## VIA COURIER AND EMAIL

June 23, 2010
Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4
Dear Ms. Walli:
Re: EB-2010-0186 (July 1, 2010 QRAM Application)
I am writing to file corrected evidence in respect of the July 1, 2010 QRAM Application filed on June 11, 2010 by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge").

Responding to Board Staff questions it came to our attention that there was an error in a calculation at Ex. Q3-3, Tab 1, Schedule 3 related to the Gas in Inventory Re-valuation, which is a component of the Purchased Gas Variance Account ("PGVA"). In particular, the unit rate difference related to the Reference Price for the April to June 2010 period should have been a credit amount, rather than a debit amount (the absolute difference number was correct, but it was mistakenly entered as a debit amount). As a result, the total minus forecast for the Gas in Inventory Re-valuation should be $\$ 46,914,200$, rather than $\$ 52,629,900$ as filed.

The correction to Gas in Inventory Re-valuation only impacts upon the derivation of the commodity rider, and not to the derivation of transportation and load balancing riders. As a result, it is only the Rider $C$ unit rates related to the Sales Service that must be changed (the unit rates related to Western Transportation and Ontario Transportation Service are unchanged).

The corrected exhibits included with this letter only impact upon system gas customers (Sales Service customers). The corrected Sales Service rider unit rate for residential customers on system gas is a credit of 1.0379 cents $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ as compared to a credit of 0.9181 cents $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ that was set out in the Application. There is no impact on non system gas customers.

Attached are the corrected Exhibit pages, as well as, updated Customer Notices.
As set out in the Application, Enbridge requests that the Board issue an order approving the applied-for rate adjustments, or modifying them as required, effective July 1, 2010. Enbridge requests that such an order be issued on or before June 28, 2010.

Enbridge recognizes that this is a short time to consider the corrected evidence, but points out that no party indicated any objection to the relief sought in Enbridge's Application and that the impact of the corrected evidence is contained to the Sales Service rider and results in an increased credit to system gas customers. In the circumstances, Enbridge respectfully requests that the Board issue the requested order in time to allow Enbridge's new rates to be in place for the first billing cycle in July 2010.

If you have any questions about this, please contact us.
Yours truly,


Anton Kacicnik
Manager, Rate Research and Design
Encl.

cc: Mr. Fred Cass, Aird \& Berlis LLP<br>All Interested Parties EB-2009-0172

- RP-2003-0203: The QRAM process was modified, under Issue 15.11 in the Settlement Proposal dated June 17, 2004, Exhibit N1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pp. 56-58 of 59. The Board approved the entire Settlement Proposal on June 16, 2003; see transcript volume 1, paragraphs. 32 to 39.
- EB-2008-0106: The QRAM process was modified in the Board's Decision dated September 21, 2009 at pages 5, 16 and 22.

3. The particulars of the QRAM process are described, for ease of reference, in Appendix A to this Application. Pursuant to the Board's direction, the "Regulatory Framework" has further been modified to include procedures for processing cost claims and awards, if any.

## Utility Price and Customer Impacts

4. Enbridge's utility price during the second quarter of Test Year 2010 was $\$ 255.364 / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ( $\$ 6.775 / \mathrm{GJ} @ 37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ). Enbridge has recalculated the utility price for the third quarter of Test Year 2010 using the prescribed methodology, reflecting a lower commodity cost. The recalculated utility price is $\$ 218.961 / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(\$ 5.810 / \mathrm{GJ} @ 37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$.
5. The resultant rates would decrease the total bill for a typical residential customer on system gas by $\$ 116$ or $9.3 \%$ (approx.) annually and, for a typical residential customer on direct purchase, would increase the total bill by $\$ 3$ or $0.4 \%$ (approx.) annually.

