500 Consumers Road North York ON M2J 1P8 P.O. Box 650 Scarborough, ON M1K 5E3 Anton Kacicnik Manager, Rate Research & Design Tel 416-495-6087 Fax 416-495-6072 Email anton.kacicnik@enbridge.com



March 18, 2010

VIA EMAIL, RESS, and COURIER

Ms. Kristen Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street Suite 2700 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re.: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. – April 1, 2010 QRAM EB-2010-0048 - Enbridge Comments

I am writing on behalf of Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge") to respond to comments that have been made by parties with regard to Enbridge's April 1, 2010 Quarterly Rate Adjustment Mechanism ("QRAM") filing. Enbridge received comments from two parties, the Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA") and Canadian Manufacturers and Exporters ("CME").

The comments made by IGUA express IGUA's understanding that, in its reply submissions, Enbridge will:

- (a) Confirm that the allocation percentages used in Ex. Q2-3/T4/S8, pages 11 to 16 are derived from the volumetric allocation factors for each rate class as recorded in Ex. Q2-3/T3/S4.
- (b) Verify the allocation percentages for peaking supplies as shown at Exhibit Q2-3/T4/S8, page 14.

Enbridge's responses are provided below:

(a) Confirmed.

(b) Based on the questions raised by IGUA's advisors, Aegent Energy Advisors Inc. (Aegent), Enbridge determined that the allocation percentages on Mr. Kristen Walli 2010-03-18 Page 2

page 14 of Exhibit Q2-3, Tab 4, Schedule 8 were not calculated correctly and, hence, did not accurately reflect the deliverability allocation factor on page 1 of Exhibit Q2-3, Tab 3, Schedule 4, Line 3.1.

Consequently, Enbridge has corrected the allocation percentages on page 14 of Exhibit Q2-3, Tab 4, Schedule 8. The corrected one-page exhibit is attached to this letter.

The corrected allocation percentages do not have any impact outside of Exhibit Q2-3, Tab 4, Schedule 8, page 14 because unit rates for peaking supplies do not need to be derived for the purposes of Enbridge's April QRAM. The filing of the one-page corrected exhibit provides a complete record for the Board to approve the April QRAM as requested.

Given Enbridge's responses to IGUA's two points, neither of the parties (IGUA and CME) that put forward comments on the April QRAM filing has raised any objection to Enbridge's request for Board approval. Enbridge therefore respectfully requests that the Board approve the April QRAM as submitted.

If you have any questions in this regard, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Anton Kacicnik Manager, Rate Research and Design

Att.

cc: Mr. F. Cass, Aird & Berlis LLP All Interested Parties EB-2009-0172

Peaking Supplies Unit Rate (3) Col. 5 (¢/m³)	·	ı	ı	·	ı	·	·	ı	ı	ı	
Peaking Supplies Valuation Rate Class (2) Col. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peaking Supplies Total for Clearing Col. 3 (\$)											0
% Allocation (1) Col. 2 (%)	52.13%	45.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.71%	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.97%	100.00%
Forecast Volumes Apr 2010 - Mar 2011 (12 months volume) Col. 1 (m ³)	4,646,079,922	4,435,727,009	1,693,032	·	562,719,220	425,509,591	58,119,557	222,012,261	543,100,375	156,140,280	11,051,101,248
	System, Buy/sell, WTS, OTS										
Description	Rate 1	Rate 6	Rate 9	Rate 100	Rate 110	Rate 115	Rate 135	Rate 145	Rate 170	Rate 200	Grand Total
<u>No</u>	ť.	6	ю.	4.	5.	6.	7.	ö	o.	10.	11.

Notes: (1) Deliverability allocation factor. EB-2010-0048, Ex. Q2-3, Tab 3, Schedule 4, Page 1, Line 3.1 (2) Col. 4 = Col. 2 * (Col. 3 Line 11)
(3) Col. 5 = Col. 4 / Col. 1

Updated: 2010-03-18 EB-2010-0048 Exhibit Q4-3 Tab 4 Schedule 8 Page 14 of 16