

May 14, 2010

Ontario Energy Board  
PO Box 2319  
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Kirsten Walli

VIA EMAIL (boardsec@oeb.gov.on.ca)

Hydro One Networks Inc.  
483 Bay Street  
8 th Floor, South Tower  
Toronto, ON M5G 2P5

Attention: Ms. Anne-Marie Reilly

VIA EMAIL (regulatory@hydroone.com )

Osler, Hoskin and Harcourt LLP  
100 King Street West  
1 First Canadian Place  
Suite 6100, P. O. Box 50  
Toronto, ON M5X 1B8

VIA EMAIL (gnettleton@osler.com)

Attention: Gordon M. Nettleton

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: Board file number EB-2010-0023 – Issues List

Pursuant to procedural order #1 in this matter please find our proposed issues list for the upcoming issues day hearing:

1. Should authority to expropriate be granted to the Applicant while significant regulatory approvals forming conditions precedent to the EB-2007-0050 Order, dated September 15, 2008, are still outstanding?
2. Should authority to expropriate be granted prior to all affected landowners receiving voluntary acquisition offers to purchase the interest in land required by the Applicant for the project?

3. Properties noted in our intervenors list as;

- 16. Magwood, James in Trust,
- 17. Magwood, Andrew in Trust,
- 18. Magwood, Orlando & Gloria, and;
- 19. 1063755 Ontario Inc. (c/o James Magwood),

are operated as a single property for the purposes of carrying on agri-business. The group of properties make up over 1 kilometer of the proposed line.

The prejudice suffered by the above-noted properties, their owners and the local economy should be considered when weighing whether granting the Application for authority to expropriate is in the 'public interest'.

4. The properties noted in our intervenors list as;

- 32. Wilson, Thomas/Barbara McLean, and;
- 33. Wilson, Thomas Norman /Barbara Jeanette McLean,

form the hub of a geo-social network and represent the possibilities for farm estates in the area. The prejudice suffered by the above-noted properties, their owners, local real estate prices and the geo-social community should be considered when weighing whether granting the Application for authority to expropriate is in the 'public interest'.

We provide the foregoing as submissions on behalf of the Ross Firm Professional Corporation Group of Intervenor for the purposes of the June 10, 2010 issues day.

Yours very truly,

the ross firm

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Per:



Quinn M. Ross

qmross@rossfirm.com  
QMR/tbm

x211

