Hydro One Networks Inc.

 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, South Tower
 Tel: (416) 345-5700

 483 Bay Street
 Fax: (416) 345-5870

 Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5
 Cell: (416) 258-9383

 www.HydroOne.com
 Susan.E.Frank@HydroOne.com

Susan Frank

Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer Regulatory Affairs



## BY COURIER

December 3, 2009

Ms. Kirsten Walli Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street P.O. Box 2319 Toronto, ON. M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

## EB-2009-0283 - Canadian Niagara Power Inc. S92 - Fort Erie/Niagara Falls Area - Hydro One Networks Responses to Supplementary Interrogatories

Please find attached Two (2) hard copies of responses provided by Hydro One Networks to the Ontario Energy Board supplementary interrogatory questions.

The Interrogatories have been filed using the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System (RESS) and the proof of successful submission slip is attached.

Sincerely,

#### ORIGINAL SIGNED BY SUSAN FRANK

Susan Frank

- c. Mr. Angus Orford, Canadian Niagara Power Inc.
  - Mr. Douglas Bradbury, Canadian Niagara Power Inc.
  - Mr. Charles Keizer, Torys LLP
  - EB-2009-0283 Intervenors (Electronic only)

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #1 Page 1 of 1

## Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Supplemental INTERROGATORY #1 List 1 for Hydro One Networks Inc.

## **Interrogatory**

**References:** (1) CNP Responses to Board Staff Interrogatories, Page 7 (2) CNP Responses to Hydro One Interrogatories, Page 4

#### **Preamble:**

In Ref (1) CNP has submitted that:

CNP's belief is that, for a system of the size and nature of CNP's transmission system, serving end-users with the diversity and economic significance of those served by CNP's system, good utility practice demands that such system have the ability to withstand the loss of one element such that a readily available secondary supply is available to provide uninterrupted service in the event of the unplanned loss of the system's primary supply.

In Ref (2) Hydro One has submitted that:

There are three existing interconnection facilities between the Hydro One transmission system in Queenston, Ontario and the U.S. National Grid system which are operating below capacity.

#### **Question:**

SI-21 Please provide a list showing the existing 115 kV lines in the Hydro One system that supply a total load that is less than 75 MW and for which the N-1<sup>1</sup> contingency criterion is met. The list should include (i) line designations, (ii) the length of the line(s) involved; and (iii) total load supplied (MW).

#### Response

Hydro One's 2-circuit 115 kV lines that have N-1 contingency all have connected load with aggregate peaks >75MW, as such we could not identify any cases that met the conditions requested.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Refers to a system for which a single contingency will not result in the loss of supply, i.e., uninterrupted supply following a single contingency.

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #2 Page 1 of 2

## Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Supplemental INTERROGATORY #2 List 1 for Hydro One Networks Inc.

## **Interrogatory**

**References:** (1) CNP Responses to Board Staff Interrogatories, Page 7 (2) CNP Responses to Hydro One Interrogatories, Page 4

#### **Preamble:**

### In Ref (1) CNP has submitted that:

CNP's belief is that, for a system of the size and nature of CNP's transmission system, serving end-users with the diversity and economic significance of those served by CNP's system, good utility practice demands that such system have the ability to withstand the loss of one element such that a readily available secondary supply is available to provide uninterrupted service in the event of the unplanned loss of the system's primary supply.

## In Ref (2) Hydro One has submitted that:

There are three existing interconnection facilities between the Hydro One transmission system in Queenston, Ontario and the U.S. National Grid system which are operating below capacity.

#### **Question:**

SI-22 Please provide a list showing the existing 115 kV lines in the Hydro One system that supply a total load that is less than 75 MW and for which the N-1 contingency criterion is not met. The list should include (i) line designations, (ii) the length of the line(s) involved; (iii) total load supplied (MW) and (iv) whether there is a backup supply available in the event that the normal supply is interrupted.

## **Response**

The table below contains a sample of lines meeting the conditions requested. Due to the large number of lines that exist in the Hydro One system, a comprehensive list was not prepared, but instead a sample intended to show usual Hydro One practice was prepared.

 Filed: December 3, 2009

EB-2009-0283

HONI IRR to OEB #2

Page 2 of 2

1

2

## **Examples of 115 KV Single Circuits < 75 MW not meeting N-1 Contingency Criterion**

Circuit Length (km) Peak (MW) Comments 61M18 35 No restoration is possible from an alternate B8W 23 6 Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. D10H 101 59 Normally Open (N.O.) point between Elmira TS and Palmerston TS. – Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. L7S 122 97 N.O. point between L7S and D8S- Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. N5K 40 51, <75 N.O. point from alternative supply. Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. 70 Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours S2N 45 depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. W8T/WT1A/ 67 115/10/102 These three circuits supply several stations with WT1T normally open points. Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. C2P No restoration is possible from an alternate 15 36 D1A 19 66.1 (9) < 75 Supplies customer owned stations Q11S 53 Some stations are supplied by this circuit only while others are also supplied by companion circuit Q12S. Q12S 50 Some stations are supplied by this circuit only while others are also supplied by companion circuit Q11S. Line operated with N.O. point, two stations Q2AH 131 supplied from one Allanburg TS side, one station supplied from Beach TS side. Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. Q2A 20 25 Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading. Partial load restoration in more than 4 hours L<sub>2</sub>M 118 63 depending upon the contingency and the circuit loading.

