

RECEIVED

APR 27 2007

Re EB-2007-0050 and EB-2007-0051

to the Ontario Energy Board,

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

The applicant Mr. Glen Macdonald/Senior advisor/KRA, Hydro One
and to The Applicants counsel: Mr. James Smellie, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt

From Gwendolyn Charlton and Alvin Mcallister, KRA, Fire # 341552,
Hanover, Ontario. N4N 3B9. Telephone # 519 364 7431.

As of March 30/07 we were contacted through regular mail informing us that Hydro One was planning to expand its Bruce Milton Corridor. This immediately raised red flags with us, we are hard working middle class ontarians who have invested our money in our property, and enjoy hunting as well as simply enjoying and admiring the wildlife. We only purchased the property Jan 20 /06 because it had some acreage with bush to use for firewood to heat our home and to enjoy watching the deer and other wildlife that is so abundant there. We did not purchase the property to turn around and sell it to anyone.. We contacted the Community Relations representative at 1 877 345 6799 on March 30/07 and were told there would be public hearings and we could be involved at a local level. We would be contacted by a Hydro representative and we would be compensated by Hydro one.. When we asked if this was going to go ahead, Corrie Lynn Ognibene said to look at it this way "Milton has already purchased the power from the Bruce Nuclear Plant, so one way or another it must get there." This leaves us with the feeling of being railroaded by Hydro One.

Now on April 25/07 we received our registered package within which we were advised who we need to contact within 10 days of the date on the letter April 12/07, if we require intervenor status. This they said had to be done by regular mail, This obviously is impossible. We are replying by regular mail the day after receiving our package,

In this letter it also said that we may be expropriated for not meeting these terms, this is unjust.

We, Gwendolyn Charlton and Alvin McAllister, as land owners are applying to the Ontario Energy Board as well as to the Applicant (Mr Glen Macdonald) And the Applicants Counsel, Mr .James H. Smellie for intervenor status,, we would like to participate in an oral hearing as well as receive all documents issued by the board in any and all proceedings.

These proceedings affect us as landowners by lowering our properties market value as well as we feel the compensation package that we will be offered will be a bandaid solution for the present but as this line will be with us for the duration of the time that we own the property and any funds that we would get from a sale will be much lower because of its presence. We have spent our lifes savings on this property and to have it devaluated in this way is terrible.

These are some of the ways this line will affect us;

Lower property Value

Danger to health/even though we were told by the representative that because it is at the back of property we would not be affected. Do we just not go to the back and lose the enjoyment we once had? We own it but just don't go there Can the kids still sleep in there tree house safely under the lines/" I don't think so

No continued reimbursement "over the years as say when a windmill is erected on your property (yearly lease)

No reimbursement for increased property taxes in the years to come, Your towers will render our land unusable agriculturally or recreationally for any sort of income.

Loss of mature bush lot which is the reason for purchasing property last year (we used the bush for nature walks, R'Ving, hunting) This will all be gone and no reforestation will replace this in our lifetime. We will have to relocate or purchase another mature bush lot adjacent to our property if possible.

continued/

loss of income from heating our home with wood from the bush lot. There is enough wood in this lot to heat our home for the next 50 years. Even if we are compensated now it will not include the increase in fuel price over the next 50 years that we will have to spend to heat our home in the future.

For the above reasons we feel an oral hearing is required to satisfy us that we are being treated fairly and adequately heard and understood.

Of course we will be seeking costs from the applicant associated with the hearing. We will expect to be compensated for lost wages, mileage, Lawyers fees etc.

Re; EB -2007-0051 early access application

We deny access to our property until we have had our hearing in front of the OEB and have been fully satisfied and compensated by Hydro One.

Sincerely

Alvin McAllister

Gwen Charlton.

