

**Board Staff Interrogatories on Evidence
of North Rosedale Ratepayers Association
Leave to Construct
Toronto Midtown Transmission Reinforcement Project
EB-2009-0425**

Note: all references are to the Evidence of the North Rosedale Ratepayers Association dated April 26, 2010

Interrogatory #1

Reference:

Evidence of the North Rosedale Ratepayers Association dated April 26, 2010

- 1) Page 1, 2nd paragraph, 1st line
- 2) Page 1, second paragraph, 5th line

Preamble:

The evidence at reference 1) indicates that “The Hybrid Solution would result in substantially lower costs and a much shorter construction schedule ...” and reference 2 indicates that “... a detailed review and consideration of the route and construction methods proposed by Hydro One indicate...”

Question/Request:

- a) Please provide for each of the seven sections identified by you the difference between your proposal and that of Hydro One’s in regard to:
 - a. Route
 - b. Construction method
 - c. Cost

Interrogatory #2

Reference

- 1) Hydro One response to Interrogatory #11 (k)

- a) Please provide a table similar to the one provided by Hydro One in reference 1 with the additional column for the costs estimated for your Hybrid option.

- End of document -