentor (hitchen, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 1 3 10% 2 10% 10% Faucet Arentor (bathroom, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 1 10% 10% 10% 10% Faucet Arentor (bathroom, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 1 10% 2 10% 10% Faucet Arentor (bathroom, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 10% 10% 10% 10% Faucet Arentor (bathroom, installed, 1.6Average existing stockbase 24 2 2.371 10% 21.5% </td <td>5</td> <td>Condensing Gas Water Heater 100 gals 95% thermal efficiency</td> <td>Storage Tank Water Heater 80% eff, 91 Gal tank</td> <td>base</td> <td>332</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td>\$2,230</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td>	5	Condensing Gas Water Heater 100 gals 95% thermal efficiency	Storage Tank Water Heater 80% eff, 91 Gal tank	base	332	•	•	13		\$2,230	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Condensing Gas Vater Heater 100 galsSurget Tank Vater heater 00% eff. 91 Galbase156115611322.2305%Frunds Anterer 100Heater 00%, eff. 91 GalNeerage existing stockbase1561Y5.37710022Y10%Frunds Anterer (Nitchen, installed, 1.5Average existing stockbase2424Y10022Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nitchen, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase24Y1.3821022Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nitchen, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase24Y1.38210S2Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nitchen, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase24Y1.38210S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase7Y2.37110S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase71.38210S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase33Y2.37110S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase232.37110S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, Installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase232.37110S1.50Y10%Frunds Anteror (Nathern, Rather (Nathern) <td< td=""><td>Ω.</td><td>Condensing Gas Water Heater 500 gals 95% thermal efficiency</td><td>Storage Tank Water Heater 80% eff, 91 Gal tank</td><td>base</td><td>873</td><td></td><td></td><td>13</td><td></td><td>\$2,230</td><td>5%</td><td>as above</td></td<>	Ω.	Condensing Gas Water Heater 500 gals 95% thermal efficiency	Storage Tank Water Heater 80% eff, 91 Gal tank	base	873			13		\$2,230	5%	as above
Euclose factarics (kitchen, installed, 1.5)Average existing stockbase16156.3771002210%10%Faucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.0)Average existing stockbase24242410°2210°24Paucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.1)Average existing stockbase242410°2310°2410%Paucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.1)Average existing stockbase242.3710°2510%26%Paucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0)Average existing stockbase772.3710°2510%26%Paucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0)Average existing stockbase772.3710°23710%20%Paucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0)Average existing stockbase732.3710°21.5020%10%High Efficiency FurnaceHigh Efficiency Furnace2017.502.3710°21.502.0710%How Flow Shownead (Per unit,Average existing stockbase4452.06.222.002.002.0010%Low Flow Shownead (Per unit,Average existing stockbase445105410%10%10%Low Flow Shownead (Per unit,Average existing stockbase445102.521002.010%10%Low Flow Shownead (Per unit,Average existing stockbase445105	4	Condensing Gas Water Heater 1000 gals 95% thermal efficiency	Storage Tank Water Heater 80% eff, 91 Gal tank	base	1,551			13		\$2,230	5%	as above
Faucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase24241.028.072108210%Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5Average existing stockbase421.382108210%10%Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5Average existing stockbase421.3821081.5010%10%Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase72.3711081.5010%10%Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase72.3711081.5010%17.5Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase1.7.MBWhr11110%115Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase3312.3711081.50110%High Efficiency Condensing FurnaceHigh Efficiency Furnace112111111(AFUE 90)Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit,Average existing stockbase331184.40111	15	Faucet Aerator (kitchen, installed, 1.5 GPM)	Average existing stock	base	16		5,377	10	\$2		10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5Average existing stockbase 4 $\mathbf{-1}$ 1.382 10 $\mathbf{S2}$ $\mathbf{-1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase 7 $\mathbf{-2}$ 2.371 10 $\mathbf{S1}$ $\mathbf{-1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase 7 $\mathbf{-2}$ 2.371 10 $\mathbf{S1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ High Efficiency Condensing FurraceHigh Efficiency Furrace 1.75 1.75 $\mathbf{10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ 17.5 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.26 GPM)Average existing stockbase 33 2.5228 100 544 $\mathbf{-10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.26 GPM)Average existing stockbase 45 $\mathbf{-2}$ 9.824 100 54 $\mathbf{-10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nuit, distributed, 1.26 GPM) $\mathbf{2.6.4 GPM}$ showerheadbase 48 $\mathbf{-1}$ 3.137 10 517 $\mathbf{-1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nousehold, distributed, 1.26 GPM) $\mathbf{2.0-25 GPM}$ showerheadbase 28 $\mathbf{-1}$ 3.137 $\mathbf{-1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nousehold, distributed, 1.56 GPM) $\mathbf{2.0-25 GPM}$ showerheadbase 28 $\mathbf{-1}$ 3.17 $\mathbf{-1}$ $\mathbf{10\%}$ Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nousehold, distrib	91		Average existing stock	base	24		8,072	10	\$2	,	10%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings formula and measure life based on EB 2008-0346 Decision for 1.5 GPM aerator adjusted for 1.0 GPM aerator. Measure life, incremental cost and FR as per EB 2008- 0384 and 0385.
Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase72.3711081.50.10%Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0Average existing stockbase1.7KBtu/hr11081.50.10%High Efficiency FurnaceHigh Efficiency Furnace1.7KBtu/hr1.7KBtu/hr188.4KBtu/hr17.5Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM)Average existing stockbase33.5.2281054.10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nuit, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)Average existing stockbase45.8.82410517.10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase48.143.3310517.10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase48.143.3310517.10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase28.5.197107170%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase28.5.197107170%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase28.5.1977070%70%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, nstalled, 1.5 GPM)2.0-2.5 GPM showerheadbase28.5.1977070% <td>L†</td> <td>Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)</td> <td>Average existing stock</td> <td>base</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>1,382</td> <td>10</td> <td>\$2</td> <td>,</td> <td>10%</td> <td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td>	L†	Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)	Average existing stock	base	4		1,382	10	\$2	,	10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
High Efficiency Condensing FurnaceHigh Efficiency FurnaceI 7.5ReltuntI 7.5I 7.5<	8	Faucet Aerator (bathroom, installed, 1.0 GPM)	Average existing stock	base	7		2,371	10	\$1.50		10%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings formula and measure life based on EB 2008-0346 Decision for 1.5 GPM aerator adjusted for 1.0 GPM aerator. Measure life, incremental cost and FR as per EB 2008- 0384 and 0385.
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM)Average existing stock distributed, 1.5 GPM)base33-5,22810\$4-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.25 GPM)Average existing stockbase45-8,82410\$4-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase48-9,08810\$17-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase84-14,33310\$17-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase84-14,33310\$17-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase28-5,19710\$17-10%10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase28-5,19710\$17-10%	6	High Efficiency Condensing Furnace (AFUE 96)	High Efficiency Furnace (AFUE 90)		1.7/kBtu/hr			18		8.4/kBtu/hr	17.5	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.25 GPM)Average existing stockbase45-8,8241054-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase48-9,08810\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase48-9,08810\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.6 + GPM showerheadbase84-14,33310\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase28-5,19710\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerheadbase28-5,19710\$17-10%	00	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM)	Average existing stock	base	33		5,228	10	\$4	,	10%	Savings and measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per EGD purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM)base48-9,08810\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.6 + GPM showerhead and above (3.0GPM)base84-14,33310\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM)base28-5,19710\$17-10%	5	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.25 GPM)	Average existing stock	base	45	1	8,824	10	\$4	ı	10%	as above
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)2.6 + GPM showerhead and above (3.0GPM)base84-14,33310\$17-10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)2.0 - 2.5 GPM showerhead 	2	ad (Per household,	2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM)	base	48		9,088	10	\$17	ı	10%	as above
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, 2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead base 28 - 5,197 10 \$17 - 10%	33	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.25 GPM)	2.6 + GPM showerhead and above (3.0GPM)	base	84		14,333	10	\$17	ı	10%	as above
burchase	4		2.0 -2.5 GPM showerhead (2.25 GPM)	base	28		5,197	10	\$17	ı	10%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings 6 5 9 formula and measure life based on EB 2008-0346 Decision 9 9 showerhead at 1.25 GPM. Incremental cost as per utility purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 4 of 8

	Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	ribution 010 Program Year									
		indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	∍r EB 2008-	0346							
	-	indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346	on not refer	enced in EB 20	08-0346						
		indicates program specific information for 2009	Information f	or 2009							
		indicates corrected value									
		indicates revised value									
55	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)	2.6 -3.0 GPM GPM showerhead (2.75 GPM)	base	55	ı	9,490	10	\$17	ı	10%	as above
56	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)	3.1 - 3.5 GPM showerhead (3.25 GPM)	base	62	1	13,250	10	\$17		10%	as above
57	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)	3.6 GPM and above (3.6 GPM)	base	91		15,114	10	\$17	,	10%	as above
58	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)	2.6 -3.0 GPM GPM showerhead (2.75 GPM)	base	4		1,727	10	\$17	ı	10%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings formula and measure life based on EB 2008-0346 Decision showerhead at 1.25 GPM. Incremental cost as per utility purchase costs. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
59	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)	3.1 o 3.5 GPM (3.25 GPM)	base	28		5,487	10	\$17		10%	as above
60	Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)	3.6 GPM and above (3.6 GPM)	base	40		7,351	10	\$17		10%	as above
61	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Full Service)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	886		170,326	Ŋ	\$60	ı	12.4%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
62	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Limited)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	190	ı	36,484	5	09\$	ı	12.2%	as above
63	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) (Other)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	200		38,383	£	\$60	,	12.4%	as above
64	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) (Full Service)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	1,286		252,000	ы	\$88		%0	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings and free ridership as per Union Gas research. Measure life as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Incremental cost as per Union Gas purchase price.
65	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) (Limited)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	339		66,400	5	\$88	,	%0	as above
66	Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) (Other)	standard pre-rinse spray nozzle (3.0 GPM)	base	318	-	62,200	5	88\$	I	%0	as above
67	Programmable Thermostats (Warehouse, Recreation, Agriculture, Industrial)	Standard thermostat	weather	538	266		15	\$110	ı	20%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
68	Programmable Thermostats (Multi family, food service)	Standard thermostat	weather	223	156		15	\$110	·	20%	as above
69	Programmable Thermostats (Office, Information & Culture, Educational services)	Standard thermostat	weather	211	112		15	\$110		20%	as above
70	Programmable Thermostats (Retail, hotel/motel)	Standard thermostat	weather	82	63		15	\$110		20%	as above

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 5 of 8

	Enbridge Gas Distribution	ibution									
	DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	010 Program Year									
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
		indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	ır EB 2008-	0346							
		indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346	on not refer	enced in EB 20	08-0346						
		indicates program specific information for 2009	iformation f	or 2009							
		indicates corrected value									
		indicates revised value									
71	Rooftop Unit	Standard Rooftop Unit	weather	255			15	ı	\$375	5%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life, and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision Commercial New Construction. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
72	Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day	84% Thermal Efficiency	base	154		1	18	I.	-\$1,102	2%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
73	Enhanced Furnace (continuous)	Standard PSC motor	weather	-2.7 kBtu/hr	22.7kBtu/hr		15	ı	\$960	10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. Free ridership as per EB 2008-0384 and
74	Enhanced Furnace (Non-continuous)	Standard PSC motor	weather	-0.4 kBtu/hr	4.8kBtu/hr		15		\$960	10%	as above
75	Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)-savings vary by sector	Ventilation without HRV	weather	1.75-4.90 / CFM	1		20		\$3.40/CFM	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
76	Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)- savings vary by sector	Ventilation without ERV	weather	1.84-5.14 m3/CFM			20	I.	\$3.00/CFM	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
77	Condensing Boilers	Non-condensing Boiler (76% estimated seasonal efficiency)	base	0.0104 m3/BTUH			25		\$12.00/10 ³ BTUH	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008. 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
78	Infrared Heaters (0 - 75,000 BTUH)	Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	245		20	I.	\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
79	Infrared Heaters (76,000 - 150,000 BTUH)	Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	559		20		\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	as above
80	Infrared Heaters (151,000 - 300,000 BTUH)	Unit Heater	weather	0.015 m3/BTUH	870	•	20		\$0.0122/10 ³ BTUH/hr	33%	as above

