

Board Staff Interrogatory #135

Ref: Ex. I1-T2-S1, Table 1 and Ex. I1-T3-S1, Table 1

Issue Number: 9.1

Issue: Is the design of regulated hydroelectric and nuclear payment amounts appropriate?

Interrogatory

Separately, for each of Regulated Hydroelectric and Nuclear, please provide calculations showing the payment amounts separately for 2011 and 2012 per the following format:

Line No.	Description	2011		2012
1	Revenue Requirement (\$M)			
2	Forecast Production (TWh)			
3	Payment Amount (\$/MWh) (line 1 / line 2)			

Response

The requested tables are provided below.

Regulated Hydroelectric

Line No.	Description	2011		2012
1	Revenue Requirement (\$M) ¹	724.1		711.6
2	Forecast Production (TWh) ²	19.4		19.0
3	Payment Amount (\$/MWh) (line 1 / line 2)	37.38		37.38

Notes:

1 Ex. I1-T1-S1 Table1, line 24, columns a) and b)

2 Ex. E1-T1-S1 Table 1, line 3, columns e) and f)

Witness Panel: Deferral and Variance Accounts, Payment Amounts and Regulatory Treatments

1 Nuclear

2

Line No.	Description	2011		2012
1	Revenue Requirement (\$M) ¹	2,677.5		2,796.5
2	Forecast Production (TWh) ²	48.9		50.0
3	Payment Amount (\$/MWh)	54.73		55.93
	(line 1 / line 2)			

3

4

Notes:

5

1 Ex. I1-T1-S1 Table1, line 24, columns d) and e)

6

2 Ex. E2-T1-S1 Table 1, line 5, columns e) and f)

CCC Interrogatory #035

Ref: Ex. I-T2-S1, Table 1

Issue Number: 9.1

Issue: Is the design of regulated hydroelectric and nuclear payment amounts appropriate?

Interrogatory

Please provide a schedule setting out the payment amounts in the same format for 2008 and 2009.

Response

The referenced table setting out the payment amounts for 2008 and 2009 is provided below.

Payment Amount and Rider - Regulated Hydroelectric
Test Period April 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

Line No.	Description	Test Period
		(a)
	<u>PAYMENT AMOUNT:</u>	
1	Revenue Requirement ¹ (\$M)	1,153.4
2	Forecast Production ² (TWh)	31.5
3	Payment Amount (\$/MWh) (line 1 / line 2)	36.66
	<u>DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNT PAYMENT RIDER:</u>	
4	Recovery of Variance and Deferral Account Amounts ³ (\$M)	N/A
5	Payment Rider (\$/MWh) ³	N/A

Notes: (All references are to EB-2007-0905 Payment Amounts Order)

1. Appendix B, Table 1, line 3

2. Appendix B, Table 1, line 4

Witness Panel: Deferral and Variance Accounts, Payment Amounts and Regulatory Treatments

Filed: 2010-08-12
EB-2010-0008
Issue 9.1
Exhibit L
Tab 4
Schedule 035
Page 2 of 2

- 1 3. Recovery of deferral and variance account balances was through the payment amount
- 2 and not through a rider.

CCC Interrogatory #036

Ref: Ex. I1-T3-S1, Table 1

Issue Number: 9.1

Issue: Is the design of regulated hydroelectric and nuclear payment amounts appropriate?

Interrogatory

Please provide a schedule setting out the payment amounts in the same format for 2008 and 2009

Response

The referenced table setting out the payment amounts for 2008 and 2009 is provided below.

Table 1
Payment Amount and Rider - Nuclear
Test Period April 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

Line No.	Description	Test Period
		(a)
	<u>PAYMENT AMOUNT:</u>	
1	Revenue Requirement ¹ (\$M)	4,674.8
2	Forecast Production ² (TWh)	88.2
3	Payment Amount (\$/MWh)	52.98
	(line 1 / line 2)	
	<u>DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNT PAYMENT RIDER:</u>	
4	Recovery of Variance and Deferral Account Amounts ³ (\$M)	176.2
5	Payment Rider (\$/MWh) ⁴ (line 4 / April 2008 - Dec 2009 Production)	2.00

Notes: (All references are to EB-2007-0905 Payment Amounts Order)

- Appendix C, Table 1, line 5
- Appendix C, Table 1, line 6
- Appendix D, Table 1, line 5, column (f)
- Appendix D, Table 1, line 9, column (f)

Witness Panel: Deferral and Variance Accounts, Payment Amounts and Regulatory Treatments

CCC Interrogatory #037

Ref: Ex. I1-T2, and I1-T3

Issue Number: 9.1

Issue: Is the design of regulated hydroelectric and nuclear payment amounts appropriate?

Interrogatory

Has OPG undertaken any studies (internally or externally) to determine whether other designs for the payments amounts might be more appropriate. If so, please provide copies of those studies.

Response

No.