

October 11, 2007

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Re: Multi-Year Incentive Rate Regulation for Natural Gas Utilities EB-2007-0606

Dear Ms. Walli:

Enclosed, please find:

- Responses to the October 3, 2007 Technical Conference Undertakings.
- Corrections to the following Interrogatory Responses: C1.8, Page 2 of 3, C1.10 and C13.3, Page 2 of 2.

pertaining to Union's application for an order approving a multi-year incentive rate mechanism to determine rates for Union's regulated gas distribution, transmission and storage services effective January 1, 2008.

Yours truly,

[original signed by]

Connie Burns, CMA, PMP Manager, Regulatory Initiatives

Enclosure

cc: All Intervenors

Michael Penny, Torys

# Undertaking of Union Gas To Board Staff

Ref: Ex. D, Tab 3, Sch.11, p.1

Under Union's proposal, the delivery charge for residential customers in the Southern delivery area would increase by 4.2% in 2008 for a customer consuming 2,600 m<sup>3</sup>/yr.

Please indicate what percentage rate change a similar customer experienced in 2007 under a cost of service regulatory regime.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The bill impact of 4.2% for the average residential customer in the Southern Operations area consuming 2,600 m<sup>3</sup> per year represents the combined impact of moving to the 20 year declining trend weather normalization method, implementing those items already approved by the Board and Union's proposals related to the price cap formula. Union estimates that the impact on the average residential customer associated with its price cap proposal alone to be approximately 1.9%.

In 2007, the comparable impact on a residential customer consuming 2,600 m³ was 3.1% (EB-2005-0520, Rate Order, Working Papers, Schedule 19, page 1).

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas To Vulnerable Energy Consumer's Coalition ("VECC")

Ref.: Exhibit 32.2 (c) and (e)

Issue Number: 4.2

Issue: How should the impact of changes in average use be calculated?

- a) Please provide comparable figures to those provided in 32.2 (c) and (e) for all rate classes for the percentages of customer related costs and of fixed costs recovered through fixed charges.
- b) Please provide a response to the following hypothetical scenario: consider a gas distribution utility that was regulated under a cost-of-service regime that had two rate classes, a general service rate class and a contract class. Total fixed costs in year 0 are \$200 of which \$100 is allocated to general service and \$100 is allocated to contract. Rates are designed so as to recover \$102 from general service and \$98 from contract. Recovery of fixed costs from general service is through a combination of a fixed charge and variable charge whereas recovery of the fixed costs from contract is entirely from a fixed demand charge. In particular, based on the forecast demand from the contract class, the demand charge is set to exactly recover \$98 from the contract class. Expectations are realized in year 0 (e.g., actual HDDs are as forecast and approved, etc.,) and the fixed costs are entirely recovered, \$102 from general and \$98 from contract. In the following year, year 1, total fixed costs are still \$200 but the contract demand for the contract class has fallen by 10%:
  - a. Under a cost-of-service regime, are the two rate "baskets" self contained or can a reduction in revenue from one be recovered from the other?
  - b. Under a price cap scheme, are the two rate "baskets" self contained or can a reduction in revenue from one be recovered from the other?
  - c. Under a cost-of-service regime, how would rates be set for year 1.; and
  - d. Under a price cap regime whereby maximum rate increases are set according to a prespecified formula dependent on exogenous inputs (e.g., economy-wide inflation rate less a predetermined, fixed offset, the latter of which is specific to each of the rate classes), how would rates be set for year 1?

\_\_\_\_\_

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Proportion of fixed costs that are recovered through fixed charges for all rate classes <u>Approved 2007</u>

			Boungue					Conte			Customer Charge	Demand Charge	Total Fixed Revenue
Rate Class	Customer Charge	Demand Charge	Revenue Total Fixed	Total Variable	Total Revenue	Customer Related	Demand Related	Costs Total Fixed	Total Variable	Total Costs	Revenue to Cost Ratio (%)	Revenue to Cost Ratio (%)	to Cost Ratio (%)
	(a)	(b)	(c) = (a)+(b)	(d)	(e) = (c)+(d)	(f)	(g)	h) = (f) + (g)	(i)	(j) = (h)+(i)	(k) = (a)/(f)	(I) = (b)/(g)	(m) = (c)/(h)
Rate 01	56,769	0	56,769	76,183	132,952	99,129	32,756	131,885	4,311	136,196	57%	0%	43%
Rate 10	2,488	0	2,488	19,394	21,882	5,301	13,462	18,763	1,912	20,675	47%	0%	13%
Rate 20	689	5,369	6,058	1,386	7,444	696 (1)	11,285	11,981	493	12,474	99%	48%	51%
Rate 100	232	11,138	11,370	4,783	16,153	237	16,995	17,232	810	18,042	98%	66%	66%
Rate 25	226	0	226	2,177	2,403	526 (1)	4,401	4,927	217	5,144	43%	0%	5%
Rate 77	2	26	28	0	28	3	19	22	0	22	67%	137%	127%
Rate M1	188,176	0	188,176	171,277	359,453	245,566	115,980	361,546	11,880	373,426	77%	0%	52%
Rate M2	5,862	0	5,862	45,487	51,349	6,985	37,020	44,005	5, 150	49, 155	84%	0%	13%
Rate M4	0	9,575	9,575	4,193	13,768	1,254	13,176	14,430	3,167	17,597	0%	73%	66%
Rate M5A - Firm	0	741	741	1,209	1,950	195	666	861	460	1,321	0%	111%	86%
Rate M5A - Interruptible	816	0	816	5,272	6,088	913	5,425	6,338	2,092	8,430	89%	0%	13%
Rate M7 - Firm	0	5,647	5,647	890	6,537	524	7,393	7,917	1,262	9,179	0%	76%	71%
Rate M7 - Interruptible	0	0	0	132	132	12	324	336	57	393	0%	0%	0%
Rate M9	0	460	460	132	592	42	452	494	132	626	0%	102%	93%
Rate M10	0	0	0	5	5	15	19	34	8	42	0%	0%	0%
Rate T1 -Firm	1,502	34,868	36,370	16,563	52,933	1,620	37,163	38,783	14,419	53,202	93%	94%	94%
Rate T1 - Interruptible	230	0	230	1,870	2,100	248	2,857	3,105	242	3,347	93%	0%	7%
Rate T3	206	3,935	4,141	1,447	5,588	206	4,222	4,428	1,496	5,924	100%	93%	94%
	257,198	71,759	328,957	352,400	681,357	363,472	303,615	667,087	48,108	715,195			
Total General Service	253,295	0	253,295	312,341	565,636	356,981	199,218	556,199	23,253	579,452	71%	0%	46%
All other Rate Classes - Firm	2,631	71,759	74,390	30,608	104,998	4,792	91,390	96,182	22,247	118,429	55%	79%	77%
All other Rate Classes - Interruptible	1,272	0	1,272	9,451	10,723	1,699	13,007	14,706	2,608	17,314	75%	0%	9%
	257,198	71,759	328,957	352,400	681,357	363,472	303,615	667,087	48,108	715,195			
* Existing Rate M2	189,516	0	189,516	221,287	410,803	252,551	153,000	405,551	17,030	422,581	75%	0%	47%

Notes: (1) EB-2005-0520, Schedule 6, Working Papers.

Question:
Answer:
Docket: October 3, 2007 October 11, 2007 EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

- b)
- a. Under a cost of service regime, the reduction in revenue from one "basket" can be recovered from the other "basket", i.e. the baskets are not self-contained. While total fixed costs of \$200 have not changed, a reduction in contract demand in the contract class will result in lower costs being allocated to the contract "basket". Rates will be set to recover the total revenue requirement.
- b. Under a price cap scheme, changes in each of the "baskets" are separate and independent of the other "basket", i.e. self-contained. The respective price cap inflator is applied to each of the "baskets". Under a price cap scheme, Union manages reductions in revenue arising from changes in demand.
- c. Since contract demand for the contract class has fallen by 10% and total fixed costs of \$200 have not changed, more costs will be allocated to the General Service class under a cost-of-service regime.

Rates for Year 1 would be set considering (in no particular order) the following factors:

- 1. the revenue deficiency for the company as a whole;
- 2. the relative rate changes to other rate classes;
- 3. the allocated cost of service;
- 4. the level of current rates and the magnitude of the proposed change;
- 5. the potential impact on customers;
- 6. the level of contribution to fixed cost recovery;
- 7. customer expectations with respect to rate stability and predictability; and
- 8. equivalency of comparable service options.
- d. Under a price cap regime whereby maximum rate increases are set according to a prespecified formula, Union would apply the rate class specific price cap inflator to each rate class.

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

# Undertaking of Union Gas To Vulnerable Energy Consumer's Coalition ('VECC'')

Please provide the annual cost corresponding to the net plant value of \$3.499B that was provided in the original answer.

.\_\_\_\_\_\_

The carrying costs associated with Union's 2007 rate base are (in millions):

Depreciation \$178.5

Return on rate base 267.9 (interest and equity)

Income taxes 21.4
Property and capital taxes 68.7
\$536.5

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas To Vulnerable Energy Consumer Coalition ("VECC")

Exhibit C32.13 (a)

Please comment, regarding the claim of accelerating, decreasing normalized average use, in light of the fact that the data Union has provided appears to show that for the latest 7 years, 2000-2006, the average percentage decline in M2 NAC was 1.21% whereas for the previous seven-year period, 1993 - 1999, the average percentage decline in M2 NAC was 1.29%.

The average annual rate of NAC decline for the entire rate M2 customer class for the period 1993 to 1999 is 1.6 %. The annual rate of decline for the 2000 to 2006 period is also 1.6%. These annual rates of decline are obtained from total rate M2 data (normalized volumes and number of customers) including the commercial tobacco processing accounts. The 2007 weather normal and coefficients were used to normalize the data.

Union's evidence and interrogatory responses provided do not refer to NAC declines of 1.21% for the 2000 to 2006 period and the 1.29% for 1993 to 1999 period. Union Gas does not understand where these figures come from.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To Vulnerable Energy Consumer's Coalition ("VECC")</u>

Exhibit C32.24 b)

Please repeat the Goldfeld-Quandt test using HDD data from Toronto Pearson from 1960 through 2006.

Toronto Pearson airport weather HDD data: 1960 to 2006 split first 20 years and last 20 years.

Goldfeld-Quandt test

Number of Observations in each period 20 Degrees of freedom (dgf) 18

From 1987 to 2006 SEE1/dgf1 =  $\frac{\text{SEE}}{275}$  SEE/dgf From 1960 to 1979 SEE2/dgf2 =  $\frac{166}{9}$  1.65

95% Critical Value 3.07

Conclusion: Heteroskedasticity is not present.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u>

Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

C3/C16/C33.1 part c

In order to more accurately determine the change in rates for customers to be served under the new M2 rate class, please provide an additional table for an M2 with an annual consumption of 50,000 m3 and an additional table for an M2 customer with an annual volume of 100,000 m3.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### Commercial Bill Comparison General Service - Rate M2 Based on an annual consumption of 50,000 m<sup>3</sup>

Line No.	Year (a)	EBRO Number (b)	Delivery & Storage (\$) (c)	Transportation (\$) (d)	Commodity (\$) (e)	Estimated Annual Bill (\$) (f)
1	1993	476-03-04	3,577	1,746	3,740	9,062
2	1994	476-06	3,403	1,822	4,109	9,333
3	1995	486	3,588	1,608	3,268	8,465
4	1996	486-04	3,588	1,789	3,102	8,480
5	1997	494	3,394	2,286	3,645	9,325
6	1998	494-06A	3,686	1,705	3,951	9,342
7	1999	499	3,273	1,703	5,131	10,107
8	2000	RP-1999-0017	3,340	1,703	15,658	20,700
9	2001	RP-2001-0029	3,506	2,132	9,800	15,438
10	2002	RP-2001-0029	3,300	2,132	9,800	15,232
11	2003	RP-2002-0130	3,146	2,265	13,027	18,438
12	2004	RP-2003-0063	3,221	1,885	13,341	18,447
13	2005	RP-2003-0063	2,882	2,027	14,777	19,687
14	2006	EB-2005-0531	2,809	1,785	20,836	25,430
15	2007	EB-2006-0502	2,764	1,678	12,416	16,857

Note: includes rate riders

Commercial Bill Comparison General Service - Rate M2 Based on an annual consumption of 100,000 m<sup>3</sup>

			Delivery			Estimated
Line		EBRO	& Storage	Transportation	Commodity	Annual Bill
No.	Year	Number	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	1993	476-03-04	6,200	3,491	7,479	17,171
2	1994	476-06	5,853	3,644	8,218	17,714
3	1995	486	6,163	3,217	6,536	15,915
4	1996	486-04	6,163	3,579	6,204	15,946
5	1997	494	5,774	4,572	7,290	17,635
6	1998	494-06A	6,358	3,410	7,902	17,669
7	1999	499	5,635	3,405	10,262	19,303
8	2000	RP-1999-0017	5,767	3,405	31,316	40,488
9	2001	RP-2001-0029	6,057	4,263	19,601	29,921
10	2002	RP-2001-0029	5,702	4,263	19,601	29,566
11	2003	RP-2002-0130	5,444	4,529	26,054	36,028
12	2004	RP-2003-0063	5,661	3,769	26,682	36,112
13	2005	RP-2003-0063	5,015	4,055	29,555	38,625
14	2006	EB-2005-0531	4,868	3,570	41,673	50,111
15	2007	EB-2006-0502	4,878	3,357	24,831	33,065

Note: includes rate riders

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C3/C16/C33.3

This response seems to indicate that the volumes for rate M1, M2, 01 and 10 customers would be lower due to the move to the 20 year trend model and that these are the only rate classes impacted by the change.

- a) Please explain how the response to C15.8, which indicates that rates T3, M9 and U9 customers would be allocated less storage capacity coincides with this statement.
- b) If they are allocated less storage capacity but their volumes are not changed, should there not be a reduction in the rates to these customer classes? If not, explain why not.
- c) What is the reduction in storage space and the associated costs allocated to M9 and to M10 customers of moving to the 20 year declining trend methodology?
- d) Please confirm that the reduction in degree days leads to an overall reduction of the in-franchise storage requirement of 1.4 PJ (C22.5). What is the current value of the associated storage services storage capacity on the secondary markets?
- e) The response to C22.5 also indicates that there would be a minimal impact on the storage rate because the reduction in volume associated with the move to the 20 year trend would result in a corresponding reduction in storage costs. Please provide the analysis Union has completed to show this one-to-one relationship.
- a) For purposes of financial reporting, the only rate classes Union weather normalizes are its general service rate classes (M1 (in the future), M2, Rate 01 and Rate 10). Exhibit C15.8 refers to the weather normalization of U9 and T3 as part of the forecast process. Customers are given an opportunity to review the forecast and request changes if they do not agree with the forecast level. Union will weather normalize the forecast at the request of the customer.
- b) The change in weather normalization method will result in a change in the monthly consumption profile and an overall reduction in throughput for rate classes that are weather normalized. For rate classes that are not weather normalized but may have a degree of weather sensitivity, it is not appropriate to look at the rate impacts related to a change in allocated storage costs in isolation. Although changes in the allocation of storage costs will

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

put downward pressure on the rate, the recovery of distribution and transmission related costs will have an offsetting effect as these costs will need to be recovered over lower volumes.

- c) M9 and M10 customers may or may not see a reduction depending on the final forecasts agreed to by the customers and Union. If accepted by the Board, the 20 year declining trend method would be used as a tool in determining the forecast for each customer. If it was adopted by Union and all customers in the M9 and M10 rate classes the total impact on the M9 rate class would be approximately 6,000 GJ and on the M10 rate class would be 15 GJ.
- d) If the 20 year declining method was approved, the total in-franchise storage requirement would be reduced by approximately 1.4 PJs. Offsetting some of this reduction would be any new customer additions throughout the incentive regulation term. The current value of the 1.4 PJs on the secondary market would be approximately \$1.3 million for a one year storage contract.
- e) By virtue of how Union calculates its cost based storage rates, the average unit cost of storage will not be impacted by a reduction in storage space and deliverability used by specific rate classes. Please refer to Exhibit D, Tab 3, Schedule 9.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to <u>The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")</u> <u>The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")</u> The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

## C3/C16/C33.6

The response in part a indicates that that the proposal to adjust the split between fixed and variable cost recovery extends to the contract rate classes, but that Union does not anticipate any significant shift in cost recovery within these rate classes since the majority of fixed costs are recovered through fixed charges.

- a) Please confirm that fixed costs include customer costs and demand costs.
- b) For each contract rate class, please provide the Board approved 2007 proportion of fixed costs that are recovered through fixed charges.
- c) Does Union envision a ceiling on its ability to shift cost recovery within the contract rate classes such that it would be unable to increase the fixed charges above that needed to recover the fixed costs? If not, why not?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- a) Confirmed.
- b) Please refer to response provided at Exhibit JTA.5.
- c) In general, Union does not envision increasing fixed cost recovery through fixed rates above the level of fixed costs in the contract market.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C3/C16/C33.9

- a) What is the actual OEB cost assessment for 2007, as compared to the forecast of \$3.7 million?
- b) What is the actual cost of Union's 2007 rates proceeding, as compared to the forecast cost of \$4.6 million?
- c) What is the projected actual cost for other proceedings in 2007, as compared to the \$1.0 million forecast? Please provide a budget breakdown of the \$1.0 million forecast and the projected actual figure by proceeding.

\_\_\_\_\_

- a) \$3.8 million.
- b) \$2.4 million.
- c) Union has not updated the \$1.0 million forecast cost of other regulatory proceedings. However, Union anticipates that its share of the incentive regulation proceeding on its own may be well in excess of the \$1.0 million forecast cost of all other regulatory proceedings.

There is no breakdown of the \$1.0 million forecast cost for other regulatory proceedings. Union anticipated that it would be involved in a number of regulatory proceedings in 2007 but the budget was created at the aggregate level.

Union has incurred \$0.6 million to date; \$0.3 million for incentive regulation, \$0.2 million for NGEIR and \$0.1 million for other.

Ouestion:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

# Undertaking of Union Gas to The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA") The London Property Management Association ("LPMA") The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C3/C16/C33.18

Part b of the question requested the normalized average uses for all rate classes for 1995 through 2006. The response refers to C32.13 a) which deals only with rate classes that are normalized. Part b of that question asked for the average use data for all rate classes. This part of the question was not answered. Please provide the normalized average use for all rate classes (including those that are not normalized, i.e. normalized average use equals actual average use).

Please refer to interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C32.13 b) Supplemental.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA") The London Property Management Association ("LPMA") The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

C3/C16/C33.17&18 (and C13.18)

Union indicates that for contract customers the change in average use is not important because the majority of the fixed costs for these customers are recovered through demand charges. Please provide the proportion of fixed costs recovered through fixed charges for each rate class, contract and general service based on the 2007 Decision. Please include both the existing M2 rate class proportion and the approved M1 and new M2 proportions.

\_\_\_\_\_

Please refer to response provided at Exhibit JTA.5.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA") The London Property Management Association ("LPMA") The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

## C3/C16/C33.27

b) What portion of the \$1.892 million shown in the response to part c would be allocated to Union's regulated operations?

Approximately 94% of the \$1.892 million would be allocated to Union's regulated operations.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C3/C16/C33.34

Part b of the question has not been answered in full as the response found in C23.12 does not provide the same analysis performed by Enbridge.

- a) Please provide the same analysis as used in the Enbridge EB-2006-0034 proceeding including the same period for the analysis of 1990 through 2005, and the calculation of the MPE, MAPE, RMSPE, O/U and standard deviation statistics.
- b) Please provide the ranking of the results for each of the South and North areas using the same weighting scheme utilized by Enbridge.
- c) Did Union have actual 2006 degree day data when it filed its 2007 rates application?
- d) Is Union's analysis based on the premise of a 2 year ahead forecast (for example, the 2004 forecast is based on actual data up to and including 2002)?
- e) For each forecast methodology shown in the response to the above, please provide the 2007 forecast of heating degree days using the data up to and including 2005.

The ranking table originally provided in the filed interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C23.12 is re-produced and presented below. The revised table follows exactly the Enbridge scoring analysis. The total score in the tables equals the sum of the rankings for each method and not a weighted percentage score result.

The rankings are based on estimates spanning the 1985 to 2006 period. The score in this table compares performance rankings of the nine different weather normal methods. The lowest score indicates the best model. The lowest score occurs with the 20 year declining trend method. This result occurs in both the Southern and the Northern and Eastern operating areas. A similar conclusion was reached in the interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C23.12.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### WEATHER NORMAL METHOD RANKING TABLE

UNION SOUTH				We	ather Norm	ial Estimatio	n Methodol	ogy		
Criteria		20 Year	Blended	Blended	Energy	30 Year	30 Year	20 Year	10 Year	Naïve
Weights	Criteria	Trend	55:45	50:50	Probe	Trend	Average	Average	Average	Estimate
	MPE	2	5	4	7	3	9	7	6	1
	RMPSE	2	4	3	8	1	7	6	5	9
	O/U Freq.	1	4	3	2	1	3	5	4	1
	Std. Dev.	7	3	4	6	8	1	2	5	9
	Score	12	16	14	23	13	20	20	20	20

UNION NORT	HERN & EASTERN			We	ather Norm	nal Estimatio	n Methodol	ogy		
Criteria		20 Year	Blended	Blended	Energy	30 Year	30 Year	20 Year	10 Year	Naïve
Weights	Criteria	Trend	55:45	50:50	Probe	Trend	Average	Average	Average	Estimate
	MPE	2	6	- 4	8	3	9	7	5	1
	RMPSE	1	5	3	8	2	7	6	4	9
	O/U Freq.	1	2	1	5	2	6	4	3	1
	Std. Dev.	7	3	4	5	8	1	2	6	9
	Score	11	16	12	26	15	23	19	18	20

#### Notes:

MPE Mean percent error - this is a simple accuracy test: plus & minus will net out.

RMPSE Root mean percent square error - this a robust accuracy test: plus & minus do not net out.

O/U Freq. Over to under frequency ratio - this is a simple symmetry test.

Std. Dev. Standard deviation - this is a stability test.

# Part a)

The following two tables present the analysis of the performance of each weather normalization method over the 1990 to 2005 period.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

UNION: Eastern & Northern

Union Gas Annual Heating Degree Days

Weather Normal Estimation Methodology 20 Year Blended 20 Year 10 Year Naïve Blended Energy 30 Year 30 Year Average Trend 55:45 50:50 Probe Trend Average Average Estimate Year Actual 4,994 5,252 5,305 5,256 1990 5,194 5,258 5,146 5,276 5,311 5,317 5,654 5,019 5,290 5,285 5,350 5,313 5,327 5,332 5,276 1991 5,244 5,251 5,182 5,256 5,320 5,311 5,219 4,994 1992 5,489 5,182 5,258 1993 5,460 5,115 5,226 5,216 5,095 5,196 5,317 5,298 5,212 5,019 1994 5,294 5,214 5,275 5,270 5,260 5,216 5,325 5,285 5,218 5,489 5,306 5,234 5,330 5,311 5,244 5,460 1995 5,358 5.206 5,274 5,268 1996 5,550 5,220 5,280 5,275 5,270 5,228 5.329 5,304 5,256 5.294 5,273 5,267 5,299 5,244 5,325 5,315 5,248 5,358 1997 5,384 5,210 4,457 5,317 5,316 5,339 5,285 5,329 5,310 5,286 5,550 1998 5,303 5,305 5,320 1999 4,754 5,303 5,315 5,314 5,332 5,325 5,352 5,384 5,226 5,194 5,292 5,266 4,457 2000 5,158 5,160 5,233 5,163 5,261 5,105 4,754 2001 4,592 5,077 5,189 5,179 5,168 5,280 5,226 5,176 2002 4,997 5,107 5,197 5,189 5,234 5,099 5,271 5,206 5,192 5,158 2003 5,111 4,960 5,119 5,104 5,206 5,004 5,249 5,181 5,150 4,592 5,102 5,089 5,404 5,008 5,224 5,159 4,997 2004 5,148 4,953 5,100 4,970 5,229 2005 4,829 4,948 5,103 5,089 5,269 5,155 5,065 5,111 125 84 199 168 120 62 50 132 152 Avg. Error 2.99% 2.84%2.03% 4.30% 3.68% 2.76% 1.64% 3.40% MPE 1.38% 7.31% 7.27% 7.82% 7.44% 7.29% 9.74% **RMPSE** 7.08% 7.86% 7.00% O/U Freq. 50.0% 56.3% 50.0% 62.5% 50.0% 68.8% 62.5% 56.3% 56.3%

86

112

37

61

72

345

#### Notes:

Std. Dev.

