Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2310
2300 Yonge Street,
Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario
M4P IE4

Fax: (416) 440-7656

Email: boardsec@oeb.gov.on.ca

Chris Aristides Pappas RR2 Meaford ON N4L 1W6 519-538-5551 Aristides49@aol.com

Mar 14, 2008

Dear Ms. Walli: VIA EMAIL

Re: Chris Aristides Pappas - Written Interrogatories - 19 - 21, Part 6

EB-2007-0050 - Hydro One - B-Milton Transmission

Reinforcement Project

Pursuant to the Board's oral decision on February 21. 2008. Please find enclosed my third set of written interrogatories, in searchable PDF format, to Hydro One on this matter.

Also, attached, please find reference material regarding the issues of Interrogatories 19 - 21. These are not included as evidence, but simply explanatory material, from appropriate sources. It is offered only in order to aid in better understanding the issues before us all.

It may be that my difficulties over the past week can not be considered for any extension regarding these Interrogatories, at least as far as any expectation of response from the Applicant. However, I will send my last Interrogatories along, again, in terms of information sharing. Even if Applicant response is not deemed appropriate, because of my lateness, they may still be appropriate for review by all, especially including the Applicant. The Interrogatory process "cuts two ways". On the one hand the Applicant responds to the Interrogatories and provides discovery. This allows the Intervenors to be able to frame the most appropriate and direct cross-examination. On the other hand the Interrogatories also give the Applicant a more exact idea of what they will face in cross-examination at the oral hearing and, thus, be more prepared. Simply, there would be less surprises all around, and the oral hearing process would flow relatively smoothly and more quickly. I must apologize if I misspoke in my previous communication regarding an extension. It was brought to my attention

that I may have been misunderstood. Apparently there was some thought that there was a demand in there, that I was suggesting that if I couldn't obtain the relief of an extension now that I would somehow force it by taking more time during the oral hearings. Careful reading of my thoughts, above, should make it perfectly clear what I was attempting to communicate, earlier. As well, I would certainly not demand anything of the Board, for I fully understand their legislated powers, which are there for our benefit and best interests.

Yours truly, Chris Aristides Pappas

cc: Applicant and Intervenors per Procedural Order #5

March 14, 2008 AOL: Aristides49