## PGVA

6. The new PGVA rider methodology adopted by the Company in its January 1, 2010 QRAM filing allows it to make adjustments through rate riders for variances in commodity, transportation and load balancing costs for all bundled customers. The Company will implement the new PGVA clearing methodology into billing as part of this QRAM application.
7. Effective from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011 the Rider C unit rate for residential customer's on sales service is $(1.0379) \$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, for Western T-service it is $0.0494 \Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and for Ontario T-service it is $0.0470 ~ \$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

The impact of the price changes discussed above on a typical residential customer on sales service (system gas) is an annualized decrease of approximately $9.3 \%$, or $\$ 116$ on an annual bill of $\$ 1,240$. On a T-service basis (total bill excluding commodity charges), a typical residential customers will see an increase of $0.4 \%$ or \$3 annually.

## PGVA Clearing

8. Effective January 1, 2010, Enbridge adopted its new PGVA clearing methodology as approved by the Board in the EB-2008-0106 QRAM generic proceeding. Through the new methodology, Enbridge identifies components of its PGVA that are attributable to commodity, transportation and load balancing costs. Based on this breakdown, individual riders are determined and applied (where applicable) to Sales, Western T-service and Ontario T-service customers. The PGVA balances attributable to commodity, transportation and load balancing for the July 1, 2010 QRAM can be found at Exhibit Q3-3, Tab 1, Schedule 2. Exhibit Q3-3, Tab 4, Schedule 8, pages 1-16 depicts the schedules supporting the derivation of each of the Rider C unit rates for commodity, transportation and load balancing.
9. Effective July 1, 2010, the Company will implement the new PGVA clearing methodology into billing for all customers. The changes will result in all customers having a cost adjustments line item on their monthly bills. Depending on the type of service the applicable Sales service, Western T-service or Ontario T-service Rider C unit rate will be applied to their monthly consumption. Effective from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011 the Rider C unit rate for residential customer's on sales service is (1.0379) $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, for Western T-service it is $0.0494 ~ \Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and for Ontario T-service it is 0.0470 \$ $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
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The following adjustment is applicable to all gas sold or delivered during the period of July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.

| Rate Class | Sales Service <br> $\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Western <br> Transportation Service <br> $\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Ontario <br> Transportation Service <br> $\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate 1 | $(1.0379)$ | 0.0494 | 0.0470 |
| Rate 6 | $(1.0418)$ | 0.0466 | 0.0442 |
| Rate 9 | $(1.6839)$ | 0.0029 | 0.0005 |
| Rate 100 | $(1.0418)$ | 0.0466 | 0.0442 |
| Rate 110 | $(1.5719)$ | 0.0106 | 0.0082 |
| Rate 115 | $(1.5832)$ | 0.0053 | 0.0029 |
| Rate 135 | $(1.6910)$ | 0.0024 | 0.0000 |
| Rate 145 | $(1.2589)$ | 0.0205 | 0.0181 |
| Rate 170 | $(1.4875)$ | 0.0125 | 0.0101 |
| Rate 200 | $(1.0468)$ | 0.0360 | 0.0336 |


| EFFECTIVE DATE: | IMPLEMENTATION DATE: | BOARD ORDER: | REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: | Page 1 of 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| July 1, 2010 | July 1, 2010 | EB-2010-0186 | April 1,2010 | Handbook 54 |

ENBRIDGE
RIDR: $\quad \mathbf{C}$

| Rate Class |  | Sales Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Western <br> Transportation Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | Ontario Transportation Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate 1 | Commodity | (1.0873) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0470}$ | $\underline{0.0470}$ | $\underline{0.0470}$ |
|  | Total | (1.0379) | 0.0494 | 0.0470 |
| Rate 6 | Commodity | (1.0883) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0442}$ | $\underline{0.0442}$ | $\underline{0.0442}$ |
|  | Total | (1.0418) | 0.0466 | 0.0442 |
| Rate 9 | Commodity | (1.6869) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0005}$ | $\underline{0.0005}$ | $\underline{0.0005}$ |
|  | Total | (1.6839) | 0.0029 | 0.0005 |
| Rate 100 | Commodity | (1.0883) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0442}$ | $\underline{0.0442}$ | $\underline{0.0442}$ |
|  | Total | (1.0418) | 0.0466 | 0.0442 |
| Rate 110 | Commodity | (1.5826) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0082}$ | $\underline{0.0082}$ | $\underline{0.0082}$ |
|  | Total | (1.5719) | 0.0106 | 0.0082 |
| Rate 115 | Commodity | (1.5885) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0029}$ | $\underline{0.0029}$ | $\underline{0.0029}$ |
|  | Total | (1.5832) | 0.0053 | 0.0029 |
| Rate 135 | Commodity | (1.6934) |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | 0.0000 | $\underline{0.0000}$ | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | (1.6910) | 0.0024 | 0.0000 |