MPE Mean percent error - this is a simple accuracy test: plus & minus will net out.

71

RMPSE Root mean percent square error - this a robust accuracy test: plus & minus do not net out.

75

O/U Freq. Over to under frequency ratio - this is a simple symmetry test.

115

Std. Dev. Standard deviation - this is a stability test.

#### Part b)

The table below shows the performance ranking of the weather methods analyzed and tabled in part a) of this question above. The analysis period is 1990 to 2005. The table shows that the lowest score of 8 in the Southern operating area is obtained with the 20 year trend method. In the Northern and Eastern operating area both the 20 year trend and the 30 year trend tie for the best with a low score of 12. This tie is broken when the analysis examines the results calculated using a longer period of time. The table presented in the clarification section shown at the beginning of this response shows that over the 1985 to 2006 period the 20 year trend method is superior to the 30 year trend method (a score of 11 versus 15). Conclusion: the 20 year trend outperforms the other methods.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### WEATHER NORMAL METHOD RANKING TABLE

UNION: SO	OUTH			Wea	ther Norm	al Estimatio	n Methodolo	gy		
Criteria		20 Year	Blended	Blended	Energy	30 Year	30 Year	20 Year	10 Year	Naïve
Weights	Criteria	Trend	55:45	50:50	Probe	Trend	Average	Average	Average	Estimate
	MPE	1	6	4	7	3	9	8	5	2
	RMPSE	1	3	2	8	5	7	6	4	9
	O/U Freq.	1	3	2	3	1	3	4	3	2
	Std. Dev.	5	2	3	7	6	1	. 4	3	8
	Score	8	14	11	25	15	20	22	15	21

UNION: E	astern & Nor	thern			Weather	Normal Esti	mation Met	hodology		
Criteria		20 Year	Blended	Blended	Energy	30 Year	30 Year	20 Year	10 Year	Naïve
Weights	Criteria	Trend	55:45	50:50	Probe	Trend	Average	Average	Average	Estimate
	MPE	1	6	5	7	3	9	8	4	2
	RMPSE	2	5	3	8	1	7	6	4	9
	O/U Freq.	1	2	1	3	1	4	3	2	2
	Std. Dev.	8	3	5	6	7	1	2	4	9
	Score	12	16	14_	24	12	21	19	14	22

#### Notes:

MPE Mean percent error - this is a simple accuracy test: plus & minus will net out.

RMPSE Root mean percent square error - this a robust accuracy test: plus & minus do not net out.

O/U Freq. Over to under frequency ratio - this is a simple symmetry test.

Std. Dev. Standard deviation - this is a stability test.

# Part c)

Union did not have actual 2006 degree-day data when it filed its 2007 rates application as the year was not completed.

## Part d)

A two year regulatory lag is recognized in the analysis. The example provided in the question is correct; the 2004 test year weather normal estimate is based on actual weather data up to and including the year 2002.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Part e)

The table below shows the weather normal estimates for the year 2007 obtained by the nine different weather normal methods.

UNION GAS
YEAR 2007 WEATHER NORMAL ESTIMATES
annual heating degree-days

	Operating Area					
Weather Normal Method	Southern	Northern & Eastern				
20 Year Trend	3,714	4,917				
55:45 Blend	3,825	5,078				
50:50 Blend	3,815	5,063				
Energy Probe	3,828	5,094				
30 Year Trend	3,655	4,915				
30 Year Average	3,917	5,209				
20 Year Average	3,830	5,123				
10 Year Average	3,752	4,998				
Naïve Method	3,778	4,423				

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u>

# Undertaking of Union Gas To BOMA/LPMA/WGSPG

#### C1.8

Please provide the information requested in part b, ignoring the normalized volumes and base the response on actual volumes and customers.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The calculation requested is provided in the table below. As stated in the response provided at Exhibit C1.8, Union does not calculate normalized average consumption for any rate classes other than general service rate classes (i.e. M2, Rate 01, Rate 10).

Contract and	Wholesale Servi	ce Group			
		If no DSM -			If no DSM
	Annual	Annual		Average Use	Average use
	Volume	Volume	Number of	per Customer	per Customer
Year	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$	Customers	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$
2000	9,694	9,721	629	15.412	15.455
2001	9,022	9,070	631	14.298	14.374
2002	9,711	9,781	613	15.842	15.956
2003	9,307	9,394	616	15.109	15.249
2004	9,205	9,317	604	15.240	15.425
2005	8,906	9,056	591	15.069	15.324
2006	8.371	8,568	536	15.618	15.985

As previously noted in the response provided at Exhibit C32.13 b) Supplemental, averages can be calculated by dividing the total throughput by the total number of customers, however, the resulting "average use per customer" values should be used with caution. The number of contract customers is relatively small and the types of customers within the contract market are diverse.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C13.10

Part c of the question asked Union to identify which long-term debt instruments would expire during the 2008 through 2012 time period. The response identifies three debt instruments (lines 11, 12 & 14 from the response at part b).

- a) The response in part b seems to indicate a number of other long term debt instruments that would expire during this period (i.e. line 1, 2, 3, 4, 13). Why were these debt instruments not included in the response to parts c & d?
- b) Please redo the response in part d to reflect a renewal rate of 5%.
- c) Please explain why any changes in interest costs should not be considered a Y or Z factor.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

a) Lines 1, 2 and 4 are sinking fund debt instruments. At their maturity date the value is zero. Therefore, there is no amount to renew. Lines 3 and 13 were excluded from the response since the maturity dates fell beyond the 2010 forecast Union provided. These instruments will be included in the response to part b.

b)

					Increase (Decrease) @ 5% Renewal					
Line	Offering	Effective	Maturity	06/30/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12	
No.	Date	Cost Rate	Date	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	
3	07/11/89	10.760	07/11/11	125,000				(3,413)	(7,200)	
11	07/14/98	5.860	07/14/08	100,000	(399)	(860)	(860)	(860)	(860)	
12	06/01/00	7.330	06/01/10	185,000			(2,515)	(4,311)	(4,311)	
13	05/04/01	6.740	05/04/11	250,000				(2,872)	(4,350)	
14	12/17/02	5.310	12/17/07	200,000	(620)	(620)	(620)	(620)	(620)	
					(1,019)	(1,480)	(3,995)	(12,075)	(17,341)	

c) Interest rate changes do not meet the definition of Y or Z factors. Y factors relate to items that are outside of the incentive regulation framework. Z factors capture the change in costs associated with changes in legislation, regulatory requirements and GAAP. Further, Z factors relate to changes in costs that will not be reflected in the inflation factor.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Undertaking of Union Gas to

The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA")

The London Property Management Association ("LPMA")

The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

#### C3/C16/C33.28

- a) If the changes in the CCA rates are implemented, is the total impact on 2007 the \$1 million shown in the table (i.e. for assets acquired after March 19, 2007), or is the \$1 million an estimate of the annualized impact?
- b) Is this the estimated amount that will be reflected in the deferral account that was agreed to in the EB-2005-0520 Settlement Agreement?
- a) The response in part a) indicates that these CCA rates have not yet been implemented. Please confirm that the legislation to implement these new rates has been passed.

- a) It is an estimate of the total impact on 2007 (i.e. for assets acquired after March 19, 2007).
- b) If the CCA rate changes are implemented and the capital that has been assumed in the calculation is available for use, then this amount would go into the deferral account for 2007.
- c) The legislation to implement the CCA changes has still not been passed.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA") The London Property Management Association ("LPMA") The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

Ex. D/T1, page 3

- a) How has Union determined the split of the 2007 DSM costs between the new M1 and M2 rate classes?
- b) Please confirm that Union will be able to true-up differences between the DSM costs included in rates and the actual amount spent on DSM programs in 2008 for the new Ml and M2 rate classes.

- a) Forecast 2007 DSM costs associated with delivering DSM programs to M2 residential customers was directly assigned to the new M1 rate class. The remaining 2007 DSM costs associated with delivering DSM programs to M2 commercial/industrial customers was split between the new M1 and the new M2 rate classes based on estimated 2005 volume savings.
- b) Confirmed.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas to The Building Owners and Managers Association of the Greater Toronto Area ("BOMA") The London Property Management Association ("LPMA") The Wholesale Gas Service Purchasers Group ("WGSPG")

Ex. D/T3/Sch 3

- a) Please provide a breakdown of the figures in column (h), Storage Premium Adjustment, showing the allocation to each rate class of the three components of this adjustment shown in lines 7 through 9 of Exhibit D, Tab 3, Schedule 2.
- b) Please provide an explanation and description for each allocation methodology used to allocate these three components of the storage premium adjustment.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- a) Please see attached.
- b) Union is not proposing separate allocators for each adjustment shown in lines 7 through 9 of Exhibit D, Tab 3 Schedule 2. Union is proposing to use a storage adjustment factor applicable to each rate class to adjust for the removal of the long term storage premium and changes to the sharing of forecast S&T margin.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# UNION GAS LIMITED Storage Premium Adjustment Effective January 1, 2008

Line No.	Particulars	Approved A Sharing of 2008 Long Term Storage Premium	Sharing of Short Term Storage and Balancing Services	Proposed Changes to Sharing of Transmission Margin	Total Storage Premium Adjustment (\$000's)	Storage Premium Adjustment (%)
	Delivery North	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
1	R01	705	657	(537)	825	0.64%
2	R10	109	101	(83)	127	0.64%
3	R20	35	32	(26)	41	0.64%
4	R25	13	12 -	(10)	15	0.64%
5	R77	0	0	(0)	0	0.64%
6	R100	77	71	(58)	90	0.64%
7	Total North Delivery	939	875	(715)	1,098	
	In-franchise South Delivery & Storage					
8	MI	1,750	1,630	(1,333)	2,047	0.64%
9	M2	202	188	(154)	236	0.64%
10	M4	47	44	(36)	55	0.64%
11	M5	32	30	(24)	37	0.64%
12	M7	21	19	(16)	24	0.64%
13	M9	2	2	(1)	2	0.64%
14	M10	0	0	(0)	0	0.64%
15	TI	197	184	(150)	231	0.64%
16	Т3	17	16	(13)	20	0.64%
17	Total South Delivery	2,267	2,112	(1,727)	2,652	
18	Total In-franchise Delivery	3,205	2,987	(2,442)	3,750	
	Northern Transportation and Storage					
19	R01	4	4	(3)	5	0.64%
20	R10	0	0	(0)	0	0.65%
21	R20	ì	1	(0)	1	0.64%
22	R25	0	0	(0)	0	0.00%
23	R100	0	0	(0)	0	0.00%
24	Total North Transport and Storage	5	5	(4)	6	
25	Total In-franchise	3,211	2,992	(2,446)	3,756	
	Ex-franchise - Cost Based					
26	M12					
27	M13					
28	M16					
29	Cl					
30	Total Ex-franchise	0	0	0	0	
31	Total Union Gas	3,211	2,992	(2,446)	3,756	

# Undertaking of Union Gas To BOMA/LPMA/WGSPG

# C32.13 (b) Supplemental

The response refers to data filed in EB-2005-0520 in Exhibit C1, Summary Schedules 1 and 2. This data includes actual information to 2005. Please provide the actual and normalized volumes and customer numbers by rate class for 2006 as well as the approved figures for 2007.

Please see the attached table for 2006 information. The approved figures for 2007 appear in Exhibit C1, Summary Schedules 1 and 2, provided as attachments to Exhibit C32.13 b) Supplemental.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

			2006	
			Weather	
		Actual	Normalized	
Line		Volumes	Volumes	Number of
No.	Particulars	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$	$(10^6 \text{m}^3)$	Customers
		(a)	(b)	(c)
	General Service (1)			
1	Rate M2	3,672	4,069	972,180
2	Rate 01	804	902	292,070
3	Rate 10	364	400	3,137
4	Rate 16	0	0	0
5	Total General Service	4,840	5,371	1,267,387
	Contract and Wholesale (2)			
6	Rate M9 / T3	286	286	3
7	Rate M10	0	0	2
8	Rate 77	0	0	1
9	Rate M4	536	536	158
10	Rate M6	0	0	0
11	Rate M7	765	765	9
12	Rate 20 Firm	491	491	58
13	Rate 100 Firm	2,085	2,085	21
14	Rate T-1 Firm	3,449	3,449	52
15	Rate M5	523	523	129
16	Rate 25 interruptible	236	236	103
17	Rate 30	0	0	0
18	Total Contract and Wholesale	8,371	8,371	536
19	Total	13,211	13,742	1,267,923

# Note:

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

<sup>(1)</sup> The impact of weather normalization for rates M2, Rate 01 and Rate 10 is calculated based on the weather normalization method in place for 2006.

<sup>(2)</sup> Union's Contract and Wholesale rate classes are not weather normalized.

# Undertaking of Union Gas To Kitchener

# Exhibit C15.4 (g)

In line 7 of the schedule shown on page 2 of the response, on what basis are the normal heating degree days derived, i.e. 30 year average, blend or declining trend?

# UNION GAS WEATHER NORMALS

Total Company

<u>Year</u>	<u>HDD</u>	Method
2001	4,288	30 year average
2002	4,284	30 year average
2003	4,268	30 year average
2004	4,170	70:30 blend
2005	4,180	70:30 blend
2006	4,177	70:30 blend
2007	4,139	55:45 blend

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To Association of Major Power Producers of Ontario ("APPrO")</u>

Confirm next phase of expansion for the purpose of M12 to be in the form of the addition of compression; confirm unit cost for capacity resulting from addition of compression is lower than addition of capacity from previous expansions that have taken place under M12; when you would expect the next expansion to occur in the form of the addition of compression.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The next phase of Union's expansion plans for the Dawn-Trafalgar transmission system consists of additional compression at the Bright compressor station commencing in 2008. This project will be followed by additional compression at Lobo and Dawn compressor stations and construction of the single pipeline section remaining to complete the NPS 48 fourth transmission line looping program from Dawn to Parkway. The estimated incremental cost per unit of capacity from potential future compression additions is expected to be greater than the cost per unit of capacity for the next pipeline section to be constructed and the existing M12 toll. As a result, the overall impact of potential future Dawn-Trafalgar expansion projects over the incentive regulation planning horizon which would otherwise put upward cost pressure on M12 transportation rates will be something Union has to manage under incentive regulation.

The attached schedule provides the capital cost per unit of capacity based on the 2007 approved cost of service study, the approved facilities for 2006, 2007 and 2008, and the potential facilities beyond 2008 that would complete the 4<sup>th</sup> loop of the Dawn-Trafalgar system.

The unit cost of capacity associated with the facilities beyond 2008 is based on high level estimates, that will be refined as we get closer to actual construction.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### TRANSMISSION FACILITIES EXPANSION PROGRAM Long-Term Expansion Plan for the Dawn-Trafalgar System Additional Capital Investments

To Complete the 4th Loop and Compression at Lobo and Bright

	Design Day Capacity Added (MMcfd)	Facility Capital Costs (\$000's)	Capital Cost per Unit Capacity Added (S/Mcfd)
Existing Dawn-Trafalgar Facilities  Net Plant Per the 2007 Approved Cost of Service Study	5,678.7	772,646	136.1
Current Projects Completed or Proceeding 2006-07  Facilities Completed in 2006:  Brooke-Strathroy & Hamilton-Milton Pipeline Sections	371.6	108,556 848	292.1
Parkway Compressor Upgrade Dawn Compressor Total	371.6	47,934 157,338	423.4
Facilities Pending Completion in 2007: Strathroy-Lobo Pipeline Section	248.3	55,905	225.2
Parkway "B" Compressor Total	239.8 488.1	72,095 128,000	300.6 262.2
Total Projects Completed or Proceeding in 2006-07	859.7	285,338	331.9
Future Expansion Plans			
Scheduled for Completion in 2008 Bright "C" Compressor Upgrade	322.2	57,400	178.2
Total Facilities 2008	322.2	57,400	178.2
Future Facilities Planned in 2009 and Beyond: Lobo "C" Compressor	218.9	94,542	431.9
Brantford-Kirkwall Pipeline Section Dawn Compressor	259.1	68,970 54,000	266.2
Sub-Total Brantford-Kirkwall & Dawn Compressor	259.1	122,970	474.6
Lobo "D" Compressor Total Future Facilities 2009+	136.3	81,370 298,882	597.0 486.5
Total Future Expansion Plans	936.5	356,282	380.4
Total Long Term Dawn-Trafalgar System Facilities	1,796.2	641,620	357.2
Breakdown Between Compression & Pipeline Projects Current Projects Completed or Proceeding 2006-07:			
Compression	239.8 619.9	120,877 164,461	504.1 265.3
Pipeline Total Completed to 2007	859.7	285,338	331.9
Future Expansion Plans	200 A	207 212	42.4.1
Compression Pipeline	677.4 259.1	287,312 68,970	424.1 266.2
Total Future Expansion Plans	936.5	356,282	380.4

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To Energy Probe</u>

Ref: Decision With Reasons, EB-2005-0001 Enbridge Rates Case

Issue Number: 14.1

Issue: Are there adjustments that should be made to base year revenue requirements and/or rates?

In the EB-2005-0001 Enbridge Rates Case, the Decision With Reasons at Section 5.5.10, stated as follows:

No evidence has been provided that demonstrates whether the hedging activity had a material effect on the volatility experienced by customers, given the effects of QRAM, the PGVA, and equal billing programs over the same period. (emphasis added)

In the EB-2006-0034 Enbridge 2007 Rates Case, the Applicant was requested to complete two charts to allow the Board Panel to more fully assess the impact that their Equal Billing Plan had on price volatility. In this proceeding, we are requesting that Union provide the same information, allowing the Board to explore the price volatility experienced by customers. The Tables compare the payment experience of residential customers on system gas but not on the Equal Billing Plan with residential customers on system gas and participating in the Equal Billing Plan. If the Tables do not fit the exact data captured by Union, please complete them on a best efforts basis.

In this proceeding, in Energy Probe Interrogatory C10.6, Union was asked to complete Table A to demonstrate the Equal Billing Plan impact on price volatility of the hedged portfolio of Union Gas, and to complete Table B to demonstrate the Equal Billing Plan impact on price volatility of the unhedged portfolio of Union Gas.

The figures provided by Union for C10.6 Table A and Table B in the columns with headings "Equal billing price per 273 M3 with RM", "Quarterly price change per 273 M3", "Equal billing price per 273 M3 without RM", and "Quarterly price change per 273 M3" respectively do not appear to provide the information requested. The figures provided in those columns would indicate that a customer served under the equal billing plan received prices that changed quarterly.

Using historical data for a customer using an annual average amount of 273 M3 per month and enrolling in September, please indicate what this customer would have seen on their bill as the rate charged under equal billing. Please redo the charts with the equal billing prices either seen by customers, or, in the case of Table B, that would have been seen by customers had RM not been used including the quarterly price change.

-----

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Exhibit JTA.25 Page 2 of 2

The gas supply rate that a customer pays is the same for all system customers, whether or not they participate in the Equal Billing Plan. The EBP simply spreads the estimated annual bill over the course of the year in order to avoid higher monthly payments in the winter season. True-ups occur annually to ensure that EBP customers pay the approved gas supply rate for their actual consumption.

Union applied a normal consumption profile to the annual total of 3,276 m<sup>3</sup> (273 m<sup>3</sup> / month) as provided at Attachment #1, to create a theoretical representation of the total monthly bill amounts for EBP and non-EBP customers with and without risk management.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### Table A - Equal Billing Plan (EBP) Impact on Price Volatility 2003 - 2007 Based on an Annual Volume of 3,276 m\*3 With Risk Management

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
				Acutal			Change in EBP
				Customer		EBP Customer	Customer
			Rate Change	Cost per	EBP	Amount Due	Amount Due
EBP Cycle	Date	% Use/Mos	Activity	Month	Activity	Per Month	Per Month
EBP Year 1	Jan-01-2003	17.1%	Rate Change	\$113.93	EBP Start	\$73.00	
	Feb-01-2003	17.3%	•	\$115.27	EBP Update	\$74.00	\$1.00
	Mar-01-2003	17.3%	Rate Change	\$131.70		\$74.00	\$0.00
	Apr-01-2003	10.0%		\$76.13		\$74.00	\$0.00
	May-01-2003	6.8%	Rate Change	\$59.45	EBP Update	\$93,00	\$19.00
	Jun-01-2003	3.6%		\$31.47		\$93.00	\$0.00
	Jul-01-2003	2.8%	Rate Change	\$25.28	EBP End	\$93,00	\$0.00
	Aug-01-2003	2.3%		\$20.77	EBP True-up	\$0.01	(\$92.99)
	Total			\$574.01		\$574.01	
	Volatility					\$30.52	
EBP Year 2	Sep-01-2003	2.7%		\$24.38	EBP Start	\$82.00	
LDF Teal 2	Oct-01-2003	2.9%	Rate Change	\$24.24	LDI Start	\$82.00	\$0.00
	Nov-01-2003	7.5%	riate change	\$62.69		\$82.00	\$0.00
	Dec-01-2003	9.7%		\$81.08		\$82.00	\$0.00
	Jan-01-2004	17.1%	Rate Change	\$125.32		\$82.00	\$0.00
	Feb-01-2004	17.3%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$126.79	EBP Update	\$58.00	(\$24.00)
	Mar-01-2004	17.3%		\$126.79		\$58.00	\$0.00
	Apr-01-2004	10.0%	Rate Change	\$86.80		\$58.00	\$0.00
	May-01-2004	6.8%	Shange	\$59.03	EBP Update	\$70.00	\$12.00
	Jun-01-2004	3.6%		\$31.25	_c. opudie	\$70.00	\$0.00
	Jul-01-2004	2.8%	Rate Change	\$27.46	EBP End	\$70.00	\$0.00
	Aug-01-2004	2.3%	rate change	\$22.56	EBP True-up	\$4.39	(\$65.61)
	Total	2,570		\$798.39	LDI IIGG-up	\$798.39	(000.01)
	Volatility					\$21.98	
EBP Year 3	Sep-01-2004	2.7%		\$26.48	EBP Start	\$89.00	
<u>LDI TOUL</u>	Oct-01-2004	2.9%	Rate Change	\$28.08	EDI CIGIL	\$89.00	\$0.00
	Nov-01-2004	7.5%	ivate Change	\$72.62		\$89.00	\$0.00
	Dec-01-2004	9.7%		\$93.92		\$89.00	\$0.00
		17.1%	Poto Channe				\$0.00
	Jan-01-2005		Rate Change	\$169.51	ERB Undata	\$89.00	\$1.00
	Feb-01-2005	17.3%		\$171.50	EBP Update	\$90.00	\$0.00
	Mar-01-2005	17.3%	Data Changa	\$171.50 \$83.94		\$90.00	\$0.00
	Apr-01-2005	10.0% 6.8%	Rate Change	\$57.08	EBP Update	\$90.00 \$78.00	(\$12.00)
	May-01-2005	3.6%		\$30.22	LDI Opdate	\$78.00	\$0.00
	Jun-01-2005	2.8%	Pate Change	\$26.64	EBP End	\$78.00	\$0.00
	Jul-01-2005 Aug-01-2005	2.3%	Rate Change	\$21.88	EBP True-up	\$4.37	(\$73.63)
	Total	2.570		\$953.37	201 1106-up	\$953.37	(470.00)
	Volatility					\$24.18	
EBP Year 4	Sep-01-2005	2.7%		\$25.69	EBP Start	\$87.00	
, , , ,	Oct-01-2005	2.9%	Rate Change	\$30.35		\$87.00	\$0.00
	Nov-01-2005	7.5%		\$78.48		\$87.00	\$0.00
	Dec-01-2005	9.7%		\$101.50		\$87.00	\$0.00
	Jan-01-2006	17.1%	Rate Change	\$233.45		\$87.00	\$0.00
	Feb-01-2006	17.3%		\$236.18	EBP Update	\$142.00	\$55.00
	Mar-01-2006	17.3%		\$236.18	: 5,10	\$142.00	\$0.00
	Apr-01-2006	10.0%	Rate Change	\$114.69		\$142.00	\$0.00
	May-01-2006	6.8%	J	\$77.99	EBP Update	\$124.00	(\$18.00)
	Jun-01-2006	3.6%		\$41.29	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	\$124.00	\$0.00
	Jul-01-2006	2.8%	Rate Change	\$29.76	EBP End	\$124.00	\$0.00
	Aug-01-2006	2.3%		\$24.44	EBP True-up	(\$3.01)	(\$127.01)
		2.070		\$1,229.99	25	\$1,229.99	(4.27.55)
	Total						
	Volatility					\$40.90	
FRP Year 5	Volatility	2 7%		\$28.69	FBP Start		
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006	2.7% 2.9%	Rate Change	\$28.69 \$29.94	EBP Start	\$97.00	\$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006	2.9%	Rate Change	\$29.94	EBP Start	\$97.00 \$97.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006	2.9% 7.5%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44	EBP Start	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00	\$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2006	2.9% 7.5% 9.7%	-	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16	EBP Start	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Jan-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10		\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Jan-01-2007 Feb-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3%	-	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73	EBP Start	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00)
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Mar-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 17.3%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73		\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Jan-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Apr-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 17.3%	-	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79	EBP Update	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Mar-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 May-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 10.0% 6.8%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79 \$57.66		\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$66.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2007 Dec-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Mar-01-2007 May-01-2007 Auy-01-2007 Jun-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 10.0% 6.8% 3.6%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79 \$57.66 \$30.52	EBP Update	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$66.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Dec-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Jun-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 10.0% 6.8% 3.6% 2.8%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79 \$57.66 \$30.52 \$25.23	EBP Update EBP Update EBP End	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$66.00 \$66.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Nov-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Jan-01-2007 Mar-01-2007 Map-01-2007 May-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Aug-01-2007 Aug-01-2007 Aug-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 10.0% 6.8% 3.6%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79 \$57.66 \$30.52 \$25.23 \$20.72	EBP Update	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$66.00 \$66.00 \$66.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.00 \$0.00
EBP Year 5	Volatility  Sep-01-2006 Oct-01-2006 Dec-01-2006 Dec-01-2007 Feb-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Apr-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Jun-01-2007 Jun-01-2007	2.9% 7.5% 9.7% 17.1% 17.3% 10.0% 6.8% 3.6% 2.8%	Rate Change	\$29.94 \$77.44 \$100.16 \$139.10 \$140.73 \$140.73 \$84.79 \$57.66 \$30.52 \$25.23	EBP Update EBP Update EBP End	\$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$97.00 \$63.00 \$63.00 \$66.00 \$66.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 (\$34.00) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$3.00 \$0.00

Rate includes commodity reference price plus price adjustments (rate riders) without risk management impar

EBP Customer Actual Cost Per Month is the amount displayed on the customer bill as the cost of gas for the

EBP Customer Amount Due per Month is the amount payable by the customer each month.

