

**Board Staff Supplemental Interrogatories  
2010 Electricity Distribution Rates  
Burlington Hydro Inc. ("Burlington")  
EB-2009-0259**

**1. Harmonized Sales Tax**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Energy Probe #1

It is possible that the PST and GST may be harmonized effective July 1, 2010. Unlike the GST, the PST is included as an OM&A expense and is also included in capital expenditures. If the GST and PST are harmonized, corporations would see a reduction in OM&A expenses and capital expenditures.

In the event that PST and GST are harmonized effective July 1, 2010:

- a) Would Burlington agree to capture in a variance account the reductions in OM&A and capital expenditures?
- b) Are there other alternatives that the Board might consider to reflect the reductions in OM&A and capital expenditures if this bill is enacted?

**2. Tree Trimming**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board Staff #10

- a) Please provide an explanation as to what type of costs would be classified as miscellaneous expenditures.
- b) Please complete the following table for Tree Trimming expenditures.

	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Annual Expenditure</b>				
<b>Miscellaneous Expenditure</b>				
<b>Total</b>				
<b>Year-to-Year Variance (\$)</b>				
<b>Year-to-Year Variance (%)</b>				

**3. One-Time Costs**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board Staff #13

Please confirm that the one-time costs totalling \$34,300 have been amortized over 4 years.

**4. Load Forecasting and Methodology**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Energy Probe #11(a)

In its response to the above interrogatory, Burlington stated that:

*When number of customers and population were both eliminated the resulting equation did not have any negative co-efficients that were not explainable but the equation had a R-square that was lower than the result when the number of customers were included.*

Please provide the results of the regression analysis by eliminating both number of customers and population. Please include the resulting coefficients, t-statistics, regression statistics, and the volume forecast for 2009 and 2010 (using all 3 weather normals).

**5. Load Forecasting and Methodology**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board staff #7

Please provide a load forecast for 2009 and 2010 using the normalized average consumption approach.

**6. Subdivision Assumed**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board staff #3  
Response to Interrogatory from Energy Probe #7

- a) Please provide a table containing the forecasts for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 for the subdivision assumed project. Include year-to-year dollar and percentage variances.
- b) Please explain all year-to-year variances.

## **7. Global Adjustment**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board staff #3

- a) Please identify separately, the balance associated with the Global Adjustment sub-account in Account 1588 Power, as of December 31, 2008 for the principal balance and April 30, 2010 for carrying charges.
- b) Please confirm that the GA principal balance proposed for disposition is based on the procedures identified by the Accounting Procedures Handbook.
- c) Please provide an allocation of the December 31, 2008 balance of the GA sub-account (plus interest to April 30, 2010) based on the 2008 kWhs for non-RPP customers.
- d) Please calculate a separate rate rider for the recovery of the proposed GA balance using the allocated amounts in part (c) and the 2010 non-RPP consumption data (kWh or kW as applicable) as the billing determinant.
- e) Please provide a variation on Exhibit 9/Tab2/Schedule 2/Page 3 excluding the Power (GA) sub-account from the calculations.
- f) Please calculate a separate rate rider for the recovery of the proposed balance of subaccount Power – Global adjustment of account 1588 using the amounts shown in 2010 and the 2010 non-RPP consumption data (kWh or kW as applicable) as the billing determinant. If Burlington does not have a forecast for 2010 non-RPP consumption data, please use 2008 actuals to determine this rate rider.
- g) If Burlington were to establish a separate rate rider to dispose of the balance of the Power (Global Adjustment) sub-account of account 1588, please provide Burlington's views as to whether this rate rider would be applicable to MUSH ("Municipalities, Universities, Schools and Hospitals") sector customers.
- h) If the answer to e) is in the negative, does Burlington have the capability in its billing system to exclude MUSH sector customers to which the separate rate rider for the disposition of the account 1588 subaccount Power (Global Adjustment) balance would apply?

## **8. Manager's Summary**

Ref: Response to Interrogatory from Board staff #1

- a) Based on the first and second round interrogatories from all parties, please submit an updated Microsoft Excel file containing the revenue requirement workform.

- b) Please provide a listing of all changes made to Burlington's original application (by exhibit), including an updated derivation of its revenue requirement, PILs calculation, base rates, rate adders/riders, and bill impacts.

**9. Responses to Letters of Comment**

- a) Following publication of the Notice of Application and Hearing, has Burlington received any letters of comment?
- b) If so, please confirm whether a reply was sent from Burlington to the customer.
  - i) If confirmed, please file that reply with the Board.
  - ii) If not confirmed, please explain why a response was not sent and confirm if Burlington intends on responding. If so, please file that response with the Board.