FALLIS &

McMILLAN

BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS & NOTARIES

TELEPHONE (519) 369-2515

FAX: 519) 369-2522 E-MAIL: <u>failaw@bmts.com</u>

195 LAMBTON STREET EAST DURHAM, ONTARIO CANADA, NOG 1R0

CLAUDE E. FALLIS, LL.B., Q.C. (1910 - 1996) PETER T. FALLIS, B.A., LL.B.. ERNEST J. McMILLAN, B.A., LL.B

March 10th, 2008

VIA E-Mail: philip.dubuc@ense-ccsnj.gc.ca

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission 280 Slater Street P.O. Box 1046 Station B Ottawa, ON K1P 5S9

Attention: Philip Dubuc, Senior Advisor

Dear Mr. Dubuc:

RE: PROPOSED 500 KV TRANSMISSION LINE - BRUCE TO MILTON OEB FILE NO. EB - 2007 - 0050

We are writing to you on behalf of approximately 40 individual interveners, owning approximately 25 parcels of land, known as the "Fallis Group of Interveners," who have obtained intervener status' in the above proceedings before the Ontario Energy Board, ('OEB'), commenced by the application of Hydro One Networks Inc. ('HONI'), to construct a 180KM, 500KV Transmission Line from the Bruce Generating Stations to Milton TS to be in service by December 31, 2011.

The OEB proceedings were initiated on March 29, 2007 by HONI to obtain permission for leave to construct the Transmission Line under Sec. 92 of the OEB Act. Over 4 months later on August 4th, 2007 HONI made an application to the Minister of the Environment ('MOE'), for Terms of Reference approval for Environmental Assessment Act, ('EA'), approval by the Minister for the route choices selected by HONI within which it seeks to construct the 500 KV Transmission Line.

At issue in these proceedings is whether there is a need to construct a new 500 KV Line for a generation capacity from the Bruce 'A' & Bruce 'B' which will increase from 5,060 MW to 6,560 MW after the bringing back into service of Units 1 & 2 of Bruce 'A', presently being recommissioned for power generation to come on stream within the next 3 years. The anticipated cost

to consumer ratepayers will be in excess of \$635 million. In short, do the existing 5 high voltage transmission lines presently have the capacity to transmit the 6,560 MW of anticipated power to be generated from the anticipated and fully operational '8' nuclear reactor Units within Bruce 'A' and 'B'?

The OEB Hearings for Leave to Construct are presently scheduled to commence on May 1, 2008. The OEB has directed, in its Procedural Order # 5, that all interveners submit their written interrogatories to the Board and the Applicant, HONI by March 10th, 2008. The OEB has required that full written responses be delivered to the Intervener submitting each Interrogatory within 2 weeks of the request and that if the interrogatory recipient cannot answer any one Interrogatory that it so advise the Intervener and the OEB within 3 business days of the question, (the 1st business day being the actual date of submission). Failing such advice full answer could be expected to each interrogatory within 2 weeks.

The Interrogatories submitted to HONI by the Fallis Group consisted of in excess 100 questions, the bulk of which required HONI to provide full answers to historic questions involving all 3 nuclear GS at the Bruce including the Douglas Point Reactor, all five transmission lines, (three 230KV and two 500KV), with technical information questions starting in the mid 1960's until the present. This information is crucial to a full understanding of the present and future transmission capacities of the existing 5 transmission lines running from the Bruce. Without such information a request for an adjournment of the Hearings will be requested by Motion to the OEB which can only delay the construction of the proposed line if approval is eventually granted by the OEB. Without that information it is very conceivable that the OEB may not grant approval until such time as that historic information is made available.

Counsel for HONI advised the OEB and all Interveners in writing on February 26th, 2008, that the limit of its historic information was 2002, and that none of the Independent Electrical System Operator, ('IESO'), the Ontario Power Authority, ('OPA'), or HONI, had any information or access to information on the history of generation levels from each Bruce GS, nor information on the transmission capacity of each of the 5 transmission lines therefrom, prior to 2002, and it listed a multitude of interrogatories that it could not answer.

As a consequence of such refusal or inability to answer any such requests for historic generation or transmission information, these Interveners made a formal written request of Bruce Power for such information by way of Interrogatories submitted on February 29th, 2008. Bruce Power replied to these Interveners by E-mail on March 6th, 2008 through their solicitors, McCarthy Tetrault, advising that they were not a party to those proceedings and that Bruce Power does not intend to make any reply whatsoever to any of the questions asked of Bruce Power.

As Bruce Power will be the direct recipient of the benefit of the transmission capacity of the proposed new 500 KV Line, it is our opinion that Bruce Power would be deemed in law to be a 'driver' of the application within the Rules of the OEB. The OEB Rules of Procedure mandate that the OEB must test the evidence of the 'drivers' as well, rather than accept hearsay evidence of the Applicant. We believe that Bruce Power ought to be mandated as a 'driver' to provide evidence to the OEB.

As Bruce Power has refused to supply any answers to the Interrogatories asked of it, and HONI has advised that it, the OPA and the IESO have no access to information prior to 2002, we are formally requesting your immediate assistance in providing full answer to as many of the Interrogatory questions as possible that were asked of Bruce Power and HONI. We have also now made a similar request today of Ontario Power Generation, ('OPG'), a successor to Ontario Hydro, and which operated the Bruce Generation System until its transfer to Bruce Power. The interveners opine that OPG ought also to have been privy to all of that historic information.

As you have indicated that the CNSC requires that all nuclear information records on any operating nuclear reactor Unit within Canada be maintained until 75 years after its decommissioning, we are accordingly making our Interrogatory request of the CNSC as it would appear that the CNSC would be privy to answers to many of the questions that have been raised by way of these Interrogatories. This information is needed by March 25th at the latest to comply with the very tight time-lines established by the OEB so that the OEB hearings may commence on May 1, 2008

Accordingly we enclose at this time a full set of Interrogatory questions which, we feel, if answered by the CNSC such response information so generated will enable to OEB to have before it the best information available from CNSC so that it can make its eventual decision on the basis of a complete information package

If the CNSC does not have information available to answer any one question would you please advise us of your understanding as to where and from whom such answers to such interrogatories might otherwise be readily obtained.

Your co-operation and input may serve to shorten proceedings before the Board and result in a much earlier decision and start-up construction should the OEB grant approval to construct.

We would forward to hearing from you. We remain,

Yours truly,

FALLIS FALLIS & MCMILLAN

Peter T. Fallis

\Peter1\storage (d)\A.COMMERCIAL\08 COM\Hydro Transmission Lines 2008\Letter to CNSC March 10th, 2008.wpd