

WRITTEN BRIEF PREPARED FOR THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD PUBLIC CONSULTATION WITH RESPECT TO FIVE NATIONS ENERGY INC. APPLICATION. AUTHOR PRIVATE CITIZEN, HAROLD GELTMAN. APRIL 7, 2010.

REFERENCE: GLOBE AND MAIL, APRIL 1, 2010 page B-7

TYPE OF INTERVENTION: LETTER-BRIEF OF COMMENT, within the 30 days from date of publication of public notice.

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O.Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M 4 P 1 E 4 CANADA
tel: 1-888-632-6273

M. Richard J. King
Counsel to the Applicant
Ogilvy Renault LLP
Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower
200 Bay Street, Suite 3800
Toronto, Ontario
M 5 J 2 Z 4 CANADA
tel: 1-416-216-2311

7 pages

Harold Geltman

INTRODUCTION

1) Harold Geltman is a private citizen, born December 7, 1943, in Montréal, Québec, Canada. Louiseville Québec, Canada, is a town of some 7400 people with the Municipal Regional County of Maskinogé (MRC) populated by some 36,000 people (approximate numbers), on the north shore of the St. Lawrence river (fleuve St. Laurent), between Montréal and Trois Rivières, on route 138. Our family has occupied our family-residence on St. Laurent, in either a residential (both tenant & proprietor) and/or commercial, (both tenant & proprietor) since 1930-1931. My informal familiarity with electricity goes back to the 1940's and 1950's during the years of the Shawinigan Water & Power Company and family friends that were employed with the company, in both blue collar and white collar positions.

2) Since 1982 or so, Harold Geltman, in the capacity of private citizen has shared some of his time in the submission of written briefs on a variety of subjects in the public and private sector to various levels of Government and organisations, with a view to giving alternative ways of defining and solving problems and issues of different agendas. This was part of my work in trying to bring improvements to the navigation of SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH and its changing passenger loads of: HUMANS, ANIMALS and ENVIRONMENTS.

3) Much of my work is conducted in the traditional and somewhat outdated mannerisms. While I appreciate and applaud some of the very important changes brought to the communications work-stations, I decided to serve as a safety-valve and not embark upon the INTERNET and affiliated equipments, at least not just yet. Nevertheless, I have tried to adapt and prepare some of my work for easy transference, should that time come about.

4) SOURCE OF BRIEF:

Harold Geltman
300-304 avenue St. Laurent
Louiseville, Québec
J 5 V 1 K 3 CANADA
tel: 1-819-228-0819
April 7, 2010

5) ADMINISTRATIVE INTRODUCTION

Within Canada, I believe that ONTARIO-QUEBEC relations are important. While both provinces have grown in different ways during the past years, we must not abandon our shared history because of divergent roots of our futures. Admittedly, the task of integrating past, present & future courses becomes difficult when each is being challenged with different expectations of intra-globalisation. When there is an opportunity to launch an open communication with the people of Ontario, I sometimes take advantage of the moment and stretch the terms of reference. I don't wish to be judged out of order, but to be ahead of the times means that "FUTURISM" is always being judged to be "out of order" according to present agendas. Hopefully, in the years to come, more and more citizens might be saying.

"NOW WE UNDERSTAND WHAT HE WAS TRYING TO TELL US SO MANY YEARS AGO"

6) I am not an expert in the ENERGY area, nor in the area of electricity pricing. I consume and pay 3 electricity bills every 8 weeks. I have answered the call to many ENERGY PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS in the past years. IS PROGRESS BEING ACHIEVED? YES & NO. It takes an enormous amount of time to bring people to an alternative mind-set. When new technological breakthroughs happen, there is so much experimentation and newly arrived anxiety in the competitive market that some important breakthroughs suffer reversals. THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT has had to fight some very lonely battles since 1970 and too many executives take their present-day job descriptions too much for granted, ignoring this past.

Harold Geltman

TRIBUTE TO THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF
EARTH DAY: 1970-2010 APRIL 22

Ballantine/Friends of the Earth Book

ORIGINAL

A Ballantine/Friends of the Earth Book

ORIGINAL 95¢

**1970's—THE LAST CHANCE FOR A
FUTURE THAT MAKES ECOLOGICAL SENSE**



The crisis of the environment cannot wait another decade for answers.

The national day of environmental concern will attempt to probe for those answers on campuses and in communities across the country.


