Board Staff Interrogatories

2010 IRM2 Electricity Distribution Rates Woodstock Hydro Services Inc. ("Woodstock Hydro") EB-2009-0211

1. Ref: 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Account 1588 - Power

The 2008 ending balances reported in the 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Account workform prepared by Woodstock Hydro shows the split for account 1588 – Power and Global Adjustment. On October 15, 2009 the Board issued "Regulatory Audit and Accounting Bulletin 200901" which clarified the accounting rules for reporting the 1588 – Global Adjustment sub-account.

	Account Number	Total Claim
Account Description		I = C + D+ E + F + G + H
RSVA - Power (Excluding Global Adjustment)	1588	(448,928)
RSVA - Power (Global Adjustment Sub-account)		(12,707)

- a) Has Woodstock Hydro reviewed the Regulatory Audit & Accounting Bulletin 200901 dated October 15, 2009, and ensured that it has accounted for its account 1588 and sub-account Global Adjustment in accordance with this Bulletin?
- b) Has Woodstock Hydro made adjustments subsequent to filing the 2010 IRM2 application and need to re-file an updated 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Account workform?

2. Ref: 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Account 1588 – Global Adjustment

On November 13, 2009 Board Staff prepared a submission in the Enersource EB-2009-0193 2010 IRM3 Application. The following is an excerpt from the submission in respect to Board staff concerns with the current proposal for handling the disposition of the USoA 1588 – Global Adjustment.

The EDDVAR Report as well as the Board's Decision in EB-2009-0113 adopted an allocation of the GA sub-account balance based on kWh for non RPP customers by rate class. Traditionally this allocation would then be combined with all other allocated variance account balances by rate class. The combined balance by rate class would then be divided by the volumetric billing determinants (kWh or kW) from the most recent audited year end or Board approved forecast, if available. This process hence spreads the recovery or refund of allocated account balances to all customers in the affected rate class. This method was factored on two premises; a) that the recovery/refund of a variance unique to a subset of customers within a rate class would not be unfair to the rate class as a whole and b) that the distributors' billing systems would not be able to bill a subset of customers within a rate class, without placing a significant burden to the distributor.

For these reason the Board's original Deferral Variance Account workform was modelled on this basis. However based on Enersource's evidence, there could be material unfairness to RPP customers within the affected rate classes.

Therefore Board staff suggests that a separate rate rider be established to clear the GA sub-account balance to Non-RPP customers within rate classes.

What remains unclear to Board staff is whether Enersource's billing system could accommodate that change within a reasonable timeframe."

While Enersource's response to the Board staff's submission is still pending Board staff would like to poll Woodstock Hydro on the above issue.

- a) Board staff is proposing that a separate disposition rate rider be applied prospectively to Non-RPP customers for 1588 – Global Adjustment. Does Woodstock Hydro agree that this proposal would be fair to all customers? Why or why not?
- b) If the Board were to order Woodstock Hydro to provide such a rate rider, would Woodstock Hydro's billing system be capable of billing non-RPP the separate rate rider? What complications, if any, would Woodstock Hydro see with this rate rider?
- c) If Woodstock Hydro were to be unable to bill in this fashion what would Woodstock Hydro consider proposing in the alternative?

3. Ref: 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Billing Determinants

Below are the billing determinants identified on Sheet "B1.3 Rate Class And Bill Det" of the workform.

2008

	2008			
Rate Class	Billed Customers or Connections A	Billed kWh B	Billed kW C	
Residential	13,240	110,536,185		
General Service Less Than 50 kW	1,211	46,728,002		
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	193	229,429,449	553,277	
Large Use	1	18,945,765	58,364	
Unmetered Scattered Load				
Street Lighting	4,159	2,584,450	7,089	

a) Please confirm if these values are from the NPEI 2008 RRR reported values. Otherwise, please specify the source of the data.