| EFFECTIVE DATE: | IMPLEMENTATION DATE: | BOARD ORDER: | REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: | Page 2 of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| July 1, 2010 | July 1, 2010 | EB-2010-0186 | April 1,2010 | Handbook 55 |

RIDR: $\quad \mathbf{C}$

Western
Transportation Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )
(1.2794)
0.0024
0.0181
(1.2589)
(1.5000)
0.0024
$\frac{0.0101}{(1.4875)}$
(1.0829)
0.0024
0.0336
(1.0468) Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )
-

Ontario
Transportation Service ( $\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

| Rate 145 | Commodity |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Transportation |
|  | Load Balancing |
|  | Total |

Rate 170
Commodity
Transportation
Load Balancing
Total

Rate 200
Commodity
Transportation
Load Balancing
Total (1.048)
$\qquad$

| Rate 170 | Commodity | $(1.5000)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |  |
|  | Load Balancing | $\underline{0.0101}$ | $\underline{0.0101}$ | $\underline{0.0101}$ |
|  | Total | $(1.4875)$ | 0.0125 |  |
| Rate 200 | Commodity | $(1.0829)$ |  |  |
|  | Transportation | 0.0024 | 0.0024 | $\underline{0.0101}$ |
|  | $\underline{\text { Load Balancing }}$ | $\underline{0.0336}$ | $\underline{0.0336}$ | 0.0336 |


| EFFECTIVE DATE: | IMPLEMENTATION DATE: | BOARD ORDER: | REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: | Page 3 of 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| April 1, 2010 | April 1, 2010 | EB-2010-0186 | January 1, 2010 | Handbook 56 |



## Summary of Commodity Rider July 2010 - QRAM Q3




Notes: (1) Col. $3=$ Col. $1+$ Col. 2

Derivation of Gas in Inventory Revaluation Unit Rates




| \% Allocation ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. 2 |
|  | (\%) |
|  | 58.39\% |
|  | 38.28\% |
|  | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.15\% |
|  | 0.01\% |
|  | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.33\% |
|  | 0.49\% |
|  | 2.33\% |
|  | 100.00\% | |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Forecast } \\ \text { Volumes } \\ \text { Jul 2010 - Jun 2011 } \\ (12 \text { months volume) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Description |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Col. 1 } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\end{array}$ |
| Rate 1 | System and Buy/sell | $3,030,604,295$ |
| Rate 6 | System and Buy/sell | $1,990,425,407$ |
| Rate 9 | System and Buy/sell | $1,375,284$ |
| Rate 100 | System and Buy/sell | - |
| Rate 110 | System and Buy/sell | $43,892,143$ |
| Rate 115 | System and Buy/sell | $4,350,165$ |
| Rate 135 | System and Buy/sell | $5,908,486$ |
| Rate 145 | System and Buy/sell | $25,200,801$ |
| Rate 170 | System and Buy/sell | $79,744,027$ |
| Rate 200 | System and Buy/sell | $120,305,080$ |
| Grand Total |  | $5,301,805,689$ |

Notes: (1) Space less T-service allocation factor
(2) EB-2010-0186, Tab 1, Schedule 3, Page 1
(3) Col. $4=$ Col. $2 * 46,411,611 \quad$ (Inventory Adjustment)
(4) Col. $5=$ Col. $4 /$ Col. 1