This handbook brings together students, scientists, writers and others to focus on some of the major problems. It does not stop there — it suggests action that can be taken right now in any community.

Cover printed in USA

THE ENVIRONMENTAL HANDBOOK

PREPARED FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL TEACH-IN

APRIL 22, 1970



EDITED BY GARRETT DE BELL

7) EARTH DAY: APRIL 22, 2010, 40th ANNIVERSARY: 1970-2010

DID THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT MAKE MISTAKES IN THESE PAST 40 YEARS? Darn right we made mistakes, small and big ones. It's all there in print. Were the mistakes worth making? YES, WITHOUT A DOUBT. Would we take the same path and course if we knew then what we know now? LIVING IS LEARNING. We are just learning to get our SIGHTS IN SYNCH: FOR EXAMPLE: ENERGY HINDSIGHT: why were we so off the mark in our doomsday running out of oil predictions? Are we still making the same mistakes?

ENERGY FORESIGHT: why didn't we anticipate more of the competition energy sector setbacks, thinking that the force of innovation was sufficient enough to carry the future? are we still deluding ourselves?

ENERGY INSIGHT: How long did it take the ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT to obtain access to INSIDE DOCUMENTS and how long did it take to bring on the so many required PARLIAMENTARY & CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS. Are we still failing the people today in not being able to convene the appropriate multilateral all-energy types public hearings for how the future COULD BE?

ENERGY OVERSIGHT: Why were we so naive thinking that well-intentioned executives would serve the common good without need of independent monitoring? Are we still making similar mistakes of naiveté in the 21st century on oversight ite

Harold Geltman

HAROLD GELTMAN...AUTHOR-PRIVATE CITIZEN...ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD PUBLIC CONSULTATION. FIVE NATIONS INC. APRIL 7, 2010

7) EARTH DAY:APRIL 22,2010, 40th ANNIVERSARY: 1970-2010.

ENERGY COMPREHENSIVITY SIGHT: We failed to MAKE the right INTER-CONNECTS In 1970 we just were not able to put in working place the wisdom of COMPREHENSIVIST BUCKY FULLER. We listened to him, but we couldn't transfer his thinking. The system mistrusted "know-it-all" and we failed to provide a better image.

I am asking the WORLD-WIDE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT to provide a 40 year REVIEW and MEA CULPA=my fault STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS. Let us not fear to say where and when we were wrong. Let us not fear to ask ourselves if we are still making the same mistakes, 40 years later.

I think this should be an important EXERCISE for the WORLD-WIDE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT.

We have to learn how to better ESTIMATE, ASSESS, and WEIGH the ALTERNATIVES and recognize our PROBLEMS OF UNDER-ESTIMATION & OVER-ESTIMATION in the areas of ENERGY PROBLEM DEFINITION & ENERGY PROBLEM-SOLVING.

8) AND NOW FOR THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COIN/DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD/ARGUMENT: HOW HAS THE ENERGY INDUSTRY CHANGED IN THE PAST 40 YEARS?

Is the WORLD-WIDE ENERGY INDUSTRY EXECUTIVE LEADERSHIP prepared to join the ENVIRONMENTAL WORLD-WIDE MOVEMENT in their own STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS of 40 year MEA CULPA?

For too long, socialist and capitalist ENERGY EXECUTIVES and other "isms" have preached and practiced an ENERGY PHILOSOPHY OF:

"MEA VIRTUTE ME INVOLVO"=" I WRAP MYSELF UP IN MY VIRTUE"

WHEN THE AMERICANS with the leadership of President Eisenhower decided to build the Trans-American INTER-STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM in the 1950's, they did so to carry all kinds of vehicles. There wasn't a GENERAL MOTORS HIGHWAY, A CHRYSLER HIGHWAY, A FORD HIGHWAY, an AMERICAN MOTORS HIGHWAY and there wasn't a CADILLAC HIGHWAY and a CHEVROLET HIGHWAY, with all due respect to Dinah Shore and her magnificent song.

IN THE 21ST CENTURY NORTH AMERICA NEEDS .. MUTUALLY-SATISFYING ENERGY HIGHWAYS COMPREHENSIVIST-THINKING THAT CAN CARRY A MULTIPLE NUMBER OF ENERGY PLURALITIES. It is time to stop using the private marketplace as a means of dividing and conquering the ENERGY CONSUMER at the expense of ENERGY SECURITY and ENERGY COMMON SENSE.