EBP runs September to July, with reviews in February and May, and a final true-up in August

EBP Start is September of each year (except for January 2003, the EBP Start is January 2003)

EBP Update is a review of the EBP amount with adjustments to minimize the true-up amount in Augu

EBP True-up is the variance between Actual Cost and Amount Due which is settled in August.

# Table B - Equal Billing Plan (EBP) Impact on Price Volatility 2003 - 2007 Based on an Annual Volume of 3,276 m\*3 Without Risk Management

BBP Cycle		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
EBP Year   Date			% Use /	Rate Change	Customer	EBP		Customer
Feb-01-2003   17.3%   Rate Change   S115.01   EBP Adjust   S73.00   S0.00   May-01-2003   Apr-01-2003   Apr-01-2004   Apr-01-2005   Apr-01-2	EBP Cycle	Date	Month	Activity	Month	Activity	Per Month	Per Month
Jun-01-2003   3.6%   Rate Change   \$33.42   \$39.60   \$50.00   \$0.00   \$21.96   \$11.66   \$39.11	EBP Year 1	Feb-01-2003 Mar-01-2003 Apr-01-2003	17.3% 17.3% 10.0%	Rate Change	\$115.01 \$131.33 \$75.91	EBP Adjust	\$73.00 \$73.00 \$73.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
Volatility		Jun-01-2003 Jul-01-2003	3.6% 2.8%		\$26.73 \$21.96	EBP End	\$96.00 \$96.00 <u>\$1.16</u>	\$0.00
Coctol 2003   2.9%   Rate Change   \$25.57   \$87.00   \$0.00					\$581.16			
Coctol 2003   2.9%   Rate Change   \$25.57   \$87.00   \$0.00	ERP Year 2	Sen-01-2003	2.7%		\$25.77	FBP Start	\$87.00	·····
Dec-01-2003   9.7%   Fate Change   S85.54   S87.00   S0.00   Feb-01-2004   17.3%   Rate Change   S128.78   EBP Adjust   S57.00   S0.00   May-01-2004   17.3%   Rate Change   S90.01   S57.00   S0.00   S0.00   May-01-2004   3.6%   Jun-01-2004   2.8%   Rate Change   S61.21   EBP Adjust   S70.00   S0.00   S0.00   Jun-01-2004   2.8%   Rate Change   S26.93   EBP End   S70.00   S0.00	<u> </u>			Rate Change				\$0.00
Jan-01-2004		Nov-01-2003	7.5%					
Feb-01-2004								
Mar-01-2004				Rate Change		EDD Adiust		
Apr-01-2004						EDP Aujust		
May-01-2004				Rate Change				
Jun-01-2004						EBP Adjust		
Aug-01-2004   2.3%   \$820.57   EBP True-up   \$4.57   \$65.43						-		\$0.00
Total   S820.57   S820.5		Jul-01-2004		Rate Change				
EBP Year 3   Sep-01-2004   2.7%   Rate Change   \$27.70   \$87.00   \$0.00		Total	2.3%			EBP True-up	\$820.57	(\$65.43)
Cel-01-2004   2.9%		Volatility					\$23.74	
Cct-01-2004	EBP Year 3	Sep-01-2004	2.7%		\$25.97	EBP Start	\$87.00	
Dec-01-2004   9.7%   S40.00   S00.00				Rate Change				
Jan-01-2005								
Feb-01-2005				Data Chassa				
Mar-01-2005				Rate Change		ERP Adjust		
Apr-01-2005						LDF Adjust		
May-01-2005				Rate Change				
Jul-01-2005				•	\$56.89	EBP Adjust	\$78.00	(\$13.00)
Aug-01-2005   Z-3%   S21.73   EBP True-up   S6.18   S948.18   S948.00   S0.00   S0.00   S96.00   S96.								
Total   S948.18   S948.18   S948.18   Volatility   S23.47				Rate Change				
EBP Year 4 Sep-01-2005 2.7%			2.3%			EBP True-up		(\$7 1.02)
Oct-01-2005   2.9%		Volatility					\$23.47	
Nov-01-2005   7.5%   \$78.33   \$86.00   \$0.00     Dec-01-2005   9.7%   \$101.31   \$86.00   \$0.00     Jan-01-2006   17.3%   \$235.42   \$86.00   \$50.00     Feb-01-2006   17.3%   \$238.18   EBP Adjust   \$145.00   \$59.00     Apr-01-2006   10.0%   Rate Change   \$116.22   \$145.00   \$0.00     May-01-2006   3.6%   \$79.03   EBP Adjust   \$126.00   \$0.00     Jun-01-2006   2.8%   Rate Change   \$30.10   EBP End   \$126.00   \$0.00     Aug-01-2006   2.3%   Rate Change   \$30.10   EBP End   \$126.00   \$0.00     Aug-01-2006   2.3%   Rate Change   \$30.11   \$1.239.11     Volatility   \$42.37      EBP Year 5   Sep-01-2006   2.7%   \$29.02   EBP Start   \$98.00     Oct-01-2006   2.7%   \$29.02   EBP Start   \$98.00   \$0.00     Oct-01-2006   7.5%   \$77.55   \$98.00   \$0.00     Oct-01-2006   9.7%   \$100.34   \$98.00   \$0.00     Jan-01-2007   17.3%   Rate Change   \$134.90   \$98.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   17.3%   \$138.48   EBP Adjust   \$59.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   10.0%   Rate Change   \$81.72   \$59.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   10.0%   Rate Change   \$81.72   \$59.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$82.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   2.8%   Rate Change   \$25.23   EBP End   \$62.00   \$0.00     Apr-01-2007   3.6%   \$	EBP Year 4	Sep-01-2005				EBP Start		
Dec-01-2005   9.7%   Rate Change   \$235.42   \$86.00   \$0.00				Rate Change				
Jan-01-2006								
Peb-01-2006				Rate Change				
Mar-01-2006						EBP Adjust		
May-01-2006		Mar-01-2006	17.3%					
Superior				Rate Change		EDD A40 14		
Jul-01-2006   2.8%   Rate Change   \$30.10   EBP End   \$128.00   \$0.00						cor Adjust		
Aug-01-2006 Total Volatility \$1,239.11 EBP True-up \$38.89 \$1,239.11 Volatility \$42.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$242.37 \$1,239.11 \$1,2				Rate Change		EBP End		
EBP Year 5 Sep-01-2006 2.7%		Aug-01-2006					(\$3.89)	(\$129.89)
Oct-01-2006         2.9%         Rate Change         \$30.00         \$98.00         \$0.00           Nov-01-2006         7.5%         \$77.58         \$98.00         \$0.00           De-01-2006         9.7%         \$100.34         \$98.00         \$0.00           Jan-01-2007         17.1%         Rate Change         \$134.90         \$98.00         \$0.00           Feb-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45		Volatility					\$42.37	
Oct-01-2006         2.9%         Rate Change         \$30.00         \$98.00         \$0.00           Nov-01-2006         7.5%         \$77.58         \$98.00         \$0.00           De-01-2006         9.7%         \$100.34         \$98.00         \$0.00           Jan-01-2007         17.1%         Rate Change         \$134.90         \$98.00         \$0.00           Feb-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45	FBP Year 5	Sep-01-2006	2.7%		\$29.02	EBP Start	\$98.00	
Nov-01-2006         7.5%         \$77.58         \$98.00         \$0.00           Dec-01-2006         9.7%         \$100.34         \$98.00         \$0.00           Jan-01-2007         17.1%         Rate Change         \$134.90         \$98.00         \$90.00           Feb-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         6.8%         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           Jun-01-2007         3.6%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jun-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.25         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45	20. 100.0			Rate Change				\$0.00
Jan-01-2007         17.1%         Rate Change         \$134.90         \$98.00         \$0.00           Feb-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         \$39.00           Mar-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45		Nov-01-2006	7.5%	Ť				
Feb-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         EBP Adjust         \$59.00         (\$39.00)           Mar-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         \$59.00         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$3.00           Jul-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45         \$857.45				D-1- C'				
Mar-01-2007         17.3%         \$136.48         \$59.00         \$0.00           Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jun-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$20.72         EBP True-up         \$4.45         (\$57.55)           Total         \$857.45         \$857.45				Rate Change		ERP Adius		
Apr-01-2007         10.0%         Rate Change         \$81.72         \$59.00         \$0.00           May-01-2007         6.8%         \$55.57         EBP Adjust         \$62.00         \$3.00           Jun-01-2007         3.6%         \$29.42         \$62.00         \$0.00           Jul-01-2007         2.8%         Rate Change         \$25.23         EBP End         \$62.00         \$0.00           Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$20.72         EBP True-up         \$4.45         (\$57.55)           Total         \$857.45         \$857.45						Lor Aujust		
May-01-2007 6.8% \$55.57 EBP Adjust \$62.00 \$3.00 \$Jun-01-2007 3.6% \$29.42 \$62.00 \$0.0				Rate Chance				
Jun-01-2007     3.6%     \$29.42     \$62.00     \$0.00       Jul-01-2007     2.8%     Rate Change     \$25.23     EBP End     \$62.00     \$0.00       Aug-01-2007     2.3%     \$20.72     EBP True-up     \$4.45     (\$57.55)       Total     \$857.45     \$857.45						EBP Adjust		
Aug-01-2007         2.3%         \$20.72         EBP True-up         \$4.45         (\$57.55)           Total         \$857.45         \$857.45		Jun-01-2007	3.6%		\$29.42		\$62.00	
Total \$857.45 \$857.45		Jul-01-2007		Rate Change				
Volatility \$28.20			2.3%			EBP True-up		(\$57.55)
		Volatility					\$28.20	<u></u>

Rate includes commodity reference price plus price adjustments (rate riders) without risk management impa

EBP Customer Actual Cost Per Month is the amount displayed on the customer bill as the cost of gas for th

EBP Customer Amount Due per Month is the amount payable by the customer each month.

EBP runs September to July, with reviews in February and May, and a final true-up in August

EBP Start is September of each year (except for January 2003, the EBP Start is January 2003)

EBP Update is a review of the EBP amount with adjustments to minimize the true-up amount in August

EBP True-up is the variance between Actual Cost and Amount Due which is settled in August.

### Undertaking of Union Gas To Energy Probe

Ref: Decision With Reasons, EB-2005-0001 Enbridge Rates Case

Issue Number: 14.1

Issue: Are there adjustments that should be made to base year revenue requirements and/or rates?

In the EB-2005-0001 Enbridge Rates Case, the Decision With Reasons at Section 5.5.10, stated as follows:

The question that remains is the extent to which Enbridge's risk management program is redundant or represents a useful and cost effective tool to reduce consumer price volatility in a fair and reasonable way. (emphasis added)

In this proceeding, to better inform the Board Panel on the cost effectiveness of the Union Risk Management Program, Union was requested to fill in the Table below, similar to a Table supplied in the EB-2006-0034 Enbridge Rates Case.

The figures provided by Union in their answer for C10.8 claim to show that the "Impact of Risk Management on PGVA Prices" is a cost saving of up to 35% in a particular year. Even in years when the risk management program is indicated to have had a net cost, the impact is indicated as a percentage savings to customers. For example, in 2003 Union claims that Risk Management gained \$30.4 million while driving down the PGVA by 35%. On the other hand, in 2006, while suffering a loss of \$22 million, Union was able to drive down the PGVA by 25%.

- (a) Please provide the calculation for the final column of the table provided in response to C10.8.
- (b) Please update the figures provided in the answer for C10 for year-to-date information.

\_\_\_\_\_

a) The PGVA is a deferral account measured in dollars and not in a per unit price. Consequently, Union interprets the final column on the table to mean the impact of risk management on the PGVA total balance.

The impact of risk management on PGVA as a percentage was calculated by:

- (i) summing the total dollars that actually accumulated in each calendar year requiring deferral account disposition in column (a).
- (ii) comparing that to the total dollars that would have accumulated in the deferral account in each calendar year if no risk management activity took place in column (b).

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

b)

Year	Volume of Risk Management Activity (m³)	Cost of Risk Management - Purchases/Options (Gains/(Losses) \$Millions)	Average AECO Spot Price of Gas over Same Period (C\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> ) *	Impact of Risk Management on PGVA (% + or -)
2007 YTD (Jan - Aug)	733,492,779	(19.2)	\$240.52	-22%
2006	1,067,832,538	(22.0)	\$232.74	-25%
2005	1,311,605,723	9.9	\$312.27	-11%
2004	1,263,910,970	(1.9)	\$233.61	2%
2003	1,290,408,055	30.4	\$237.94	-35%
2002	641,229,465	(19.9)	\$145.13	-23%

<sup>\*</sup> Average AECO Spot price is AECO 2A Daily Index

(iii)the difference between (a) and (b) was divided into the 5-year annual average of total deferral dollars to identify the impact on the PGVA as a percentage in column (c).

	Deferral Account Activity	Deferral Activity if no Risk	Reduction due to Risk	Deferral Account Reduction as % of
	(\$millions) (a)	Management (b)	Management $(c) = b - a$	5 Year Average $(d) = c / avg(a)$
2007 YTD	129.9	149.1	19.2	(22%)
2006	260.3	282.3	22.0	(25%)
2005	47.1	57.0	9.9	(11%)
2004	6.4	4.5	(1.9)	2%
2003	101.0	131.4	30.4	(35%)
2002	17.7	37.6	19.9	(23%)
5 Year Average	86.5			

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

### Undertaking of Union Gas To Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA")

#### Exhibit C13.10

The securities listed on page 2 of 3 indicate that there are seven issues that will mature in the period 2008 to 2012, inclusive, and that an eighth debt issue will mature on December 17, 2007. Please re-do the calculations appearing on page 3 of Exhibit C113.10 to include these eight items.

					_	Increase (Decrease) @ 6% Renewal				
Line	Offering	Effective	Maturity	06/30/07		12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12
No.	Date	Cost Rate	Date	(\$000's)		(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	_	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
1	11/14/83	13.630	11/14/08	4,000	*					
2	10/07/88	11.690	10/15/10	49,000	*					
3	07/11/89	10.760	07/11/11	125,000					(2,820)	(5,950)
4	07/31/89	10.850	07/31/09	30,000	*					
11	07/14/98	5.860	07/14/08	100,000		65	140	140	140	140
12	06/01/00	7.330	06/01/10	185,000				(1,436)	(2,461)	(2,461)
13	05/04/01	6.740	05/04/11	250,000					(1,222)	(1,850)
14	12/17/02	5.310	12/17/07	200,000		1,380	1,380	1,380	1,380	1,380
						1,445	1,520	84	(4,982)	(8,741)

Note that lines 1, 2 and 4 are sinking fund debt instruments. At their maturity date the value is zero. Therefore, there is no amount to renew.

### Undertaking of Union Gas To Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA")

Please describe the allocation factor(s) that is used to allocate storage-related Rate Base between in-franchise and ex-franchise storage and provide Union's rationale for utilizing such an allocation factor. What would be the reduction in Union's Base Revenue an additional \$90 million of storage Rate Base were to be allocated to ex-franchise services.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

For a description of the allocation factors used to allocate storage costs, please refer to EB-2005-0520 Exhibit G3, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pp. 11-13 included as Attachment #1. For further detail on Balancing Gas and Gas in Inventory please refer to RP-2003-0063 Exhibit G1, Tab 1, pp. 8-9, and Exhibit J18.185 included as Attachment #2.

If \$90 million of in-franchise Balancing Gas and Gas in Inventory was allocated to ex-franchise services, the approximate impact on Union's in-franchise revenue requirement would be \$8.370 million (\$90 million x 9.3%).

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Exhibit JTA.28

Attachment #1
EB-2005-0520
Exhibit G3
Tab 1
Schedule 1
Page 11 of 18

1	Storage Dehydrator
2	a) Demand
3	Dehydration demand costs are allocated to rate classes in proportion to the design day
4	demand of the dehydrator. This includes the demand of Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
5	through its Tecumseh storage interconnection.
6	
7	b) Commodity
8	Dehydration commodity costs are allocated between in-franchise and ex-franchise
9	customers in proportion to the volume forecast to be dehydrated. In-franchise costs are
10	allocated to rate classes on the basis of delivery volume.
11	
12	Storage Excluding Dehydrator
13	a) Deliverability
14	Deliverability costs are compression and related costs incurred to provide delivery from
15	storage on design day to meet customers' firm requirements.
16	
17	Demand from storage on design day is the excess of customers' design day demand over
18	design day deliveries to Union's system. Ex-franchise (M12 and C1) and T1 customers
19	contract for specific deliverability service levels. The class excess divided by the sum of
20	the excesses is the ratio that is used to allocate these costs.
21	
22	Design day deliveries are estimated for each firm sales and bundled-T rate class based
	January, 2006

Exhibit JTA.28 Attachment #1 EB-2005-0520 Exhibit G3 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 12 of 18

upon the ratio of the average day for the class divided by the total average day of firm 1 2 classes. 3 b) Commodity 4 Storage commodity costs are allocated to ex-franchise and in-franchise customers in 5 proportion to the volume injected and withdrawn from storage. In-franchise costs are 6 allocated to rate classes on the basis of delivery volume. 7 8 c) Space 9 10 These are costs attributable to the storage capacity required for the movement of the deficiency of customers' summer use from average over to the winter season. 11 12 Space costs are allocated to contract carriage (T1), ex-franchise (M12 and C1 Long Term) 13 and the North customers based on the relationship of contracted space to Union's total 14 storage system working capacity. 15 16 For the South, the excess of the winter period use (January - March and November -17 December) compared to average annual use for the same 151 day period is calculated for 18 each in-franchise sales and Bundled -T rate class (firm and interruptible). This is referred 19 to as the "Aggregate Excess". Costs are allocated to customers in the North using excess 20 21 peak over annual average demand (i.e., the difference between what a rate class takes on 22 an average day and what it requires on its peak day).

2

3

4

5

d) System Integrity Space

Union currently maintains approximately 9.7 PJ (9.1 bcf) of system integrity storage space. This system integrity space allows Union to meet its operational needs. The integrity space represents approximately 6% of Union's total storage working capacity.

6

8

9

10

System integrity storage space costs are allocated to all in-franchise and ex-franchise customers in the South based on how system integrity space is used. Costs are allocated to rate classes in the North using excess peak over annual average demand (i.e., the difference between what a rate class takes on an average day and what it requires on its peak day).

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

11

#### Transmission - Dawn Station

#### a) Demand

Dawn station compression costs are allocated based on design day demand. Ex-franchise contractual levels and in-franchise transmission lateral demand is used. Union's infranchise rate classes receive a credit for their firm deliveries at Parkway. In-franchise costs are allocated to rate classes on the basis of firm Dawn-Trafalgar design day demand.

19

20

21

22

#### b) Commodity

The allocation of costs between in-franchise and ex-franchise customers is based on fuel usage. In-franchise costs are allocated to rate classes on the basis of delivery volumes

#### GAS DISTRIBUTION ACCESS RULE

2

1

- 3 Capital and operating expenses associated with the implementation of new provisions of the Gas
- 4 Distribution Access Rule (GDAR). These costs are being functionalized to Purchase/Production
- 5 and classified as commodity-related.

6

#### BALANCING INVENTORY

8

7

- 9 Union holds gas in inventory to balance the demands of both sales service and direct purchase
- customers in the Northern and Eastern operations area and the Southern operations area. For
- inventory revaluation purposes, gas in inventory for resale to sales service customers is tracked
- separately from inventory identified to balance sales service customers.

13

- Union first separately identified balancing inventory in RP-2002-0029 when it proposed to
- remove from inventory for sale gas required to balance direct purchase customers. Union
- proposed to transfer from sales service inventory to balancing inventory \$164.2 million (967,831
- 17 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup> at a cost of \$169.609 per 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup>). Union made this proposal to prevent an inappropriate
- amount of inventory revaluation debits or credits being attributed to sales service customers
- when Union's Alberta Border Reference Price changed. Union's proposal was accepted in the
- 20 RP-2002-0029 Settlement Agreement (pg. 26) without modification. The Board accepted the
- 21 Settlement Agreement and included it in Appendix A of its Decision with Reasons, dated
- 22 September 20, 1999.

- For 2004, Union is projecting balancing inventory of \$124.117 million (731,783 10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>3</sup> at a cost
- of \$169.609 per 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup>) (Exhibit B3, Tab 1, Schedule 1). Union is proposing to allocate
- balancing inventory in the same manner at as it allocates gas in inventory for sale. Union
- 4 proposes to allocate balancing inventory to in-franchise bundled rates classes, excluding T-
- service, based on the excess of winter volumes (November March) compared to average annual
- 6 use for the same 151 day period. T-service customers are not allocated the costs associated with
- balancing inventory because, under the terms and conditions of their service, these customers are
- 8 required to balance their own demand/supply needs.

#### ALLOCATION OF DISTRIBUTION CAPACITY-RELATED COSTS

- In E.B.R.O. 499, Union proposed that the design day demands of customers served directly off
- transmission lines be removed from the allocator of Southern Operations area capacity-related
- distribution costs. Union made its proposal on the grounds that:
- 1. The demands of customers in a rate class served directly off transmission lines have not
- caused Union to incur any distribution capacity related costs and, therefore this allocation
- provides a better representation of cost causality; and
- 2. Union's proposal was consistent with the allocation of sole use main costs to rate classes
- in the Northern and Eastern Operations area in that the demands of customers served
- 20 entirely by sole use mains are excluded from the allocation factor used to allocate the
- cost of grid and joint use mains.

