



By electronic filing and by e-mail

May 28, 2010

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli,

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("EGD") MDV Proposal

Board File No.: EB-2008-0106

Our File No.: 339583-000009

Enclosed please find the Interrogatories of Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME") to EGD.

Please contact me if you require any further information.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'VJ DeRose', is written over the closing 'Yours very truly,'.

Vincent J. DeRose

\slc
enclosure

c. All Interested Parties
Paul Clipsham (CME)

OTT01\4058950\1

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
Lawyers • Patent & Trade-mark Agents
World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street, Suite 1100
Ottawa ON K1P 1J9
tel.: (613) 237-5160 fax: (613) 230-8842
www.blgcanada.com

VINCENT J. DEROSE
direct tel.: (613) 787-3589
e-mail: vderose@blgcanada.com

Calgary • Montréal • Ottawa • Toronto • Vancouver

IN THE MATTER OF a proceeding initiated by the Ontario Energy Board to determine methodologies for commodity pricing, load balancing and cost allocation for natural gas distributors.

**Interrogatories of
Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME") to
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("EGD")**

Economics of MDV Re-Establishment

Ref: page 6 and 10 of 13, and Board Staff Interrogatory No. 1

Questions

1. Board Staff Interrogatory No. 1 asks whether EGD prepared a cost benefit and/or a NPV analysis to support the MDV proposal, and if so, that EGD produce the analysis. If EGD did not prepare a cost benefit and/or a NPV analysis to support the MDV proposal, then please explain why such an analysis was not undertaken.
2. Please produce reports or power point presentations addressing the changes to the MDV re-establishment, including the estimated project costs and project timing, presented to EGD's senior management.

Estimated Project Costs and Cost Allocation

Ref: page 6 of 13, and Board Staff Interrogatory No. 4

Questions

3. EGD has confirmed that in keeping with the Board's Order it has created a deferral account called the 2010 Mean Daily Volume Mechanism DVA ("2010 MDVMDA"). CME understands that the 2010 MDVMDA was created to capture the costs of implementing MDV changes, and that the final amount will be brought forward for clearing in a future proceeding. In this regard:
 - (a) Will the 2010 MDVMDA capture only costs incurred in 2010? If not, why not?
 - (b) If the answer to question (a) is yes, does EGD intend to apply to the Board for a 2011 MDVMDA? If so, when?
4. Board Staff Interrogatory No. 4 seeks clarification on the method being proposed for the allocation of the development costs for the MDV proposal. In responding to Board Staff Interrogatory No. 4, please confirm that the proposed cost allocation methodology will apply to all costs captured in the 2010 MDVMDA.