9) ENERGY GROWTH & ECONOMIC GROWTH

We need better in-depth discussions on how to make these two public and private markets co-operate in the best interests of the COMMON GOOD OF SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH, the common good of NORTH AMERICA and the common good of the citizens of CANADA and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Let us agree to disagree about how we are going to improve upon the present state of affairs in the polyglot ,helter-skelter, whatever will be will be, sectoral energy business. I am asking GOVERNMENT, BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, and the ENVIRONMENTAL-ENERGY MOVEMENT to create a NEW COMPREHENSIVE PODIUM that will bring forth speakers and ideas that can CHART A BETTER FUTURE:

10) FOR EXAMPLE: THIS IS HOW NORTH AMERICAN FUTURE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND FUTURE ENERGY GROWTH SHOULD LEAD WITH THE PEOPLE & NOT AGAINST THE PEOPLE; LEAD WITH COMMON SENSE ENVIRONMENTALISM & NOT AGAINST ENVIRONMENTAL BASICS; LEAD WITH DECISIONS THAT TALK SURVIVAL HINDSIGHT, SURVIVAL FORESIGHT, SURVIVAL INSIGHT, SURVIVAL OVERSIGHT and SURVIVAL COMPREHENSIVITY SIGHT.

11) It is time to pass from VILLAINS AND HEROES. It is time to elevate our ways and means of agreeing to disagree. It is time to RE-WRITE THE RULES OF ENERGY GROWTH SURVIVAL AND ECONOMIC GROWTH SURVIVAL. It is time to offer the COMMON GOOD OF THE PEOPLE something better that rises above the centuries of inert and inane ideological disputes frozen in mistrust. We need new ways to better talk about how we disagree about OUR ENERGY FUTURES , YOUR ENERGY FUTURES, THEIR ENERGY FUTURES all being a part of the ONE AND ONLY ENERGY FUTURE OF SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH.

12) FOR EXAMPLE:

What will the FIVE NATION ELECTRICITY alternative pricing decisions do to ONTARIO, CANADIAN, NORTH AMERICAN & SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH/WORLD pricing decisions in the following energies :

ELECTRICITY, OIL, COAL, NATURAL GAS, NUCLEAR, SOLAR, WIND, WAVE, TIDAL, BIO-MASS, FUEL CELL, BATTERY, GEOTHERMAL, other energies and any kind of hybrid-combined energy alternative.

Harold Geltsman

HAROLD GELTMAN...AUTHOR-PRIVATE CITIZEN...ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD PUBLIC CONSULTATION, FIVE NATIONS INC. APRIL 7, 2010

13) We live in a World where SELF-FRACTIONALISATION has devastated the need for COMPREHENSIVITY. We need better SYSTEM PERFORMANCE from both the private sector and the public sector in the way we DEFINE OUR PROBLEMS. Allow me a quote from one of history's ethical overseers, MARGARET MEAD:

"The way Americans deal with anything that seems unmanageable...is to run it into the ground by overuse...We exaggerate, we caricature, we overemphasize and then we eliminate"...page 19

"THE ALTERNATIVE: COMMUNAL LIFE IN NEW AMERICA, William Hedgepeth and Dennis Stock. Collier-Macmillan Toronto & New York 1970.

So WAS MARGARET MEAD RIGHT in the 1970 era and is she right in the 2010 era? Is this only an American phenomenon or has it spread world-wide.

What has technological fractionalisation done to the COMMON GOOD? In the countries where children are being raised communally in day-time child-care and where seniors are being "raised" in both day-time & night-time ^{communal} senior-care, where does this leave the middle-parent generation? How much more do they have to fractionalise their lifestyles to make ends meet? How much more energy will families require to make economic ends meet? How much stress will the need for more ENERGY GROWTH create so as to make more ECONOMIC GROWTH to make ends meet? WHAT ARE THE STRESS FACTORS OF MORE ENERGY GROWTH PUSHING MORE ECONOMIC GROWTH DOING TO THE COMMON GOOD OF URBAN AND RURAL CIVIC LIFESTYLES?

14) We have to learn how to start asking new kinds of podium questions: IS INCREASED TECHNOLOGICAL FRACTIONALISATION (is there agreed definition) in the marketplace generating unsupportable fractionalisation in the FAMILY?

IS INCREASED ENERGY FRACTIONALISATION IN THE MARKETPLACE going to create unsupportable family pricing?