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 6 of 8

Indicates assumptions Indicates Indicates assumptions Indica		Enbridge Gas Distribution DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	ribution 2010 Program Year									
			5									
Image: constant set in the co			indicates assumptions as p	er EB 2008	-0346							
Indicates correcti valueIndicates correctivalueIndicates correctiva			indicates measure assumpt	tion not refe	srenced in EB 2	008-0346						
Indicates corrected value I			indicates program specific i	nformation	for 2009							
			indicates corrected value									
			indicates revised value									
Commed Control Kinchen VanitationVentilation without DCKVweather14.46830.901 \cdot 15 \cdot \$15.000 \cdot 5% \cdot Demand Control Kinchen VanitationVentilation without DCKVweather \cdot <td>81</td> <td>Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (0 - 49,999 CFM)</td> <td>Ventilation without DCKV</td> <td>weather</td> <td>4,801</td> <td>13,521</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td>\$10,000</td> <td>5%</td> <td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td>	81	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (0 - 49,999 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	4,801	13,521		15		\$10,000	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
	82	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (5000 - 9999 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	11,486	30,901		15	1	\$15,000	5%	as above
Air Curtains (Single Doot)Air Curtains (Single Doot) $ir Single Doot$ $ir Singl$	83	Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation (10000 - 15000 CFM)	Ventilation without DCKV	weather	18,924	49,102	•	15		\$20,000	5%	as above
Air Curtains (Double Door)Air Curtains (Double Door)No destratification fansweather $1,529$ $1,0234$ $1,0234$ $1,5$ $1,5$ $2,5,00$ 5% 10% Destratification FansNo destratification fansweather $0,56/1t^3$ $(-0,0034/1)^2$ $1,5$ $1,5$ $5,7,021$ 10% CEE Qualified Energy Efficient WashersConventional top loadingbase 117 396 $58,121$ 11 $2,5,021$ 10% Prescriptive School Boliers (Elementary)Bolier with Comb. Eff. Ofbase $1,0830$ $2,6$ $2,5$ $2,5,021$ 10% Prescriptive School Boliers (Secondary)Bolier with Comb. Eff. Ofbase $4,3,853$ $2,2$ $2,5$ $2,5,648$ $1,2\%$ $2,6,64$ Energy Efficient FryersStanderd tryerbase 913 0 $2,5$ $2,5,648$ $2,2,648$ $2,2,648$ $2,2,648$ $2,2,648$ $2,2,648$ Commercial. Large Commercial and $30,-60,50\%$ $30,-60,50\%$ $30,-60,50\%$ $30,-60,50\%$ $10,122,0\%$ $30,-60,50\%$ $10,122,0\%$ High Efficient FryersStandard tryerbase $1,075,4,317$ $2,5$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,2,60,57,00\%$ $10,122,0\%$ Multi-residentialInger efficient Poler $30,-150,00$ base $1,075,4,317$ $2,5$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,648$ $2,5,6$	84	Air Curtains (Single Door)		weather	667	172	I.	15	1	\$1,650	5%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Destratification FansNo destratification fansweather $0.56 / tr^2$ $\frac{0.0004}{tr^2}$ \cdot 15 \cdot $57,021$ 10% CEE Qualified Energy Efficient WashersConventional top loadingbase 117 396 $58,121$ 11 \cdot 5000 10% Prescriptive School Boilers (Elementary)Spacer Hearing, Hydronicbase $10,830$ \cdot \cdot 25 5600 10% Prescriptive School Boilers (Elementary)Boile with Comb. Eff. 01base $10,830$ \cdot \cdot 25 $86,46$ 12% Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Boile with Comb. Eff. 01base $43,853$ \cdot \cdot 25 $86,46$ 12% Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Boile with Comb. Eff. 01base $43,853$ \cdot \cdot 25 \cdot $86,46$ 12% High Efficient FryersStandard fryerbase $10,75,4,317$ \cdot \cdot 25 \cdot $5300,5500$ 10% High Efficient ElementalNutl-residential $000,402\%$ 000 $10,75,4,317$ \cdot 25 \cdot $2500,57100$ $10/1220\%$ High Efficient ElementalInformercial, Large Commercial andInformercial, Large Commercial and $1,766,7,095$ \cdot 25 \cdot $2500,57100$ $10/1220\%$ High Efficient GlandaInformercial, Large Commercial andInformercial, Large Commercial and $1,766,7,095$ \cdot 25 \cdot $2500,57100$ $10/1220\%$ Mutt-residentialInformer	85			weather	1,529	1,023		15		\$2,500	5%	as above
CEE Cualified Energy Efficient Washers.Conventional top loading washers.base11739658.121115660010%Prescriptive School Bollers (Elementary)Space Heating, Hydronic Boller with Comb. Eff. Of 80%-22%.base10.830555558.64612%7Prescriptive School Bollers (Secondary)Boller with Comb. Eff. Of Boller with Comb. Eff. Of Boller with Comb. Eff. Ofbase43.85955758.64612%7Prescriptive School Bollers (Secondary)Boller with Comb. Eff. Of Boller with Comb. Eff. Ofbase43.85957255514.47012%7Energy Efficient FryersStandard tyerbase43.859772555555High Efficiency Bollers (DHW) SmallInigher efficiency bollersbase1.075.4,317522555555High Efficiency Bollers (DHW) SmallInigher efficiency bollersbase1.075.4,317522555555555High Efficiency Bollers (DHW) SmallInigher efficiency bollersbase1.075.4,31752255555555555555555555555555555555555555 <t< td=""><td>86</td><td>Destratification Fans</td><td>No destratification fans</td><td>weather</td><td>0.56 / ft²</td><td>(-)0.0034 / ft²</td><td></td><td>15</td><td></td><td>\$7,021</td><td>10%</td><td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td></t<>	86	Destratification Fans	No destratification fans	weather	0.56 / ft ²	(-)0.0034 / ft ²		15		\$7,021	10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Prescriptive School Boilers (Elementary)Space Heating, Hydronic Boiler with Comb. Eff. 01base10,830••25•\$8,64612%Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Boiler with Comb. Eff. 01base43,859••255\$14,47012%Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Boiler with Comb. Eff. 01base43,859••25•\$14,47012%High Efficient FryersStandard tryerbase9130•12\$2,648••1High Efficienty Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficienty boilersbase1,075-4,317••25\$2,648•10/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficienty300-1500 MBH 83-84%base1,075-4,317••25•\$3900-\$590010/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficientbase1,075-4,317••25•\$3900-\$590010/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficientbase1,075-4,317••25•\$4500-\$740010/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficientbase1,075-4,317••25•\$4500-\$740010/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) SmallInigher efficientbase1,075-4,317••25•\$4500-\$740010/1220%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) SmallInigher efficientbase2,05-4,317 <t< td=""><td>87</td><td>CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers</td><td>Conventional top loading washers.</td><td>base</td><td>117</td><td>396</td><td>58,121</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>\$600</td><td>10%</td><td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td></t<>	87	CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers	Conventional top loading washers.	base	117	396	58,121	1		\$600	10%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Space Heating, Hydronic Boiler with Comb. Eff. Of $80\%-82\%$.base $43,859$ 5 5 5 $514,470$ 12% 12% Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)Boiler with Comb. Eff. Of $80\%-82\%$.base $43,859$ 0 1 25 5 $514,470$ 12% 2% 10% 12% <td>88</td> <td>Prescriptive School Boilers (Elementary)</td> <td>Space Heating, Hydronic Boiler with Comb. Eff. Of 80%-82%.</br></td> <td>base</td> <td>10,830</td> <td></td> <td>T.</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td>\$8,646</td> <td>12%</td> <td>Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.</td>	88	Prescriptive School Boilers (Elementary)	Space Heating, Hydronic 	base	10,830		T.	25		\$8,646	12%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
Energy Efficient FryersStandard fryerbase9130-12\$2.6481High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Smallhigher efficiency boilers300-1500 MBH 83-84%base1,075-4,317-25-\$3300-\$590010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Smalla00-1500 MBH 83-84%base1,075-4,31725-\$3300-\$590010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Smallhigher efficientbase1,766-7,09525-\$4500-\$740010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase1,766-7,09525-\$4500-\$740010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase2,105-16,45225-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase2,105-16,45225-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase2,105-16,45225-\$4500-\$706010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase3,125-24,43125-\$4500-\$705010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Smallhigher efficiency boilersbase3,125-24,43125-\$4500-\$705010/12/20%	89	Prescriptive School Boilers (Secondary)	Space Heating, Hydronic Boiler with Comb. Eff. Of 80%-82%.	base	43,859		I.	25	I.	\$14,470	12%	Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small higher efficiency boilers officienthigher efficiency boilers officientbase1,075-4,317 1,075-4,317-25-\$3900-\$590010/12/20%Nulti-residential Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-residentialingher efficiency boilers 600 MBH 85-88% efficientbase1,766-7,09525-\$4500-\$740010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Multi-residentialhigher efficiency boilers 1000 MBH 83-84%base2,105-16,45225-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Multi-residentialhigher efficiency boilers efficientbase2,105-16,45225-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Multi-residentialhigher efficiency boilers efficientbase2,105-16,45225-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Multi-residentialhigher efficiency boilers efficient25-\$3900-\$495010/12/20%High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small 	60	Energy Efficient Fryers	Standard fryer	base	913	0		12	\$2,648			Savings, measure life and incremental cost as per EB 2008- 0346 Decision.
High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small higher efficiency boilers 600 MBH 85-88% efficient Multi-residentialhigher efficiency boilers 	91	High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-residential	higher efficiency boilers 300-1500 MBH 83-84% efficient	base	1,075-4,317			25		\$3900 -\$5900	10/12/20%	Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. FR for Small Commercial / Large Commercial / Multi-residential as per EB 2008-0384.
High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small higher efficiency boilers - 25 - \$3300-\$4950 10/12/20% Commercial, Large Commercial and 1000 MBH 83-84% base 2,105-16,452 - 25 - \$3300-\$4950 10/12/20% Multi-residential efficient efficient - 25 - \$3500-\$4950 10/12/20% High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small higher efficiency boilers 3,125-24,431 - 25 - \$4500-\$7050 10/12/20%	92	High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-residential	higher efficiency boilers 600 MBH 85-88% efficient	base	1,766-7,095	1	I	25	I	\$4500-\$7400	10/12/20%	as above
High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small higher efficiency boilers 54500-\$7050 Commercial, Large Commercial and 1500 MBH 85-88% base 3,125-24,431 - 25 - \$4500-\$7050	93	High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-residential	higher efficiency boilers 1000 MBH 83-84% efficient	base	2,105-16,452			25		\$3900-\$4950	10/12/20%	as above
Multi-residential	94	High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-residential	higher efficiency boilers 1500 MBH 85-88% efficient	base	3,125-24,431	'		25	'	\$4500-\$7050	10/12/20%	Page 7 of

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 7 of 8

	Enbridge Gas Distribution	ibution									
	DSM Input Assumptions for 2010 Program Year	010 Program Year									
		indicates assumptions as per EB 2008-0346	er EB 2008-(346							
		indicates measure assumption not referenced in EB 2008-0346	on not refer	enced in EB 2	008-0346						
		indicates program specific information for 2009	Information for	Jr 2009							
		indicates corrected value			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
ĺ		indicates revised value									
	COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL CUSTOM PROJECTS										
95	Custom Projects			Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual		Actual		
96	Agriculture									40%	as per EB 2008-0384
97	Industrial									50%	as above
98	Commercial									12%	as above
66	Multi-Residential									20%	as above
100	New construction									26%	as above
	OTHER MEASURES										
8	100 CFL (13W)										Not referenced in EB 2008-0346 Decision. Savings, measure life and FR as per EB 2008-0384 and 0385.
		60W Incandescent	n/a	0	45	0	8	\$0.00		24%	Incremental costs as per utility purchase costs.
101	CFL (23W)	75W Incandescent	n/a	0	49.7	0	8	\$0.00		24%	

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 2 Page 8 of 8

Substantiation Sheets for Selected 2010 Input Assumptions

Witnesses: M. Brophy T. MacLean P. Squires

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION	
ENERGY STAR FOR NEW HOMES (VERSION 3) ENERGY STAR FOR NEW HOMES (VERSION 4) 1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (KITCHEN) 1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (BATHROOM) 1.5 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD 1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT	
RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES	
 1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (KITCHEN) 1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (BATHROOM) 1.5 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD 1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD 1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT	
OTHER MEASURES	
CFL SCREW-IN (13W) CFL SCREW-IN (23W)	
COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	
DEMAND CONTROL KITCHEN VENTILATION (DCKV) PRE-RINSE SPRAY NOZZLE (1.24 GPM)	
COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS	
 1.0 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (KITCHEN) 1.0 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (BATHROOM)	30 31 32 33 35 36
HIGHER EFFICIENCY BOILERS – DOMESTIC WATER HEATING HIGHER EFFICIENCY BOILERS – SPACE HEATING	

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

ENERGY STAR FOR NEW HOMES (VERSION 3)

Residential, New Construction

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Energy Star for New Homes, version 3, qualified home

Base Technology & Equipment Description

New Home built in Ontario, compliant to OBC-2006, permits issued prior to March 31, 2009.

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	1018 m^3
As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385. Gas savings is based	on a simple average of a new reference
house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's	climate, and another set in North Bay's
climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent	0
Territory. The results were weighted 70% UG South and 30% U	
HOT2000 version 9.34b. This is the same software that is	
EnerQuality Version 3.0 Energy Star Criteria, which is what's m	
mix of 90% AFUE furnace (weighted 80%) and 80% AFUE com	
the base case heating system. The upgrade system was a 92% A	
to describe the simply OBC-2006 houses (default present in HO' new home construction ²	12000), which is representative of average
new home construction	
Electricity	1450 kWh
Electricity	l on a simple average of a new reference
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based	l on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's clin	l on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's mid-range of new homes built in UG
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's clin climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent the	I on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's mid-range of new homes built in UG G North. The software used for analysis is
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's clin climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent the Territory. ¹ The results were weighted 70% UG South and 30% U	I on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's mid-range of new homes built in UG G North. The software used for analysis is ly in use for application of the
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's clin climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent the Territory. ¹ The results were weighted 70% UG South and 30% U HOT2000 version 9.34b. This is the same software that is current EnerQuality Version 3.0 Energy Star Criteria, which is what's ma 3.57 ACH50 air leakage was used to describe the simply OBC-20	I on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's mid-range of new homes built in UG G North. The software used for analysis is ily in use for application of the andatory to evaluate homes for ESNH. A
Electricity As approved in EB 2008-384 & 0384. Electrical savings is based house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house ¹ with London's clin climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent the Territory. ¹ The results were weighted 70% UG South and 30% U HOT2000 version 9.34b. This is the same software that is current EnerQuality Version 3.0 Energy Star Criteria, which is what's mat	I on a simple average of a new reference nate, and another set in North Bay's mid-range of new homes built in UG G North. The software used for analysis is ily in use for application of the andatory to evaluate homes for ESNH. A

Equipment Life	25 years
As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385. Energy Star homes have (before major renovations are expected).	an estimated service life of 25 years
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install)	\$4,701
As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385. Cost estimates for the HVAC Trades and Builders who are actively building energy s	
simple average of a new reference house, a 1 storey house, and a	2 storey house ¹ .
Free Ridership	5 %
As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385	

 ¹ Based on *Comparison of EnergyStar vs.Ontario Building Code 2006 Energy Use*, spreadsheets, from July and August, 2008, by Bowser Technical Inc.
 ² Conversation with Jennifer Tausman, ESNH files coordinator, NRCAN OEE, July 21, 2008

ENERGY STAR FOR NEW HOMES (VERSION 4)

Residential, New Construction

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Energy Star for New Homes, version 4, qualified home
Base Technology & Equipment Description
New Home built in Ontario, compliant to OBC-2006, permits issued after March 31, 2009.

