

VIA EMAIL (RESS & COURIER)

January 4, 2010

Ontario Energy Board
Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

RE: EB-2009-0154 Enbridge Demand Side Management (DSM) Costs – FRPO

In response to the Board's decision of December 14, 2009 in the above matter, the purpose of this letter is to communicate to the Board and Enbridge that the Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario (FRPO) will not be seeking costs in the above proceeding.

FRPO requested late intervenor status in the proceeding, as it has not historically participated in DSM proceedings¹. The Board granted intervenor status and FRPO invested time to become familiar with the range of issues and the impact to our members. Given that this acquisition of experience did not, at this time, provide the Board with value-added in the process, FRPO did not intend to request a cost award. Therefore, no cost claim will be submitted.

At the same time, FRPO would like to use this opportunity to request formally its inclusion on intervenor circulation from Enbridge Gas Distribution and Union Gas on all DSM and rate matters to reduce the administrative burden for the Board and ensure timely notification of proceedings of impact to FRPO members. DSM matters and Low-Income initiatives are of great interest to the membership of FRPO allowing input into the effective design, implementation and equitable distribution of such programs.

For the purposes of ensuring notification, please send the notifications of such proceedings to FRPO's representative, Mr. Dwayne Quinn, at the contact information on the letterhead.

Respectfully Submitted on Behalf of FRPO,



Dwayne R. Quinn
Principal
DR QUINN & ASSOCIATES LTD.

cc: B. Adams – EGD
C. Ripley – Union Gas
V. Brescia – FRPO

¹ FRPO requested intervenor status in EB-2009-0166 and while being included in Board staff docket communication, never received notification of intervenor status. Given that its approach in EB-2009-0166 was similar to EB-2009-0154 and no advancing of a cost claim was contemplated, FRPO did not pursue the apparent omission.