What I am asking is this: on the one hand we all want better energy systems but we are failing to specify what better means for whom, where and when, leaving the marketplace to sort it out.

The some 15 alternative energy systems has become like a professional sports LEAGUE leaving a set of unfair rules decide the winners and losers while the public common good is forced into accepting a winner take all elimination series.

CAN'T WE DO BETTER THAN THIS KIND OF HURTING OF THE CUSTOMER & PEOPLE? Can't we openly debate about how to disagree about making things better when talking about pricing that inevitably spreads itself through so many different ENERGY JURISDICTIONS?

Should struggling SOLAR & WIND ENERGY not receive some basic minimum pricing protection so that the fractionalized marketplace doesn't decimate the latest energy arrivals?

Every form of ENERGY went through its beginnings of birth pains with some arguable form of support and/or non-support. Today the numbers are so much bigger. ENERGY & ECONOMIC LEADERSHIP MUST HAVE THE POLITICAL LEADERSHIP SUPPORT TO ARTICULATE THE WAY ENERGY COMMON GOOD SHOULD BE: so much % in such and such supported & un-supported marketplace. so much % of the total energy pie with flexibility for change. so much % for climatology changes affecting market strength. so much % for pricing failures due to unforeseen/unexpected disasters: man-made and natural.

IT IS TIME TO BRING BETTERMENT TO THE ENERGY MARKET JUNGLE of 14 listed kinds of energies (section 12) It is time to elevate the common good in pricing, innovation, and multiple - energy servicing of the public. It is time to renovate the energy podiums with new kinds of questions, hitherto unwanted. LET THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT SHARE THE ECONOMIC CLUB PODIUMS AT THE NOON-HOUR LUNCHEONS WITH SOME NEW THOUGHTS ABOUT THE FUTURE OF ENERGY GROWTH & ECONOMIC GROWTH.

15) We have to start asking and debating whether our energy deficits and debts are creating unsupportable economic deficits and debts and vice versa. Which energy lifestyle has to be "fired" and which economic lifestyles are costing us horrible energy debts and why can't we learn to design better lifestyles with less energy and economic deficits and debts? THE ECONOMIC CLUB PODIUMS OF EARTH-DAY april 22, 2010 SHOULD LINK THE ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENTAL TEACH-INS OF 1970 to our future TEACH-IN NEEDS. We have to design better system performance with better podium-sharing of ideas that we don't necessarily agree with.

HAROLD GELTMAN .AUTHOR-PRIVATE CITIZEN..ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD PUBLIC CONSULTATION, FIVE NATIONS INC. APRIL 7,2010.

Harold Geltman

16) THE FUTURE OF ON-SITE RESIDENTIAL/INDUSTRIAL ENERGY PRODUCTION

Times do change for the better. The birth of the off-the rack retail clothing industry freed millions of women from the need and requirement to produce home-made clothing. After 100 years of the retail trade,another round of change might be coming. For example, home-made clothing with new home-made clothing machinery might enable women to make their own clothing in a shorter period of time than that required for store retail-shopping. The day may come when a man's suit will be cut to measurement and sewn within a home-made scene within one hour with tailor-made accuracy. Home-made shoes might some day be made with 30 minutes like so many instant kitchen food products. The clothing industry has succeeded in providing for a 6.8 billion population and the clothing industry will now ,in some future time, liberate hundreds of billions of dollars to provide for badly needed housing for the world. Just like women have collected their skills in home-made cooking with the latest of time-saving kitchen instrumentation, expect the same being applied to men's,women's and children's clothing. As soon as the technological home-made machinery is miniaturized and adapted for home-use,society will once upon a time change its story line.

SO WHY CAN'T WE DO FOR ENERGY WHAT WE HAVE DONE FOR FOOD?
 SO WHY CAN'T WE RETAIL ENERGY LIKE WE RETAILED CLOTHING?
 SO WHY CAN'T WE MAKE HOME-PRODUCTION OF ENERGY A SEASONAL EQUIVALENT TO THE ART OF FLOWER & VEGETABLE GARDENING?
 SO WHY CAN'T WE DO TO THE TRANSMISSION OF ENERGY WHAT CELL PHONES HAVE DONE TO LAND LINE PHONES? WHY CAN'T WE HAVE THE BEST OF BOTH WORLDS?
 SO WHY CAN'T SOLAR HEATING FOR HOT WATER HEATERS BECOME PART OF THE NEW COMMON GOOD FOR DOMESTIC HOUSEHOLDS?
 SO WHY CAN'T WE LET INVENTION INVENT HOME MADE WIND ENERGY ADAPTERS WHERE THE WIND IS JUST WAITING TO BETTER SERVE THE PEOPLE?
 SO WHY CAN'T WE PREPARE FOR MUCH BETTER AND CHEAPER BATTERY BREAKTHROUGHS?