Resource Savings Assumptions

	224 3		
Natural Gas	881 m ³		
Gas savings is based on a simple average of a new reference house, a 1 storey house, and a 2 storey house with London's climate, and another set in North Bay's climate. The sample houses are three houses which represent the mid-range of new homes built in UG Territory. The results were weighted 70% UG South and 30% UG North. ³ The software used for analysis is HOT2000 version 9.34c with weather file 9.10wthr. A mix of 90% AFUE furnace (weighted 80%) and 80% AFUE combo heater (weighted 20%) was assumed as the base case heating system. A 3.57 ACH50 air leakage was used to describe the simply OBC-2006 houses (default present in HOT2000), which is representative of average new home construction ⁴ .			
Most of the following specifications are based on the OBC 2009, specifically section 12.3: Some of the specifications are upgrades in excess of what is actually required in the code. These were established based on observations of what is representative of the market place for certain items. These items are marked with an asterisk.			
 Walls - 2x6 @ 16", R20 batt Insulation (Southern) - 2x6 @ 16" R20 batt Insulation, R5 Code-board sheathing (Northern) - ½" Gypsum interior - 3/8" OSB Sheathing - Brick Veneer 			
Roof - 2x4 Attic Truss w R40 Blown Insulation			
- ¹ / ₂ " Drywall interior on resilient channel			
Basement: - Poured Concrete foundation			
- R12 Insulation blanket to within 15" of floor slab			
Windows: Double glazed, single low-E, air fill, metal spacer, vir			
Ventilation : Exhaust fans (Kitchen & bath) without heat recover	У		
Heating: a) Combination Heating System			
- hot-water air-handler	tion		
- Induced draft fan water heater with spark ignition (Steady State efficiency = 80%, e.g. Rheem PV75ce)			
b) Conventional Heating System*			
- 90% AFUE forced air furnace, PSC Blower			
The model presumes that 20% of houses are equipped with Combination			
Heating Systems (code minimum) and the 80% are equipped with Conventional Heating			
Systems*			
Air Cond: -SEER 13 entry level 410a split system*			
DHW: a) Combination Heating System			
- Induced Draft spark ignition 75 usg tank (Rheem PV75ce).			
b) Conventional Heating System			
- Induced Draft spark ignition 40 usg tank (GSW 5G40)			

³ Bowser Technical, Inc., Comparison of EnerQuality EnergyStar Version 3.0 & EnergyStar Version 4.0 Vs Ontario Building Code 2009 Energy use, March 10 2009 ⁴ Jennifer Tausman, ESNH files coordinator, NRCAN OEE, July 21, 2008

Envelope: 3.57 Air changes per hour @ 50 pa. ("Present" air-tightness default in HOT2000)

- General mode in HOT2000 was used. This allows overrides of default ventilation and occupancy values
- The HOT 2000 Weather file "910wthr" was used. This is an older Canadian weather file that is consistent with Hot2000 version 9.34
- Occupancy was assumed to be 2 Adults and 1 child. This models the supposition that family size and average house hold size is less than the EnergyStar baseline of 2 adults and 2 children
- 50 cfm constant ventilation rate was assumed for all houses and for all ventilation systems. This models the supposition that occupants in general do not operate their ventilation systems as intended, rather they tend to under-use them
- 13 SEER air conditioning systems were considered to be installed in all homes. The London area homes were considered to operate with 20% open windows and the North Bay homes were considered to operate with 50% open windows

The following upgrades from the OBC 2009 specification were applied to the three sample homes

Southern House⁵

Walls No upgrade
Roof No upgrade
Basement: No upgrade
Windows: Upgrade to Energy Star Zone C windows
Ventilation: Upgrade to simplified HRV (0.65/0.55 efficiency)
Heating: Upgrade to 92% AFUE ECM Blower EnergyStar furnace
Supply & return trunk ducts sealed
Air Cond: Upgrade to SEER 14 from SEER 13
DHW: Upgrade to Instantaneous Gas water heater (Noritz N0751DV, E.F. = 0.83)

Envelope: 2.0 Air changes per hour @ 50 pa. **Electrical:** No Upgrade

Northern House⁶

Walls No upgrade Roof No upgrade Basement: No upgrade Windows: Upgrade to Energy Star Zone C windows Ventilation: Upgrade to simplified HRV (0.65/0.55 efficiency) Heating: Upgrade to 95% AFUE ECM Blower EnergyStar furnace Supply & return trunk ducts sealed Air Cond: Upgrade to SEER 14 from SEER 13 DHW: Upgrade to Instantaneous Gas water heater (Noritz N0751DV, E.F. = 0.83)

⁵ The upgrades are based on the EnerQuality Energy-Star for New Homes Technical Specifications Version 4.0 D, February '09 performance compliance method (section 5.1). ⁶ The EnerQuality EnergyStar Version 4.0 Prescriptive options are not applicable to homes North of the Muskoka climate zone. Upgrades are based on the performance Compliance Method (section 5.1) as set out in the EnerQuality EnergyStar for New Homes Technical Specification Version 4.0, February '09..

Envelope: 2.0 Air changes per hour @ 50 pa. Electrical: No Upgrade	Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 3
Electricity	734 kWh
Electrical saving were calculated from the same models as above.	
Water	n/a L

Other Input Assumptions

As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385. Energy Star homes have a major renovations are expected).	n actimated life of 25			
major renovations are expected).	As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385. Energy Star homes have an estimated life of 25 years (before			
	major renovations are expected).			
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install)	4275	\$		
Cost estimates for the upgrade measures were obtained from HVA building energy star homes and based on a 70/30 UG South & Nor simple average of a new reference house, a 1 storey house, and a 2	rth. The upgrade cost			
The costs assigned to the particular upgrade follow:				
Walls: \$0.0/ft2 upgrade from R20 to R25 (add codeboar	rd to 2x6 wall)			
\$0.30/ft2 upgrade from R25 to R27.5 (increase c	,	ss)		
s \$0.00/ft2 upgrade to 2x6 @ 20" c.c. R20 (possil		,		
Roof : \$0.60/ft ₂ upgrade from R40 to R50	67			
Basement : \$0.20/ft ₂ coverage upgrade to R20 full heigh	nt insulation			
Windows: \$1.00 per square foot of glazed surface upgr	ade to EnergyStar	•		
Ventilation : \$1,500 upgrade to simple HRV				
\$250 upgrade to 1.5 Sone Bath fan & Interlock				
Heating: \$871 upgrade to 92% afue Energy Star Furnace (ECM Blower)				
\$871 upgrade to 95% afue Energy Star Furnace (ECM Blower)				
\$250 duct sealing				
\$166 saving for furnace size reduction 60 MBH	to 50 MBH			
Air Cond. \$61 saving for air conditioner size reduction	1 2.0 ton to 1.5 ton	L		
\$275 saving for air conditioner size reduction 2.	.5 ton to 2.0 ton			
\$194 upgrade to SEER 14 from SEER 13, 1.5 ton				
\$168 upgrade to SEER 14 from SEER 13, 2.0 ton				
\$80 upgrade to SEER 14 from SEER 13, 2.5 ton				
DHW: \$218 upgrade to instantaneous gas water heater				
Envelope: \$500 budget for increased air-tightness. This is highly variable from Builder				
to builder. Some builders will have no incremental costs.				
Electrical: \$2.00 per Compact Fluorescent Bulb				
Consulting: \$500 evaluation, testing, review and file processing.				
Fees: \$125 home enrolment fees.				

Upgrade costs to ver 4.0

		Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 3
1 Storey Southern	\$4,324	
1 Storey Northern	\$4,324	
2 Storey Southern	\$4,292	
2 Storey Northern	\$4,198	
Reference House Southern	\$4,292	
Reference House Northern	\$4,105	
Free Ridership		5 %
As approved in EB 2008-0384 and	0385	

1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (KITCHEN)

Residential New Construction – ESK kit

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Faucet Aerator (Kitchen) (1.5 GPM)

Base Technology & Equipment Description

Average existing stock (2.5 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	23	m ³
As approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346		
Electricity	n/a	kWh
Water	7,797	L
As approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346		

Equipment Life	10 Years			
As approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346				
Incremental Cost (Installed) \$4.00				
Bulk purchase of kitchen aerators for new construction ESK + Packaging				
Free Ridership31 %				
Base free ridership as approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0384 and 0385.				

1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (BATHROOM)

Residential New Construction – ESK kit

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Faucet Aerator (Bathroom) (1.5 GPM)

Base Technology & Equipment Description

Average existing stock (2.2 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	18 m ³	
6 m3 as approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346 x 3 aerators being installed.		
Electricity	n/a kWh	
Water	6012 L	
2004 L as approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346 x 3 aerators being installed.		

Equipment Life 10 Years			
As approved for existing homes in EB 2008-0346			
Incremental Cost (Installed)	\$5.65		
Bulk purchase for bathroom aerators for new construction ESK + Packaging x 3 aerators			
being installed.			
Free Ridership 31 %			
Base free ridership as approved for existing homes in	EB 2008-0384 and 0385.		

1.5 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD

Residential New Construction – ESK kit

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Low-flow showerhead (1.5 gal/min)

Base Technology & Equipment Description

Average existing builder stock as per Enbridge survey (2.5 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	$46 m^3$		
As approved for distributed 1.5 gpm showerheads in existing homes, EB 2008-0346			
Electricity	n/a kWh		
Water 6,334 L			
As approved for distributed 1.5 gpm showerheads in existing homes, EB 2008-0346			

Equipment Life	10 Years			
As approved for distributed 1.5 gpm showerheads in existing homes, EB 2008-0346				
Incremental Cost (Installed) \$30.00				
Bulk purchase of showerheads for new construction ESK + Packaging.				
Free Ridership10 %				
Free ridership as per informal survey of builders in Enbridge franchise area.				

1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD

Residential New Construction – ESK kit

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description	
Low-flow showerhead (1.25 gal/min)	
Base Technology & Equipment Description	
Average existing builders stock as per Enbridge builder survey.	

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas			66 m ³	
As approved for 1.25 gpm showerheads in existing homes, EB 2008-0346. Based on SAS analysis of a random sample of metered homes by EGD.				
Scenario	Flow Rate of 'OLD' showerhead (GPM)	Flow Rate of 'NEW' showerhead (GPM)	Gas Savings (m3)	
1	2.0-2.5	1.25	66	
Electricity	y			n/a kWh
Water				10,886 L
As approv	ed for existing	homes 1.25 gpr	n showerhead	ls in EB 2008-0346
Scenario	Flow Rate of 'OLD' showerhead (GPM)	Flow Rate of 'NEW' showerhead (GPM)	Water Savings (L)	
1	2.0-2.5	1.25	10,886	

Equipment Life	10 Years		
As approved for existing homes 1.25 gpm showerheads in EB 2008-0346			
Incremental Cost (Installed)	\$11.50		
Bulk purchase of showerhead for new construction ESK + Packaging.			
Free Ridership	10 %		
Free ridership as per informal survey of builders in Enbridge franchise area.			

PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT

Residential New Construction – ESK kit

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description	
Programmable thermostat	
Base Technology & Equipment Description	
Standard thermostat	

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	146 m^3	
Savings as recommended by Navigant Consulting.		
Electricity	54 kW	Vh
As approved in EB 2008-0346 Decision.		
Water	n/a L	

Other Input Assumptions

Equipment Life	15	Years
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Installed)	\$35.50	
Based on bulk purchase price for new construction kit	+ Packaging.	
Free Ridership	43	%
Free Ridership rate recommended by Summit Blue Co As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385 for existing hou	-	for new

construction through informal survey of builders in Enbridge franchise area.

Draft Report "Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning", Navigant Consulting Inc., Ontario Energy Board, Appendix C: Substantiation Sheets, pg. B-50-53, Feb. 6, 2009.

² "Resource Savings Values in Selected DSM Prescriptive Programs", Summit Blue Consulting, pg. 28, June 2008.

[&]quot;Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008

RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES

1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (KITCHEN)

Residential Existing Homes

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Faucet Aerator (Kitchen) (1.5 GPM)
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Average existing stock (2.5 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	23	m ³
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Electricity	n/a	kWh
Water (Updated)	7,797	L
As approved in EB 2008-0346		

Equipment Life	10	years
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
	¢1	
Incremental Cost (Cust. Install) (UG/EGD)	\$1	
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of aerators.		
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of aerators. Free Ridership (UG/EGD)	33/31	%

¹ "Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008.