9

10

11

### Answer to Interrogatory from London Property Management Association

Reference: Exhibit H1, Tab 4, page 6

#### Question

For balancing gas inventory, the evidence indicates that the associated costs are allocated to all rate classes.

- a) Are the costs allocated to all classes, including the semi-unbundled classes T1 and T3?
- b) Are the costs allocated to rates M13, M12, C1 and M16?

c) How are the costs allocated to the rate classes?

#### **Answer**

- a) No. Please refer to the Cost Allocation evidence at Exhibit G1, Tab 1, page 9.
- b) No. Please refer to the Cost Allocation evidence at Exhibit G1, Tab 1, page 9.
- c) Union is allocating balancing inventory to bundled in-franchise customers, both in the Southern Operations area and the Northern and Eastern Operations area in the same manner as gas in inventory is allocated. This is consistent with how balancing inventory would have been allocated had Union not proposed to separately identify balancing inventory in RP-2002-0029 for the purpose of inventory revaluation.

Witness: Pat McMahon/Mark Kitchen

Question: July 24, 2003 Answer: August 7, 2003 Docket: RP-2003-0063

### Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA")</u>

Check numbers in C13.3 and reconcile them with those in C13.2 and add years 2011 and 2012.

-

When calculating the impact of a 1% price cap in Exhibit C13.3 part (a), Union did not include customer supplied fuel in the incremental revenue. Please refer to the response provided at Exhibit C13.3 Corrected.

Exhibit C13.2 identifies the regulated delivery revenue requirement (i.e. cost) for 2007. The following adjustments to the delivery-related revenue requirement would be required before applying the price cap.

2007 Delivery-Related Revenue Requirement	\$925,360
Less: Upstream Diversions	988
S&T Margin	27,125
DSM	<u>17,000</u>
Revenue subject to Price Cap	\$ <u>880,247</u>

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To TransAlta</u>

Provide the maximum rate impact for T1.	

For 2008, the maximum rate impact of Union's proposal on T1 customers is \$0.014/GJ or 2.1%

Individual customer impacts are dependent upon the individual contract parameters (contract demand, levels of combined firm and interruptible service and storage parameters).

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To City of Kitchener</u>

Provide calculation by rate class of pricing storage deliverability above 1.2 percent at market prices compared to cost based rates for all of the in-franchise rate classes that are affected by that.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	Deliverability	Annual Price
	Above	Differential
	1.2%	Impact
Rate Class	(GJs)	(\$) (1)
	(a)	(b)
T1	83,278	299,801
T3	22,489	80,960
Total		380,761

### <u>Notes</u>

(1) Calculation: (b) = (a) \* (\$0.30/GJ) \* 12

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")</u>

Union to provide answers to I-11.16 and I-17.12.	

Please see Attachment #1, #2 and #3.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Schedule of Depreciation Rates

Line No.	Account #	Account Description	Rate (%)
1 2	401 402	Intangible plant: Franchises and consents Intangible plant - Other	5.05% 20 Year Amortization
-	.02	mangate plant outer	
		Local Storage Plant	
3	442	Structures and improvements	3.30%
4	443	Gas holders - storage	2.68%
5	443	Gas holders - equipment	3.68%
		Underground Storage:	
6	451	Land rights	2.23%
7	452	Structures and improvements	2.34%
8	453	Wells and lines	2.66%
9	456	Compressor equipment	3.19%
10	457	Measuring & regulating equipment	4.30%
		Transmission:	
11	461	Land rights	2.00%
12	462	Structures and improvements	2.66%
13	465	Mains	2.37%
14	466	Compressor equipment	3.52%
15	467	Measuring & regulating equipment	3.61%
		Distribution - Southern Operations:	
16	471	Land rights	1.67%
17	472	Structures and improvements	2.91%
18	473	Services - metallic	3.69%
19	473	Services - plastic	3.18%
20	474	Regulators	3.30%
21	474	Regulator and meter installations	3.51%
22	475	Mains - metallic	2.54%
23	475	Mains - plastic	2.34%
24	477	Measuring & regulating equipment	4.64%
25	478	Meters	3.70%

### <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Schedule of Depreciation Rates

Line			Rate
No.		Account Description	(%)
		Distribution plant - Northern & Eastern Operations:	
1	471	Land rights	1.68%
2	472	Structures & improvements	3.13%
3	473	Services - metallic	3.58%
4	473	Services - plastic	3.19%
5	474	Regulators	3.34%
6	474	Regulator and meter installations	3.50%
7	475	Mains - metallic	2.52%
8	475	Mains - plastic	2.35%
9	476	Compressor equipment	3.34%
10	477	Measuring & regulating equipment	4.63%
11	478	Meters	3.67%
		General:	
12	482	Structures and improvements	2.13%
13	484	Transportation equipment	10.07%
14	485	Heavy work equipment	4.55%
15	488	Communications structures	4.88%
16	483	Office furniture and equipment	15 Year Amortization
17	483	Office equipment - computers	4 Year Amortization
18	486	Tools and other equipment	15 Year Amortization
19	488	Communications equipment	15 Year Amortization

Union Gas Limited 2006 Historical Year

	Onton Gus a	2000 1110				
Line No.		Gross Plant (\$Millions)	Accum. Depr. (\$Millions)	Net Book Value (\$Millions)	Depreciation Rate %	Utility Depreciation (\$Millions)
	V. C. II.A. The co					
1	Intangible Plant Franchises and consents	2.1	(0.9)	1.2	5.05%	(0.1)
2	Other intangible	9.4	(7.8)	1.6	amortize 20 yrs	(0.1)
3	•	11.5	(8.7)	2.8	,	()
	Local Storage Plant					
4	Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00%	0.0
5	Structures and improvements	2.4	(2.1)	0.3	3.30%	(0.1)
6	Gas Holders - Storage	4.5	(4.2)	0.3	2.68%	(0.1)
7 8	- Equipment Total Local Storage Plant	7.6 14.5	(6.0) (12.3)	1.6 2.2	3.68%	(0.2)
0	-	14.0	(12.5)			
9	Underground Storage Plant Land	4.6	0.0	4.6	0.00%	0.0
10	Land Rights	51.3	(11.9)	39,4	2.23%	(1.1)
11	Structures & improvements	62.2	(22.7)	39.5	2.34%	(1.4)
12	Storage wells	69.3	(24.8)	44.5	2.66%	(1.8)
13	Field Lines	67.7	(19.3)	48.4	2.66%	(1.8)
14 15	Compressor equipment Measuring & regulating equipment	275.2 60.6	(121.4) (34.1)	153,8 26,5	3.19% 4.30%	(8.1) (2.6)
16		48.5	0.0	48.5	0.00%	0.0
17	•	639,4	(234.2)	405.2		
	Transmission Plant					
18		22.8	0.0	22.8	0.00%	0.0
19		31.9	(6.2)	25.7	2.00%	(0.6)
20	Structures & improvements: Mains - metallic	44.3 902.2	(20.9) (308.4)	23.4 593.8	2.66% 2.37%	(1.2) (20.0)
21 22	Compressor equipment	140.6	(62.9)	77.7	3.52%	(4.8)
23		124.0	(46.9)	77.1	3.61%	(4.4)
24		1,265.8	(445.3)	820.5		
	Distribution-Southern Operations					
25		4.3	0.0	4.3	0.00%	0.0
26		4.5	(0.8)	3.7	1.67%	(0.1)
27 28	Structures & improvements Leasehold improvements	62.2 0.5	(42.4) (0.2)	19.8 0.3	2.91% amortize 5-15 yrs	(1.8) 0.0
29	•	111.0	(93.8)	17.2	3.69%	(4.1)
30		657.2	(210.0)	447.2	3.18%	(20.4)
31	Regulators	61.2	(22.4)	38.8	3.30%	(2.0)
32	č	49.5	(19.1)	30.4	3.51%	(1.7)
33 34	Mains - metallic - plastic	366.5 436.1	(185.4) (115.2)	181.1 320.9	2.54% 2.34%	(9.2) (10.0)
35	Measuring & regulating equipment	23.1	(11.4)	11.7	4.64%	(1.1)
36		164.4	(56.3)	108.1	3.70%	(6.0)
37		1,940.5	(757.0)	1,183.5		
	Distribution-Northern & Eastern Operations					
38		3.2	0.0	3.2	0.00%	0.0
39		8.5	(2.5)	6.0	1.68%	(0.1)
40 41	Structures & improvements Leasehold improvements	42.7 0.7	(16.6) (0.4)	26.1	3.13% amortize 5-15 yrs	(1.3) (0.1)
42	•	88.6	(52.3)	36.3	3.58%	(3.2)
43		314.5	(112.8)	201.7	3.19%	(9.9)
44	5	22.4	(8.1)	14.3	3,34%	(0.7)
45	· ·	24.6	(6.4)	18.2	3.50%	(0.8)
46 47		315.6 178.8	(115.6) (54.1)	200.0 124.7	2.52% 2.35%	(7.8) (4.1)
48	•	1.3	(1.1)	0.2	3.34%	0.0
49		83.6	(35.5)	48.1	4.63%	(3.6)
50		48.4	(16.7)	31.7	3.67%	(1.8)
51	Total Distribution Plant-Northern & Eastern Operations	1,132.9	(422.1)	710.8		
	General Plant	0.6			0.000/	
52		0.6	0.0	0.6	0.00%	0.0
53 54	Structures and improvements Leasehold improvements	37.7 0.9	(16.4) (0.3)	21.3 0.6	2.13% amortize 10 yrs	(0.8)
55	·	18.1	(11.7)	6.4	amortize 15 yrs	(1.3)
56		63.8	(27.6)	36.2	amortize 4 yrs	(15.5)
57		47.4	(14.9)	32.5	10.07%	(4.5)
58		15.5	(4.3)	11.2	4.55%	(0.7)
59 60	Tools & work equipment Communication structures	32.2 3.4	(15.7) (2.1)	16.5 1.3	amortize 15 yrs 4.88%	(2.1) (0.2)
61	Communication equipment	19.1	(9.9)	9.2	amortize 15 yrs	(1.3)
62	• •	238.7	(102.9)	135.8		, , , ,

# <u>Union Gas Limited</u> Monthly Customer Charge EB-2005-0520 Current Approved 2007

Rate 01 Rate 10 Rate 20 Rate 20 Rate 25 Rate 77 Rate 100 Rate M2 Rate M2 Rate M5 Rate T1 Rate T3 City of Kitchener NRG Six Nations Rate U5 Rate U5 Rate U7 Rate U9
Rate 10       70.00         Rate 20       780.00         Rate 25       190.00         Rate 77       145.00         Rate 100       780.00         Rate M2       16.00         Rate M5       500.00         Rate T1       1,800.00         Rate T3       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate 20       780.00         Rate 25       190.00         Rate 77       145.00         Rate 100       780.00         Rate M2       16.00         Rate M5       500.00         Rate T1       1,800.00         Rate T3       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate 25       190.00         Rate 77       145.00         Rate 100       780.00         Rate M2       16.00         Rate M5       500.00         Rate T1       1,800.00         Rate T3       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate 77       145.00         Rate 100       780.00         Rate M2       16.00         Rate M5       500.00         Rate T1       1,800.00         Rate T3       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate 100 Rate M2 Rate M5 Rate M5 Rate T1 Rate T3 City of Kitchener NRG Six Nations Rate U5 Rate U5 Rate U7 Rate M2 16.00 1,800.00 1,800.00 17,155.00 2,631.00 877.00 877.00 1,800.00
Rate M2 Rate M5 Rate M5 Sould
Rate M5       500.00         Rate T1       1,800.00         Rate T3       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate T1 Rate T3  City of Kitchener 17,155.00 NRG 2,631.00 Six Nations 877.00 Rate U5 Rate U7 500.00
Rate T3       City of Kitchener       17,155.00         NRG       2,631.00         Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
City of Kitchener 17,155.00 NRG 2,631.00 Six Nations 877.00 Rate U5 500.00 Rate U7 1,800.00
NRG 2,631.00 Six Nations 877.00 Rate U5 500.00 Rate U7 1,800.00
Six Nations       877.00         Rate U5       500.00         Rate U7       1,800.00
Rate U5 500.00 Rate U7 1,800.00
Rate U7 1,800.00
Rate U9
City of Kitchener 17,155.00
NRG 2,631.00
Six Nations 877.00
Rate M13 662.00
Rate M16 750.00
Approved 2007 M2 redesign
Rate M1 16.00
Rate M2 70.00

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C3.1] Please confirm that non-commodity rates for small commercial (M2) customers fell by 18.5% from 1993 (\$2,124) to 2007 (\$1,730), an average of 1.3% per year. Please confirm that this drop is before inflation, such that in constant dollars the drop would have been larger. Please confirm that, under Union's IR proposal, the same figure would increase from 2007 to 2008 by 5.6% from \$1,730 to \$1,827. Please describe the primary reasons for the drop from 1993 to 2007, and in particular estimate the impact of a) rate design changes, b) transfer of ancillary businesses out of the utility, c) increased economies of scale, d) regulatory regime applicable, e) any other material factors.

\_\_\_\_\_

Transportation costs which have been included in the figures referenced in the question refer to upstream costs that would be outside of the incentive regulation framework.

The bill impacts provided at Exhibit C3/C16/C33.1 are not adjusted for inflation.

For 2008 the impact on the delivery and storage-related bill component for a customer consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year is \$79 (6.8%).

Over the 1993 to 1998 period, the delivery and storage bill components for customers consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup> remained relatively flat. The average change over the 1993 to 1998 period was 0.57%. The majority of the decline in the average customer bill occurred over the 1998 to 2007 period, decreasing by \$424 or 27%. Union is not able to isolate all of the causes of the decline over the period. Union is able, however, to provide the following explanation with respect to some of the factors contributing to the decline in the average bill for customers consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year.

### Changes in Rate Design

Over the 2002 and 2007 period Union increased the monthly customer charge from \$7.50 to \$16.00. Union proposed and the Board approved these changes on the basis that increasing the monthly customer charge results in a better alignment between the incurrence of customer related costs and the recovery of customer related costs. By increasing the monthly customer charge, Union reduced the intra-class subsidy provided by larger M2 customers to smaller M2 customers.

Union estimates that, based on the 2007 approved rates, increasing the monthly customer charge from \$7.50 to \$16.00 has reduced the average bill for customers consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year by approximately \$350 over the 2002 to 2007 period. In other words, if Union were to decrease the monthly customer charge from the current approved level of \$16.00 to \$7.50, the average

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

delivery and storage bill for customers consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup> per year would be approximately \$1,525 (approximately equal to the 1993 level unadjusted for inflation).

#### Elimination of the Delivery Commitment Credit ("DCC")

In its RP-2002-0130 Decision, the Board directed Union to eliminate the DCC payment to direct purchase customers and the DCC cost included in delivery rates over a 5 year period starting in July of 2003. The DCC cost included in in-franchise delivery rates at the time Union started to eliminate the DCC was approximately \$27.3 million of which \$19.1 million was allocated to the M2 rate class. Union is not able to provide the average bill impact associated with eliminating the DCC.

#### Forecast S&T Margin

Since 1999, Union's delivery rates have been subsidized through the inclusion of forecast S&T margin. Delivery rates over 1999 to 2003 period included approximately a \$4.5 million subsidy. The subsidy increased in 2004 and 2007 to approximately \$22.4 million and \$34.4 million, respectively. Union is not able to provide the average bill impact associated with increasing the delivery rate subsidy associated with S&T forecast margin.

Consistent with the Board's EB-2005-0551 Decision, Union will begin to remove the long-term storage premium from rates starting in 2008 and adjust the sharing of the short-term transactional storage margin. Implementing the Board's EB-2005-0551 Decision, contributes to the 2008 average bill impact for a customer consuming 17,000 m<sup>3</sup>.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C3.1] Please provide a chart similar to d) on page 3 for a school using 45,000 m3 per year and a school using 60,000 m3 per year, and include in that chart the proposed year 2008 figures.

Commercial Bill Comparison General Service - Rate M2 Based on an annual consumption of 45,000 m<sup>3</sup>

Lina		EBBO	Delivery	Transportation	Camanadit	Estimated
Line No.	Year	EBRO Number	& Storage (\$)	Transportation (\$)	Commodity (\$)	Annual Bill (\$)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	1993	476-03-04	3,299	1,571	3,366	8,236
2	1994	476-06	3,142	1,640	3,698	8,480
3	1995	486	3,315	1,448	2,941	7,703
4	1996	486-04	3,315	1,610	2,792	7,717
5	1997	494	3,140	2,057	3,280	8,477
6	1998	494-06A	3,402	1,534	3,556	8,492
7	1999	499	3,022	1,532	4,618	9,173
8	2000	RP-1999-0017	3,082	1,532	14,092	18,707
9	2001	RP-2001-0029	3,235	1,918	8,820	13,974
10	2002	RP-2001-0029	3,046	1,918	8,820	13,785
11	2003	RP-2002-0130	2,903	2,038	11,724	16,666
12	2004	RP-2003-0063	2,966	1,696	12,007	16,669
13	2005	RP-2003-0063	2,658	1,825	13,300	17,783
14	2006	EB-2005-0531	2,592	1,607	18,753	22,951
15	2007	EB-2006-0502	2,544	1,510	11,174	15,228
16	2008	EB-2007-0606	2,920	1,510	11,174	15,604

Note: includes rate riders

Commercial Bill Comparison General Service - Rate M2 Based on an annual consumption of 60,000 m<sup>3</sup>

Line No.	Year (a)	EBRO Number (b)	Delivery & Storage (\$) (c)	Transportation (\$) (d)	Commodity (\$) (e)	Estimated Annual Bill (\$) (f)
1	1993	476-03-04	4,127	2,095	4,488	10,709
2	1994	476-06	3,918	2,186	4,931	11,035
3	1995	486	4,131	1,930	3,921	9,982
4	1996	486-04	4,131	2,147	3,722	10,000
5	1997	494	3,897	2,743	4,374	11,014
6	1998	494-06A	4,248	2,046	4,741	11,035
7	1999	499	3,771	2,043	6,157	11,971
8	2000	RP-1999-0017	3,851	2,043	18,789	24,683
9	2001	RP-2001-0029	4,042	2,558	11,760	18,361
10	2002	RP-2001-0029	3,805	2,558	11,760	18,123
11	2003	RP-2002-0130	3,628	2,718	15,633	21,978
12	2004	RP-2003-0063	3,730	2,261	16,009	22,000
13	2005	RP-2003-0063	3,327	2,433	17,733	23,493
14	2006	EB-2005-0531	3,239	2,142	25,004	30,385
15	2007	EB-2006-0502	3,201	2,014	14,899	20,114
16	2008	EB-2007-0606	3,570	2,014	14,899	20,483

Note: includes rate riders

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

Explain cost per customer of \$1,301 in Exhibit C10.1, Part c.

The costs included in the response to Exhibit C10.1 c) are: Mains (all construction costs associated with the installation of main piping), Service (all construction costs associated with the installation of service piping) and Meter & Regulator Installation (all costs associated with installing the meter).

The figure does not contain any indirect overhead costs, the cost of the meter or any reinforcement projects that might be required due to the expansion of the system.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

# Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

Determine whether Union has documents that compare its customer bills to Enbridge's.

On a quarterly basis, Union prepares a high level comparison of its QRAM filing to Enbridge's but Union does not have any internal documents that compare its customer bills to Enbridge's.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C23.9] Please confirm that, if Union's proposed adjustment for weather were calculated based on a 20 year trend of 5 year periods of degree days, the result would be a reduced adjustment for the 2008 through 2012 period. Please calculate that amount, and show the details of that calculation.

------

The revenue adjustment would be larger if the weather normal contained in 2007 rates was based on the method described in the question. Using this method, both the Southern and Northern & Eastern Operations areas would have lower weather normals. The difference is 32 HDD in the Southern Operations area and 42 HDD for Northern & Eastern operations area, as outlined in the table below. This difference would add approximately \$1.7 million to the delivery revenue adjustment identified for the 20 year declining trend.

#### Weather Normal Estimates: Heating Degree-Days below 18° C

Line				20 Year	
<u>No.</u>		55:45 blend	<u>5 &amp; 20 T</u>	declining trend	<b>Difference</b>
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)=(b)-(c)
1	Southern	3,822	3,673	3,705	32
2	Northern & Eastern	5,090	4,889	4,931	42

The weather normal estimates obtained from this alternative method were calculated as follows:

To compute the weather normal estimate, actual annual HDD weather data spanning twenty five years was used, the period 1982 to 2006. Rolling five year totals were then calculated to obtain the twenty weather period totals required to set the trend line. The trend line was projected out three years to the year 2009, as this is the mid point year of the five year 2008 to 2012 forecast horizon. This projected total five year period weather estimate was then divided by 5 to yield the annual heating degree day estimate used throughout the five year period.

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

### UNION GAS WEATHER NORMAL: 5 Year Total 20 Year Trend Method

heating degree-days below 18C

	Sou	thern Operations A	rea	ns Area				
_			20 yr. Trend			20 yr. Trend		
<u>Year</u>	Act. HDD	Act 5 Yr. Totals	<b>Projection</b>	Act. HDD	5 Yr. Totals	<b>Projection</b>		
1982	4,011			5,430				
1983	3,908			5,195				
1984	3,997			5,175				
1985	3,926			5,438				
1986	3,882	19,724		5,175	26,413			
1987	3,684	19,397		4,722	25,705			
1988	3,986	19,475		5,317	25,827			
1989	4,154	19,632		5,654	26,306			
1990	3,572	19,277		4,994	25,862			
1991	3,631	19,027		5,019	25,706			
1992	4,031	19,374		5,489	26,472			
1993	4,105	19,492		5,460	26,616			
1994	4,055	19,393		5,294	26,255			
1995	3,987	19,809		5,358	26,619			
1996	4,153	20,330		5,550	27,151			
1997	4,005	20,304		5,384	27,046			
1998	3,225	19,424		4,457	26,043			
1999	3,641	19,010		4,754	25,503			
2000	3,876	18,900		5,158	25,304			
2001	3,467	18,214		4,592	24,345			
2002	3,636	17,844		4,997	23,958			
2003	3,958	18,577		5,111	24,612			
2004	3,786	18,722		5,148	25,006			
2005	3,778	18,623		4,829	24,677			
2006	3,332	18,489		4,423	24,508			
2007 P	•	,	18,493	•	,	24,640		
2008 P			18,429			24,542		
2009 P			18,365			24,443		
2009 Est	3,673				4,889	ŕ		

note: the 2009 estimate is the 2009 trend projection divided by 5

# Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C32.15] Please provide the internal business cases for all large productivity-driven capital or operating projects during the period referred to. If there were any follow-up documents, for example monitoring or assessing performance relative to business cases, please provide those as well.

Attachments #1-#5 provide documentation regarding specific efforts that Union has undertaken. Specifically, the documents relate to:

- 1. Decisions to purchase CIS services from an external vendor.
- 2. IVR/CTI replacement
- 3. Distribution Construction Contractor Alliance
- 4. E-Billing
- 5. RP-2003-0063 interrogatory response discussing productivity initiatives undertaken during trial PBR plan term.

Ouestion:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

Exhibit JTA.38 Attachments sent in separate email – 071011.

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C23.22] Please provide the data on the chart for the Executive level of employee. Please advise whether the forecast compensation levels for 2007 are consistent with the Board-approved OM&A budget for 2007 and, if not, what significant differences exist.

# UNION GAS LIMITED Executive Level Salary, Variable Pay, and Benefits

				(\$000's)	
			Total	Total	Total
Year	<u>Particulars</u>	FTE	Salaries	Variable Pay	Benefits
2006	Executive	9	1,742.8	782.6	366.0
2005	Executive	9	1,600.9	487.0	336.2
2004	Executive	9	1,901.3	824.5	361.2
		Average			
		Yearly	Average	Average	Average
<u>\$/FTE</u>		Compensation	Salary	Variable Pay	Banefits
2006		321.3	193.6	87.0	40.7
2005		269.3	177.9	54.1	37.4
2004		343.0	211.3	91.6	40.1

Forecast 2007 executive compensation could not be compiled in the time available as a result of how the 2007 budget was created. However, 2007 levels are consistent with the Board approved O&M budget for 2007.

