

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chair, GAIL REGAN President, Cara Holdings Ltd.

President, PATRICIA ADAMS
MAX ALLEN
Producer, IDEAS, CBC Radio
GEORGE CONNELL
President Emeritus, University of Toronto
ANDREW COYNE
Journalist
IAN GRAY
President, St. Lawrence Starch Co.

ara Holdings Ltd.

Secretary Treasurer, ANNETTA TURNER
DAVID NOWLAN
Professor Emeritus, Economics, University of Toronto
CLIFFORD ORWIN
Professor of Political Science, University of Toronto
ANDREW ROMAN
Barrister & Solicitor, Miller Thomson
MARGARET WENTE
Columnist, Globe and Mail

April 23, 2008

VIA EMAIL & VIA COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge St, Suite 2701 Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Board File No. EB-2007-0050 Hydro One Networks Inc. Leave to Construct Application Energy Probe Interrogatories to Pollution Probe

Attached please find two hard copies of the Interrogatories of Energy Probe Research Foundation (Energy Probe) to Pollution Probe pursuant to Procedural Order No. 8, issued by the Board on April 7, 2008. An electronic version of this communication will be forwarded in PDF format.

Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

David S. MacIntosh

Case Manager

cc: Basil Alexander, Klippensteins LLP (By email)

Glen MacDonald, Hydro One Networks Inc. (By email)

Gordon Nettleton, Osler, Hoskin and Harcourt LLP (By email)

Peter Faye, Counsel to Energy Probe (By email)

Ontario Energy Board

IN THE MATTER OF *the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*; S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B) ("the Act");

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Hydro One Networks Inc. pursuant to section 92 of the Act, for an Order or Orders granting leave to construct a transmission reinforcement project between the Bruce Power Facility and Milton Switching Station, all in the Province of Ontario.

ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORIES TO

POLLUTION PROBE

April 23, 2008

HYDRO ONE NETWORKS INC. LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT EB-2007-0050

ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory #1

Ref: Evidence of the Robert M. Fagan and Peter J. Lanzalotta, Prepared on Behalf of Pollution Probe, p. 4 of 55

In the section of the evidence entitled Section II: Summary of Evidence, at paragraph 1 c., the following conclusions are presented:

Use of series compensation and generation rejection will instead allow for the most economic utilization of the existing transmission system. In contrast, if the proposed new line was approved, the system's technical capabilities would be increased so that there would be significant capacity that would only be used rarely. In addition, there is no reliability or economic reason to operate the transmission system at levels so much lower than what its technical capacities would be (unlike if series compensation and generation rejection were used instead).

- (a) Have Mr. Fagan and Mr. Lanzalotta turned their minds to a question that is of great concern to Energy Probe in this matter: What is the advantage to Hydro One to build excessive transmission capacity?
- (b) Similarly, have Mr. Fagan and Mr. Lanzalotta turned their minds to another question that is of great concern to Energy Probe in this matter: What is the advantage to the Ontario Power Authority to direct Hydro One to build excessive transmission capacity?