1.5 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (BATHROOM)

Residential Existing Homes

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Faucet Aerator (Bathroom) (1.5 GPM)
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Average existing stock (2.2 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	6	m ³
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Electricity	n/a	kWh
Water (Updated)	2,004	L
As approved in EB 2008-0346		

Equipment Life	10	Years
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Cust. Install) (UG/EGD)	\$1	
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of aerators		
		%
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of aerators Free Ridership (UG/EGD)	. 33/31	%

¹ "Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008.

1.5 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD

Residential Existing Homes (Distribution)

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Low-flow showerhead (1.5 gal/min)
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Dase recinology & Equipment Description
Average existing stock (2.2 GPM)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	$46 m^3$	
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Electricity	n/a kWh	
Water	6,334 L	
As approved in EB 2008-0346		

Equipment Life	10 Years	
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Cust. Install)	\$4	
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of showerheads.		
Free Ridership	10 %	

¹ "Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008.

1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD

Residential Existing Homes (Distribution)

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description		
Low-flow showerhead (1.25 gal/min)		
Base Technology & Equipment Description		
Average existing stock (2.2 GPM)		

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	63 m^3
As approved in EB 2008-0346	
Electricity	n/a kWh
Water	10,570 L
As approved in EB 2008-0346	

Equipment Life	10 Y	ears
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Cust. Install)	\$4	
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of showerheads.		
As per utility program costs, burk purchase of showerheads	•	
Free Ridership		/0

¹ "Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008.

1.25 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD

Residential Existing Homes

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description	
Low-flow showerhead (1.25 gal/min)	
Base Technology & Equipment Description	
Average existing stock – see below for flow rates.	

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural G	as			See Below m ³
	ed for 1.25 gpm nple of Enbridg			346. Based on SAS analysis of a
Scenario	Flow Rate of 'OLD' showerhead (GPM)	Flow Rate of 'NEW' showerhead (GPM)	Gas Savings (m³)	
1	2.0-2.5	1.25	66	
2	2.6 +	1.25	116	
Electricity	,			n/a kWh
			_	
Water				See Below L
As approve	ed in EB 2008-0	0346		
Scenario	Flow Rate of 'OLD' showerhead (GPM)	Flow Rate of 'NEW' showerhead (GPM)	Water Savings (L)	
2	2.0-2.5	1.25	10,886	
3	2.6 +	1.25	17,168	

Equipment Life	10 Years	
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Contr. Install)	\$19	
As per utility program costs, bulk purchase of showerheads plus cost of installation.		
Free Ridership	10 %	
As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385.		

PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT

Residential Existing Homes

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Programmable thermostat
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Standard thermostat

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	146	m ³
Savings as recommended by Navigant Consulting in their Draft Report.		
Electricity	54	kWh
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Water	n/a	L

Other Input Assumptions

Equipment Life	15	Years
As approved in EB 2008-0346		
Incremental Cost (Contr. Install) (UG/EGD)	\$50	
Based on average thermostat cost from Union survey of	f hardware chains.	
Free Ridership	43	%
Free Ridership rate recommended by Summit Blue Consulting. ³ As approved in EB 2008-0384 & 0385.		

Draft Report "Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning", Navigant Consulting Inc., Ontario Energy Board, Appendix C: Substantiation Sheets, pg. B-50-53, Feb. 6, 2009.

² "Resource Savings Values in Selected DSM Prescriptive Programs", Summit Blue Consulting, pg. 28, June 2008.

"Residential Measure Free Ridership And Inside Spillover Study - Final Report", Summit Blue Consulting, June 2008

HEAT REFLECTOR PANELS

Residential Existing Homes

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

A saw tooth panel made of clear PVC with a reflective surface placed behind a radiator, thereby reducing heat lost to poorly insulated exterior walls.

Base Technology & Equipment Description

Existing housing with radiant heat with no reflector panels.

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	143 m^3
As approved in EB 2008-0346.	
Electricity	kWh
Water	L

Equipment Life	18 Years
As approved in EB 2008-0346	
Incremental Cost (Customer Install)	\$238
As per utility program costs. (Cost of panels plus ship	ping)
Free Ridership	0 %
Product not currently available to end-use consumers of As approved in EB 2008-0346 & 0385.	through typical retail channels.

OTHER MEASURES

CFL SCREW-IN (13W)

Existing/New Developments in All Sectors

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

CFL screw-in 13W

Base Technology & Equipment Description

60W Incandescent

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas (Updated)	$0 m^3$
Electricity	45 kWh
Substantiation provided by the OPA, dated September 2008-0384 & 0385.	r 23, 2008 and approved in EB
Water (Updated)	0 L

Equipment Life	8 years				
Substantiation provided by the OPA, dated September 23, 2008 and approved in E					
2008-0384 & 0385.					
Incremental Cost					
Contractor/Customer Install	0.00 \$				
• Average cost of 60 W incandescent bulb = \$0.75 / bulb based on Canadian Tire website (2007). OPA assumes each incandescent bulb has a one year life.					
• Supplied cost of 13 W CFL = \$1.72 / bulb (based on 2009 distributor price to EGD) + \$0.50 (Contractor Delivery Charge) = \$2.22					
\$2.22 CFL cost – \$6.00 (8 incandescent bulbs x .75) = (\$3.78)					
Free Ridership	24 %				
Based on the results of an OPA program evaluation an 0385.	d as approved in EB 2008-0384 &				

CFL SCREW-IN (23W)

Existing/New Developments in All Sectors

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
CFL screw-in 23W
Base Technology & Equipment Description
75W Incandescent

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas (Updated)	$0 m^3$
Electricity	49.7 kWh
Substantiation provided by the OPA, dated October 17 2008-0384 & 0385.	7, 2008 and as approved in EB
Water (Updated)	0 L

Equipment Life	8 years				
Substantiation provided by the OPA, dated October 17, 2008 and as approved in EB					
2008-0384 & 0385 .					
-					
Incremental Cost					
Contractor/Customer Install	0.00 \$				
• Average cost of 75 W incandescent bulb = \$0.75 / bulb based on Canadian Tire website (2007). OPA assumes that each incandescent bulb has a one year life.					
• Supplied cost of a 23 W CFL = \$2.05 (based on 2009 distributor cost to EGD) + \$0.50 (Contractor Delivery Charge) = \$2.55					
\$2.55 CFL cost - \$6.00 (8 incandescent bulbs x .75) = (\$3.45)					
Free Ridership	24 %				
Based on the results of an OPA program evaluation an	d as approved in EB 2008-0384 &				
0385.					

COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

DEMAND CONTROL KITCHEN VENTILATION (DCKV)

New Building Construction

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Ventilation with DCKV
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Ventilation without DCKV

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	4,801 m3	5,000 CFM			
	11,486 m3	/			
	,	15000 CFM			
As approved by EB-2008-0346,					
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 5000 CFM), Dec	ision Type: Existing.				
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV - 10000 CFM), De	cision Type: Existing				
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV - 15000 CFM), De	cision Type: Existing	5.			
Usage savings are not dependant on Decision Type.					
Electricity	13,521 kWh	5,000 CFM			
	30,901 kWh	10,000 CFM			
	49,102 kWh	15000 CFM			
As approved by EB-2008-0346,					
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV - 5000 CFM), Dec	ision Type: Existing.				
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 10000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.					
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 15000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.					
Usage savings are not dependant on Decision Type.					
Water	n/a	L			

Equipment Life	15	years				
As approved by EB-2008-0346,						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 5000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 10000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 15000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.						
Measure life is not dependent on Decision Type						
Incremental Cost \$10,000 5,000 CFM						
	\$15,000	10,000 CFM				
	\$20,000	15000 CFM				
As approved by EB-2008-0346,						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 5000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV – 10000 CFM), Decision Type: Existing.						
Demand Kitchen Ventilation (DCKV - 15000 CFM), De	ecision Type: Existing	.				
Incremental cost is not dependent on Decision Type						
Free Ridership	5	%				
FR as per 2008-0384 and 0385						

PRE-RINSE SPRAY NOZZLE (1.24 GPM)

Commercial, New Market

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description	
Low-flow pre-rinse spray nozzle/valve (1.24 GPM)	
Base Technology & Equipment Description	
Standard pre-rinse spray nozzle/valve (3.0 GPM)	

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas			See below	m ³
	Natural Gas			
Market Segment	(m ³ /yr			
Full Dining Establishments	886			
Limited Service Establishments	190			
Other Establishments	200			
As approved by EB-2008-0346,		-		
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)	, Decision Typ	e: Existing.		
Usage savings are not dependant on 1	Decision Type			
Electricity	section Type.		0	kWh
Water			See below	L
Market Segment	Water (L)			
Full Dining Establishments	170,326			
Limited Service Establishments	36,484			
Other Establishments	38,383			
As approved by EB-2008-0346,				
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)	Decision Typ	e: Existing.		
T · · · · · · · ·	о п			
Usage savings are not dependant on 1	Decision Type.			

Equipment Life	5	years	
As approved by EB-2008-0346, Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM), Decision Type: Existing. Equipment life is not dependent on Decision Type.			
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install)	60	\$	
As approved by EB-2008-0346, Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM), Decision Type: Existing. Incremental cost is not dependent on Decision Type.			
Free Ridership	12.4	%	
New information based on Free Ridership and Spillover for Low Flow Pre Rinse Spray Nozzles (Nov. 26, 2008, PA Consulting Group)			

COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 3

1.0 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (Kitchen)

Commercial Building Retrofit (Installed) – Multi-Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

1.0 GPM Faucet Aerator

Base Technology & Equipment Description

2.5 GPM Faucet Aerator

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas (Updated)	24	m ³	/0
Based on Navigant savings calculation adjusted for a	1.0 GPM unit.		
Using the following values as per Navigant Final Repo	ort:		
Faucet water temperature: 30 degC (86 degF)			
Water inlet temperature: 9.33 deg C (48.8 degF)			
Water heater energy factor: 0.76			
Electricity	n/a	kWh	
Water (Updated)	8,072	L	1
Based on Navigant savings calculation adjusted for a	1.0 GPM unit.		

Equipment Life	10 years
Refer to Navigant substantiation.	
Incremental Cost (Contractor Install)	\$2
As per utility program costs.	
Free Ridership (Updated)	10 %
Free ridership – EB-2006-0021 Phase II	

Corrected: 2009-08-12 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 3

1.0 GAL/MIN FAUCET AERATOR (Bathroom)

Commercial Building Retrofit (Installed) - Multi-Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

1.0 GPM Faucet Aerator

Base Technology & Equipment Description

2.2 GPM Faucet Aerator

Resource Savings Assumptions

1	m						
) GPM unit.							
Using the following values as per Navigant Final Report:							
Faucet water temperature: 30 degC (86 degF)							
Water inlet temperature: 9.33 deg C (48.8 degF)							
n/a	kWh						
2,371	L						
0 GPM unit.							
	n/a 2,371	GPM unit. 					

Equipment Life	10 years	
Refer to Navigant substantiation.		
Incremental Cost (Contractor Install)	\$1.50	/0
As per utility program costs.		
Free Ridership (Updated)	10 %	
Free ridership – EB-2006-0021 Phase II		

1.5 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD (PER SUITE)

Commercial Building Retrofit (Installed) – Multi-Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description				
Low-flow showerhead 1.5 gal/min.				
Base Technology & Equipment Description				
Average existing stock. (See below)				

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	28 m3	2.0 - 2.5 GPM
	55 m3	2.6 - 3.0 GPM
	79 m3	3.1 - 3.5 GPM
	91 m3	3.6 + GPM
Based on savings calculation Navigant Draft	Report ⁷ adjusted	to account for 1.5 gp

Based on savings calculation Navigant Draft Report' adjusted to account for 1.5 gpm replacement unit and percentage of showers taken with efficient unit in Multi- Residential setting (92%) compared to 76% in Low Rise residential as per Summit Blue, Resource Savings in selected Residential DSM Programs, June 2008

Water	5,197 L	2.0 - 2.5 GPM
	9,490 L	2.6 - 3.0 GPM
	13,250 L	3.1 - 3.5 GPM
	15,114 L	3.6 + GPM
	Q	

Based on savings calculation Navigant Draft Report⁸ adjusted to account for 1.5 gpm replacement and percentage of showers taken with efficient unit in Multi- Residential setting (92%) compared to 76% in Low Rise residential as per Summit Blue, Resource Savings in selected Residential DSM Programs, June 2008.

Electricity	n/a	kWh

Equipment Life	10 Years		
As approved in EB 2008-0346.			
Incremental Cost (Contractor Install) \$17			
As per utility program costs.			
Free Ridership	10 %		
As per EB 2008-00384 & 0385			

⁷ Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management Planning, Ontario Energy Board, Navigant Consulting Inc., February, 2009.

⁸ ibid

2.0 GAL/MIN LOW-FLOW SHOWERHEAD (PER SUITE)

Commercial Building Retrofit (Installed) – Multi-Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Low-flow showerhead 2.0 gal/min.

Base Technology & Equipment Description

Average existing stock (see below).

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natura	l Gas				4 m3	2.6 – 3.0 GPM
					28 m3	3.1 – 3.5 GPM
					40 m3	3.6 + GPM
D 1	•	1 1	NT '	D .9	1. / 1	

Based on savings calculation Navigant Draft Report⁹ adjusted to account for 2.0 gpm replacement unit and percentage of showers taken with efficient unit in Multi- Residential setting (92%) compared to 76% in Low Rise residential as per Summit Blue, Resource Savings in selected Residential DSM Programs, June 2008

Water	1,727 L 2.6 – 3.0 GPM
	5,487 L 3.1 – 3.5 GPM
	7,351 L 3.6 + GPM
	$\mathbf{D} = 10$ 1 1 0 0

Based on savings calculation Navigant Draft Report¹⁰ adjusted to account for 2.0 gpm replacement and percentage of showers taken with efficient unit in Multi- Residential setting (92%) compared to 76% in Low Rise residential as per Summit Blue, Resource Savings in selected Residential DSM Programs, June 2008.