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

- 1. [C23.52, Sched. 2] Please recalculate the figures on this Schedule with the following changes:
  - a. Escalate revenues by the proposed price cap formula at the same assumed inflation rate as O&M.
  - b. Escalate salary and wage expense at 3% instead of 3.75%.
  - c. Keep the level of O&M expense capitalized at the same percentage of O&M as is expected in 2007, ie. 17.47%.

The after-tax impacts to Earnings Applicable to Common Shares using the above assumptions are as follows:

(\$millions)	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
a.	12	24	37
b.	1	1	2
c.	3	6	10

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

# Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C23.52, Sched. 2] Please explain why the forecasts assume that capitalized O&M will drop year over year during the three forecast years.

The majority of the year over year drop in capitalized O&M is due to updated estimates of the number of personnel and associated costs that support the capital projects for each year.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### Undertaking of Union Gas To SEC

[C23.52, Sched. 8] Please provide the rate base continuity calculations supporting the figures on this schedule, i.e. breakdowns by category, additions, depreciation and retirements in each category for each year, etc.

The requested information is not available. The forecast provided in the response provided at Exhibit C23.52 was created at a high level. The rate base figures are averages, assuming the addition of the capital expenditures as outlined in Schedule 7.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C1.8] Please confirm that the compound annual reduction in normalized average use per customer for the seven years 2000 to 2006 inclusive was 1.51% per year.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Union calculates an average annual rate of NAC decline for all general service customers of 1.13% per annum, using the data contained in the column entitled "If no DSM Average use per Customer" in Exhibit C1.08, part a) Corrected. Using the column entitled "Average use per Customer" the average annual rate of NAC decline is 1.62% per annum.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C1.8] Please confirm that the impact of DSM on use per customer averaged 4/10ths of 1% from 2000 to 2005, then jumped to 8/10ths of 1% in 2006. Please explain why this increase in DSM impact per customer occurred. Please advise the cost per customer for all DSM programs for each year from 2000 to 2006 inclusive. Please provide a chart showing the amount and calculation of the LRAM for each of the same years.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The original table in Exhibit C1.8 a) did not reflect the cumulative effect of DSM programs. Please refer to the response provided at Exhibit C1.8 Corrected. The relatively significant increase in 2006 compared to previous years is a result of higher natural gas savings achieved through 2006 DSM programs.

DSM expenditures per customer by program are shown in the table below.

DSM Expenditures Per Customer by Program															
	2000			2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	2006		
Residential	\$	2.07	\$	1.81	\$	1.31	\$	1.88	\$	2.15	\$	2.08	\$	3.62	
Commercial	\$	9.64	\$	9.08	\$	6.50	\$	7.22	\$	13.87	\$	17.45	\$	40.13	
Distribution Contract	\$	2,396.08	\$	1,809.77	\$	1,809.45	\$	2,054.01	\$	4,095.99	\$	8,116.88	\$	8,259.89	
Total	\$	3.94	\$	3.34	\$	2.57	\$	3.23	\$	4.82	\$	6.48	\$	10.09	

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

The volumes savings and LRAM calculation for the years 2000 through 2006 are shown in the table below.

#### UNION GAS LIMITED Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism Audited Results

	2000 1							2002 <sup>3</sup> 2003 <sup>4</sup>						2004 5			2005 6		2006 7		
	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue	Net Volume	Delivery	Revenue
	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact	Savings	Rate	Impact
Particulars	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10° m°	(\$)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	(\$)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	(\$)	10° m³	\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	(\$)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10° m	(\$)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	(\$)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	\$/10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	(\$)
	(a)	(b)	(c) = (a) x (b)	(d)	(e)	(f) = (d) x (e)	(g)	(h)	(i) = (g) x (h)	(i)	(k)	(i) = (g) x (h)	(m)	(n)	(a) = (m) x (n)	(p)	(p)	$(r) = (p) \times (q)$	(s)	(t)	(u) = (s) x (t)
South																					
M2 Residential	9,415	87.043	819,517	10,005	91.480	915,236	8,880	84.720	752,314	7.220	78.956	570,062	3,904	74.196	289,677	3,703	67.316	249,286	10,194	66.158	674,395
M2 Commercial	3,157	57.990	183,086	6,349	60.946	386,952	12,127	57.426	696,405	9,104	54.998	500,702	12,743	56.479	719,724	11,661	51.167	596,673	19,101	50.009	955,233
M2 Industrial			0			0			0			0			0	1,262	39.246	49,514	1,638	38.088	62,398
Industrial																					
M4	2,379	10.668	25,377	3,382	12.138	41,048	4,533	10.028	45,457	3,262	10.057	32,806	4,135	9.419	38,952	6,154	8.692	53,494	5,282	7.963	42,063
M5			0			0			0			0	5,200	17.525	91,137	6,741	15.777	106,360	8,978	15.399	138,257
M7		3.319	0		4.730	0	145	3.615	524	3,027	3.819	11,560	0	3.491	0	4,681	3.115	14,581	2,573	2.739	7,049
T1	8,780	1.710	15,013	14,068	1.676	23,578	5,250	1.666	8,747	3,233	1.538	4,972	9,061	1.240	11,236	7,227	1.011	7,306	19,870	0.783	15,558
	23,731		1,042,993	33,804		1,366,814	30,935		1,503,446	25,846		1,120,103	35,045		1,150,726	41,429		1,077,214	67,637		1,894,952
<u>North</u>																					
Residential 01	3,266	99.890	326,276	3,228	100.650	324,851	2,742	100.750	276,257	5,239	98.260	514,784	1,121	102.411	114,799	1,359	111.186	151,133	2,222	111.186	247,024
Commercial 01	422	82.280	34,756	494	82.670	40,804	816	82.750	67,524	549	80.705	44,307	674	88.061	59,338	1,340	104.976	140,667	4,522	104.976	474,724
Commercial 10	413	57.900	23,939	1,642	58.510	96,100	636	58.570	37,251	1,080	57.515	62,116	2,583	55.711	143,877	2,170	64.820	140,688	1,473	64.820	95,454
Industrial 10																621	59.311	36,821	600	59.311	35,569
<u>Industrial</u>																					
Rate 20		4.170	0	55	4.260	235	6,991	2.870	20,064	93	3.140	292	2,522	2.645	6,670	10,887	2.647	28,819	1,800	2.647	4,765
Rate 100	4,513	1.960	8,845	8,803	1.990	17,519	775	1.990	1,542	6,053	1.950	11,803	13,667	1.983	27,102	6,987	1.983	13,855	12,221	1.983	24,234
	8,615		393,817	14,222		479,509	11,960		402,637	13,014		633,303	20,566		351,786	23,365		511,983	22,837		881,770
Total	32,346		1,436,811	48,026		1,846,323	42,895		1,906,084	38,860		1,753,405	55,611		1,502,512	64,794		1,589,197	90,474		2,776,722
Year One Impact (50%)	16,173		718,406	24,013		923,162	21,448		953,042	19,430		876,703	27,805		751,256	32,397		794,599	45,237		1,388,361

RP-2001-0029, Exhibit B, Tab 10, Schedule 2 Updated.

Question: October 3, 2007 October 11, 2007 Answer:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615 Docket:

RP-2002-0130, Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 2 Updated January 2003

RP-2003-00063, Exhibit D1, Tab 13, Schedule 1 Updated October 2003

EB-2006-0057, Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Page 2 of 4
EB-2006-0021, Exhibit T 2.27, Attachment 2 (Summary of the Results of the 2004 Evaluation Report Audit), page 5.

EB-2007-0598, Exhibit A, Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Page 4 of 5 Corrected July 20, 2007 with the revenue impact at 100%.

Summary of the Results of the 2006 Evaluation Report Audit, page 4 (submitted by Union to the OEB Secretary on June 29, 2007 in compliance with section 2.1.12 of the Board's Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements) updated for the reclassification of the M4 and M5 volumes discussed in Union's July 20, 2007 letter to the Board in the EB-2007-0598 proceeding.

### Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C3.12, page 7, and C23.27] Please provide your best estimate of the changes in normalized average use per customer for customers currently in the M1 and M2 classes, and provide the method used to produce the estimate. Please advise whether Union believes the trend applicable to Commercial Rate 10 customers would be a reasonable proxy for new Rate M2 customers. If not, why not?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Union currently expects that the rate of NAC decline for the non residential customers served by rates M1 and M2 will differ.

For residential customers, the expected rate of NAC decline for rate M1 customers will be similar to that experienced under the old rate M2 structure as virtually all customers fall in the rate M1 customer class.

For commercial customers the small volume rate M1 group is expected to decline at 1.6 % per year and the larger volume rate M2 groups at about 1.2% per year. The difference in the rates of decline arises as a result of expected changes in the composition of the rate classes. The number of lower volume office/retail customers is expected to grow the fastest. These customers are in the M1 rate group.

For industrial customers the small volume rate M1 group is expected to decline at 1.7 % per year and the larger volume rate M2 groups at 3.0% per year. The difference in the rates of decline is also a result of expected changes in the composition of the rate classes. The number of higher volume industrial customers is expected to grow the fastest. These customers are in the new rate M2 rate group. Rate M2 customers are on average about 19 times larger than rate M1 customers.

Union does not believe commercial rate 10 NAC would be a good proxy for the new rate M2 commercial group of customers for two reasons. First, the correlation statistic between commercial rate M2 and 10 customers in regards to their respective annual rates of decline in NAC over the period 1991 to 2006 is very low. This correlation is only 21 percent. This low correlation suggests that the composition of the customer classes is different. Commercial rate 10 is a very small group of customers and comparatively is about one quarter the size of the new rate M2 class.

Second, the normalized average consumption for the new commercial rate M2 class is about 1.5 times the consumption level of commercial rate 10 customers. This difference also points to differences in the composition of the customer classes. The composition of the customer class

Ouestion:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

Exhibit JTA.45
Page 2 of 2

reflects commercial segments, building vintages, gas technology in place and in the future, and completed & potential energy efficiency expenditures. Customer growth and market conditions also vary by commercial segment.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

## Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C3.28] Please confirm that, if Union has the same additions to the same categories for each of 2008 through 2012 as well, the annual decrease in revenue requirement will be as set forth in the attached spreadsheet entitled "CCA Rate Changes", and the total pre-tax benefit to the shareholder of the tax change over the years 2007 through 2012 inclusive would be \$29.0 million.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

If the same additions to the same categories for each of 2008 through 2012 were incurred as that estimated for 2007 and if the rates are implemented by the government (which has still not yet occurred) and the effect of these reductions do not find their way into the inflation factor, there would be a decrease in the annual revenue requirement over the years 2007 through 2012 inclusive as set forth in the attached schedule. The total over these years would be would be approximately \$22 Million.

Estimated Annual Decrease in Reveue Requirement

		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Incremental CCA claim							
Computer equipment	-	437,050 -	874,100 -	765,930 -	549,590 -	360,023 -	223,999
Non-residential buildings	-	125,155 -	362,950 -	576,664 -	768,136 -	939,077 -	1,091,081
Distribution lines	-	1,207,116 -	3,500,636 -	5,561,908 -	7,408,650 -	9,057,370 -	10,523,437
	-	1,769,321 -	4,737,686 -	6,904,502 -	8,726,377 -	10,356,470 -	11,838,517
Tax rate		36.12%	34.50%	34.00%	33.00%	32.50%	32.50%
	-	639,079 -	1,634,502 -	2,347,531 -	2,879,704 -	3,365,853 -	3,847,518
Gross up factor		1.5654	1.5267	1.5152	1.4925	1.4815	1.4815
Decrease in Revenue Requirement	-	1,000,436 -	2,495,422 -	3,556,865 -	4,298,066 -	4,986,449 -	5,700,027

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## Estimate of tax depreciation Computers

	New rate	55%				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC	-	6,337,225	9,188,976	10,472,264	11,049,744	11,309,610
Additions	8,741,000	8,741,000	8,741,000	8,741,000	8,741,000	8,741,000
Balance before half year rule	8,741,000	15,078,225	17,929,976	19,213,264	19,790,744	20,050,610
Half year rule	- 4,370,500 -	4,370,500 -	4,370,500	- 4,370,500	- 4,370,500	4,370,500
Revised balance for CCA calculation	4,370,500	10,707,725	13,559,476	14,842,764	15,420,244	15,680,110
CCA claim	- 2,403,775 -	5,889,249	7,457,712	- 8,163,520	- 8,481,134	8,624,060
Ending UCC	6,337,225	9,188,976	10,472,264	11,049,744	11,309,610	11,426,549
	Old rate	45%				
	Old rate	45% 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC			2009	2010 12,549,344	2011 13,676,414	2012 14,296,303
Opening UCC Additions		2008				
•	2007	2008 6,774,275	10,500,126	12,549,344	13,676,414	14,296,303
Additions	2007 - 8,741,000	2008 6,774,275 8,741,000 15,515,275	10,500,126 8,741,000 19,241,126	12,549,344 8,741,000 21,290,344	13,676,414 8,741,000	14,296,303 8,741,000 23,037,303
Additions Balance before half year rule	8,741,000 8,741,000	2008 6,774,275 8,741,000 15,515,275	10,500,126 8,741,000 19,241,126	12,549,344 8,741,000 21,290,344	13,676,414 8,741,000 22,417,414	14,296,303 8,741,000 23,037,303
Additions Balance before half year rule Half year rule	8,741,000 8,741,000 - 4,370,500	2008 6,774,275 8,741,000 15,515,275 - 4,370,500 11,144,775	10,500,126 8,741,000 19,241,126 4,370,500 14,870,626	12,549,344 8,741,000 21,290,344 - 4,370,500 16,919,844	13,676,414 8,741,000 22,417,414 - 4,370,500 18,046,914	14,296,303 8,741,000 23,037,303 - 4,370,500 18,666,803
Additions Balance before half year rule Half year rule Revised balance for CCA calculation	8,741,000 8,741,000 - 4,370,500 - 4,370,500	2008 6,774,275 8,741,000 15,515,275 - 4,370,500 11,144,775	10,500,126 8,741,000 19,241,126 4,370,500 14,870,626	12,549,344 8,741,000 21,290,344 - 4,370,500 16,919,844	13,676,414 8,741,000 22,417,414 - 4,370,500 18,046,914	14,296,303 8,741,000 23,037,303 - 4,370,500 18,666,803

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## Exhibit JTA.46 Page 3 of 4

Estimate of tax depreciation Non Residential Buildings

	New rate	6%				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC	-	12,140,035	23,551,668	34,278,603	44,361,922	53,840,241
Additions	12,515,500	12,515,500	12,515,500	12,515,500	12,515,500	12,515,500
Balance before half year rule	12,515,500	24,655,535	36,067,168	46,794,103	56,877,422	66,355,741
Half year rule	- 6,257,750 -	6,257,750	- 6,257,750 -	6,257,750	<b>-</b> 6,257,750 ·	- 6,257,750
Revised balance for CCA calculation	6,257,750	18,397,785	29,809,418	40,536,353	50,619,672	60,097,991
CCA claim	- 375,465	1,103,867		2,432,181	- 3,037,180	- 3,605,879
Ending UCC	12,140,035	23,551,668	34,278,603	44,361,922	53,840,241	62,749,862
	Old rate	4% 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC		2008				
Opening UCC Additions		2008 12,265,190	2009 24,039,772 12,515,500	2010 35,343,372 12,515,500	2011 46,194,827 12,515,500	2012 56,612,224 12,515,500
Additions	2007	2008 12,265,190 12,515,500	24,039,772	35,343,372	46,194,827	56,612,224
. •	2007 - 12,515,500 12,515,500	2008 12,265,190	24,039,772 12,515,500 36,555,272	35,343,372 12,515,500 47,858,872	46,194,827 12,515,500 58,710,327	56,612,224 12,515,500 69,127,724
Additions Balance before half year rule	2007 - 12,515,500 12,515,500	2008 12,265,190 12,515,500 24,780,690	24,039,772 12,515,500 36,555,272	35,343,372 12,515,500 47,858,872	46,194,827 12,515,500 58,710,327	56,612,224 12,515,500 69,127,724
Additions Balance before half year rule Half year rule	2007 - 12,515,500 12,515,500 - 6,257,750	2008 12,265,190 12,515,500 24,780,690 - 6,257,750 18,522,940	24,039,772 12,515,500 36,555,272 - 6,257,750 30,297,522	35,343,372 12,515,500 47,858,872 - 6,257,750 41,601,122	46,194,827 12,515,500 58,710,327 - 6,257,750	56,612,224 12,515,500 69,127,724 - 6,257,750 62,869,974
Additions Balance before half year rule Half year rule Revised balance for CCA calculation	2007 12,515,500 12,515,500 - 6,257,750 6,257,750	2008 12,265,190 12,515,500 24,780,690 - 6,257,750 18,522,940	24,039,772 12,515,500 36,555,272 - 6,257,750 30,297,522	35,343,372 12,515,500 47,858,872 - 6,257,750 41,601,122	46,194,827 12,515,500 58,710,327 - 6,257,750 52,452,577	56,612,224 12,515,500 69,127,724 - 6,257,750 62,869,974

Question: Answer:

October 3, 2007

Docket:

October 11, 2007

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

# Exhibit JTA.46 Page 4 of 4

## Estimate of tax depreciation Distribution Lines

	New rate	6%				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC	-	117,090,252	227,155,089	330,616,036	427,869,325	519,287,418
Additions	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600
Balance before half year rule	120,711,600	237,801,852	347,866,689	451,327,636	548,580,925	639,999,018
Half year rule	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800
Revised balance for CCA calculation	60,355,800	177,446,052	287,510,889	390,971,836	488,225,125	579,643,218
CCA claim	- 3,621,348	- 10,646,763	- 17,250,653	23,458,310	29,293,508	
Ending UCC	117,090,252	227,155,089	330,616,036	427,869,325	519,287,418	605,220,425
	Old rate	4%				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Opening UCC	-	118,297,368	231,862,841	340,885,696	445,547,636	546,023,098
Additions	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,711,600	120,71 1,600	120,711,600
Balance before half year rule	120,711,600	239,008,968	352,574,441	461,597,296	566,259,236	666,734,698
Half year rule	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800 -	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	- 60,355,800	
Revised balance for CCA calculation	60,355,800	178,653,168	292,218,641	401,241,496	505,903,436	606,378,898
CCA claim	- 2,414,232	7,146,127	11,688,746	16,049,660	20,236,137	- 24,255,156
Ending UCC	118,297,368	231,862,841	340,885,696	445,547,636	546,023,098	642,479,542
Incremental CCA claim based on proposed rates	1,207,116	3,500,636	5,561,908	7,408,650	9,057,370	10,523,437

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")</u>

[C23.38]	Please provide the requested filings, in confidence if necessary.

Union will provide the information pursuant to an OEB order which provides for the preservation of confidentiality and a prohibition against trading.

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C3.4] Please advise whether, in the attachment, page 1, the "current rates" are the 2007 Board approved M1 rates, or the actual rates being charged in 2007 to these customers, the 2007 Board approved M2 rates. Please provide the detailed calculations behind the charts on pages 1 and 2 of that Attachment.

-----

The "current rates" in the attachment on pages 1 and 2 are the 2007 approved M1 and M2 rates adjusted for weather (please refer to EB-2007-0606, Exhibit D, Tab 3, Schedule 12).

The "current rates" in the attachment on pages 3 and 4 are the actual rates being charged in 2007 to general service customers.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## <u>Current Rates: New Rate M1 & new Rate M2 - Weather Adjusted</u> <u>2007 Approved Rates per EB-2005-0520</u>

M1: Using \$16 monthly charge and no price cap	Forecast Usage	Approved Revenue	Approved Rates				
Monthly Charge	11,761,016	188,176	\$16.00				
Monthly Delivery Commodity Charge First 100 m3 Next 150 m3 All over 250 m3 Total Delivery	921,326 769,727 1,107,542 2,798,595 2,798,595	51,148 40,534 50,838 330,697 28,756	5.5516 5.2660 4.5902 11.8165				
M1: Using \$17 monthly charge and 2% price cap	Forecast Usage	Approved Revenue	Approved Rates	Application of 2% Price Cap	Adjusted Revenue	Adjusted Rates	Application of 2% Price cap to MCC revenue: 3999
Monthly Charge	11,761,016	199,937	\$17.00	-	199,937	\$17.00	(to be allocated across the delivery blocks in proportion to approved revenues)
Monthly Delivery Commodity Charge First 100 m3 Next 150 m3 All over 250 m3 Total Delivery	921,326 769,727 1,107,542 2,798,595	46,928 37,189 46,643 330,697	5.0935 4.8314 4.2114 11.8165	2,374 1,881 2,359 6,614	49,301 39,070 49,002 337,311	5.3511 5.0758 4.4244 12.0529	
Storage	2,798,595	28,756	1.0275	575	29,331	1.0481	
M2: Using \$70 monthly charge and no price cap  Monthly Charge	Forecast Usage	Approved Revenue	Approved Rates				
Monthly Charge  Monthly Delivery Commodity Charge First 1,000 m3 Next 6,000 m3 Next 13,000 m3 All over 20,000 m3 Total Delivery	75,469 366,830 301,554 332,169 1,076,022	2,825 13,469 10,427 10,634 43,218	\$70.00 3.7435 3.6718 3.4579 3.2015 4.0165	Application of 2% Price Cap	Adjusted Revenue 6,280	Adjusted Rates \$75.00	

#### Current Rates: New Rate M1 & new Rate M2 - Weather Adjusted 2007 Approved Rates per EB-2005-0520

#### RATE M1

Assumption #1:

Using 2007 Current Approved Revenue (weather adjusted)

Monthly Charge of \$16

No price cap escalator

Assumption #2:

Price cap escalator of 2% Monthly charge of \$17

Residential monthly volume distribution

Residential	month.		والمراشر والمراشرة المراس
Residentia	HIDHURA	volunie	distribution

			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total		
			17.50%	18.30%	15.10%	9.10%	5.20%	2.90%	2.20%	2.10%	2.20%	4.80%	7.20%	13.40%	100.00%		
1,200 m <sup>3</sup>	#1	Total Delivery Bill	29.50	30.11	27.69	23.16	20.11	18.29	17.74	17.66	17.74	19.79	21.68	26.41	269.86		22.4885 cents/m3
	#2	Total Delivery Bill	30.14	30.72	28.37	23.96	20.99	19.23	18.69	18.61	18.69	20.69	22.53	27.12	279.74		23.3118 cents/m3
		Impact	0.63	0.62	0.68	0.80	0.89	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.84	0.72	9.88	4%	0.8234 cents/m3
																_	
2,500 m <sup>3</sup>	#1	Total Delivery Bill	42.55	43.68	39.18	30.60	24.47	20.77	19.62	19.45	19.62	23.84	27.61	36.79	348.19		13.9275 cents/m3
	#2	Total Delivery Bill	42.85	43.94	39.56	31.21	25.24	21.64	20.52	20.36	20.52	24.62	28.30	37.24	355.99		14,2396 cents/m3
		Impact	0.29	0.26	0.38	0.60	0.77	0.87	0.90	0.91	0.90	0.79	0.68	0.44	7.80	2%	0.3121 cents/m3
45,000 m <sup>3</sup>	#1	Total Delivery Bill	460.37	480.59	399.70	248.02	149,43	91.29	73,59	71.06	73.59	139.32	199.99	356.72	2,743.67		6.0970 cents/m3
	#2	Total Delivery Bill	449.86	469.56	390.76	243.00	146.96	90.32	73.08	70.62	73.08	137.11	196.21	348.90	2,689.47		5.9766 cents/m3
		Impact	(10.51)	(11.03)	(8.94)	(5.02)	(2.47)	(0.97)	(0.51)	(0.44)	(0.51)	(2.21)	(3.78)	(7.83)	(54.20)	-2%	-0.1204 cents/m3

#### RATE M2

Assumption #1:

Using 2007 Current Approved Revenue (weather adjusted)

Monthly Charge of \$70 No price cap escalator

Industrial monthly volume distribution

Assumption #2:

Price cap escalator of 2%

Monthly charge of \$75 Industrial monthly volume distribution

			<b>Jan</b> 13.80%	Feb 14.10%	<b>Mar</b> 12.20%	<b>Apr</b> 7.80%	<b>May</b> 5.50%	Jun 4.70%	<b>Jul</b> 3.60%	<b>Aug</b> 3.90%	<b>Sep</b> 4.70%	Oct 8.40%	<b>Nov</b> 9.80%	<b>Dec</b> 11.50%	Total 100.00%		
55,000 m <sup>3</sup> (1)	#1 #2	Total Delivery Bill Total Delivery Bill Impact	405.51 414.97 9.46	412.46 422.02 9.56	367.81 376.77 8.96	260.66 268.20 7.54	204.65 211.44 6.79	185.17 191.70 6.53	158.38 164.56 6.18	165.69 171.96 6.27	185.17 191.70 6.53	275.27 283.00 7.73	309.36 317.55 8.18	350.76 359.50 8.73	3,280.91 3,373.38 92.47	3%_	5.9653 cents/m3 6.1334 cents/m3 0.1681 cents/m3
100,000 m <sup>3</sup>	#1 #2	Total Delivery Bill Total Delivery Bill Impact	667.18 680.15 12.97	679.82 692.96 13.14	599.76 611.83 12.06	414.36 423.94 9.58	314.24 322.48 8.25	278.81 286.59 7.78	230.11 237.24 7.13	243.39 250.70 7.31	278.81 286.59 7.78	439.64 449.56 9.92	498.63 509.34 10.71	570.27 581.94 11.67	5,215.03 5,333.32 118.29	2% _	5.2150 cents/m3 5.3333 cents/m3 0.1183 cents/m3
250,000 m <sup>3</sup>	#1 #2	Total Delivery Bill Total Delivery Bill Impact	1,502.24 1,526.43 24.19	1,531.92 1,556.51 24.59	1,343.95 1,366.01 22.06	907.36 923.54 16.18	665.07 678.01 12.94	580.80 592.61 11.81	464.92 475.18 10.26	496.53 507.21 10.68	580.80 592.61 11.81	968.00 985.00 17.00	1,106.51 1,125.37 18.86	1,274.69 1,295.82 21.13	11,422.79 11,624.31 201.52	2%_	4.5691 cents/m3 4.6497 cents/m3 0.0806 cents/m3

<sup>(1)</sup> M2 Rates do not apply to consumers using 50,000 m<sup>3</sup>

#### Bill Impacts at Various Consumption Levels

	Using current Rates (1) Annual Bill (\$) (a)	Price Cap & new MCC Annual Bill (\$) (b)	Annual	Percent Change (%) (d) = (b-a) / (a)
Annual Consumption of 1,200 m <sup>3</sup>				
Delivery Charges Monthly Charge Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services Total Delivery Charge	192.00 62.04 11.17 265.21	204.00 63.16 12.58 279.74	12.00 1.12 1.41 14.53	5.5%
Annual Consumption of 2,500 m <sup>3</sup>				
Monthly Charge	192.00	204.00	12.00	
Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services	129.25 23.27	125.79 26.20	(3.47) 2.93	
Total Delivery Charge	344.52	355.99	11.47	3.3%
Annual Consumption of 45,000 m <sup>3</sup>				
Delivery Charges Monthly Charge Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services Total Delivery Charge	192.00 1,970.60 418.91 2,581.51	204.00 2,013.83 471.65 2,689.47	12.00 43.23 52.74 107.96	4.2%

<sup>(1)</sup> Rates are 2007 Rate M2 current approved before the rate class split.