4. Ref: 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Billing Determinants

Below are the Billed kWh for Non-RPP customers identified on Sheet "B1.3 Rate Class And Bill Det" of the workform.

Rate Class	Billed kWh for Non-RPP customers D
Residential	15,726,638
General Service Less Than 50 kW	8,512,302
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	209,266,263
Large Use	18,945,765
Unmetered Scattered Load	
Street Lighting	2,532,128

- a) Please identify if these values estimated values or actual values and specify the applicable period.
- b) If the above values are estimated please explain why Woodstock Hydro is unable to determine actual.

c) As discussed in one of the questions above Board staff have proposed a non-RPP customer rate rider for disposition of the 1588 – Global adjustment. If accepted would Woodstock Hydro support using the numbers above as the most reasonable denominator to be used for rate determination.

5. Ref: 2010 IRM Deferral Variance Total Claim

Below are the Total Claim values for the EDDVAR Group One Deferral Accounts.

	Account Number	Total Claim
Account Description		I = C + D+ E + F + G + H
LV Variance Account	1550	0
RSVA - Wholesale Market Service Charge RSVA - Retail Transmission Network Charge	1580 1584	(1,182,229) (264,467)
RSVA - Retail Transmission Connection Charge	1586	8,853
RSVA - Power (Excluding Global Adjustment) RSVA - Power (Global Adjustment Sub-account)	1588	(448,928) (12,707)
Recovery of Regulatory Asset Balances Disposition and recovery of Regulatory Balances Account	1590 1595	10,081 0
Total		(1,889,397)

- Please confirm if these are the final balances for disposition. If not the final balances please provide amended workform to support final balances for disposition.
- b) Please reconcile final balance for disposition to the 2008 year end account balance reported in the RRR filing. Please identify source and reason for variances.
- c) Please confirm that Woodstock Hydro has complied with and applied correctly the Boards accounting policy and procedures for calculation of the final disposition balance. If Woodstock Hydro has used other practices in the calculation please explain where in the filing and why?

- d) Please confirm that Woodstock Hydro has used the simple interest calculation as required by the Board using the Boards prescribed interest rates. If Woodstock Hydro has used other calculations please explain where in the filing and why?
- e) Please confirm that Woodstock Hydro has complied with the requirement to apply recoveries to principal first as outlined in the 2006 Regulatory Assets Transactions document issued September 4, 2009 (included in the Updated IRM Deferral and Variance Account Work Form zip file). If Woodstock Hydro has not complied with this requirement please explain why not?

6. Ref: Smart Meter Rate Adder

Woodstock Hydro has applied for an increased Smart Meter Rate Adder from \$1.00 to \$2.01 per metered customer per month.

- a) Please explain how the value of \$2.01 was derived and provide calculation model to support this calculation.
- b) If a calculation model was not used, please complete the smart meter calculation model that can be provided to you through your applications case manager.

7. Ref: Smart Meter Rate Adder - Stranded Meter Costs

The Board issued a letter on January 16, 2007 "Re: Stranded Meter Costs Related to the Installation of Smart Meters" The Board has directed distributors to report the stranded meter costs in sub-account: Smart Meter Capital and Recovery Offset Variance Account 1555, sub-account Stranded Meter Costs. Accordingly, this suggest a "stranded" meter asset review is better suited for inclusion in a CoS application when accounts 1555 and 1556 are reviewed (as part of Group 2 accounts).

a. Please explain why Woodstock has included the cost of stranded meters in the smart meter rate adder calculation.

HST Interrogatory

8. Harmonized Sales Tax

It is possible that the PST and GST may be harmonized effective July 1, 2010.

In the event that PST and GST are harmonized effective July 1, 2010:

- a. Would the Applicant agree to the establishment of a variance account to capture the reductions in OM&A and capital expenditures?
- b. Are there other alternatives that the Board might consider to reflect the reductions in OM&A and capital expenditures if this bill is enacted?