Electricity	n/a kWh

Equipment Life	10 years
As approved in EB 2008-0346.	
Incremental Cost (Contractor Install)	\$17
As per utility program costs.	
Free Ridership	10 %
As per EB 2008 – 0384 & 0385	
-	

⁹ Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management Planning, Ontario Energy Board, Navigant Consulting Inc., February, 2009 ¹⁰ ibid

PRE-RINSE SPRAY NOZZLE (0.64 GPM)

Commercial Existing / New Market

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description	
Low-flow pre-rinse spray nozzle/valve (0.64 GPM)	
Base Technology & Equipment Description	
Standard pre-rinse spray nozzle/valve (3.0 GPM)	

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	
Natural	
Gas Market Segment (m ³ /yr	
Full Dining Establishments 1,286	
Limited Service Establishments 339	
Other Establishments 318	

A field study was undertaken at 37 sites across 4 regions in Union Gas territory. Measurements of water pressure, incoming and leaving (at both burner On and Off setpoints) water temperature at the water heater and supplied to the pre-rinse spray valve, details of the make, model and type of water heater, and type of food service establishment, were collected at each site.

Flow rate vs. pressure curves for high-flow and nominal 0.64 USgpm pre-rinse spray valves (PRSV) were developed from the Veritec studies in Waterloo¹¹ and Calgary¹². An average flow rate vs pressure curve for high-flow PRSVs was developed from the Veritec Waterloo study.

Water savings were evaluated for each region based on the difference between the flow rates of the highflow and low-flow PRSV at the average measured water pressure, and the average usage of the PRSV for each of 3 food service establishment types from the Veritec studies in Waterloo and Calgary.

Natural gas savings were determined using the US-DOE WHAM¹³ model to establish water heater efficiency. Inputs to the model from site measurements included the average cold water and hot water setpoint temperatures for each region. Additional inputs to the model included water heater energy factor and rated water heater input (both average for the region), ambient air temperature (assumed at 70°F), and average daily volume of hot water. This last item was determined from a combination of research undertaken by FSTC¹⁴, and ASHRAE¹⁵ recommendations, for each food service establishment type. The proportion of hot water delivered to the PRSV was determined from the average measured mixed water temperature for each region. Operating times are not

expected to be different between 1.24 & 0.64 (Bricor model B064) USgpm models based on cleanability times of 20-21 seconds according to the $FTSC^{16}$.

Resource Savings are not dependent on Decision Type, i.e., New or Existing facilities

¹¹ "Region of Waterloo – Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Pilot Study – Final Report", Veritec Consulting Inc., January 2005

¹² "City of Calgary" – Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Pilot Study – Final Report", Veritec Consulting Inc., December 2005.

¹³ Appendix D-2. Water Heater Analysis Model. Water Heater Rulemaking Technical Support Documents. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/waterheat_0300_r.html

¹⁴ Charles Wallace and Don Fisher Energy Efficiency Potential of Gas-Fired Commercial Hot Water Heating Systems in Restaurants. FSTC April 2007

¹⁵ ASHRAE Handbook 2007HVAC Applications. Chapter 49

¹⁶ pg 32 & 37 "Deemed Savings for (Low Flow) Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzles" by Energy Profiles, January 30, 2009.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B

Tab 3 Schedule 3

Electricity

0 kWh

Water

See below L

Market Segment	Water (L) ¹⁶
Full Dining Establishments	252,000
Limited Service Establishments	66,400
Other Establishments	62,200

Assumptions and inputs:

- Water savings were evaluated for 3 food service establishment types: Full Service Restaurants, Limited Service Restaurants, and Other
- The PRSV water usage was based on the 2 Veritec studies, and incorporated the measured differences in usage time for the high-flow and low-flow PRSVs.

Resource Savings are not dependent on Decision Type, i.e., New or Existing facilities

Equipment Life	5 years	
As per EB 2008-0346 Decision Commercial Existing facilities.		
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install) \$88		
<pre>\$88 = (\$50/pc* + \$1/pc* shipping USD) x 1.28901** exchange rate + \$22 installation*** *estimated by Bricor, March 2, 2009 **Exchange rate from March 2, 2009 - http://www.xe.com/ucc/convert.cgi ***estimated installation from Seattle Utilities (\$21-23/pc), based on conversation with Bricor, March 2, 2009</pre>		
Free Ridership 0 %		
Basis: Relatively new product probably only aware of one manufacturer (Bricor).		

ROOFTOP UNIT

Commercial Existing

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Two-stage rooftop unit, up to and including 5 tons of cooling (85% efficient)
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Single-stage rooftop unit (80% efficient)

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas		255	m ³
As approved by ED	2008 0246 Cas fired Poofton Unit Desisi	on Tuno: Nou	

As approved by EB - 2008-0346, Gas-fired Rooftop Unit, Decision Type: New.

The incremental cost associated with these this measure does not vary according to the type of installation being either new or retrofit. This is due to the fact that the incremental cost associated with each measure is related to the unit itself. Incremental cost is not related to the installation of the unit nor is it related to a combination of the unit itself and the installation. For example, when replacing a rooftop unit with a high efficiency rooftop unit, the only factor affecting the decision is the incremental cost of the unit itself since the infrastructure to support the operation of the rooftop unit is already in place in the building. It is merely a matter of removing an old unit and replacing it with a new unit. The same applies to new construction.

Electricity	n/a	kWh
Water	n/a	L

Equipment Life	15	years
As approved by EB – 2008-0346, Gas-fired Rooftop Unit, Decision Type: New. Equipment life is not dependent on Decision Type.		
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install)	\$375	
As approved by EB – 2008-0346, Gas-fired Rooftop Unit, Decision Type: New. The incremental cost is based on the difference between a new single stage unit and a new two stage unit and is therefore not dependent on Decision Type.		
Free Ridership	5	%
Free-ridership rate as per EB-2008-034 and 0385		

TANKLESS WATER HEATER

Commercial – Existing/New Build

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Tankless Water Heater (84% thermal efficiency (77% adjusted thermal efficiency), where
approximately 50-150 USG/day will be used.
Base Technology & Equipment Description
Conventional storage tank gas water heater (thermal efficiency ⁱ =80%), 91 gallons.

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas	154 m^3
As approved in EB-2008-0346,	
Tankless Water Heater – Commercial, Decisio	n Type: New.
Resource savings are not dependent on Decision	on Type.
Electricity	n/a kWh
Water	n/a L

Equipment Life	18	years
As approved in EB-2008-0346,		
Tankless Water Heater – Commercial, Decision Type:	New.	
Equipment life is not dependent on Decision Type		
Incremental Cost (Cust. / Contr. Install)	\$-1,102	
As approved by EB-2008-0346,		
Tankless Water Heater – Commercial, Decision Type: New.		
Incremental Cost is not dependent on Decision Type		
Free Ridership	2	%
Free-ridership rate as per EB-2008-0384 and 0385		

HIGHER EFFICIENCY BOILERS – DOMESTIC WATER HEATING

Existing and New Commercial and Multi- Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description
Hydronic Boilers for water heating (Non Seasonal)
Base Technology & Equipment Description
80% Combustion Efficiency Domestic Water Heating Boiler

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas (Updated)		-	nestic Heating
		(Non S	easonal)
		M3 Sa	vings by
		Comb	oustion
		Effic	ciency
	Boiler Size	83-84%	-
	300 MBH	1,075	1,766
	600 MBH	1,777	2,290
	1,000 MBH	3,136	5,155
	1,500 MBH	4,317	7,095
Source: Prescriptive Commercial Boiler Program – Prescriptive	Savings Analysis – A	gviro Repo	rt Sept 10,

Source: Prescriptive Commercial Boiler Program – Prescriptive Savings Analysis – Agviro Report Sept 10, 2008.

An iterative approach was used to determine the annual savings in the commercial sector. The following steps were taken:

a. The Rate 6 accounts were subdivided into bins of annual gas use. This provided the annual average gas use, number of accounts, seasonal, non-seasonal and total gas use.

b. The seasonal portion of the annual gas use was normalized to 30 year weather data. This normalized gas use was correlated to a seasonal boiler size required for gas consumption.

c. Categories of boiler sizes were selected to provide a suitable range of boilers available within the sector.

d. The Rate 6 accounts were subdivided using the normalized average seasonal gas use for the respective categories of boilers selected. This provided the annual average gas use, number of accounts, and total gas use per seasonal boiler size category.

e. Seasonal annual gas use normalization of the boiler size category accounts was completed.

f. Annual seasonal efficiency of the boiler size categories for each of the combustion efficiency ranges was determined.

g. Boiler costs for the boiler size categories was compiled.

h. A TRC analysis was completed for each of the boiler size categories.

i. A similar approached was used for the non-seasonal gas use with the exception of normalizing the data.

Electricity (Updated)	kWh
Water	L

Equipment Life	25	years
As per EB 2008-0384 & 0385		
Incremental Cost (Contr. Install)		Domestic Water Heating (Non Seasonal)
	<u>Boiler Size</u> 300 MBH 600 MBH 1,000 MBH 1,500 MBH	Incremental Cost by Combustion Efficiency <u>83-84% 85-88%</u> \$3,900 \$ 4,500 \$5,800 \$ 6,000 \$7,400 \$10,300 \$5,900 \$ 7,400
Source: Prescriptive Commercial Boiler Program – Prescriptive 2008.	Savings Analysis – Ag	gviro Report Sept 10,
Free Ridership	Enbridge Small 10% Commercial Large 12% Commercial Multi-Family 20%	
As per EB 2008-0384 – 0385		

HIGHER EFFICIENCY BOILERS -SPACE HEATING

Existing and New Commercial and Multi- Residential

Efficient Technology & Equipment Description

Hydronic Boilers for space (Seasonal)

Base Technology & Equipment Description

80% Combustion Efficiency Space Heating Boiler

Resource Savings Assumptions

Natural Gas (Updated)		Space	Heating
· · · ·		(Sea	sonal)
		M3 Sa	vings by
		Comb	oustion
		Effic	ciency
	Boiler Size	<u>83-84%</u>	85-88%
	300 MBH	2,105	3,125
	600 MBH	3,994	5,930
	1,000 MBH	7,310	10,856
	1,500 MBH	11,554	17,157
	2,000 MBH	16,452	24,431
Source: Prescriptive Commercial Boiler Program	- Prescriptive Savings Analysis - A	gviro Repo	rt Sept 10,

2008.

An iterative approach was used to determine the annual savings in the commercial sector. The following steps were taken:

a. The Rate 6 accounts were subdivided into bins of annual gas use. This provided the annual average gas use, number of accounts, seasonal, non-seasonal and total gas use.

b. The seasonal portion of the annual gas use was normalized to 30 year weather data. This

normalized gas use was correlated to a seasonal boiler size required for gas consumption.

c. Categories of boiler sizes were selected to provide a suitable range of boilers available within the sector.

d. The Rate 6 accounts were subdivided using the normalized average seasonal gas use for the respective categories of boilers selected. This provided the annual average gas use, number of accounts, and total gas use per seasonal boiler size category.

e. Seasonal annual gas use normalization of the boiler size category accounts was completed.

f. Annual seasonal efficiency of the boiler size categories for each of the combustion efficiency ranges was determined.

g. Boiler costs for the boiler size categories was compiled.

h. A TRC analysis was completed for each of the boiler size categories.

i. A similar approached was used for the non-seasonal gas use with the exception of normalizing the data.