<sup>(2)</sup> Rates are new M1 rates adjusted for weather, SEC price cap, and new Monthly Customer Charge.

#### **Bill Impacts at Various Consumption Levels**

	Using current Rates Annual Bill (\$) (a)	(2) Price Cap & new MCC Annual Bill (\$) (b)	Annual	Percent Change (%) (d) = (b-a) / (a)
Annual Consumption of 55,000 m <sup>3</sup> (1)				
Delivery Charges Monthly Charge Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services Total Delivery Charge	192.00 2,404.80 512.00 3,108.80	900.00 2,049.38 424.00 3,373.38	708.00 (355.42) (88.01) 264.58	8.5%
Annual Consumption of 100,000 m <sup>3</sup> <u>Delivery Charges</u> Monthly Charge Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services Total Delivery Charge	192.00 3,939.08 930.90 5,061.98	900.00 3,662.42 770.90 5,333.32	708.00 (276.66) (160.00) 271.34	5.4%
Annual Consumption of 250,000 m³  Delivery Charges Monthly Charge Delivery Commodity Charge Storage Services Total Delivery Charge	192.00 8,648.24 2,327.24 11,167.48	900.00 8,797.06 1,927.25 11,624.31	708.00 148.82 (399.99) 456.83	4.1%

<sup>(1)</sup> Customer consuming 50,000 m³ are not eligible for M2 rates. Union has provided a comparison using 55,000 m³. (2) Rates are 2007 Rate M2 current approved before the rate class split.

<sup>(3)</sup> Rates are new M2 rates adjusted for weather, SEC price cap, and new Monthly Customer Charge.

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[D/3/11] Please recalculate all figures in this Schedule on the basis that the price cap increase is applied to both the fixed charge and the variable charge for each class. Please add to the existing Schedule, and the recalculated Schedule requested, calculations for a school with 45,000 m3 of annual use and a school with 60,000 m3 of annual use.

\_\_\_\_\_

Please see attached schedules.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

UNION GAS LIMITED
Southern Operations Area
General Service Customer Bill Impacts

Percent Change (%)	(d) = (c) / (a)				4.3%				1.2%	
		4.65	7.85	2.56	15.06	1			15.06	15.06 15.06
	(c) = (b) - (a)						2 0			
Rate M1 - Residential (Annual Consumption of 2,600 m³) EB-2007-0606 Adjusted Calculation 01-Jan-08 Total Impact Bill (\$) (1)	(a)	196.65	142.27	26.75	365.67	8 77	767.59	854.14	1,219.80	
EB-2005-0520 Approved 01-Jan-07 Total Bill (\$) (1)	(a)	192.00	134.42	24.19	350.61	9	767.59	854.14	1,204.75	
·										- Sales (line 8) - Direct Purchase (line 4)
Particulars	Delivery Charges	Monthly Charge	Delivery Commodity Charge	Storage Service	Total Delivery Charge	Supply Charges	I ransportation to Union Gas Supply Commodity (2)	Total Gas Supply Charge	Total Bill	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 8) Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 4)
Line No.		<b>-</b>	2	က	4	ı	ഹ ഗ	7	80	6 0

Notes:
(1) Excludes price adjustments.
(2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

Exhibit JTA.49

Attachment Page 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED Southern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

Rate M2 - Industrial

			(Annual Consumption	on of 73,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606		
		Approved	Adjusted Calculation		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) - (a)	(d) = (c) / (a)
	Delivery Charges				
1	Monthly Charge	192.00	860.33	668.33	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	3,038.74	2,743.82	(294.92)	
3	Storage Service	679.57	552.46	(127.11)	
4	Total Delivery Charge	3,910.31	4,156.61	246.30	6.3%
	Supply Charges				
5	Transportation to Union	2,429.96	2,429.96	-	
6	Gas Supply Commodity (2)	21,551.30	21,551.30		
7	Total Gas Supply Charge	23,981.26	23,981.26	-	
8	Total Bill	27,891.57	28,137.87	246.30	0.9%
9	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 8)			246.30	
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 4)			246.30	

- (1) Excludes price adjustments.
- (2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# UNION GAS LIMITED Northern & Eastern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

(Fort Frances) Rate 01 - Residential (Western) Rate 01 - Residential

			ivate of alvesio	lential			Rate 01 - Res	lueriliai	
			(Annual Consumption	of 2,600 m <sup>3</sup> )			(Annual Consumptio	n of 2,600 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606			EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606		
		Approved	Adjusted Calculation			Approved	Adjusted Calculation		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent	01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change	Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c)=(b)-(a)	(d) = (c) / (a)	(e)	(f)	(g) = (f)-(e)	(h) = (g) / (e)
	Delivery Charges								
1	Monthly Charge	192.00	196.65	4.65		192.00	196.65	4.65	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	229.51	245.05	15.54		229.51	245.05	15.54	
3	Total Delivery Charge	421.51	441.70	20.19	4.8%	421.51	441.70	20.19	4.8%
	Supply Charges								
4	Transportation to Union	77.43	77.46	0.03		78.03	78.11	0.08	
5	Storage Service	49.67	50.56	0.89		49.59	50.49	0.90	
6	Subtotal	127.10	128.02	0.92		127.62	128.60	0.98	
7	Commodity & Fuel (2)	743.15	743.15	-		750.08	750.08	-	
8	Total Gas Supply Charge	870.25	871.17	0.92		877.70	878.68	0.98	
9	Total Bill	1,291.76	1,312.87	21.11	1.6%	1,299.21	1,320.38	21.17	1.6%
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 10)			21.11				21.17	
11	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (lin	ne 3 + line 4 + line 5)	)	21.11				21.17	

- (1) Excludes price adjustments.
- (2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Northern & Eastern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

(Northern) Rate 01 - Residential (Eastern) Rate 01 - Residential

(Annual Consumption of 2,600 m3) (Annual Consumption of 2,600 m<sup>3</sup>) EB-2005-0520 EB-2005-0520 EB-2007-0606 EB-2007-0606 Approved **Adjusted Calculation** Approved Adjusted Calculation 01-Jan-07 01-Jan-08 01-Jan-07 01-Jan-08 Percent Percent Line Total Total Impact Change Total Total Impact Change Bill (\$) (1) Bill (\$) (1) Bill (\$) (1) (%) No. Particulars (\$) (%) Bill (\$) (1) (c)=(b)-(a) (d) = (c) / (a)(g) = (f)-(e)(h) = (g) / (e)(a) (b) (e) **Delivery Charges** 192.00 196.65 4.65 192.00 196.65 4.65 Monthly Charge 15.52 228.96 244.46 15.50 2 **Delivery Commodity Charge** 229.34 244.86 3 **Total Delivery Charge** 441.51 20.17 4.8% 420.96 441.11 20.15 4.8% 421.34 Supply Charges 80.0 0.07 107.62 107.70 Transportation to Union 93.95 94.02 60.75 67.81 69.04 1.23 59.68 1.07 5 Storage Service 176.74 1.31 175.43 6 Subtotal 153.63 154.77 1.14 767.59 767.59 759.54 759.54 7 Commodity & Fuel (2) 1.31 1.14 943.02 944.33 913.17 914.31 8 **Total Gas Supply Charge** 1.6% 1,363.98 1,385.44 21.46 1,334.51 1,355.82 21.31 1.6% 9 Total Bill 21.46 21.31 10 Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 10) 21.46 21.31 11 Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 3 + line 4 + line 5)

#### Notes:

(1) Excludes price adjustments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Northern & Eastern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

(Fort Frances)
Rate 10 - Commercial

(Western) Rate 10 - Commercial

			(Annual Consumption	of 93,000 m <sup>3</sup> )			(Annual Consumption	n of 93,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606			EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606	*	
		Approved	Adjusted Calculation			Approved	Adjusted Calculation		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent	01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change	Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)	Bill_(\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c)=(b)-(a)	(d) = (c) / (a)	(e)	(f)	(g) = (f)-(e)	(h) = (g) / (e)
	Delivery Charges								
1	Monthly Charge	840.00	860.33	20.33		840.00	860.33	20.33	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	5,523.40	5,765.57	242.17		5,523.40	5,765.57	242.17	
3	Total Delivery Charge	6,363.40	6,625.90	262.50	4.1%	6,363.40	6,625.90	262.50	4.1%
	Supply Charges								
4	Transportation to Union	2,539.92	2,539.92	_		2,562.33	2,562.33	_	
5	Storage Service	1,139.72	1,159.89	20.17		1,137.47	1,157.66	20.19	
6	Subtotal	3,679.64	3,699.81	20.17		3,699.80	3,719.99	20.19	
7	Commodity & Fuel (2)	26,581.53	26,581.53	-		26,829.94	26,829.94	-	
8	Total Gas Supply Charge	30,261.17	30,281.34	20.17		30,529.74	30,549.93	20.19	
9	Total Bill	36,624.57	36,907.24	282.67	0.8%	36,893.14	37,175.83	282.69	0.8%
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 10)			282.67				282.69	
11	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (lin	e 3 + line 4 + line 5)	)	282.67				282.69	

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes price adjustments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Northern & Eastern Operations Area <u>General Service Customer Bill Impacts</u>

(Northern) Rate 10 - Commercial (Eastern) Rate 10 - Commercial

			(Annual Consumption	of 93,000 m <sup>3</sup> )			(Annual Consumption	on of 93,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
Line No.	Particulars	EB-2005-0520 Approved 01-Jan-07 Total Bill (\$) (1)	EB-2007-0606 Adjusted Calculation 01-Jan-08 Total Bill (\$) (1) (b)	Impact (\$) (c)=(b)-(a)	Percent Change (%) (d) = (c) / (a)	EB-2005-0520 Approved 01-Jan-07 Total Bill (\$) (1) (e)	EB-2007-0606 Adjusted Calculation 01-Jan-08 Total Bill (\$) (1)	Impact (\$) (g) = (f)-(e)	Percent Change (%) (h) = (g) / (e)
	Delivery Charges								
1	Monthly Charge	840.00	860.33	20.33		840.00	860.33	20.33	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	5,517.44	5,759.34	241.90		5,532.69	5,775.27	242.58	
3	Total Delivery Charge	6,357.44	6,619.67	262.23	4.1%	6,372.69	6,635.60	262.91	4.1%
	Supply Charges								
4	Transportation to Union	3,131.31	3,131.31	=		3,620.22	3,620.22	-	
5	Storage Service	1,497.95	1,524.45	26.50		1,788.86	1,820.57	31.71	
6	Subtotal	4,629.26	4,655.76	26.50		5,409.08	5,440.79	31.71	
7	Commodity & Fuel (2)	27,168.73	27,168.73	-		27,455.74	27,455.74	-	
8	Total Gas Supply Charge	31,797.99	31,824.49	26.50		32,864.82	32,896.53	31.71	
9	Total Bill	38,155.43	38,444.16	288.73	0.8%	39,237.51	39,532.13	294.62	0.8%
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 10)			288.73				294.62	
11	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (lin	ne 3 + line 4 + line 5)	)	288.73				294.62	

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes price adjustments.

<sup>(2)</sup> Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# UNION GAS LIMITED Southern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

Rate M1 - Residential

			(Annual Consumptio	n of 45,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606		
		Approved	Adjusted Calculation		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) - (a)	(d) = (c) / (a)
	Delivery Charges				
1	Monthly Charge	192.00	196.65	4.65	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	1,970.60	2,198.11	227.51	
3	Storage Service	418.91_	463.05	44.14	
4	Total Delivery Charge	2,581.51	2,857.80	276.29	10.7%
	Supply Charges				
5	Transportation to Union	1,497.92	1,497.92	-	
6	Gas Supply Commodity (2)	13,285.03	13,285.05	<del>-</del>	
7	Total Gas Supply Charge	14,782.95	14,782.96	-	
8	Total Bill	17,364.46	17,640.76	276.29	1.6%
9	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 8)			276.29	
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 4	)		276.29	

- (1) Excludes price adjustments.
- (2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# UNION GAS LIMITED Southern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

#### Rate M2 - Industrial

			(Annual Consumpti	on of 60,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606		
		Approved	Adjusted Calculation		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) - (a)	(d) = (c) / (a)
	Delivery Charges				
1	Monthly Charge	192.00	860.33	668.33	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	2,584.67	2,267.79	(316.88)	
3	Storage Service	558.54	454.08	(104.46)	
4	Total Delivery Charge	3,335.21	3,582.20	246.99	7.4%
	Supply Charges				
5	Transportation to Union	1,997.23	1,997.23	-	
6	Gas Supply Commodity (2)	17,713.38	17,713.40	=	
7	Total Gas Supply Charge	19,710.61	19,710.63	-	
8	Total Bill	23,045.82	23,292.83	246.99	1.1%
9	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 8)			246.99	
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 4)			246.99	

- (1) Excludes price adjustments.
- (2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

# UNION GAS LIMITED Southern Operations Area General Service Customer Bill Impacts

Rate M2 - Industrial

			(Annual Consumpt	ion of 73,000 m <sup>3</sup> )	
		EB-2005-0520	EB-2007-0606		
		Approved	Proposed		
		01-Jan-07	01-Jan-08		Percent
Line		Total	Total	Impact	Change
No.	Particulars	Bill (\$) (1)	Bill (\$) (1)	(\$)	(%)
		(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) - (a)	(d) = (c) / (a)
	Delivery Charges				
1	Monthly Charge	192.00	840.00	648.00	
2	Delivery Commodity Charge	2,584.67	2,276.12	(308.55)	
3	Storage Service	558.54	454.08	(104.46)	
4	Total Delivery Charge	3,335.21	3,570.20	234.99	7.0%
	Supply Charges				
5	Transportation to Union	1,997.23	1,997.23	-	
6	Gas Supply Commodity (2)	17,713.38	17,713.40	<u></u>	
7	Total Gas Supply Charge	19,710.61	19,710.63	-	
8	Total Bill	23,045.82	23,280.83	234.99	1.0%
9	Impacts for Customer Notices - Sales (line 8)			234.99	
10	Impacts for Customer Notices - Direct Purchase (line 4)			234.99	

- (1) Excludes price adjustments.
- (2) Gas Supply Commodity and Fuel Rates will be updated as part of the Board approved QRAM process.

#### <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u>

## Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

[C23.52, Attachment] Please provide a calculation of the impact on the forecasts in the attachment of the change in the CDN:US\$ exchange rate from the 10% discount assumption used to the current level, parity. If possible, please provide the same forecasts, but with the revised exchange rate.

The forecast is prepared in Canadian dollars including some operating expenses that are sourced in U.S. currency. The expenses sourced in U.S. currency are estimated to be less than \$10 million. The effect of changing the exchange rate conversion factor from a discount of 10% to parity would be less than \$1 million.

Union does not have a method to estimate the impact changes in exchange rates have on throughput and revenue, for the contract market. The estimated impact of a 10% change in exchange rate is less than \$400,000 for the general service market.

Question: October 3, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## **UNION GAS LIMITED**

# Undertaking of Union Gas <u>To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")</u>

[C23.51] Please provide the monthly volume profiles used in calculating each of the example	s.
Please provide the full calculations of all of the numbers in the response, in Excel format.	
Please see attached.	

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

Residential Customer		3.004														
Annual Volume (m3):		3,064	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec _	Total	
Monthly Volume Distribution Allocation of Annual Volume			17.50% 536	18.30% 561	15.10% 463	9.10% 279	5.20% 159	2.90% 89	2.20% 67	2.10% 64	2.20% 67	4.80% 147	7.20% 221	13.40% 411	100.00% 3,064	
/OLUMES																
Monthly Blocks	First	1,400	536	504	400	270	450	00	67	64	07	4.47	004	444	0.004	
	Next	4,600	-	561 -	463 -	279 -	159 -	89	67 -	64	67 -	147	221	411	3,064	
	Next	124,000	-	•		-		-		-	-	-	-	_	-	
	Next	270,000	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	
	All over	400,000	536	- 561	463	279	159	- 89	- 67	- 64	- 67	147	221	411	3,064	
RATES (\$ / m3)																
Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00		
Delivery Rates by Block																
	First	1,400	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161		
	Next	4,600	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013	0.040013		0.040013		
	Next Next	124,000 270,000	0.028092 0.020735													
	All over	400,000	0.019296	0.019296	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.019296	0.020735	0.019296	0.019296	0.020735		
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.000000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544		
Gas Supply Charges Commodity & Fuel Prospective Recovery / Commodity	& Fuel		0.416725	0.416725 -	0.416725 -	0.416725 -	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725		
Temporary Charge / (Credit) Transportation			0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702		
Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	-	
MONTHLY BILL																
Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 168.00	
Delivery Commodity Charge																
	First Next	1,400 4,600	30.11	31,49	25.98	15.66	8.95	4.99	3.79	3.61	3.79	8.26	12.39	23.06	172.08	
	Next	124,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
	Next	270,000	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	
	All over	400,000					-	-		-	-	-	-			
			30.11	31.49	25.98	15.66	8.95	4.99	3.79	3.61	3.79	8.26	12.39	23.06	172.08	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Steroes Conince			5.12	5.35	4.42	2.66	1.52	0.85	0.64	0.61	0.64	1.40	2.11	3.92	29.24	
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.11	-	-	
Gas Supply Charges Commodity & Fuel			223.45	233.66	192.80	116.19	66.40	37.03	28.09	26.81	28.09	61.29	91.93	171.10	1,276.84	
Prospective Recovery / Commodity	& Fuel		-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.00	-	400.40	
Transportation Gas Supply Charges			19.14 242.59	20.02 253.68	16.52 209.32	9.95 126.14	5.69 72.09	3.17 40.20	2.41 30.50	2.30 29.11	2.41 30.50	5.25 66.54	7.88 99.81	14.66 185.76	1,386.24	F
																(ce
Total Monthly Bill			291.82	304.52	253.72	158.46	96.56	60.04	48.93	47.33	48.93	90.20	128.31	226.74	1,755.56	5
Total Delivery Bill			49.23	50.84	44.40	32.32	24.47	19.84	18.43	18.22	18.43	23.66	28.50	40.98	369.32	1:
Total Gas Supply Bill			242.59	253.68	209.32	126.14	72.09	40.20	30.50	29.11	30.50	66.54	99.81	185.76	1,386.24	4

Residential Customer																<u>P</u> :
Annual Volume (m3):		3,064	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	
Monthly Volume Distribution Allocation of Annual Volume			17.50% 536	18.30% 561	15.10% 463	9.10% 279	5.20% 159	2.90% 89	2.20% 67	Aug 2.10% 64	2.20% 67	4.80% 147		13.40% 411	100.00% 3,064	
VOLUMES  Monthly Blocks																
	First Next	1,400 4,600	536 -	561 -	463 -	279	159 -	89 -	67 -	64 -	67 -	147 -	221	411 - -	3,064	
	Next Next All over	124,000 270,000 400,000	-	-	-	- - -	-	-	- - -	-	-	-	-	- - -	-	
			536	561	463	279	159	89	67	64	67	147	221	411	3,064	
RATES (\$ / m3) Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00		
Delivery Rates by Block											0.054704	0.054704	0.051701	0.054704		
	First Next	1,400 4,600	0.051701 0.041427													
	Next Next	124,000 270,000	0.030859 0.024743													
	All over	400,000	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978		
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)		
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309		
Gas Supply Charges Commodity & Fuel			0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739		
Prospective Recovery / Commodit Temporary Charge / (Credit)	y & Fuel		(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)		(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	,	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	- '	(0.057728)		
Transportation Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.035830	0.035830 0.310841	0.035830	0.035830 0.310841	-									
MONTHLY BILL Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 192.00	
Delivery Commodity Charge	First	1,400	27.72	28.99	23.92	14.42	8.24	4.59	3.49	3.33	3.49	7.60	11.41	21.23	158.41	
	Next	4,600	-	20.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Next Next	124,000 270,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	All over	400,000	27.72	28.99	23,92	14.42	8.24	4.59	3.49	3.33	3.49	7.60	11.41	21.23	158.43	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			(0.27)	(0.28)	(0.23)	(0.14)	(80.0)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.11)	(0.21)	(1.52)	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			`- ´	- '	`- '	`- ′	`- '	- 1	- 1	- '	•	-	-	-	-	
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			4.99 -	5.22 -	4.31 -	2.60	1.48 -	0.83	0.63 -	0.60	0.63	1.37	2.05 -	3.82	28.53 -	
Gas Supply Charges																
Commodity & Fuel Prospective Recovery / Commodit	y & Fuel		178.41 (30.95)	186.57 (32.37)	153.95 (26.71)	92.77 (16.10)	53.02 (9.20)	29.57 (5.13)	22.43 (3.89)	21.41 (3.71)	22.43 (3.89)	48.94 (8.49)	73.41 (12.74)	136.62 (23.70)	1,019.53 (176.88)	
Temporary Charge / (Credit) Transportation			19.21	20.09	16.58	9.99	5. <b>7</b> 1	3.18	2.42	2.31	2.42	5.27	7.90	14.71	109.79	
Gas Supply Charges			166.67	174.29	143.82	86.66	49.53	27.62	20.96	20.01	20.96	45.72	68.57	127.63	952.44	Pr (cent
Total Monthly Bill			215.11	224.22	187.82	119.54	75.17	49.00	41.05	39.91	41.05	70.62	97.92	168.47	1,329.88	43.
Total Delivery Bill			48.44	49.93	44.00	32.88	25.64	21.38	20.09	19.90	20.09	24.90	29.35	40.84	377.44	12.
Total Gas Supply Bill			166.67	174.29	143.82	86.66	49.53	27.62	20.96	20.01	20.96	45.72	68.57	127.63	952.44	31.0