17) EVERY PROBLEM of technical/technological nature that exists in every household and every industry in 2010 comes from our own self-invented capacities of PROBLEM INVENTION/PROBLEM CREATION. For every problem that we have invented for ourselves, we can invent ourselves out of the problem with a new invention. All that we need is a better plurality of working togetherness that has us listening to people and ideas that we aren't use to listening to. CHANGE THE BUSINESS LUNCHEON LIFESTYLE. CHANGE THE BUSINESS & LABOUR PODIUMS LIFESTYLES.

18) By bringing home-made energy into an affordable pricing mechanism,we will liberate transportable energies for some greater industrial projet utilisation. Shouldn't this be one of our market objectives? I am asking the environmental energy constituency and industrial-energy leadership to come forth with some shared market-sector energy %. How much solar and wind energy could we expect for home & industrial areas by which years with what kind of along the way fiscal/monetary support?

19) Suppose ONTARIO,should it go the route of domestic home-made energy, was to save the kind of moneys that would allow it to build an ENERGY WATERWAY from the GREAT LAKES to JAMES BAY. WHY NOT? Why can't Canadians find and build its equivalents to the TRANSCONTINENTAL RAILWAY SYSTEM & TRANSCONTINENTAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM? Are we not intelligent and mature enough to build our own GREAT PROJECTS? in the 21 st century?

20) There are many more such ideas,just waiting for CANADIANS to leave their small-mindedness behind and embrace the future of GREATNESS. When holding a public consultation on electricity pricing,we should be asking: aren't there other ways to provide the electricity with lower costs so that we could utilise the saved moneys for these other projects in waiting? SINGLE-MINDED ENERGY POLICIES must be replaced with a comprehensive ENERGY ALTERNATIVE VISION that gives rise to many possible options. Let's ask the people:how do you want to arrive for 2015,for 2020 for 2025: here are some of the choices with the cost figures for each.

21) If Canadians continue to waste their energies with wasteful personality disputes, the skills of Canadians will wither away and so will the country. We can find the moral equivalent to TEAM CANADA OLYMPIC SKILLS in the ECONOMIC TEAM CANADA approach,if we make some changes to our ECONOMIC PODIUMS and BUSINESS LEADERSHIP LIFESTYLES.

INVENT THE WAYS AND MEANS OF TALKING ABOUT LIFESTYLE DEBTS AND LIFESTYLE DEFICITS AT WORK,AT HOME and IN LEISURE AND MAKE COMPARISONS.Of course, it's going to be upsetting,but instead of torturing ourselves with endless debates about unfair hockey bodychecking, spend a little more time learning the skills of GREAT IDEATIONAL BACKCHECKING AND FORECHECKING and then maybe you'll find the making of LIFESTYLE CHANGES as easy as changing lines: be it in sports,sales,poetry, or whatever.

Harold Geltman

22) THE FUTURE OF THE FUTURE (John McHale Ballantine Books september 1971 N.Y.)

page 319 chapter/section VI TOWARD A PLANETARY SOCIETY

The meeting of the G-20 and G-8 political leaderships in Ontario during the summer of 2010 will give preliminary evidence of this reluctant need to start thinking of this "PLANETARY SOCIETY". More and more things and decisions are bumping into each other. Lifestyles are no longer foreign and remote from each other. Said McHale in 1969-1971: page 319-320

" We may define life style, at its simplest, as the form of living ---the interweaving of activities, spaces, apparel, equipment, and their communicative aspects which characterize a way of life..... Ways of earning a living, occupational status, economic and geographic location, and other categories are no longer constraining factors on the style of life. Occupation, location, environmental, and social milieu may be changed many times in a lifetime"

Well let's ask the question:

WHAT IS THE FUTURE OF THE VARIOUS ENERGY FUTURES WHEN PUT TO THE TEST OF CONSTRAINING FACTORS UPON ECONOMIC LIFESTYLES?