Electricity (Updated)	kWh
Water	L

Equipment Life	25	years
As per EB 2008-0384 & 0385		v
Incremental Cost (Contr. Install)	Boiler Size 300 MBH 600 MBH 1,000 MBH 1,500 MBH 2,000 MBH	Space Heating (Seasonal) Incremental Cost by Combustion Efficiency <u>83-84% 85-88%</u> \$3,900 \$ 4,500 \$5,800 \$ 6,000 \$5,800 \$ 6,000 \$7,400 \$10,300 \$5,900 \$ 7,400 \$4,950 \$ 7,050
Source: Prescriptive Commercial Boiler Program – Prescriptive S 2008.	Savings Analysis – Aş	gviro Report Sept 10,
Free Ridership	Enbridge Small 10% Commercial 12% Commercial 12% Multi-Family 20%	
As per EB 2008-0384 - 0385		

ⁱ Although the required minimum thermal efficiency to be in compliance with ASHRAE 90.1 is 78%, http://www.energycodes.gov/comcheck/pdfs/404text.pdf, only an very small percentage of commercial gas water heaters listed in the GAMA *Consumer's Directory of Certified Efficiency Ratings* had a thermal efficiency of less than 80%. <u>http://www.neo.ne.gov/neq_online/july2006/commgaswtrhtr.pdf</u>

2010 Free Ridership Summary

Efficient Equipment & Technologies Free Ridership Reference RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION as per EB-2008-0384 as approved for existing homes i 0384 Energy Star Version 3 and Version 4 5% as approved for existing homes i 0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as approved for existing homes i 0384 Programmable Thermostat (Installed) 43% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES E Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING COMSTRUCTION 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Reflector Panels 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING COMSTRUCTION 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rines Spray Nozzie (0.64 GPM) 0% as per EB-2008-0384	
RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION Prescription 3 and Version 4 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Star Version 3 and Version 4 5% as approved for existing homes i 0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as approved for existing homes i 0384 Programmable Thermostat (Installed) 43% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES 20344 as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION 2% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Construction 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rines Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 1.24% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rines Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0%	
Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)31%as approved for existing homes i 0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, installed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM)10%as approved for existing homes i 0384Programmable Thermostat (Installed)43%as approved for existing homes i 0384RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES2Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM)31%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Programmable Thermostat43%as per EB-2008-0384Reflector Panels0%as per EB-2008-0384COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING COMSTRUCTION0%as per EB-2008-0384Roottop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) Tankless Water Heater 50 - 1502%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%10%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)10%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)10%As per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%Low-Flow	
installed, 1.5 GPM) 31% 384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, installed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES 31% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES 31% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 00% as per EB-2008-0384 COMSTRUCTION COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 00% as per EB-2008-0384 Construction 20% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Infrared Heaters 03% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Pre-Rines Spray Nozzel (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Pre-Rines Spray Nozzel (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, 10% as per E	
Installed, 1.5 GPM) 0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, installed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as approved for existing homes i 0384 Programmable Thermostat (Installed) 43% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES	s in EB-2008-
installed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% 0384 Programmable Thermostat (Installed) 43% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES	
Programmable Thermostat (Installed) 43% as approved for existing homes i 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES Paucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM) as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per runit, distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMSTRUCTION Sa per EB-2008-0384 COMSTRUCTION Roottop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 UBG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rines Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per IB-2008-0384 Pre-Rines Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10%	3 IN EB-2008-
Programmable Thermiostat (instailed) 4.3% 0384 RESIDENTIAL EXISTING HOMES as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nuit, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Construction 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM)	s in EB-2008-
Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, distributed, 1.5 GPM) 31% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per nuit, distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 Reflector Panels 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMSTRUCTION 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Rootop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Fuech Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Fuech Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10%	
distributed, 1.5 GPM) 31% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per unit, distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMSTRUCTION 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Roftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Disord Wark (Hernal efficiency) 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% (Hermal efficiency) 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study	
distributed, 1.5 and 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, installed, 1.25 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 Reflector Panels 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL Stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as	
10% as per EB-2008-0384 Programmable Thermostat 43% as per EB-2008-0384 Reflector Panels 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Installed, 2.0 GPM) Pre-Rinse Spray	
Reflector Panels 0% as per EB-2008-0384 COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Condensing Furnace 17.5 as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.64 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10%	
COMMERCIAL NEW BUILDING CONSTRUCTION as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 2% Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Condensing Furnace 17.5 as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 0% 20% Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heater 100 USC/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384	
CONSTRUCTION as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 12.4%	
Rooftop Unit (2 stage roof top unit) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 1% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 10% Installed, 2.0 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 24% as per EB-2008-0384 10% 10% 10% 10% <td></td>	
Tankless Water Heater 50 - 150 2% as per EB-2008-0384 USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilators (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% Programmable Thermostats 20% Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilator (HRV) Se	
USG/day, 84% thermal efficiency as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) Programmable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33%	
Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGSFaucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Condensing Furnace17.5Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Synay Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Synay Nozzle (1.6 GPM)2%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)5%Air Curtains5%Sper EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%Sper EB-2008-0384Air Curtains5%Sper EB-2008-0384Air Curtains5%Sper EB-2008-0384Air Curtains5%Sper EB-2008-0384Commercial, Large Comme	
Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGSFaucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Sper EB-2008-0384Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)5%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Infrared Heaters33%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- resident	
Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS 0% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% <td></td>	
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) Pregrammable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 <td></td>	
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGS Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Pregrammable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384	
COMMERCIAL EXISTING BUILDINGSFaucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, High Efficiency Condensing Furnace17.5as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)0%10%Preogrammable Thermostats20%Rooftop Unit5%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Infrared Heaters33%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%Righ Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%Righ Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%	
Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, High Efficiency Condensing Furnace17.5as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)Programmable Thermostats20%Rooftop Unit5%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Infrared Heaters33%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384CHE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384CHE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential	-
Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, High Efficiency Condensing Furnace17.5as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%as per EB-2008-0384Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%10%Programmable Thermostats20%20%Rooftop Unit5%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Infrared Heaters33%as per EB-2008-0384Air Curtains5%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 	
Faucet Aerator (kitchen and bathroom, 10% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Condensing Furnace 17.5 as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Pregrammable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per free ridership values appr Gommercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% a	
High Efficiency Condensing Furnace 17.5 as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 9% Programmable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per free ridership values appr High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr <td></td>	
Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% 10% Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Programmable Thermostats 20% Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Multi-residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr	-
Installed, 1.5 GPM) 10% Low-Flow Showerhead (Per household, Installed, 2.0 GPM) 10% Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Programmable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr <td></td>	
Installed, 2.0 GPM)10%Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM)12.4%as per Union Gas StudyPre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM)0%Programmable Thermostats20%Rooftop Unit5%as per EB-2008-0384Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day2%as per EB-2008-0384Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV)5%as per EB-2008-0384Infrared Heaters33%as per EB-2008-0384Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation5%as per EB-2008-0384Air Curtains5%as per EB-2008-0384CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers10%as per EB-2008-0384Prescriptive School Boilers12%as per EB-2008-0384High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential10/12/20%as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Residential	
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.24 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% 0% Programmable Thermostats 20% as per EB-2008-0384 Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Gommercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr	
Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (1.6 GPM) 12.4% as per Union Gas Study Pre-Rinse Spray Nozzle (0.64 GPM) 0% Programmable Thermostats 20% Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Comm	
Programmable Thermostats 20% Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr	
Rooftop Unit 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Smalt	
Tankless Water Heater 100 USG/day 2% as per EB-2008-0384 Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr	
Heat Recovery Ventilator (HRV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per FB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Small	
Energy Recovery Ventilators (ERV) 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Infrared Heaters 33% as per EB-2008-0384 Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 Righ Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr	
Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation 5% as per EB-2008-0384 Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Small Commercial, Large Commercial	
Air Curtains 5% as per EB-2008-0384 CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commer	
CEE Qualified Energy Efficient Washers 10% as per EB-2008-0384 Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Small Commercial, Large Commercial	
Prescriptive School Boilers 12% as per EB-2008-0384 High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20%	
High Efficiency Boilers (DHW) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- 10/12/20% as per free ridership values appr small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi-	
Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free indership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial, Large Commercial, Large Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- to 2000 High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- residential 10/12/20% as per free indership values appr Multi-residential programs in EB- as per free ridership values appr Small Commercial, Large Commercial, Lar	
High Efficiency Boilers (Space) Small Commercial, Large Commercial and Multi- recidential	mercial and
Multi-residential programs in EB-	proved for mercial and
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL CUSTOM	- 2000-0004
PROJECTS Custom Projects	
Agriculture 40% as per EB-2008-0384	
Industrial 50% as per EB-2008-0384	
Commercial 12% as per EB-2008-0384	
Multi-Residential 20% as per EB-2008-0384	
New construction 26% as per EB-2008-0384	
OTHER MEASURES	
CFL (13W) 24% as per EB-2008-0384 CFL (23W) 24% as per EB-2008-0384	

Custom Resource Acquisition Technologies

1. Measure Life Assumptions

	Commercial	Industrial	Multi- residential
Boiler Related			
Boilers – DHW	25^{1}	n/a	25 ¹
Boilers - Industrial Process	n/a	20	n/a
Boilers – Space Heating	25 ¹	25^{1}	25 ¹
Combustion Tune-up	5	5	n/a
Controls	15	15	15
Steam pipe/tank insulation	n/a	15	n/a
Steam trap	13 ³	13 ³	n/a
Building Related			
Building envelope	25	25	25
Windows	25	25	25
Greenhouse curtains	na	10	na
Double Poly greenhouse	n/a	5	n/a
HVAC Related			
Dessicant cooling	15	n/a	n/a
Heat Recovery	15	15	n/a
Infra-red heaters	10	10	n/a
Make-up Air	15	15	15
Novitherm panels	15	n/a	15
Furnaces (gas-fired)	18 ²	n/a	18 ²
Re-Commissioning	54	n/a	54
Process Related			
Furnaces (gas-fired)	n/a	18 ²	n/a

Source: EB-2006-0021.

¹Source: ASHRAE

²Source: ASHRAE updated in EB-2006-0021
³Source: Measure Life of Steam Traps Research Study, Enbridge Gas Distribution, November, 2007.

⁴Source: Measure Life For Retro-Commissioning And Continuous Commissioning Projects, Finn Projects,

AVOIDED COSTS

1. The Board's Decision in the Generic Proceeding (EB-2006-0021) indicated that:

The avoided costs will be submitted for review as part of the multi-year plan filing and should be in place for the duration of the plan. The commodity portion of the avoided costs will be updated annually.

2. Enbridge will follow the same procedure to update the avoided costs for 2010.

Witnesses: M. Brophy T. MacLean P. Squires

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 8

2010 PILOT PROGRAM PROPOSAL: NEW INDUSTRIAL SECTOR SUPPORT PROGRAMS

- This submission contains a pilot program proposal in the Company's 2010 DSM Plan to include additional resources for two new/expanded initiatives:
 - Industrial Metering Support Program Proposed Budget: \$1,000,000
 - On-Site Energy Engineers (5)
 Proposed Budget: \$250,000
- 2. The budget proposed for these initiatives, a total of \$1,250,000, is incremental to the \$23.8 million DSM budget for 2010 as determined by the formulaic budget escalator detailed in EB-2006-0021. This pilot initiative is considered discrete from the framework formulas outlined in EB-2006-0021; it is not proposed to have any SSM or target impacts. These initiatives are intended to respond to customer barriers in a manner similar to a market transformation program. This initiative is particularly crucial in the current economic climate. A scorecard similar to other market transformation programs has been included to illustrate the kind of outcomes that the initiative is intended to deliver.
- 3. Letters of support for this initiative are included at Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedules 2 to 4.

INDUSTRIAL METERING SUPPORT PROGRAM

4. One of the greatest barriers preventing industry from taking the necessary steps to identify energy efficiency opportunities is a lack of detailed understanding of how energy is being used in their facilities.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 8

- 5. Enbridge has long recognized the value of detailed energy consumption information in industry; the Company has promoted its Monitoring and Targeting ("M&T") program since 2001. The main objective of this program has been to introduce and train industrial customers on energy consumption monitoring processes and software, and to encourage customers to make operational changes to address inefficient aspects of their energy use, as identified by the M&T process. While this program has been successful in raising awareness among industrial customers of energy monitoring systems and their value, and in encouraging some customers to take action, the key barrier to greater adoption of the M&T principles by industrial customers has been the cost of acquiring sophisticated metering and sub-metering equipment to access their consumption data.
- For an industrial energy manager, it can be a hard sell to convince senior management to invest in potentially expensive metering equipment without any guarantee of the potential energy savings.
- 7. The Company is in an ideal position to assist the market in addressing this barrier. Our Energy Solutions Consultants ("ESC's") routinely visit Industrial customers, advising them on the benefits of sub-metering their energy-using equipment, and directing them to Monitoring and Targeting workshops, training, and third-party experts to help them interpret their findings. With the additional offer of financial support in the ESC's toolbox, to help offset the cost of metering equipment for the customer, the customer's business case for proceeding to the metering stage is greatly improved.
- 8. This type of metering support initiative has been difficult for the Company to promote under the traditional TRC-based DSM framework as it can involve a significant

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 8

investment in metering costs which may make the initiative TRC negative in the short term. The approach the Company would prefer to take is more of a Market Transformation model, wherein Enbridge helps to offset the cost of sub-metering for industrial customers with the following objectives:

- a) increasing the presence of sub-metering equipment in industrial facilities
- b) enhancing market mechanisms and market capacity to make submetering more affordable and accessible to industrial customers
- c) fostering a culture of more sophisticated energy monitoring as a baseline practice and long-term investment for industrial customers
- 9. The details of the Company's proposal for Industrial Metering Support are presented below.

10. Program Description

This program contains four main components:

- A) Supplement traditional Monitoring and Targeting (M&T) efforts
 - The proposal is to provide an M&T "starter kit," which covers two-thirds of the cost of metering equipment plus other required M&T data acquisition tools and software, up to a maximum value of \$20,000. One additional meter may also be included at the discretion of the Energy Solutions Consultant.
 - This proposal would equate access to approximately 20 customers to the M&T program in 2010.
- B) Meter Calibration Incentives
 - These incentives will help offset the cost of calibration of existing meters on-site at an industrial customer's premise. The Company will pay two-

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 8

thirds of the cost of meter calibration for qualified customers, up to \$1000 per meter.