Commercial Customer																Page
Annual Volume (m3):		598,568	Jan	Feb	Mar	Anr	May	Jun	Jul	A	Sam.	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	
Monthly Volume Distribution Allocation of Annual Volume			17.40% 104,151	17.90% 107,144	14.40% 86,194	9.30% 55,667	5.30% 31,724	3.00% 17,957	1.80% 10,774	Aug 2.00% 11,971	Sep 2.20% 13,169	5.50% 32,921	8.00% 47,885	13.20% 79,011	100.00% 598,568	
VOLUMES Monthly Blocks																
nonany steam	First	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	16,800	
	Next Next	4,600 124,000	4,600 98,151	4,600 101,144	4,600 80,194	4,600 49,667	4,600 25,724	4,600 11,957	4,600 4,774	4,600 5,971	4,600 7,169	4,600 26,921	4,600 41,885	4,600 73,011	55,200 526,568	
	Next All over	270,000 400,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Allovei	400,000	104,151	107,144	86,194	55,667	31,724	17,957	10,774	11,971	13,169	32,921	47,885	79,011	598,568	
RATES (\$ / m3) Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00		
Delivery Rates by Block																
<u></u>	First	1,400	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161		
	Next Next	4,600 124,000	0.040013 0.028092	0.040013 0.028092	0.040013 0.028092	0.040013 0.028092	0.040013 0.028092									
	Next All over	270,000 400,000	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735 0.019296	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735		
	All over	400,000		0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296		
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.000000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544		
Gas Supply Charges Commodity & Fuel			0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725		
Prospective Recovery / Commodit	y & Fuel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.410725		
Temporary Charge / (Credit) Transportation Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.035702	0.035702 0.452427	0.035702	0.035702 0.452427	0.035702 0.452427	0.035702 0.452427	-							
			0.402427	0.402427	0.102121	0.402427	0.402427	0.402421	0.402421	0.402421	0.402427	0.402427	0.402427	J. IJE-IE		
MONTHLY BILL Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 168.00	
Delivery Commodity Charge														70.00	0.40.50	
	First Next	1,400 4,600	78.63 184.06	78.63 184.06	78.63 184.06	78.63 184.06	78.63 184.06	943,50 2,208.72								
	Next	124,000	2,757.25	2,841.33	2,252.80	1,395.24	722.64	335.90	134.12	167.75	201.38	756.27	1,176.65	2,051.02	14,792.35	
	Next All over	270,000 400,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			3,019.94	3,104.01	2,515.49	1,657.93	985.33	598.58	396.80	430.43	464.06	1,018.96	1,439.33	2,313.71	17,944.57	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	
Storage Services			994.02	1,022.58	822.63	531.28	302.77	171.38	102.83	114.25	125.68	314.20	457.02	754.08	5,712.72	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	
Gas Supply Charges			42 402 DE	44 640 AF	35.919.11	23.197.76	13.220.23	7,483.15	4,489.89	4,988.76	5,487.64	13,719.10	19,955.06	32 925 85	249,438.25	
Commodity & Fuel Prospective Recovery / Commodit	y & Fuel		43,402.25	44,649.45	- 11,818,00	23,181.76 -	13,220.23	7,403.15	4,409.09	4,300.70	5, <del>4</del> 67.64	10,719.10		-	-	
Temporary Charge / (Credit) Transportation			- 3,718.39	- 3,825.24	- 3,077.29	- 1,987.42	1,132.61	- 641.10	- 384.66	- 427.40	- 470.14	1,175.35	- 1,709.61	2,820,85	21,370,06	
Gas Supply Charges			47,120.64	48,474.69	38,996.40	25,185.18	14,352.84	8,124.25	4,874.55	5,416.16	5,957.78	14,894.45	21,664.67	35,746.70	270,808.31	Prio
Total Monthly Bill			51,148.60	52,615.28	42,348.52	27,388.39	15,654.94	8,908.21	5,388.18	5,974.84	6,561.52	16,241.61	23,575.02	38,828.49	294,633.60	(cents 49.2
Total Delivery Bill			4,027.96	4,140.59	3,352.12	2,203.21	1,302.10	783.96	513.63	558.68	603.74	1,347.16	1,910.35	3,081.79	23,825.29	3.9
Total Gas Supply Bill			47,120.64	48,474.69	38,996,40	25,185.18	14,352.84	8,124.25	4,874.55	5,416.16	5,957.78	14.894.45	21,664.67	35,746 70	270,808.31	45.2
Loral Gas Supply Bill			11,120.04	70,774.03	30,330.40	20, 100.10	1-1,002.04	J, 124.25	1,01-4.00	5, 110.10	5,557.70	,		,		

																<u>Pa</u>
Commercial Customer Annual Volume (m3):		598,568												_		
Monthly Volume Distribution			Jan 17.40%	Feb 17.90%	Mar 14.40%	Apr 9,30%	May 5.30%	Jun 3.00%	Jul 1.80%	Aug 2.00%	Sep 2.20%	Oct 5.50%	Nov 8.00%	Dec 13.20%	Total 100.00%	
Allocation of Annual Volume			104,151	107,144	86,194	55,667	31,724	17,957	10,774	11,971	13,169	32,921	47,885	79,011	598,568	
DLUMES																
Monthly Blocks	First	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	16.800	
	Next	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	55,200	
	Next	124,000	98,151	101,144	80,194	49,667	25,724	11,957	4,774	5,971	7,169	26,921	41,885	73,011	526,568	
	Next	270,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	All over	400,000	104,151	407.444	- 00.404	- FF 007		47.057	-	- 44 074	40.400		47.005	70.014	-	
			104,151	107,144	86,194	55,667	31,724	17,957	10,774	11,971	13,169	32,921	47,885	79,011	598,568	
TES (\$ / m3) Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16,00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00		
			<b>4</b> 10.00	¥ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	φ 10.00	Ф 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	φ 10.00		
Delivery Rates by Block	First	1.400	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701		
	Next	4,600	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427	0.041427		
	Next	124,000	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859	0.030859		
	Next	270,000	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743		
	All over	400,000	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978		
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)		
Femporary Charge / (Credit)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Storage Services			0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309		
Temporary Charge / (Credit)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-		
Gas Supply Charges																
Commodity & Fuel			0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739		
Prospective Recovery / Commodity	/ & Fuel		(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)		
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.005000	0.035830			- 0.005000	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830		
ransportation Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.035830	0.035830	0.035830 0.310841	0.035830	0.035830 0.310841	0.035630	0.033630	0.033630	0.330841	0.310841	0.033830	0.033030		
NITE V BU I																
ONTHLY BILL Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 192.00	
Pelivery Commodity Charge	First	1,400	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	72.38	868.58	
	Next	4,600	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	190.56	2,286.77	
	Next	124,000	3,028.84	3,121.19	2,474.70	1,532.67	793.82	368.98	147.33	184.27	221.21	830.76	1,292.54	2,253.05	16,249.36	
	Next	270,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	All over	400,000	3,291.78	3,384.14	2,737.65	1,795.61	1,056.77	631.93	410.27	447.22	484.16	1,093.71	1,555.49	2,515.99	19,404.72	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			(52.28)	(53.79)	(43.27)	(27.94)	(15.93)	(9.01)	(5.41)	(6.01)	(6.61)	(16.53)	(24.04)	(39.66)	(300.48)	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	(30.73)	-	(21:04)	- (10.50)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Storage Services			969.54	997.40	802.38	518.20	295.32	167.16	100.30	111.44	122.59	306.46	445.77	735.51	5,572.07	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gas Supply Charges																
Commodity & Fuel			34,655.04	35,650.88	28,680.04	18,522.52	10,555.85	5,975.01	3,585.00	3,983.34	4,381.67	10,954.18	15,933.35	26,290.03	199,166.91	
rospective Recovery / Commodity	/ & Fuel		(6,012.42)	(6,185.19)	(4,975.80)	(3,213.53)	(1,831.37)	(1,036.62)	(621.97)	(691.08)	(760.19)	(1,900.48)	(2,764.33)	(4,561.15)	(34,554.13)	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	·		-	-	-				4 745 7 :	- 0.000.00	-	
ransportation Gas Supply Charges			3,731.72	3,838.96 33,304.65	3,088.32 26,792.56	1,994.54 17,303.53	1,136.67 9,861.15	643.40 5,581.79	386.04 3,349.07	428.93 3,721.19	471.83 4,093.31	1,179.57 10,233.27	1,715.74 14,884.76	2,830.96 24,559.84	21,446.68 186,059.46	F
sas supply charges			32,314.34	55,504.05	20,132.30	17,000.00	5,001.10	0,001.13	5,545.67	5,121.13	1,000.01	. 5,200.21	,	, 1	,	(cer
otal Monthly Bill			36,599.38	37,648.40	30,305.32	19,605.40	11,213.31	6,387.87	3,870.23	4,289.84	4,709.45	11,632.91	16,877.98	27,787.68	210,927.77	35
Total Delivery Bill			4,225.04	4,343.75	3,512.76	2,301.87	1,352.16	806.08	521.16	568.65	616.14	1,399.64	1,993.22	3,227.84	24,868.31	4
-			,												496,050,40	
Total Gas Supply Bill			32,374.34	33,304.65	26,792.56	17,303.53	9,861.15	5,581.79	3,349.07	3,721.19	4,093.31	10,233.27	14,884.76	24,559.84	186,059.46	31

Commercial Customer																Page 5 of
Annual Volume (m3):		598,568														
Monthly Volume Distribution			Jan 13.80%	Feb 14.10%	Mar 12.20%	Apr 7.80%	May 5.50%	Jun 4.70%	Jul 3.60%	Aug 3.90%	Sep 4.70%	Oct 8.40%	Nov 9.80%	Dec 11.50%	Total 100.00%	
Allocation of Annual Volume			82,602	84,398	73,025	46,688	32,921	28,133	21,548	23,344	28,133	50,280	58,660	68,835	598,568	
VOLUMES																
Monthly Blocks	P*:+	4 400	4 400	4 400		4 400										
	First Next	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4.600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	1,400 4,600	16,800 55,200	
	Next	124,000	76,602	78,398	67,025	40,688	26,921	22,133	15,548	17,344	22,133	44,280	52,660	62,835	526,568	
	Next All over	270,000 400,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-		-	-	
		,	82,602	84,398	73,025	46,688	32,921	28,133	21,548	23,344	28,133	50,280	58,660	68,835	598,568	
RATES (\$ / m3)																
Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00		
Delivery Rates by Block																
	First	1,400	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161	0.056161		
	Next Next	4,600 124,000	0.040013 0.028092		0.040013 0.028092											
	Next	270,000	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735	0.020735		
	All over	400,000	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296	0.019296		
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			0.000000	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-		
Temporary Charge / (Credit)				-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Storage Services			0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544	0.009544		
Temporary Charge / (Credit)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-		
Gas Supply Charges																
Commodity & Fuel Prospective Recovery / Commodit	v & Euol		0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0.416725	0:416725	0.416725	0.416725		
Temporary Charge / (Credit)	y a r dei		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Transportation			0.035702 0.452427	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702	0.035702		
Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427	0.452427		
MONTHLY BILL Monthly Charge			\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 168.00	
Working Charge			Φ 14.00	\$ 14.00	J 14.00	<b>a</b> 14.00	\$ 14.00	<b>a</b> 14.00	φ 14.00	Ψ 14.00	\$ 14.00	Φ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	Ф 100.00	
Delivery Commodity Charge	First	1,400	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	78.63	943.50	
	Next	4,600	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	184.06	2,208.72	
	Next	124,000	2,151.91	2,202.36	1,882.87	1,143.02	756.27	621.75	436.79	487.23	621.75	1,243.91	1,479.32	1,765.17	14,792.35	
	Next All over	270,000 400,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	•		2,414.60	2,465.04	2,145.56	1,405.70	1,018.96	884.44	699.47	749.92	884.44	1,506.59	1,742.00	2,027.86	17,944.58	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery			_	_		_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Storage Services			788.36	805.50	696.95	445.59	314.20	268.50	205.66	222.80	268.50	479.87	559.85	656.96	5,712.74	
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Gas Supply Charges																
Commodity & Fuel			34,422.48	35,170.79	30,431.47	19,456.18	13,719.10	11,723.60	8,979.78	9,728.09	11,723.60	20,952.81	24,444.95	28,685.40	249,438.25	
Prospective Recovery / Commodit Temporary Charge / (Credit)	y & Fuel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Transportation			2,949.07	3,013.18	2,607.15	1,666.87	1,175.35	1,004.39	769.32	833.43	1,004.39	1,795.09	2,094.27	2,457.56	21,370.07	
Gas Supply Charges			37,371.55	38,183.97	33,038.62	21,123.05	14,894.45	12,727.99	9,749.10	10,561.52	12,727.99	22,747.90	26,539.22	31,142.96	270,808.32	Price (cents/m3)
Total Monthly Bill			40,588.51	41,468.51	35,895.13	22,988.34	16,241.61	13,894.93	10,668.23	11,548.24	13,894.93	24,748.36	28,855.07	33,841.78	294,633.64	49.2231
Total Delivery Bill			3,216.96	3,284.54	2,856.51	1,865.29	1,347.16	1,166.94	919.13	986.72	1,166.94	2,000.46	2,315.85	2,698.82	23,825.32	3.9804
Total Gas Supply Bill			37,371.55	38,183.97	33,038.62	21,123.05	14,894.45	12,727.99	9,749.10	10,561.52	12,727.99	22,747.90	26,539.22	31,142.96	270,808.32	45.2427

Commercial Customer Annual Volume (m3):		598,568													
		000,000	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Monthly Volume Distribution Allocation of Annual Volume			13.80% 82,602	14.10% 84,398	12.20% 73,025	7.80% 46,688	5.50% 32,921	4,70% 28,133	3.60% 21,548	3.90% 23,344	4.70% 28,133	8.40% 50,280	9.80% 58,660	11.50% 68,835	100.00% 598,568
OLUMES Monthly Blocks															
Months of Division	First	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	16,800
	Next Next	4,600 124,000	4,600 76,602	4,600	4,600 67,025	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600 62,835	55,200
	Next	270,000		78,398 -	-	40,688	26,921 -	22,133	15,548 -	17,344	22,133	44,280 -	52,660 -	-	526,568
	All over	400,000			70.005	- 40.000		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			82,602	84,398	73,025	46,688	32,921	28,133	21,548	23,344	28,133	50,280	58,660	68,835	598,568
ATES (\$ / m3) Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	
Delivery Rates by Block															
	First	1,400	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	0.051701	
	Next Next	4,600 124,000	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	0.041427 0.030859	
	Next	270,000	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	0.024743	
	Ali over	400,000	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	0.022978	
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	(0.000502)	
Storage Services Temporary Charge / (Credit)			0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	0.009309	
Gas Supply Charges															,
Commodity & Fuel	0 5		0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	0.332739	
Prospective Recovery / Commodit Temporary Charge / (Credit)	y & Fuei		(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	(0.057728)	
Transportation			0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	0.035830	• .
Total Monthly Supply Charges			0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	0.310841	
ONTHLY BILL															
Monthly Charge			\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 192.00
Delivery Commodity Charge															
	First Next	1,400 4,600	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	72.38 190.56	868.58 2,286.77
	Next	124,000	2,363.87	2,419.29	2,068.33	1,255.60	830.76	682.99	479.81	535.22	682.99	1,366.43	1,625.02	1,939.04	16,249.36
	Next	270,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	All over	400,000	2,626.82	2,682.23	2,331.28	1,518.55	1,093.71	945.94	742.76	798.17	945.94	1,629.37	1,887.97	2,201.98	19,404.72
							,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(40.00)	70	(4.4.40)	(05.04)	(00.45)	(0.4.50)	(200 50)
Prospective Recovery / Delivery Temporary Charge / (Credit)			(41.47)	(42.37) -	(36.66)	(23.44)	(16.53)	(14.12) -	(10.82) -	(11.72) -	(14.12) -	(25.24)	(29.45) -	(34.56)	(300.50)
Storage Services			768.95	785.66	679.79	434.62	306.46	261.89	200.59	217.31	261.89	468.05	546.06	640.79	5,572.06
Temporary Charge / (Credit)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gas Supply Charges															
Commodity & Fuel Prospective Recovery / Commodit	v & Euol		27,485.03 (4,768.47)	28,082.54 (4,872.13)	24,298.37 (4,215.60)	15,535.02 (2,695.22)	10,954.18 (1,900.48)	9,360.85 (1,624.04)	7,170.01 (1,243.95)	7,767.51 (1,347.61)	9,360.85 (1,624.04)	16,730.02 (2,902.55)	19,518.36 (3,386.30)	22,904.20 (3,973.73)	199,166.94 (34,554.12)
Temporary Charge / (Credit)	y ox ruel		(4,700.47)	(4,072.13) -	(4,213.00)	(2,095.22)	- 1	- '	(1,240.80)	(1,347.01)	(1,024.04)	(z,30z.J3) -	(5,560.50)	(0,010.10)	(04,004.12)
Transportation			2,959.64	3,023.98	2,616.50	1,672.84	1,179.57	1,007.99	772.08	836.42	1,007.99	1,801.52	2,101.78	2,466.37	21,446.68
Gas Supply Charges			25,676.20	26,234.39	22,699.27	14,512.64	10,233.27	8,744.80	6,698.14	7,256.32	8,744.80	15,628.99	18,233.84	21,396.84	186,059.50
Total Monthly Bill			29,046.50	29,675.91	25,689.68	16,458.37	11,632.91	9,954.51	7,646.67	8,276.08	9,954.51	17,717.17	20,654.42	24,221.05	210,927.78
Total Delivery Bill			3,370.30	3,441.52	2,990.41	1,945.73	1,399.64	1,209.71	948.53	1,019.76	1,209.71	2,088.18	2,420.58	2,824.21	24,868.28
Total Gas Supply Bill			25,676.20	26,234.39	22,699.27	14,512.64	10,233.27	8,744.80	6,698.14	7,256.32	8,744.80	15,628.99	18,233.84	21,396.84	186,059.50
.,,,					,		. ,	.,		.,					

#### Union South - Rate M4 Calculation with 50% Load Factor

		2007	2006											
	Monthly Demand Charge First - 8,450 M3 of daily CD	45.6744	46.9922											
	Next - 19, 700 M3 of daily CD	19.8165												
	All over 28,150 M3 of daily CD	16.4565												
	Monthly Delivery Commodity													
	First 422,250 M3 per month	0.7965	0.7492											
	Next Volume equal to 15 days of daily CD	0.7965												
	For remainder	0.3763												
	Gas Commodity	34.8248	45.2427											
M4 Calcula	tion - 50% Load Factor													
Volume	9,976,121	1,646,715	1,322,743	1,077,238	853,361	559,460	428,549	211,730	381,859	412,847	812,366	1.085,377	1.183.876	
Days/Month		31	28	31	30	•	30	31	31	30	31	30	31	
CD Calcula	tion (take highest for monthly CD)	53,120	47,241	34,750	28,445	18,047	14,285	6,830	12,318	13,762	26,205	36,179	38,190	
T: 0-1-	First	0.450	0.450	2 452	0.450		0.450				2.152	0.450	0.450	
Tier Calc	First Next	8,450 19,700	8,450 19,700	8,450 19,700	8,450 19,700	8,450 9,597	8,450 5,835	6,830	8,450 3,868	8,450 5,312	8,450 19,700	8,450 19,700	8,450 19,700	
	Remainder	24,970	19,700	6,600	19,700	9,597	5,635	_	3,000	5,312	- 1,945	8,029	10,040	
	remaine	24,510	10,001	0,000	200	_	_	_	_	_	- 7,540	0,023	10,040	
	Check	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Demand Ch	- C	53,120												
15 Days De	emand Charge (for delivery calc)	796,800												
Delivery Co	mmodity Calculation													
	First	1,219,050	1,219,050	1,077,238	853,361	559,460	428,549	211,730	381,859	412,847	812,366	1,085,377	1,183,876	
	Remainder	427,665	103,693	=	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Check	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
	O NOOK													
2007														
	mand Charge	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872 8,645	11,872 9,430	142,470 77,227
Monthly De	livery Commodity	11,319	10,100	8,580	6,797	4,456	3,413	1,686	3,042	3,288	6,470	0,045	9,430	11,221
Monthly Ga	s Commodity	573,465	460,643	375,146	297,181	194,831	149,241	73,734	132,982	143,773	282,905	377,980	412,282	3,474,164
														3,693,861
2006														
Monthly De	mand Charge	10 903	10 903	10 903	10 903	10 903	10 903	10 903	10.903	10.903	10.903	10.903	10.903	130,841
Monthly De Monthly Co	mand Charge mmodity	10,903 10,613	10,903 9,492	10,903 8,071	10,903 6,393	10,903 4,191	10,903 3,211	10,903 1,586	10,903 2,861	10,903 3,093	10,903 6,086	10,903 8,132	10,903 8,870	130,841 72,599
Monthly Co	mmodity	10,613		8,071	6,393	4,191	3,211	1,586	2,861	3,093	6,086	8,132	8,870	72,599
Monthly Co	•	•					•	•			•		8,870	

#### Union South - Rate M4 Calculation with 75% Load Factor

		<u>2007</u>	2006											
	Monthly Demand Charge First - 8,450 M3 of daily CD	45.6744	46.9922											
	Next - 19, 700 M3 of daily CD	19.8165	17,5809											
	All over 28,150 M3 of daily CD	16.4565												
	ran ording 25, 100 into or daily 35	10.4000	10.0002											
	Monthly Delivery Commodity													
	First 422,250 M3 per month	0.7965	0.7492											
	Next Volume equal to 15 days of daily CD	0.7965	0.7492											
	For remainder	0.3763	0.3461											
	Gas Commodity	34.8248	45.2427											
M4 Calculati	on - 75% Load Factor													
Volume	9,976,120	2,484,053	2,102,029	1,394,029	1,181,943	610,701	451,075	81,947	129,418	226,888	352,876	302,517	658,643	
Days/Month		31	2,102,023		30	31	30	31	31	30	31	302,317	31	
•	ion (take highest for monthly CD)	80,131	75,072	44,969	39,398	19,700	15,036	2,643	4,175	7,563	11,383	10,084	21,247	
	, ,	•	,	·		·	•	•	•	•	,	•	•	
Tier Calc	First	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450	2,643	4,175	7,563	8,450	8,450	8,450	
	Next	19,700	19,700	19,700	19,700	11,250	6,586		.,	- 887	2,933	1,634	19,700	
	Remainder	51,981	46,922	16,819	11,248	-	-	-	4,275	887	-		6,903	
	Check	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Demand Cha	arge	80,131												
	mand Charge (for delivery calc)	1,201,961												
Delivery Cor	mmodity Calculation													
_	First	1,624,211	1,624,211	1,394,029	1,181,943	610,701	451,075	81,947	129,418	226,888	352,876	302,517	658,643	
	Remainder	859,842	477,818	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Check	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2007														
	nand Charge	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	16,318	195,811
•	very Commodity	16,172	14,735	11,103	9,414	4,864	3,593	653	1,031	1,807	2,811	2,410	5,246	73,839
	toly commounty	,	,	,	•	•			·	•				
Monthly Gas	Commodity	865,067	732,028	485,468	411,609	212,675	157,086	28,538	45,070	79,013	122,889	105,351	229,371	3,474,164 3,743,813
														, ,
2006														
	nand Charge	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	14,656	175,873
Monthly Con	nmodity	15,145	13,822	10,444	8,855	4,575	3,379	614	970	1,700	2,644	2,266	4,935	69,349
Monthly Gas	Commodity	1,123,853	951,015	630,696	534,743	276,297	204,079	37,075	58,552	102,650	159,651	136,867	297,988	4,513,466 4,758,688