How much different will the future of ONTARIO PLANETARY SOCIETY be in 2015 from its PAN AMERICAN competitors because of the presence and/or absence of ENERGY CONSTRAINTS discussed at the G-20 meeting in 2010 ?

WELL LET'S ASK THE QUESTION:

WHAT IS THE FUTURE OF VARIOUS CANADIAN ENERGY FUTURES WHEN PUT TO THE TEST OF CONSTRAINING FACTORS OF THE LIFESTYLES OF THE G-20 MEMBERSHIP AND EACH OF THEIR EXPECTATIONS IN LINKING ENERGY GROWTH TO ECONOMIC GROWTH IN THE PLANETARY SOCIETY OF 2010-2012?

Political leadership has to demonstrate greater proof that they are on top of their WORLD AGENDA. The pricing evidence of various energies and currencies at the time of the G-20 meeting is an opportunity to change the economic podium routine.

Sooner or later we are going to have to come forth with specifics on what the energy-economic growth models should be for whom, where & when without being punished by the impasse of the let the market decide versus the let the planners decide feud rhetoric.

Give the people proof that the political leaderships at least have given themselves something TO AGREE TO DISAGREE FOR CONTINUING DISCUSSIONS. If the leaderships can't do this, then what can be expected from the people?

23) Denis Gabor began his INVENTING THE FUTURE book (Penguin/Pelican 1963) with the chapter heading: "THE TRILEMMA" in which he wrote:

"Our civilisation faces three great dangers. The first is destruction by nuclear war, the second is being crippled by over-population, and the third is the AGE OF LEISURE". page 9

Well april 2010 will again display the political talent in how the politicians handle the nuclear war issue. The over-population is the largest invisible guest in every World meeting and the Age of Leisure is a non-stop series of technological inventions that is challenging the definition of the COMMON GOOD at every step.

However, all three sectors of Gabor's TRILEMMA" are linked by the need to discuss and find OPERATING MEANING TO THE PREACHING AND PRACTICE OF:

DETERRENCE and DETERRENT

QUESTIONS: DOES ONTARIO/CANADIAN/NORTH AMERICA/WORLD need AN ENERGY DETERRENT? DOES ONTARIO/CANADA/NORTH AMERICA/WORLD need AN ECONOMIC DETERRENT?

Can the PLANETARY SOCIETY of the 21st century and countries of SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH work without DETERRENTS TO ENERGY GROWTH & DETERRENTS TO ECONOMIC GROWTH? Can we still navigate SPACESHIP PLANET EARTH with unlimited ENERGY GROWTH & UNLIMITED ECONOMIC GROWTH for all, just for some and then when and where?

What happens when the piece of the World puzzle, DETERRENT, is missing in the areas of: a) nuclear weapons, b) over-population, c) LEISURE ACTIVITIES, d) ENERGY GROWTH, e) ECONOMIC GROWTH?

How do modern day societies reconcile the needs of simultaneous ACTS OF DETERRENCE AND ACTS OF STIMULATION?

Do energy ADDICTS have the same rights as the energy - STARVING? How should ENERGY-ADDICTS BE PRICED COMPARED TO THE ENERGY-STARVING?

WHAT IS TO BE THE ENERGY DETERRENT TO ENERGY ADDICTION?

Harold Geltman

24) CONCLUSION

I hope that this intervention provides some substantive thinking for your ENERGY JOURNEYS, 2010-2015. Why not convene a parallel PAN-AMERICAN ENERGY-ECONOMIC GROWTH CONFERENCE for 2015 in the multiple venue sites of Ontario?

CANADA is a very fortunate country to have so many opportunities in the ways and means of looking at the futures. While this english-speaking brief might be seen as a discouragement for french-speaking readers, Canadians should once and for all come to the realisation that no amount of change is going to resolve the differences of opinion over language and that there is only one alternative and that is to build an atmosphere of linguistic co-operation in translation, shared podiums, alternating priorities of first and second. To make the linguistic issue the reason for every disagreement, will only hurt everybody and help nobody. I balance my physical presence with my listening presence and my speaking presence and reading presence in each language amongst the many different audiences. If I am not trusted to want to balance out the time allotted to the different constituencies, no act of legislation will ever make it right. FRENCH deserves to be protected in Canada but not at the expense of abandoning english right to protection in french areas of Canada, including Québec. The 250 year dilemma of linguistic feuds of Canada has produced great alternative ways of handling the language issue. Our weakness is our failure to recognize breakthroughs and great efforts made by both sides. EVERY DAY CANADA LIVES GREAT LINGUISTIC TRIUMPHS. SAYSO.

