Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP
Suite 2500, TransCanada Tower
450 - 1st Street S.W.
Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 5H1
403.260.7000 MAIN
403.260.7024 FACSIMILE

OSLER

Calgary
Toronto
Montréal
Ottawa
New York

April 10, 2008

Gordon M. Nettleton
Direct Dial: 403.260.7047
gnettleton@osler.com
Our Matter Number: 1099714

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL & COURIER

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
Suite 2700
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2007-0050 – Hydro One Networks Inc., ("Hydro One") Bruce to Milton Transmission Reinforcement Project

Further to the Board's Order and Decision dated April 7, 2008 ("April 7 Decision") please find enclosed for filing supplementary interrogatory responses addressing the Board's findings.

Hydro One has understood the Board's findings pertaining to "historical information" interrogatories to require Hydro One to, on a best efforts basis, work collaboratively with Ontario Power Generation ("OPG") and Bruce Power and provide supplementary responses to Pollution Probe Interrogatories 1, 2 and Ross Firm Group 1.2 . To this end, Hydro One can advise that initial meetings were convened on the morning of April 8, 2008 with OPG, Bruce Power, the Independent Electricity System Operator ("IESO"), and the Ontario Power Authority ("OPA"). Additional meetings and discussions took place on April 9 and 10 to review status and consider whether and how this information could be provided. All parties were asked to assist in determining whether and to what extent additional information could be provided in order to be responsive to the Board's "historical information" findings. The result of these efforts is that Bruce Power has been able to provide additional information responsive to the Board's findings set out in the two bullet points described at page 5 of its April 7 Decision. For purposes of filing, Hydro One has referred to this information in the body of its supplementary responses to Pollution Probe 1 and 2.

With respect to the Board's "historical information" findings concerning Ross Firm Group 1.2. (3rd bullet point found at page 5 and 6 of the Board's April 7 Decision), Hydro One has confirmed with both of OPG and Bruce Power that neither have historical information regarding the requested individual transmission circuit data. The OPA has also confirmed that it does not have this type of information. The IESO has located

historical telemetry data for each transmission circuit dating back to 1991. This data was not collected for the purposes of capturing the statistics requested from the Board. The data set is also incomplete for the requested period of time. Notwithstanding, the information provided by the IESO is very large and can only be filed on a compact disc.

As the April 7 Decision concerns production of "generation forecast information" supplementary responses have been prepared for each of Pollution Probe Interrogatories 19(a), (d), 38, 42(a) and 47(c). Hydro One reiterates that the generation forecast filed in support of its application was prepared by the OPA. As the Board's findings concern generation forecast source information described in Interrogatories 19(a), (d), 38 and 47(c), Hydro One has been advised by OPA that requisite consents and waivers allowing it to disclose certain commercially sensitive information necessary to satisfy the April 7 Decision directions have now been provided by Bruce Power and OPG. It is Hydro One's understanding that OPA, however, is only permitted to disclose this information provided that the information is first protected under the Board's Practice Direction on Confidential Filings and Rule 10. Hydro One understands that the OPA will be filing a separate request to this effect today. In the meantime, OPA has provided Hydro One with redacted versions of the information and this has been included in the applicable supplementary responses.

Finally, with respect to the status of the environmental assessment process that has commenced in respect of the Project, Hydro One can advise the Board and parties that on April 4, 2008 the Minister of Environment issued its "Terms of Reference - Notice of Approval" pursuant to subsection 6(4) of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. The effect of this approval now means that Hydro One may proceed forward with the preparation and conduct of the Project environmental assessment. While the approval in no way authorizes the undertaking, decision making certainty with respect to the environmental assessment process is now provided.

Hydro One wishes to take this opportunity to thank parties for their efforts in this regard and in particular given the time constraints involved.

Yours very truly,

Gordon M. Nettleton
GMN:njm

c. All Interested Parties in EB-2007-0050