1		EB-2009-0332
2		IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);
4 5 6 7		AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Horizon Utilities Corporation for an order approving the recovery of certain amounts related to an unforeseen loss of revenue to be effective January 1, 2010.
8		
9 10		HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION ("HORIZON UTILITIES") RESPONSES TO ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORIES
11		DELIVERED DECEMBER 1, 2009
12 13	Inte	rrogatory # 1
14	Ref:	Manager's Summary, page 3
15 16 17 18	rega Dece	evidence at Paragraph 1.8 states that the Applicant provided notice of its concerns arding the announced shutdowns of three of its Large User Class to the Board by letter on ember 23, 2008 and the consequences it anticipated. Further, it provided notice that a Z-or claim might result.
19	a)	Please provide a copy of the letter referred to in Paragraph 1.8.
20 21	b)	Did the Board reply to the letter referred to in Paragraph 1.8? If so, please provide a copy of the Board's reply to Horizon.
22	Res	ponse:
23	a)	Please refer to Horizon Utilities' response to VECC interrogatory #2.
24	b)	No, the Board did not reply to the correspondence from Horizon Utilities.

EB-2009-0332
Horizon Utilities Corporation
Responses to Energy Probe Interrogatories
Delivered: December 1, 2009
Page 2 of 4

1 Interrogatory # 2

- 2 Ref: Manager's Summary, pages 7 & 8
- 3 The evidence at Paragraph 2.7 states that, despite its plans to defer certain projects from
- 4 2009 until 2010, projects which are deemed prudent to defer, the Applicant will not achieve its
- 5 maximum allowable return on equity.
- 6 a) In which years does the Applicant believe that it will not reach its maximum allowable return on equity due to the loss of distribution revenue from the Subject Customer?
- 8 b) Has the Applicant projected the return on equity deficiency from maximum that it will suffer in each of the years referred to in (a) above?

10 **Response**:

- 11 a) Horizon Utilities' response to this Interrogatory is being provided in confidence.
- 12 b) Please refer to Horizon Utilities' response to Board Staff interrogatories #4(b) and #5(d).

Interrogatory # 3

1

- 2 Ref: Manager's Summary, page 11
- 3 The evidence at Paragraph 3.10 states that the Applicant must continue to provide a reliable
- 4 distribution system to the Large User despite the dramatically reduced distribution revenue
- 5 received from the Subject Customer. As well, the evidence at Paragraph 3.10 notes that the
- 6 costs that are allocated to the Subject Customer do not cease because the activity of the
- 7 Subject Customer is indefinitely reduced.
- 8 a) Please provide the costs directly attributable to the Large User Group for the 2006,
- 9 2007, and 2008 rate years, and the projection for 2009 and 2010.
- 10 b) In the EB-2007-0697 (Horizon 2008 Rates) proceeding, the Applicant noted that the
- Large User allocated share of cost was 6.84%, or \$6,487,111 based on a revenue
- requirement of \$94,859,978. What are the equivalent percentages based on 2008 actual
- and 2009 and 2010 projections?
- 14 c) Has the Applicant had any customers join its Large User Group since the filing of its
- 15 2008 rates application (EB-2007-0697)? If yes, please provide the distribution revenue
- 16 projected for any additions.

Response:

17

- 18 a) The 2007 cost allocation model was filed as part of Horizon's 2008 EDR application (EB-
- 19 2007-0697). In the model, the distribution expenses were \$76,105,429, of which
- \$4,841,736 or 6.36% were deemed Large User related. (Accompanying these
- responses as Attachment A is a copy of Sheet O1 of Horizon Utilities' cost allocation
- 22 model, which sets out the calculation noted above.)
- Horizon Utilities believes that 6.36% is a reasonable estimation of costs attributable to
- the Large User Group for the years 2006 to 2010.
- 25 b) The best estimation of percentages for 2009 and 2010 projections is 6.36% absent
- updated data upon which to calculate Large Users costs as a percentage of total costs.

EB-2009-0332 Horizon Utilities Corporation Responses to Energy Probe Interrogatories Delivered: December 1, 2009 Page 4 of 4

- Horizon Utilities will be submitting a cost allocation study as part of its 2011 EDR cost of service application, at which time these percentages will be updated.
- 3 Horizon Utilities notes that the numbers quoted by Energy Probe are taken from EB-
- 4 2007-0697 Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 2 Table 5. These numbers represent the
- 5 allocation of Revenue Requirement amounts. Said amounts include the allocation of
- 6 costs addressed in question 3a) above.
- 7 c) No new customers have joined the Large User Group since Horizon Utilities' filing of the 2008 rate application (EB-2007-0697).