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #3 Page 1 of 1

# Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Supplemental INTERROGATORY #3 List 1 for Hydro One Networks Inc.

## **Interrogatory**

**References:** (1) CNP Responses to Board Staff Interrogatories, Page 7 (2) CNP Responses to Hydro One Interrogatories, Page 4

#### **Preamble:**

In Ref (1) CNP has submitted that:

CNP's belief is that, for a system of the size and nature of CNP's transmission system, serving end-users with the diversity and economic significance of those served by CNP's system, good utility practice demands that such system have the ability to withstand the loss of one element such that a readily available secondary supply is available to provide uninterrupted service in the event of the unplanned loss of the system's primary supply.

In Ref (2) Hydro One has submitted that:

There are three existing interconnection facilities between the Hydro One transmission system in Queenston, Ontario and the U.S. National Grid system which are operating below capacity.

#### **Question:**

SI-23 In situations where there is a back-up supply available, please comment on the length of time needed to restore supply upon the loss (forced outage) of the primary supply.

#### Response

Please refer to the comments section of the table included in Hydro One supplemental interrogatory response to OEB #2.

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #4 Page 1 of 1

## Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Supplemental INTERROGATORY #4 List 1 for Hydro One Networks Inc.

## **Interrogatory**

**References:** (1) CNP Responses to Board Staff Interrogatories, Page 7 (2) CNP Responses to Hydro One Interrogatories, Page 4

#### **Preamble:**

In Ref (1) CNP has submitted that:

CNP's belief is that, for a system of the size and nature of CNP's transmission system, serving end-users with the diversity and economic significance of those served by CNP's system, good utility practice demands that such system have the ability to withstand the loss of one element such that a readily available secondary supply is available to provide uninterrupted service in the event of the unplanned loss of the system's primary supply.

#### In Ref (2) Hydro One has submitted that:

There are three existing interconnection facilities between the Hydro One transmission system in Queenston, Ontario and the U.S. National Grid system which are operating below capacity.

#### **Question:**

SI-24 Has there been any consideration by Hydro One and/or others into utilizing the existing interconnections between the Hydro One system at Queenston and the US National Grid ("USNG") system to gain additional interconnection capability? Please explain.

#### **Response**

Yes, the use of the existing interconnections between Hydro One Networks and US National Grid have been considered for increased interconnection capacity between our systems. Hydro One Networks and US National Grid have an established Asset Owners Committee that usually meets annually. This subject has been raised at several of the recent meetings. US National Grid has responded at these meetings that they have no interest in pursuing this increased capacity at this time.

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #5 Page 1 of 2

## Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) Supplemental INTERROGATORY #5 List 1 for Hydro One Networks Inc.

## **Interrogatory**

**References:** (1) CNP Responses to Board Staff Interrogatories, Page 7 (2) CNP Responses to Hydro One Interrogatories, Page 4

#### **Preamble:**

In Ref (1) CNP has submitted that:

CNP's belief is that, for a system of the size and nature of CNP's transmission system, serving end-users with the diversity and economic significance of those served by CNP's system, good utility practice demands that such system have the ability to withstand the loss of one element such that a readily available secondary supply is available to provide uninterrupted service in the event of the unplanned loss of the system's primary supply.

## In Ref (2) Hydro One has submitted that:

There are three existing interconnection facilities between the Hydro One transmission system in Queenston, Ontario and the U.S. National Grid system which are operating below capacity.

#### **Question:**

SI-25 Please provide any available information regarding:

additional interconnection capacity (MW) that may be available between the Hydro One system at Queenston and the USNG system; and

- any upgrades/modifications needed to achieve the additional capacity and approximate costs involved.

#### Response

There are three existing interconnections at Queenstown that are currently underutilized, namely:

- 1) BL104 115kV Beck 1 SS x Lockport
- 2) BSC105 69 kV Parks TS x Station C x Gardenville
- 3) BSH106 115 kV Beck 1 SS x Harper TS

Filed: December 3, 2009 EB-2009-0283 HONI IRR to OEB #5 Page 2 of 2

BL104 was used prior to market opening to isolate generation located at the Beck 1 GS onto National Grid system. It has not been used since market opening in 1999, but is still in operable condition. BSC105 and BSH106 are former 25Hz interconnection circuits that have not been used since National Grid retired their 25 Hz system in January 2008. They would require work in both the Hydro One system and National Grid system to make them operable.

7

BL104 could be restored to about 45 MW capability with minor work (<\$500k) or 160 MW with the installation of a Phase angle regulator (\$50M).

10 11

BSC105 could be restored to 45 MW with modest station work (bus reconfiguration, one 115 kV circuit breaker and a 115-69kV transformer) costing \$10M plus an unknown amount of work in the National Grid system.

13 14 15

16

17

18

12

BSH106 could be restored to 45 MW with modest station work (bus reconfiguration and up to two 115 kV circuit breakers) costing \$5M or 160 MW with the installation of a phase angle regulator (\$50M) plus an unknown amount of work in the National Grid system.