- Customers may have existing meters that have been abandoned and are not being used. Leveraging this dormant infrastructure may provide a low-cost method of achieving the same results as with new equipment.
- This program element encourages an awareness and discipline of maintenance of monitoring equipment to ensure data produced are accurate and meaningful.
- C) Meter Only Incentives
 - These incentives would help offset the cost of metering equipment for industrial customers who are not participating in a full M&T initiative when the customers do not have sufficient capital budget to invest in the equipment. The focus of metered data for these customers is more tied to capital efficiencies than operational improvements.
 - This proposal would allow for approximately 50 participants to receive a subsidized meter at the discretion of the Enbridge Energy Solutions Consultant.
- D) One Full-Time Marketing Manager
 - This initiative will require additional Marketing support to manage and promote the program elements described above, and to focus on development of market-based resources to raise awareness of submetering benefits and accessibility and affordability of metering equipment. Approximate cost for salary and expenses: \$100,000.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 8

11. Program Budget

A summary of the proposed budget for this program is as follows:

INDUSTRIAL METERING SUPPORT	
BUDGET SUMMARY	
Program Component	Budget
1) Augmentation of M&T Incentives Budget	\$500,000
2) Meter Calibration	\$100,000
3) Meter Only Incentives	\$300,000
4) Full Time Marketing Manager (salary/expenses)	\$100,000
Total Program Cost	\$1,000,000

12. Program Evaluation

The Company proposes to evaluate this program using a Market Transformation type scorecard approach (however, no Market Transformation SSM incentive is being proposed for this program). The following scorecard outlines the target metrics for this program. Metric descriptions are provided in the table on the next page.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 8

Industrial Meterir	ng Support	2010 Metric Value Levels			
Element	Metrics	50%	100%	150%	Weight
ULTIMATE OUTCOMES	a) Operational or Capital improvements made or planned by participating customers	65% of participating customers	80% of participating customers	95% of participating customers	/50
MARKET EFFECTS	b) Expanded market capacity and/or new service offering(s) developed in cooperation with market player(s) (e.g. meter supplier)	N/A	Qualitative	N/A	/20
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	c) M&T participants	15	20	25	/10
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	d) Meter Calibration participants	75 meters	100 meters	125 meters	/10
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE	d) Meter Only participants	35	50	65	/10

13. Program Metrics

- a) Operational or Capital improvements made or planned by participating customers: The key ultimate outcome from industrial sub-metering is action taken to improve operations or invest in capital upgrades to improve the energy efficiency of the equipment on-site. Participants in this program will be surveyed after metering equipment has been installed to determine how many have taken, or are planning that action.
- Expanded Market Capacity and/or New Service Offerings developed in cooperation with market player(s): The Company will endeavor to work with metering equipment suppliers to build capacity, develop products, service

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 7 of 8

offerings, pricing structures, rental/lease programs, etc. to improve accessibility and affordability of energy monitoring equipment for industrial customers.

- c) The Company will target 20 M&T program participants as a result of the additional proposed budget in this Industrial Metering Support program.
- d) The Company will target 100 meter calibration participants resulting from this Industrial Metering Support program.
- e) The Company will target 50 meter only participants resulting from this Industrial Metering Support program.

ON-SITE ENERGY ENGINEERS (5)

- 14. At some industrial facilities, there are insufficient resources to hire and train dedicated energy managers to properly review energy consumption patterns, identify inefficiencies, and make sound energy related capital investments and operational improvements. In the current recessionary period, industry is even less likely to hire or maintain non-essential staff. In fact, many staff positions are being eliminated, including key energy efficiency positions. As a result, the potential for identification of energy efficiency opportunities is reduced or eliminated. It will be very difficult to reverse this cycle after it has occurred.
- 15. In some cases, the Company has attempted to help industrial customers offset the cost of an on-site Energy Engineer with positive results. Currently, the Company is funding five on-site Energy Engineers through its existing DSM budget. This proposal is for DSM funding for an additional five on-site Energy Engineers in 2010, for a total of 10, to broaden the opportunity for industrial customers to reap the economic benefits of professional energy management.

Filed: 2009-05-29 EB-2009-0154 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 8 of 8

16. With exposure to the benefits that such a dedicated resource could bring to the table, there is increased probability that these businesses would continue to employ such resources.

17. Program Budget

The Company is proposing a budget of \$250,000 to cover the cost of five on-site Energy Engineers (average cost of \$50,000 each).



May 11, 2009

Shannon Bertuzzi Enbridge Gas Distribution 500 Consumers Rd Toronto, ON M2J 1P8

Dear Ms. Bertuzzi:

Re: ENERGY STAR® for New Homes – a case for support

Please find below a discussion regarding energy savings attributed to homes built to EnerQuality's ENERGY STAR[®] for New Homes (ESNH) program and a case for ongoing support of this vital program by Ontario's gas utilities.

With over 24,000 homes enrolled in the program and 11,000 built and ENERGY STAR qualified since the program's launch in April 2005, ESNH has emerged as Canada's most successful energy efficient program in new housing, in the process delivering significant aggregate energy savings to Ontario. The success of the program is due to three primary drivers. Number one, EnerQuality's ESNH technical specifications provide builders with a prescriptive, whole-house approach that deliver significant reductions in natural gas and electricity consumption. Number two, the ENERGY STAR branding has allowed builders to effectively communicate the energy efficiency of their product to the homebuyer. Finally, the longstanding engagement of key industry stakeholders, in particular Ontario's gas utilities – Enbridge Gas Distribution and Union Gas – has allowed the program to grow by providing builders with the support they require to succeed.

EnerQuality considers the recommendation that the savings for an ENERGY STAR qualified home be based on the specific measures installed to be impractical from an administrative perspective and counter to the collective experience of the homebuilding industry. New housing requires a fundamentally different intervention than the retrofit of existing homes. Other energy efficient housing programs, such as Natural Resources Canada's R-2000 Standard, recognize that the various parts of a house work together as a system, and that the overall energy efficiency of a house depends on how well the system works. Not only is the whole-house approach superior as a building science practice, but participating builders typically find more success in selling an ENERGY STAR qualified home as a package of energy efficient measures than selling independent energy efficient measures as upgrades. Furthermore, assessing incremental costs by individual components discounts any cost reductions that arise from the combination of trade-offs and cost-cutting measures that often occur in a whole-house approach. This is particularly demonstrated by EnerQuality's Building Canada[®] initiative with a working objective of capturing efficiencies to drive the incremental cost of ENERGY STAR upgrades to zero. By helping to reduce the builder's cost structure, they can adopt ESNH and continue to compete on price with other builders. Building Canada has been a key element in EnerQuality's overall ESNH strategy.

EnerQuality's ESNH technical specifications are designed to provide builders with options in achieving a consistent energy savings target. A review of the technical specifications may lead to the conclusion of high variability in energy savings based on the number of available measures. This conclusion would be unfounded. By design, all possible combinations of available measures contained in the technical specifications are calibrated to the EnerGuide 80 target. Given the competitive pressures to minimize costs, participating ESNH builders construct their houses to achieve this energy target as closely and effectively as possible, which drastically minimizes the selection of builder option packages for their production lines. Consequently, the variance in energy savings and capital costs of ENERGY STAR qualified homes among participating builders is small in practice.

A report conducted on behalf of the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) reveals that of the range of electrical savings measures available in the technical specifications, builders predominantly choose between the installation of CFLs and electronically commutated motors (ECMs), representing 63% and 22% of the total net annual electrical savings,



respectively. The report not only verified minimal variance in electrical savings measures in ESNH, it estimated a savings of 1,522 kWh/year in electricity and 0.340 kW in summer peak demand savings for an ENERGY STAR qualified home over a standard "code built" home. EnerQuality therefore disagrees with the conclusion that ESNH be excluded from input assumptions regarding energy efficient measures and resource savings based on claims of variability.

Going forward, the active engagement of Enbridge and Union Gas is critical to meeting ESNH's stated target of 25% market penetration by 2012. The builder incentive and the deployment of the utilities' human capital are critical components of the ESNH delivery model. In the face of a major downturn in the housing market, EnerQuality and participating homebuilders rely on the ongoing support of Ontario's gas utilities to grow the program and realize its energy savings potential.

New construction offers a unique opportunity to build the better homes of tomorrow. ESNH has successfully brought energy efficiency to mainstream new housing through deliberate design, prudent management and broad engagement of the industry's key stakeholders. We look forward to building on our partnership and together transforming Ontario's new housing into the most energy efficient and sustainable in the world.

Regards,

Corey McBurney PRESIDENT EnerQuality Corporation

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit C Tab 1 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1



To:Peter Goldman, EnbridgeFrom:Gerry MurrayDate:May 27, 2009Subject:Metering Program and On-Site Energy Engineer

We have been pleased to be part of the on-site Energy Engineer program. It has been instrumental in helping us set up this position. A new and additional staff position is difficult to get approved in the best of times and we have been living through what can easily be called the worst of times in our industry (Pulp and Paper).

We did set this position up utilizing the support offered through Enbridge. The person in this position is a very senior person (was the site manager at one of our facilities), we felt that a senior person with experience and credibility has a greater influence on modifying behavior required to capitalize on energy reduction projects. This also means this is an expensive position, the support of the Enbridge program made this position possible and has allowed us to implement energy reduction projects that normally fall behind other projects more in line with our core business.

In this position our Energy Engineer has been able to influence energy reduction projects at all three of our mill sites. We have had very successful water reduction projects (30-40% water use reduction led to the same reduction in the use of steam); several heat recovery projects and several studies just completed that should lead to future interesting energy reduction opportunities.

We also appreciate the opportunity to provide input into your proposed Metering Program for 2010. This program removes barriers needed to promote energy efficiency and we fully support this initiative.

In short the Enbridge programs help us a great deal and we hope to continue if possible and we are happy to be a reference to other businesses interested in becoming part of such a program.

C. G. Murray Director of Mills Atlantic Packaging Products Limited



Ottawa, Canada K1A 0E4

Canada

May 28, 2009

Re: Funding for a Market Transformation Program

To whom it may concern:

We are pleased to provide this letter of support for the proposed Market Transformation program that Enbridge Gas Distribution is proposing to support detailed Energy Metering and to deploy more Energy Managers on-site at its customers' plants.

These types of initiatives are vital to successfully influence and assist industry to adopt pragmatic energy reduction practices and ensure the competitiveness of industry for the benefit of all Canadians.

Natural Resources Canada's Office of Energy Efficiency (OEE) has, for a number of years, enjoyed a cooperative and productive relationship with Enbridge Gas Distribution.

In the past we have collaborated with Enbridge on jointly supporting energy audits for customers to identify opportunities to reduce energy consumption, save operating costs and improve their competitiveness.

We also appreciate their active participation in the Canadian Industry Program for Energy Conservation (CIPEC), our flagship program promoting energy efficiency and energy conservation within Canadian industry.

More recently we have, with Enbridge Gas Distribution, co-sponsored workshops to provide training and tools to assist industrial energy users to optimize their energy use.

Sincerely

Michael Burke Director, Industrial Programs Division Office of Energy Efficiency Natural Resources Canada 580 Booth Street, Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0E4 Tel: (613) 996-6872 / Fax: (613) 992-3161 mburke@nrcan.gc.ca oee.nrcan.gc.ca/industry





Page 1 of 1 6725 Airport Road, Suite 200 Mississauga ON L4V 1V2 Tel: 905- 672-3466 / 800-268-9684 Fax: 905-672-1764 www.cme-mec.ca

Filed: 2009-05-29 Exhibit C Tab 1 Schedule 4

May 29, 2009

Re: Enbridge's Proposed Industrial Sector Support Programs

To Whom it May Concern:

This letter is intended to demonstrate our support for Enbridge's proposed pilot "Industrial Sector Support Programs" as part of their 2010 DSM Plan filing with the Ontario Energy Board.

Our members are highly conscious of the economic and environmental benefits of energy efficiency, but often lack the resources to invest in the tools and analysis needed to fully understand their energy use patterns. The various components of Enbridge's proposed "Metering Support Program" will enable more industrial customers to access the metering equipment needed to make informed operational and investment decisions that will ultimately save them money and reduce emissions, thereby making them more competitive and better positioned for growth.

Enbridge is a credible source for energy efficiency information and support in the industrial market. Through this proposal, Enbridge is offering to share its expertise in this area in a very specific, relevant way with our membership, and we encourage the Ontario Energy Board to approve the proposal and associated budgetary requirements.

Sincerely,

fan Houcroft

lan Howcroft Vice President CME Ontario

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 6

2010 LOW INCOME PROGRAM PLAN - OVERVIEW

- 1. On March 10, 2009, the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") issued its "Report of the Board: Low-income Energy Assistance Program" ("LEAP"). The Report describes policies and measures for electricity and natural gas distributors to assist low-income energy consumers. On May 13, 2009, Enbridge Gas Distribution ("Enbridge" or the "Company") and Union Gas were instructed in a letter from the Board to "remove the parts of their DSM budgets, targets, shareholder incentives and programs related to low income energy consumers from their main [DSM] portfolio" pending the outcome of a LEAP Conservation Working Group, which was tasked with the establishment of a short-term DSM framework for low-income consumers.
- 2. On September 28, 2009, in response to direction from the Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure (the "Ministry"), the Board instructed the Company to revert back to the existing DSM framework for low-income programs, to be addressed in a second phase of EB-2009-0154, to allow more time for the Ministry to develop a province-wide integrated program for low-income energy consumers.
- 3. The September 28, 2009 letter from the Board sets out direction that Enbridge proceed to file 2010 low-income DSM plans no later than October 15, 2009. The plans are to be based on the existing DSM framework, including budgets that incorporate established budget escalators and a minimum low income budget of 14% of the residential DSM program budget. This low-income DSM Plan and proposed budget will be supplemental to the Company's overall DSM Plan filed in the first phase of EB-2009-0154 and approved by the Board on September 30, 2009.