ATTACHMENT A

REFERENCE: ENERGY PROBE QUESTION 3(a)



-30 EOR	-86.38%			,						
(constant		34.61%	-47.13%	-14.12%	4,00.7					
(\$278.626)	(\$681,219)	(\$26,841)	(240,11240)		7 200	3.39%	27.75%	9.00%		
50.98%			(\$2.211 042)	(\$2,895,229)	(\$4,648.255)	(185,708)			RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE	200
	34 24	34.77%	15.63%	49.79%			\$11.678.610	. 50	MINUS ALLUCATED COSTS	
					79 130	91.96%	123.58%	100.00%	KISTING REVENUE MINUS AND	2
(\$185,205)	(\$185,205								REVENUE TO EXPENSES %	200
Chest of the Chest	THE PARTY OF THE P	(820,539)	(31,305,910)	(\$20,539)						
8	8	*	2	tricetamona e	(\$2.213.25)	E1679758	\$18,955,021	311,794,212	RATIOS ANALYSIS	₹
(\$185,205)	(non-linear)	:	8	*	8	8		3.000000000000000000000000000000000000	Not Income	2
	(\$614.503)	(\$20,539)	(\$1,805,930)	(31,970,216)	(022,0,20)	}	5	\$	Market Mirect Allocation Assets	
\$899,690	\$711,418	\$59,340	667,100,00		(\$2.213.228)	\$548,813	\$18,055,021	\$11,794,212	of Income on Division in the Company of the Company	=
100	7			\$13,953,202	\$30,314,960	\$16,181,329	\$65,057,683	412,009,356	Net Income on Allocated Assets	3
10 miles 3 10 miles	\$1,778,546	\$148,350		-		ı	Section (Section)	The state of the s	Equity Component of Rate Base	
\$157,686	\$284,914	1		234, BES 004	\$75,787,389	\$40,453,322	1162,644,207			
Walter Control of the		\$7.258	\$509,136	\$14,175,274	321,272,381			Selfens.	COM PLACE Dates	32
	\$7,808,425	BEC. 848	arrive to the			\$7 179 227	\$19,882,577	\$63,468,449		
\$0,033	\$0	50	Harris and the second		CLEVE LEVES	347,881,484		Shirt a contract or the contract of	Working Capital	
\$909,589	\$734,047	\$12,407	\$773,243		Sec.	UMPOSE.				5
		\$35,978			\$5,525,802	\$4,863,251		502,889,502	Directly Allocated Expenses	4 7-
*		_						\$389,223,488	OM&A Expenses	
	<u>.</u>	*	*	*					Cost of Power (COP)	COP
\$2,091,539	31,493,632				<u> </u>	*				
(\$45.510)	(\$32.343)	\$141.092	\$9,070,200	\$20,707,730	910/010/00				Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets	
(\$1.814.671)	(\$1,195,345)	(\$114,393)			3	ANT ME LA	279,853	3254,054,941	PACIFIC STREET, STATE OF THE ST	
\$3,475,240	\$340,234	\$32,163			(\$46,659.475)		7) (\$3,171,182)	(\$5,792,81	Total Net Plant	h enn
	2301.007	\$226,483		\$34,117,881	÷			(\$222,032,595)	co Capital Contribution	00
								\$431,714,703	General Plant - Gross	9p
								-	Distribution Plant - Gross	8
									Not Assets	
*******									Rate Base Calculation	
\$660 370	\$1,035,853	\$41,146	\$2,620,584	40,700,749	2000	al Outpu	a requirement input equ	Manager Colores Colores		
\$93,422	\$66,716	200,00		•	27 \$16.672.253	=	AND PROPERTY	P. (00,000)		
		s	3 \$405.112	\$925,013	\$2,435,027	41,400,210		\$87 1 00 0	Revenue Requirement (Includes NI)	
•	5	*	8	-			12 \$6,376,411	\$11,794,212	(m)	
\$474,956	\$969,137	1			*	8			Allocated Net Income (All)	Z
\$108,805			30X50.	\$70EX30EX30	314,237,22				Direct Allocation	
\$75,215					Ī	41 30,948	854 N	\$76,105,429		
\$52,107	\$103.674	\$10,024	\$644,245	69 5744 730	\$1,960,469		395 \$7.426.431	Γ	Total Expenses	
\$5,178								\$9.495.662	Interest	IN C
\$84,369				\$46,292	51,364,440			\$12,289,604	Depreciation and Amortization (dep)	
						58 \$1,254,388		\$11,334,138	General and Administration (ad)	ă.
\$289,762	3304,834							\$10,275,760	Customer Related Costs (ru)	2
Γ			10 Sec. 10	\$2,371,519	214,040,000				Distribution	<u>e</u>
\$282,863	\$126,423	5 3710	\$29,555			± 00000 - \$1	7000	367,899,641		
Back-up/Standby	Unmetered Scattered Load	1		N	51	907 \$9,788,209	694 \$3,443,158		Total Revenue	
=		-	\dashv	WMS< est deline	G3>50-Regular	63 450	١.			a G
		1	1	6	3	-	Basida	Total		. Autom
						-				Rate Base
ESSENT NORTH CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE								1		