

REPLY TO:

London Office One London Place 255 Queens Ave., 11th Floor London, ON N6A 5R8 T (519) 672-9330 F (519) 672-5960 Sarnia Office 411A – 265 North Front St Sarnia, ON N7T 7X1 T (519) 344-2020 F (519) 672-5960

lawyers@cohenhighley.com www.cohenhighley.com

Harris W. Cohen (1946 - 2000)

Frank A. Highley

Paul G. Vogel** J. Brian Phillips

Paul R. Steacy

Russell M. Raikes

Bradley W. Stone

Joseph J. M. Hoffer

Angelo C. D'Ascanio Iain D. D. Sneddon

Lianne J. Armstrong

Jennifer J. Quick Stephanie Montgomery John D. Goudy

Lisa M. Walters

R. Tvler Hortie

Lindsay N. Lake

Sarah C. Low

Kristin A. Lev

Alexandra Rosu

Paul J. Hendrik x

Gerald H. L. Fridman Q.C., F.R.S.C.

Gordon B. Carmichael

** Certified by the Law

Society as a specialist in Civil Litigation.

John W. Makins

Counsel

LucyLee

Vicki J. Edgar

May 14, 2010

FILED VIA RESS

Ontario Energy Board PO Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attn: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Madam Secretary:

RE: EB-2010-0023 - Application by Hydro One Networks Inc. (the "Applicant") for Authority to Expropriate Interest in Certain Lands Ms. Catherine Gale Walford – Issues List Submissions

We are solicitors for Ms. Catherine Gale Walford who is the property owner of Part Lot 8, Concession 5 Erin designated as Part 1 Plan 61R11059; Erin being Part of PIN 71163-0064 (LT). Ms. Walford's property will be directly affected by the Applicant's proposed Bruce to Milton Transmission Reinforcement Project (the **"Project"**). Further to the Board's Procedural Order No. 1 dated May 4, 2010, we are writing to provide submissions with respect to the list of issues for this proceeding.

Ms. Walford requests that the following issues be considered by the Board in this proceeding:

- 1. Is the expropriation of an interest in lands proposed by the Applicant in the public interest?
- 2. What should be the nature, scope and duration of the property interest, if any, to be acquired by the Applicant?
- 3. What should be the specific location and dimensions of the lands in, over and through which the Applicant would acquire a property interest if granted by the Board?

The contents of this correspondence may include information that is subject to client/solicitor privilege and/or to provisions under PIPEDA. Any and all rights to the client/solicitor priviledge are expressly claimed and not waived. If you have received this correspondence in error, we would ask that you please destroy any and all contents and notify us immediately by telephone (519) 672-9330 of the error.

- 4. What construction methodology and timing and impact mitigation measures should be employed by the Applicant during the pre-construction and construction phases of the Project?
- 5. What are likely to be the impacts of construction of the Project facilities on the landowner and her use of her lands (including but not limited to residential, agricultural and development uses)?
- 6. What, if any, temporary land rights are required by the Applicant for the Project?
- 7. What should be the nature and configuration of the project facilities to be installed on the lands in which the Applicant proposes to acquire an interest?
- 8. What are the reclamation and impact mitigation measures to be carried out by the Application following construction of the Project facilities?
- 9. What are the operational activities proposed by the Applicant to take place on area(s) over and in which the Applicant seeks to acquire a property interest?
- 10. What are likely to be the impacts of the operation of the Project facilities on the landowner and her use of her lands (including but not limited to residential, agricultural and development uses)?
- 11. What impact mitigation measures should be employed by the Applicant during the operational phase of the Project?
- 12. What monitoring and reporting obligations should be imposed on the Applicant during the pre-construction, construction and operational phases of the Project?
- 13. What is the required method and timing of abandonment of the Project facilities?
- 14. What terms and conditions should the Board include in any order granted to the Applicant in this proceeding?

Each of the issues proposed by Ms. Walford falls within the Board's jurisdiction under Section 99 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act* and its authority to impose such conditions as it considers proper under Section 23 of the Act. While the proposed issues have been stated in general terms, they have been designed so as to encompass the property-specific concerns of Ms. Walford regarding the land rights sought to be acquired by the Applicant and the precise nature and impact of the Project as it is to be carried out on her property. It is not the intention of Ms. Walford to revisit in this proceeding issues that have been addressed generally in the Environmental Assessment process or before the Board in the EB-2007-0050 proceeding.

We trust this is satisfactory and look forward to participating in the Board's Issues Day Hearing on June 10.

Yours very truly, COHEN HIGHLEY LLP

pha Joudy

John D. Goudy email: goudy@cohenhighley.com

cc: Parties to EB-2010-0023 by email notification