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 6

- 4. Enbridge has assessed the Board's direction in relation to low-income DSM for 2010 and has endeavored to provide a balanced portfolio that aligns with customer needs and the changing environment. For this submission the term "status quo" is used to describe what elements would have been proposed for 2010 under the previous budget formula (prior to the approval of the Industrial Sector Support Pilot Program). The status quo low-income plan presented here allocates \$1,526,980 or 20% of the residential DSM budget to low-income programs and 14% of the Market Transformation ("MT") budget, \$140,000, to low-income MT initiatives.
- 5. The 2010 status quo low-income plan continues the efforts of the past three years to provide low income customers with direct install measures that provide opportunities for energy bill savings. The target for the basic measures program, Enhanced TAPS, has been reduced over previous years and the target for the Weatherization program increased in response to the general consensus of the LEAP Conservation Working Group. The Company will continue to pursue joint program and co-funding opportunities with electric utilities and government bodies to optimize energy savings and program delivery efficiencies to the low income customer and the program sponsor(s). An education and community outreach strategy will underpin the program with efforts focused on partnership opportunities with low income stakeholders and environmental advocacy groups.
- Descriptions and assumptions for these programs are found at Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1.
- 7. In addition to the \$1,666,980 status quo low-income programs, Enbridge is proposing an incremental \$1.4 million for its low-income DSM budget to take advantage of a time-sensitive opportunity in the market to install 200 solar thermal water heaters in social housing units at a reduced cost. This will bring the total

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 6

low-income DSM budget proposed for 2010 to \$3,066,980 (see Table 1 below for budget summary).

<u></u>				
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
Item No.	Program Name	Participants	Net Effective m ³	Total O&M
1	Weatherization	450	510,300	\$1,277,800
2	Enhanced TAPS	2,700	383,718	\$ 249,180
3	Market Transformation			\$ 140,000
4	Total Status Quo Low-Income	3,150	894,018	\$1,666,980
5	Solar Thermal Water Heat	200	N/A*	\$1,400,000
6	Grand Total Low-Income	3,350		\$3,066,980 ¹
* Gas savings of up to 379 m ³ per year may occur with the installation of solar thermal water heating;				
however, these benefits are not proposed for inclusion in 2010 DSM target or results.				

Table 1 Summarv of 2010 Low-Income Plan Budget

8. The timing of these installations in 2010 is significant due to a number of financial incentives that are available during that year that may not be available in subsequent years. Firstly, Enbridge can offer approximately \$2,500 in savings off the installed cost as a result of Enbridge's operational involvement in developing and delivering the Government of Canada's ecoENERGY for Renewable Heat program. These program incentives are secured until December 2010. Secondly, solar thermal installations in 2010 will also qualify for the Federal Government's ecoENERGY Retrofit - Homes program rebate and the Provincial Government's

¹ Included in the amounts shown in EB-2009-0172, Exhibit B2, Tab 2, Schedule 2, pages 1 to 3.

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 6

Home Energy Savings program rebates, which amount to an additional \$2,500 off the installed cost of solar thermal water heating units.²

- 9. Enbridge's preliminary research on the purchasers of solar thermal water heaters to date indicates that these consumers live in communities that are a mix of middle to high income levels (household income ranging from \$60,000 to \$250,000 plus), with high levels of disposable income. Purchasers are likely to have high education levels, most with university degrees, and live in homes that are above average in value. This demographic profile of solar thermal water heater purchasers underscores the challenge of making renewable energy a significant piece of the energy conservation solution in Ontario only a relatively small subset of the population has the means to invest in renewable energy.
- 10. Making solar thermal water heating accessible to lower income consumers will achieve multiple objectives. Not only will this technology lower water heating bills by up to 60%, but it will increase awareness and acceptance of the technology for the broader community across all income levels. The increased number of installations will fuel supply chain development, which will ultimately put downward pressure on equipment and installation costs. Investment in all levels of society will be required to make renewable energy a viable option for the future.
- Enbridge is proposing to work with Social Housing Services Corporation ("SHSC") to identify 200 candidate low-rise social housing residential buildings in Enbridge's service territory that meet the structural and orientation requirements for solar

² These latter two rebates are not assumed in the \$1.4 million budget request, pending execution of legal agreements with the Federal and Provincial governments to direct rebate dollars back to the utility. However, Enbridge has received verbal indication from Government staff at both levels that they are agreeable to this arrangement. In the event that these government rebates can be accessed, the budget requirement would be reduced from \$1.4 million to \$0.9 million with the difference being recorded in the DSMVA.

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 6

thermal installations. The proposed program will cover the full cost of solar thermal equipment and installation, after all third-party rebates are applied. Installations are planned to occur before the end of 2010. Enbridge will also work with SHSC to develop suitable training and education programs to ensure that the solar thermal equipment is installed and maintained properly, to ensure maximum productive life of the equipment (please refer to Exhibit D, Tab 3, Schedule 1 for the letter of support from Social Housing Services Corporation).

12. Like the recently approved "Industrial Sector Support Program" in Enbridge's 2010 DSM Plan (EB-2009-0154, Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1), the proposed lowincome Solar Thermal Water Heating Program is considered discrete from the standard DSM portfolio, and is not proposed to have any SSM or target impacts as it is not evaluated on a TRC basis. The purpose of the program is to address an income and education barrier in the low-income market, and to take advantage of the limited-time third-party financial incentives that are available in 2010. It will be evaluated on a scorecard basis similar to the Industrial Sector Support program and other Market Transformation programs. The Company's proposed scorecard with target metrics for this program can be found in Table 2 provided on the following page.

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 6

Table 2

Solar Thermal Water Heating Program Scorecard

Solar Thermal Water Heating		2010 Metric Value Levels		
Element	Metrics	50% 100% 150%		
ULTIMATE OUTCOMES	a) Solar Thermal Water Heating Installations in Social Housing	150	200	250
MARKET EFFECTS	b) Training plan developed for SHSC residents and front line staff on conservation behaviours and equipment maintenance	Training plan in place by 2010 year end		2010 year

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 4

Program Name: Low Income Weatherization Program

<u>Goal:</u> To capture energy savings through the reduction of home air leakage by implementing tighter building envelope measures

<u>Target market</u>: Home owners and tenants within the Enbridge franchise territory that pay their gas bill and are in need of assistance with their energy costs Eligibility criteria: screen based on percentage of LICO

End-use addressed: Space heating

<u>Measure:</u> May include attic insulation, wall insulation, basement insulation, door and window weather-stripping, caulking, and switch and outlet gaskets and covers

<u>Program elements</u>: Provides low income residential customers with weatherization measures and an energy assessment. Utility approved contractors work with low income customers to make their homes more energy-efficient by adding selected measures from list above.

<u>Delivery Channel</u>: Delivery service providers experienced in energy efficiency retrofits and ecoEnergy audits.

Pre-approved measure: Yes

Reference: EB 2009-0154

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	1134.0m ³
Electricity	165 kWh
Water	
Equipment Life	23
Incremental Cost (per participant)	
Customer Install	0
Contractor Install	\$2284
Free Ridership	0%

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	450
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$1,027,800
Fixed Costs	\$ 250,000
Total Program Costs	\$1,277,800

Prescriptive Resource Acquisition Program

Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 2 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 4

Program Name: Residential Low Income Water Conservation TAPS Partners Program

Goal: To capture energy savings through the reduction of hot water use

<u>Target market</u>: Home owners and tenants within the Enbridge franchise territory that pay their gas bill and are in need of assistance with their energy costs. Eligibility criteria: 125% of Statistics Canada Low-Income Cut-off (LICO)

End-use addressed: Water heating

<u>Measure:</u> Low-flow showerhead, programmable thermostat, bathroom and kitchen faucet aerators, and compact fluorescent light bulbs

<u>Program elements</u>: The program offers no charge installation of up to two low-flow showerheads and programmable thermostat, plus a bathroom and a kitchen faucet aerator and four compact fluorescent light bulbs

Delivery Channel: TAPS Partners Program contractors

Pre-approved measure: Yes

Reference: EB-2009-0154

<u>New Measure</u>: No

Measure Assumptions	
Resource Savings (per participant)	
Natural Gas	
Faucet Aerator – Kitchen	23 m ³
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom	6 m ³
Low Flow showerhead	
Base Case A bag test greater than 2.5 gallons per minute	116 m ³
Base Case B bag test 2.0 to 2.5 gallons per minutes	66 m ³
Bag Test	
Programmable Thermostat	53 m ³
Electricity	
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs (13w) two/household	90 kWh
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs (23w) two/household	100 kWh
Programmable Thermostat	54 kWh
Water	
Faucet Aerator - Kitchen	7.8 m ³
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom	2.0 m ³
Low Flow showerhead (Base Case A)	17.17m ³
Low Flow showerhead (Base Case B)	10.88 m ³

		-	
Equipment Life			
Faucet Aerator (Kitchen & Bathroom)		10 years	
Low Flow showerhead		10 years	
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs		8 years	
Programmable Thermostat		15 years	
Incremental Cost (per participant)			
Customer Install			
Faucet Aerator – Kitchen		\$0.94	
Faucet Aerator – Bathroom		\$0.46	
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs – two 13w	*	\$0	/c
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs – two 23w	*	\$0	/c
Contractor Install			
Low Flow Showerhead		\$18.71	
Bag Test		\$3.00	
Programmable Thermostat		\$69.18	
Free Ridership			
Faucet Aerator (Kitchen and Bathroom) **		1%	/c
Low Flow showerhead **		5%	/c
Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs	***	5%	/c
Programmable Thermostat		1%	

Program Assumptions	2010
Number of Participants	2700
Program Costs	
Total Variable costs	\$240,090
Fixed Costs	\$ 9,090
Total Program Costs	\$249,180

Note:

- * Incremental Cost values for CFL's have been revised to reflect 2010 approved assumptions (EB-2009-0154).
- ** Free Ridership values for aerators and showerheads have been revised to reflect 2009 approved assumptions (EB-2009-0103).
- *** Free Ridership value for compact fluorescent light bulbs is 5%, consistent with other low income measures, which show negligible free ridership based on the assumption that low income consumers do not have the disposable income to purchase premium-priced energy efficiency measures. This perspective was the basis for the reduced low-income free rider rates in the completely settled issues in the EB-2006-0021 Phase II settlement agreement, subsequently approved by the OEB.

Low or zero free ridership for low income programs is also supported by the Government of Canada in its "Energy Efficiency Working Group Report – Energy Efficiency and Energy Affordability for Low-Income Households – November 2008." Page 1 of this report states: "Low-income households are attractive customers for EE programs because they are among the least able and thus least inclined to make those investments on their own, i.e. there are unlikely to be free riders."

Market Transformation Program

Program Name: Low Income Market Transformation

<u>Goal</u>: To improve energy efficiency knowledge and basic weatherization practices among low income Rate 1 home owners and tenants through provision of information and simple energy savings tools by a trusted and confidential source

<u>Target market:</u> Rate 1 home owners and tenants paying their gas bill who need assistance with their energy costs. Eligibility requirement: pre-screened by delivery agency e.g. food bank

End-use addressed: Space heating, water heating

Efficiency Technology or Behaviour addressed: Basic heating and water heating conservation practices

<u>Barriers to the Technology or Behaviour</u>: Lack of customer knowledge or access to factual information regarding simple and easy to implement energy saving measures, and the cost of these measures

<u>Program Objectives:</u> To provide energy management tips and simple measures that can be customer implemented such as reducing air leakage around windows, doors, switch plates and outlet gaskets and saving electricity with compact fluorescent lights. In addition, offer customers the opportunity to take advantage of the Enhanced TAPS program and the Weatherization program via completed application form.

<u>Program Elements</u>: Distribution of energy savings kits through existing low income organizations and agencies (e.g. food bank) to provide energy savings kits to those in need, information workshops amongst front line agency personnel to enhance delivery of program opportunities to target and support of various initiatives promoting energy conservation to the low income sector

Program length: 1 year

SSM Incentive Structure: None

Program Assumptions	2010	
Program Metrics		
Baseline metrics	N/A	
Target metrics	Service	
	agency	
	engagement	
	via program	
	applications,	
	workshop	
	participation	
Program Costs	\$140,000	
Proposed SSM Incentive	N/A	



Social Housing Services Corporation 390 Bay Street, Suite 710 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2Y2 Tel: 416-594-9325 Fax: 416-594-9422 Toll Free: 1-866-268-445 www.shscorp.ca Filed: 2009-10-15 EB-2009-0154 PHASE II Exhibit D Tab 3 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

THE BUSINESS OF HOUSING

October 13, 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

Social Housing Services Corporation (SHSC) was an active participant in the Ontario Energy Board's "Conservation Working Group" in July-August 2009, and was a strong proponent for an expanded utility-based low-income energy conservation program for 2010 and beyond.

During the Conservation Working Group proceedings, Enbridge Gas Distribution showed leadership in the development of an aggressive plan to retrofit 1000 low-income households (including social housing units) with deep conservation measures such as weatherization and equipment replacement in 2010. Enbridge also demonstrated foresight and sensitivity to the needs of all consumers, regardless of income, to have access to the renewable energy technologies of the future, by the explicit inclusion of solar thermal water heating in its low-income plan. The entire program was supported by an education component for residents and training for front line staff to ensure engagement of the community and maximized returns through conservation as a result of behaviour change.

As a result of the Board's letter of September 28, however, Enbridge has reverted back to the existing framework and budget parameters of the current DSM framework, pending further direction from the Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure and the OEB.

While SHSC understands the reasons for the Board's direction to Enbridge in this matter, we encourage the Board to consider approval of the incremental solar water heating program that Enbridge is proposing with their revised 2010 low-income DSM Plan. This plan for installation of solar thermal technology combined with engagement through resident education and staff training is consistent with the direction of SHSC in 2010, and there are private and government rebates available in 2010 that may not be available in future years. Waiting for 2011 for this initiative will likely make it a much more costly proposition.

Without this type of utility support for renewable energy conservation measures, lowincome consumers and social housing providers may not otherwise have access to these opportunities to reduce energy bills and play an important role in meeting the energy conservation needs of Ontario.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Reed CEO Social Housing Services Corporation