

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY #1

INTERROGATORY

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 3, Pages 1-6

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge") is seeking approval for clearance of its 2008 Demand Side Management Variance Accounts ("DSMVA") in the amount of \$5,767,173

(a) Please identify programs that did not use the Board approved inputs and assumptions approved in Board's decision dated January 27, 2009 (EB-2008-0384). For any program that did not use the measure specific values from the 2008 DSM input measures and assumptions decision, please provide the supporting documentation and discuss if these values received the endorsement from the Evaluation and Audit Committee ("EAC").

(b) Please confirm that Enbridge has not, at this time, established its 2009 SSM target.

(c) When calculating the net TRC value for 2009, which the 2009 SSM target will be based upon, will Enbridge be including spillover values for custom projects?

(d) If the answer to (c) is yes, please discuss why Enbridge feels it is appropriate to include spillover values at this time when the Board, in the 2008 DSM input assumption decision, stated that it considers that the approval of significant changes within the term of a program requires a more thorough and inclusive evidentiary and consultative process.

RESPONSE

(a) The Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") approved inputs and assumptions for 2008 were used to calculate the 2008 TRC results.

The Board approved values were used to calculate the SSM Amount Recoverable (Market Transformation) value of \$195,700. However, to facilitate an expeditious settlement and avoid a costly proceeding, Enbridge agreed to apply a 150% cap on individual market Transformation metrics. This decision was endorsed by the EAC and all other interested interveners.

Witness: M. Spinelli

The Board approved process regarding best available information was followed in the calculation of the LRAM. This was endorsed by the EAC. The table below presents a summary of all changes recommended by the auditor and used to calculate LRAM. The assumptions found within this table were endorsed by the EAC.

Note: Values found under the heading "2008 Draft Annual Report" are Board approved values for 2008. Values found under the heading "Adjusted per Audit" are values considered best available information.

LRAM Savings Changes	2008 Draft Annual Report		Adjusted per Audit		Comment
Measure	Savings per Unit (m3)	Free-ridership	Savings per Unit (m3)	Free-ridership	
EXISTING RESIDENTIAL					
TAPS Partners Program - Kitchen Aerators	22	31%	23	31%	Navigant Report
TAPS Partners Program - Pipe wrap	17	4%	18	4%	Navigant Report
Furnace Replacements	385	82%	385	90%	Navigant Report
Thermostats (\$15)	152	43%	53	43%	Navigant Report
LOW INCOME					
LI TAPS Partners Program - Pipe wrap	17	1%	18	1%	Navigant Report
LI TAPS Partners Program - Kitchen Aerators	22	1%	23	1%	Navigant Report
LI Prog Thermostats	152	1%	53	1%	Navigant Report
LI Weatherization program	1,143	0%	1,134	0%	Navigant Report
SMALL COMMERCIAL					
Air Doors	2,118	5%	667	5%	Navigant Report
Restaurants - CKV	3,660	5%	4,801	5%	Navigant Report
Restaurants - CKV2	5,960	5%	11,486	5%	Navigant Report
Restaurants - CKV3	10,910	5%	18,924	5%	Navigant Report
Restaurants - PRSV	3,059	5%	886	5%	Navigant Report - Large Restaurant
Rooftop Units	1,275	5%	255	5%	Navigant Report
Tankless Water Heaters	825	2%	154	2%	Navigant Report
Programmable thermostats	519	20%	310	20%	Navigant Report - Average

This table can be found on page 21 of the Enbridge Gas Distribution's 2008 DSM EAC Audit Summary Report found at Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1 and on page 4 of the Final Independent Audit of 2008 DSM Program Results report found at Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1.

- (b) At this time Enbridge has not established the 2009 SSM target.
- (c) Enbridge will not include spillover in the calculation of the 2009 target.
- (d) See the response to question (c) above.

Witness: M. Spinelli

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY #2

INTERROGATORY

Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1, Page 16-17

Within the EAC recommendations, the issue of a 150% cap on the value of an individual market transformation metrics arose. Enbridge appears to have an opposing view to that of the EAC. Although this issue was outside of the auditor's purview, it was noted that the auditor agreed that an approach that would allow for less important metrics to disproportionately contribute to SSM claims is problematic. This is in line with the view of the EAC.

In Enbridge's response to this recommendation, it stated that it has agreed to apply a 150% cap on individual 2008 market transformation metrics, but that this only applies to 2008.

(a) Given the Board Findings in Enbridge's 2010 DSM Plan proceeding (EB-2009-0154), please discuss if Enbridge will be applying a 150% cap on individual market transformation metrics for both 2009 and 2010 and the rationale for doing so.

RESPONSE

(a) In 2010 Enbridge will apply a 150% cap on individual market transformation metrics. This is in line with the decisions made by the Ontario Energy Board in the EB-2009-0154 proceeding.

For 2009, Enbridge will ask the 2009 DSM auditor to review all 2009 Market Transformation metrics and recommend values for these metrics.