#### Union South - Rate M7 Firm Calculation with 80% Load Factor

Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity Gas Commodity	2007 25.5426 0.2318 34.8248	0.2855											
M7 Calculation - 80% Load Factor													
Volume 69,832,850 Days/Month CD Calculation (take highest for monthly CD)	6,228,113 31 200,907	5,734,922 28 204,819	6,242,867 31 201,383	5,256,485 30 175,216	4,683,204 31 151,071	3,639,916 30 121,331	4,097,276 31 132,170	7,513,781 31 242,380	7,271,401 30 242,380	7,482,166 31 241,360	6,070,039 30 202,335	5,612,679 31 181,054	
Demand Charge	242,380												
2007 Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity	61,910 14,437	61,910 13,294	61,910 14,471	61,910 12,185	61,910 10,856	61,910 8,437	61,910 9,497	61,910 17,417	61,910 16,855	61,910 17,344	61,910 14,070	61,910 13,010	742,922 161,873
Monthly Gas Commodity	2,168,928	1,997,175	2,174,066	1,830,560	1,630,916	1,267,593	1,426,868	2,616,659	2,532,251	2,605,649	2,113,879	1,954,604	24,319,150 25,223,945
2006 Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Commodity	60,266 17,781	60,266 16,373	60,266 17,823	60,266 15,007	60,266 13,371	60,266 10,392	60,266 11,698	60,266 21,452	60,266 20,760	60,266 21,362	60,266 17,330	60,266 16,024	723,196 199,373
Monthly Gas Commodity	2,817,767	2,594,634	2,824,441	2,378,176	2,118,808	1,646,796	1,853,718	3,399,437	3,289,778	3,385,134	2,746,250	2,539,327	31,594,267 32,516,836

#### Union South - Rate M7 Interruptible Calculation with 75% Load Factor

Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity Gas Commodity	2007 1.1741 34.8248	1.2803											
M7 Interruptible Calculation - 75% Load Factor													
Volume 69,832,850 Days/Month CD Calculation (take highest for monthly CD)	6,228,113 31 200,907	5,734,922 28 204,819	6,242,867 31 201,383	5,256,485 30 175,216	4,683,204 31 151,071	3,639,916 30 121,331	4,097,276 31 132,170	7,513,781 31 242,380	7,271,401 30 242,380	7,482,166 31 241,360	6,070,039 30 202,335	5,612,679 31 181,054	
Demand Charge	242,380												
2007 Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity	- 73,124	- 67,334	- 73,297	- 61,716	- 54,985	- 42,736	- 48,106	- 88,219	- 85,374	- 87,848	- 71,268	- 65,898	- 819,907
Monthly Gas Commodity	2,168,928	1,997,175	2,174,066	1,830,560	1,630,916	1,267,593	1,426,868	2,616,659	2,532,251	2,605,649	2,113,879	1,954,604	24,319,150 25,139,058
2006 Monthly Demand Charge Monthly Commodity	- 79,739	- 73,424	- 79,927	- 67,299	- 59,959	46,602	- 52,457	- 96,199	- 93,096	- 95,794	- 77,715	- 71,859	- 894,070
Monthly Gas Commodity	2,817,767	2,594,634	2,824,441	2,378,176	2,118,808	1,646,796	1,853,718	3,399,437	3,289,778	3,385,134	2,746,250	2,539,327	31,594,267 32,488,337

#### Union South - Rate M5 Interruptible Calculation with 50% Load Factor

	Monthly Charge		<u>2007</u> 500	2006 500											
	Daily Delivery Commodity 4,800 m3 to 17,000 m3 17,000 m3 to 30,000 m3 30,000 m3 to 50,000 m3 50,000 m3 to 70,000 m3		1.8304 1.7005 1.6322 1.5843	1.8368 1.7069 1.6386 1.5907											
	Gas Commodity		34.8248	45.2427											
M5A Calculation - 50% Load Facto	<u>r</u>														
Annual Volume Load Factor Contracted Demand Step Block Price @ CD Days Use Discount		9,976,121 50% 54,664 183	1.5843	1.5907											
First 75 day All over 7		75 108	0.0530 0.2290	0.0530 0.2290											
Total Discount Net Price for all volumes			0.2820 1.3023	0.2820 1.3087											
Volume			1,212,617	996,839	1,028,509	844,948	732,687	622,578	384,980	593,120	653,729	837,808	1,055,787	1,012,518	9,976,121
2007 Monthly Customer Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity			500 15,792	500 12,982	500 13,394	500 11,004	500 9,542	500 8,108	500 5,014	500 7,724	500 8,514	500 10,911	500 13,750	500 13,186	6,000 129,919
Monthly Gas Commodity			422,291	347,147	358,176	294,251	255,157	216,812	134,069	206,553	227,660	291,765	367,676	352,607	3,474,164 3,610,083
2006 Monthly Customer Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity			500 15,870	500 13,046	500 13,460	500 11,058	500 9,589	500 8,148	500 5,038	500 7,762	500 8,555	500 10,964	500 13,817	500 13,251	6,000 130,557
Monthly Gas Commodity			548,621	450,997	465,325	382,277	331,488	281,671	174,176	268,343	295,765	379,047	477,666	458,090	4,513,466 4,650,024

#### Union South - Rate M5 Interruptible Calculation with 75% Load Factor

	Monthly Charge		<u>2007</u> 500	2006 500											
	Daily Delivery Commodity 4,800 m3 to 17,000 m3 17,000 m3 to 30,000 m3 30,000 m3 to 50,000 m3 50,000 m3 to 70,000 m3		1.8304 1.7005 1.6322 1.5843	1.8368 1.7069 1.6386 1.5907											
	Gas Commodity		34.8248	45.2427											
M5A Calculation - 75% Load Facto	<u>r</u>														
Annual Volume Load Factor Contracted Demand Step Block Price @ CD Days Use Discount First 75 day All over 75 Total Discount Net Price for all volumes		9,976,121 75% 36,442 274 75 199	1.6322 0.0530 0.4219 0.4749 1.1573	1.6386 0.0530 0.4219 0.4749 1.1637											
Volume			1,212,617	996,839	1,028,509	844,948	732,687	622,578	384,980	593,120	653,729	837,808	1,055,787	1,012,518	9,976,121
2007 Monthly Customer Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity  Monthly Gas Commodity			500 14,034 422,291	500 11,536 347,147	500 11,903 358,176	500 9,779 294,251	500 8,479 255,157	500 7,205 216,812	500 4,455 134,069	500 6,864 206,553	500 7,566 227,660	500 9,696 291,765	500 12,219 367,676	500 11,718 352,607	6,000 115,454 3,474,164
-															3,595,618
2006 Monthly Customer Charge Monthly Delivery Commodity			500 14,111	500 11,600	500 11,969	500 9,833	500 8,526	500 7,245	500 4,480	500 6,902	500 7,607	500 9,750	500 12,286	500 11,783	6,000 116,092
Monthly Gas Commodity			548,621	450,997	465,325	382,277	331,488	281,671	174,176	268,343	295,765	379,047	477,666	458,090	4,513,466 4,635,559

## **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Undertaking of Union Gas To Enbridge Gas Distribution ("EGD")

Provide history of M12 rate increases since 2000.

## History of M12 Rate Changes

		Firm Tran	sportation
		Dawn to	Dawn to
Line		Parkway	Parkway
No.	Year	(\$/GJ)	(\$/GJ)
	(a)	(b)	(c)
1	2000	2.554	2.128
2	2001	2.563	2.135
3	2002	2.547	2.122
4	2003	2.490	2.074
5	2004	2.334	1.968
6	2007	2.392	2.036

Question: Answer:

October 3, 2007

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

## Undertaking of Union Gas To School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

Replicate two spreadsheets provided to Enbridge yesterday with Union's numbers.

Attached are the two requested spreadsheets. The residential and small commercial customer analysis provided are both highly sensitive to the capital cost estimates used. As highlighted on the attached, Union has used \$1,301 as the cost to attach a single residential customer and \$3,789 for a small volume commercial customer. The \$1,301 is referenced in Union's interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C10.1 c) filed as part of this proceeding; while the \$3,789 is referenced in Union's interrogatory response provided at Exhibit J21.27 e) iii) of Union's 2007 rates proceeding (EB-2005-0520). These capital cost estimates exclude certain items including:

- 1) reinforcement cost associated with each service,
- 2) meter and regulatory costs, and
- 3) overhead allocation.

As stated in Union's interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C20.2, Union does not track each customer addition individually. Rather, Union applies a discounted cashflow analysis on a portfolio basis.

Question:

October 3, 2007

Answer:

October 11, 2007

Docket:

EB-2007-0606 / EB-2007-0615

Union South -- M2 Residential

Capital Cost Annual Consumption (m3) Annual margin Operating Costs Prop/Cap Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,301.00 2,600 221.09 63.50 13.01		Total Annual Depreciation Annual Depr Debt Interest Allowed Ret	Rate eciation	y	\$ 76.51 2.50% \$ 32.53 5.58% 8.54%		Equity Capit Tax Rate Inflation Price Cap Es CCA Rate		36.00% 36.12% 2.04% 2.42% 4.00%		
(\$ unless otherwise specified)	Yea	ar	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Totals
Revenue: Total revenue			221.09	226.44	231.92	237.53	243.28	249.17	255.20	261.38	267.70	274.18	2,467.90
Operating Expenses:													
O&M expenses			63.50	64.79	66.12	67,47	68.84	70.25	71.68	73.14	74.63	76.16	696.57
Depreciation expense			32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	325.25
Property taxes			13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	13.01	130.10
Capital taxes			3.63	3.49	3.35	3.21	3.09	2.96	2.84	2.73	2.62	2.52	30.45
Total operating expenses			112.67	113.82	115.00	116.22	117.46	118.74	120.06	121.41	122.79	124.21	1,182.37
Income before interest and income taxes	s		108.42	112.62	116.92	121.32	125.82	130.43	135.14	139.97	144.91	149.97	1,285.52
Interest expense			45.43	44.79	43.63	42.47	41.30	40.14	38.98	37.82	36.66	35.50	406.73
Income before income taxes			62.99	67.84	73.30	78.85	84.51	90.28	96.16	102.15	108.25	114.47	878.80
Income taxes			25.10	17.83	20.54	23.25	25.98	28.71	31.46	34.22	37.01	39.81	283.91
Net Income			37.89	50.01	52.76	55.60	58.54	61.57	64.70	67.92	71.24	74.66	594.89
Return on Average Common Equity			8.27%	11.08%	12.00%	12.99%	14.06%	15.21%	16.46%	17.81%	19.28%	20.86%	
Sufficiency/(Deficiency)			(1.91)	17.93	23.80	29.81	35.97	42.28	48.74	55.35	62.12	69.04	
Cumulative NPV			(1174.33)	(1071,61)	(972.34)	(876.37)	(783.54)	(693.72)	(606.76)	(522.54)	(440.95)	(361.87)	
Calculation of CCA and UCC										000.00	0.50.00	0.00	
Total Opening UCC			-	1,274.98	1,223.98	1,175.02	1,128.02	1,082.90	1,039.58	998.00	958.08	919.76	
Total Additions Total CCA			1,301.00 (26.02)	(51.00)	(48.96)	(47.00)	(45.12)	(43.32)	(41.58)	(39.92)	(38.32)	(36.79)	
Total Closing UCC			1,274.98	1,223.98	1,175.02	1,128.02	1,082.90	1,039.58	998.00	958.08	919.76	882.97	
Cumulative CCA			(26.02)	(77.02)	(125.98)	(172.98)	(218.10)	(261.42)	(303.00)	(342.92)	(381.24)	(418.03)	
Calculation of Income Tax													
Gross Margin			221.09	226.44	231.92	237.53	243.28	249.17	255.20	261.38	267.70	274.18	
O&M Expense			(63.50)	(64.79)	(66.12)	(67.47)	(68.84)	(70.25)	(71.68)	(73.14)	(74.63)	(76.16)	
Property (Municipal) Tax			(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	(13.01)	
Capital Tax			(3.63)	(3.49)	(3.35)	(3.21)	(3.09)	(2.96)	(2.84)	(2.73)	(2.62)	(2.52)	
Depreciation & Amortization			(32.53)	(32,53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	
Net Income Before Taxes (NIBT)			108.42	112.62	116.92	121.32	125.82	130.43	135.14	139.97	144.91	149.97	
Add Back Depreciation			32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	32.53	
Less: CCA			(26.02)	(51.00)	(48.96)	(47.00)	(45.12)	(43.32)	(41.58)	(39.92)	(38.32)	(36.79)	
Adjusted NIBT			114.93	94.15	100.49	106.84	113.22	119.63	126.08	132.57	139.11	145.71	
Computed Tax			41.51	34.01	36.30	38.59	40.90	43.21	45.54	47.89	50.25	52.63	
Rate Base Calculation													
Capital - before depreciation			-	1,268.48	1,235.95	1,203.43	1,170.90	1,138.38	1,105.85	1,073.33	1,040.80	1,008.28	
Additions			1,301.00	(22.52)	(20.52)	- (22.52)	(20.55)	- (22.52)	- (20.57)	(20.5=)	(22.52)		
Depreciation Capital aloging			(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	(32.53)	
Capital - closing Working Capital			1,268.48	1,235.95	1,203.43	1,170.90	1,138.38	1,105.85	1,073.33	1,040.80	1,008.28	975.75	
Rate Base			3.71	3,76	3.81	3.87	3.92	3.98	4.04	4.10	4.16	4.22	
Average Rate Base			1,272.18 1,272.18	1,239.71 1,254.09	1,207.24 1,221.59	1,174.77 1,189.10	1,142.30 1,156.60	1,109.83 1,124.10	1,077.36 1,091.61	1,044.90 1,059.11	1,012.43 1,026.62	979.97 994.12	

#### Union South -- M2 Small Commercial

Capital Cost Annual Consumption (m3) Annual margin Operating Costs Prop/Cap Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,789.00 17,000 616.84 111.30 37.89		Total Annual Depreciation Annual Depr Debt Interest Allowed Ret	Rate eciation	/	\$ 149.19 2.50% \$ 94.73 5.58% 8.54%		Equity Capit Tax Rate Inflation Price Cap Es CCA Rate		36.00% 36.12% 2.04% 2.42% 4.00%		
(\$ unless otherwise specified) Revenue:	Year	r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Totals
Total revenue			616.84	631.77	647.06	662.72	678.76	695.18	712.01	729.24	746.88	764.96	6,885.41
Operating Expenses:													
O&M expenses			111.30	113.57	115.88	118.25	120.66	123.12	125.63	128.19	130.81	133.48	1,220.88
Depreciation expense			94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	947.25
Property taxes Capital taxes			37.89	37.89 10.16	37.89	37.89	37.89 8.99	37.89 8.63	37.89 8.28	37.89 7.95	37.89 7.63	37.89 7.33	378.90 88.67
Total operating expenses			10.58 254.49	256.34	9.75 258.25	9.36 260.22		264.36	8.28 266.53	7.93 268.76	271.06	273.42	2,635.70
Total operating expenses			234.49	230.34	238.23	200.22	262.26	204.30	200.33	208.70	271.00	213.42	2,033.70
Income before interest and income taxes	s		362.35	375.43	388.81	402.49	416.49	430.82	445.48	460.47	475.82	491.54	4,249.71
Interest expense			132.36	130.45	127.07	123.69	120.31	116.93	113.55	110.17	106.79	103.41	1,184.72
merest expense			152.50	130.13	127.01	125.07	120.51	110.75	113.33	110.17	100.75	103.11	-
Income before income taxes			229.99	244.98	261,74	278.80	296.18	313.89	331.93	350.31	369.04	388.13	3,064.98
Income taxes			89.92	69.05	77.25	85.48	93.73	102.02	110.36	118.75	127.20	135.71	1,009.47
													-
Net Income			140.08	175.93	184.49	193.33	202.45	211.86	221.56	231.55	241.84	252.42	2,055.51
Return on Average Common Equity			10.50%	13.38%	14.40%	15.50%	16.69%	17.97%	19.36%	20.85%	22.47%	24.22%	
Sufficiency/(Deficiency)			40.91	99.59	117.55	135.95	154.79	174.08	193.82	214.02	234.67	255.80	
Cumulative NPV			(3392.28)	(3065.03)	(2748.80)	(2443.08)	(2147.39)	(1861.30)	(1584.37)	(1316.23)	(1056.51)	(804.86)	
Calculation of CCA and UCC													
Total Opening UCC			-	3,713.22	3,564.69	3,422.10	3,285.22	3,153.81	3,027.66	2,906.55	2,790.29	2,678.68	
Total Additions			3,789.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total CCA			-75.78	-148.53	-142.59	-136.88	-131.41	-126.15	-121.11	-116.26	-111.61	-107.15	
Total Closing UCC			3,713.22	3,564.69	3,422.10	3,285.22	3,153.81	3,027.66		2,790.29	2,678.68	2,571.53	
Cumulative CCA			-75.78	-224.31	-366.90	-503.78	-635.19	-761.34	-882.45	-998.71	-1,110.32	-1,217.47	
Calculation of Income Tax													
Gross Margin			616.84	631.77	647.06	662.72	678.76	695.18	712.01	729.24	746.88	764.96	
O&M Expense			-111.30	-113.57	-115.88	-118.25	-120.66	-123.12	-125.63	-128.19	-130.81	-133.48	
Property (Municipal) Tax			-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	-37.89	
Capital Tax			-10.58	-10.16	-9.75	-9.36	-8.99	-8.63	-8.28	-7.95	-7.63	-7.33	
Depreciation & Amortization			-94.73	-94.73	-94.73	-94.73	-94.73	-94.73		-94.73	-94.73	-94.73	
Net Income Before Taxes (NIBT)			362.35	375.43	388.81	402.49	416.49	430.82	445.48	460.47	475.82	491.54	
Add Back Depreciation			94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	94.73	
Less: CCA			(75.78)	(148.53)	(142.59)	(136.88)	(131.41)	(126.15)		(116.26)	(111.61)	(107.15)	
Adjusted NIBT			381.30	321.63	340.95	360.33	379.81	399.39	419.09	438.94	458.94	479.11	
Computed Tax			137.72	116.17	123.15	130.15	137.19	144.26	151.38	158.54	165.77	173.06	
Rate Base Calculation										2	2.02.	2021	
Capital - before depreciation				3,694	3,600	3,505	3,410	3,315	3,221	3,126	3,031	2,936	
Additions			3,789	(04.5)	(04.7)	(04.7)	(0.4.7)	(04.7)	(04.7)	(04.7)	(04.7)	(04.7)	
Depreciation			(94.7)	(94.7)	(94.7)	(94.7)	(94.7)	(94.7)	` ′	(94.7)	(94.7)	(94.7)	
Capital - closing			3,694	3,600	3,505	3,410	3,315	3,221	3,126	3,031 13	2,936 13	2,842 13	
Working Capital Rate Base			12 3,706	12	12	12 3,422	12 3,328	12 3,233	13 3,138	3,044	2,949	2,855	
Average Rate Base			3,706	3,612 3,653	3,517 3,558	3,422 3,464	3,328 3,369	3,233	3,180	3,044	2,949	2,833	
Average Nate Dasc			3,700	3,033	ه د د, د	2,404	5,507	3,214	3,100	2,003	2,770	2,070	

b) Please complete the following table.

All Other	Service Group (tot	al minus summo	ation of all the	individual rate cl	asses within
the genero	al service group)				
Years	Annual Normalized Volume (m³)	If no DSM - Annual Normalized Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Number of Customers	Average Use per Customer (m³)	If no DSM - Average Use per Customer (m³)
2000					
2001					
2002					
2003					
2004					
2005					
2006					

c) Please confirm that the annual normalized volume does not include the volumetric losses captured in the LRAM?

#### Response:

a)

General Service Group (summation of all of the individual rate classes within the general service									
group (M2, Rate 01, and 10)									
		If no DSM -							
	Annual	Annual			If no DSM				
	Normalized	Normalized	Number of	Average Use per	Average use per				
Year	Volume (10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Customers	Customer (m <sup>3</sup> )	Customer (m <sup>3</sup> )				
2000	5,252	5,317	1,122,887	4,677	4,735				
2001	5,201	5,290	1,145,740	4,539	4,617				
2002	5,380	5,490	1,170,662	4,596	4,690				
2003	5,249	5,373	1,194,499	4,394	4,498				
2004	5,286	5,469	1,223,672	4,320	4,469				
2005	5,333	5,509	1,247,919	4,274	4,414				
2006	5,371	5,599	1,267,387	4,238	4,418				

As the information provided to PEG was in  $10^6 \mathrm{m}^3$  (See Table 11b in Peg's study), the information has been reproduced here in the same manner.

b) Union does not calculate normalized average consumption for any rate classes other than general service rate classes (i.e. M2, Rate 01, Rate 10).

Question: August 20, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

## **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Answer to Interrogatory from Board Staff

Reference: Union Ex. B, Tab 1, page 37 of 48 Issue 5.1 - Union Ex. B, Tab 1, page 37 of 48

## Question:

- a) Please list Union's 2007 deferral and variance accounts that have been approved by the Board.
- b) Please indicate those accounts that Union is seeking approval to continue during the IR plan.

#### Response:

#### a) and b)

Account Name	Account	Proposed Changes (if any)				
	Number					
Gas Cost Deferral Accounts						
Heating Value	179-89	Close effective January 1, 2008				
TCPL Tolls and Fuel	179-100	Continue				
North Purchase Gas Variance Account	179-105	Modify effective January 1, 2008 to capture heat value variances from North gas sales rates				
South Purchase Gas Variance Account	179-106	Modify effective January 1, 2008 to capture heat value variances from South gas sales rates				
Spot Gas Variance Account	179-107	Continue				
Unabsorbed Demand Cost Variance	179-108	Continue				
Account						
Inventory Revaluation Account	179-109	Continue				
Storage and Transportation Deferral Accounts						
Transportation and Exchange Services	179-69	Close effective January 1, 2008				
Short Term Storage & Exchange	179-70	Continue				
Balancing						
Long Term Peak Storage	179-72	Continue				
Other Storage & Transportation	179-73	Close effective January 1, 2008				
Services		•				
Other Direct Purchase Services	179-74	Close effective January 1, 2008				

Question: August 20, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

Other Deferral Accounts					
Deferred Customer Rebates/Charges	179-26	Continue			
Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism	179-75	Continue			
Intra Period WACOG Changes	179-102	Continue			
Unbundled Services Unauthorized	179-103	Continue			
Storage Overrun					
Demand Side Management Variance	179-111	Continue			
Account					
Gas Distribution Access Rule	179-112	Continue			
("GDAR") Costs					
Late Payment Penalty Litigation	179-113	Continue			
Shared Savings Mechanism Variance	179-115	Continue			
Account					
Carbon Dioxide Offset Credits	179-117	Continue			
Deferral Account					

Please refer to Exhibit D1, Tab 5 from the EB-2005-0520 rate case for a description of the deferral accounts included in the above table.

The accounting orders for each of the deferral accounts were included as Appendix F to the EB-2005-0520 Final Rate Order.

Consistent with our efforts to reduce the number of deferral accounts, Union proposes to eliminate the Heating Value deferral account and capture any heat value variances in the North and South Purchase Gas Variance Accounts.

Question: August 20, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007

- documents in Union's possession, including internal e-mail communications, and PowerPoint presentations, etc., containing estimates of this incremental revenue potential, for each of the years 2008 to 2012 inclusive.
- (e) Please quantify the extent to which Union has opportunities to reduce costs included in its 2007 base year revenue requirement, and produce any and all documents in its possession, including internal e-mail communications and PowerPoint presentations, etc., containing estimates of this cost reduction potential for each of the years 2008 to 2012 inclusive.

#### Response:

a) A 1% price cap will provide the following approximate incremental revenues:

2008 \$9 million 2009 \$18 million 2010 \$27 million

b) The 1% price cap will accommodate approximate capital spending as follows:

2008 \$262 million 2009 \$268 million 2010 \$300 million

- c) Union does not have any documents of the nature requested other than the forecast provided in the interrogatory response provided at Exhibit C23.52.
- d) See response provided in part c).
- e) Please see interrogatory response provided at C32.4.

Question: August 23, 2007 Answer: October 11, 2007