25) HAROLD GELTMAN remercie toutes les francophones d'ONTARIO QUI ONT DEMONTRÉ LA VALEUR DE FAIRE LA LUTTE POUR L'UTILISATION DU FRANCAIS et je remercie TOUTES LES ANGLOPHONES QUI ONT FAITES UN EFFORT ENORME POUR UTILISER LE FRANCAIS. La langue anglaise en Ontario n'a pas souffert avec l'utilisation du français et l'ONTARIO est plus fort pour avoir tranché ces barrières de communications.

Les énergies linguistiques méritent une JOURNEE CANADIENNE OU LE TRILEMME LINGUISTIQUE DE NOUVEAU BRUNSWICK, QUEBEC ET ONTARIO est reuni dans une DEMONSTRATION DE BONNE FOI LINGUISTIQUE FRANCOPHONE/ANGLOPHONE. Si les leaders ne font pas l'exemple, POSITIVEMENT, le peuple va tomber dans les NEGATIVEMENTS.

Les énergies économiques de l'ONTARIO n'a pas de prix de présence, mais le prix d'absence a des rebondissement. Cet mémoire a essayé de rendre une nouvelle présence des podiums économique et énergétique et de démontrer les besoins d'inventer des futurs d'énergies pluralistes inclus l'auto-production d'énergie au domicile résidentiel et industriel, sans causer la panique aux formes traditionnels de l'hydro-électricité.

UN HYDRO-QUEBEC SOLAIRE ET UN HYDRO-QUEBEC EOLIENNE ne nuira pas à un ONTARIO HYDRO SOLAIRE et UN ONTARIO HYDRO EOLIENNE. LES ACTES INTER-PLANÉTAIRES DE COMMUNICATIONS CLIMATOLOGIQUES SONT EN ATTENTE QUE LES COMPAGNIES D'ELECTRICITES EN ONTARIO SE PLURALISES ET OUVRENT SES PORTES AUX ENERGIES ALTERNATIFS SANS DISCRIMINATION.

Si les leaders d'énergie d'Ontario n'ont pas la volonté de faire se changement, je vous encourage de souhaiter les bonnes chances à ceux qui sont déjà sur la route des changements. Evidemment il va avoir des erreurs et des fautes, mais c'est pour cela que je demande les MEA CULPAS du passé. Est-ce que c'est possible d'envisager une CO-OPERATION QUEBEC ONTARIO DANS LES ENERGIES ALTERNATIFS POUR EVITER DES ERREURS COUTEUSES? Avons-nous formulé le PODIUM ENSEMBLE AVEC HYDRO-QUEBEC, ONTARIO HYDRO et les énergies alternatifs à l'heure du midi aux clubs économiques de MONTREAL ET TORONTO? Pensez-y avant que le temps vous dépasse.

LA TRANSMISSION DE L'ELECTRICITE EN LIGNE DOIVENT CEDER UN PEU DE LA PLACE AU FABRICATION DE L'ELECTRICITE SUR PLACE-SUR LES SITES RESIDENTIEL ET INDUSTRIELLE SANS CHICANE, SANS DE JALOUSIE ET SANS CRAINDRE DE PERTE DE VALEUR. Les surplus d'électricité sont des cadeaux du futur. Vos décisions sont en attentes. Merci, pour vos esprits d'accommodements à des novels inventions très raisonnables.

26) COMPUTER INSTRUCTIONS D'ORDINATEURS

- A) for Harold Geltman's files: wherever, whenever, however, whatever.
- B) place all typing, spelling, grammatical, digital errors in the perspective of some 50 world languages fighting for survival amongst the many abbreviated technological languages making their appearances.
- C) this 7 page written brief is self-protected from theft, loss, shredding, mail denial, frames, fraud, forgery, identity theft, change of name and/or change of content, blackmail, extortion, vandalism, accusations of author being brain-washed and/or mind-controlled, plagiarism and any similar act
- D) anticipate & intercept errors made for not having read documents.
- E) anticipate & intercept possible mental health attacks during the G-20 meetings as a means of trying to remove Harold Geltman from the place of home-influence upon WORLD ISSUES OF ENERGY & ECONOMIC MATTERS.

HG