



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7

Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. <http://www.piac.ca>

Michael Buonaguro
Counsel for VECC
(416) 767-1666

August 24, 2010

VIA MAIL and E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)
EB-2010-0228
Hydro One Networks Inc. – New Rates and Fees Related to Distribution
Generation Projects

Please find enclosed the interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) in the above-noted proceeding.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Michael Buonaguro
Counsel for VECC
Encl.

cc: Ms. Anne-Marie Reilly

**HYDRO ONE NETWORKS INC.
APPLICATION FOR NEW RATES AND MISCELLANEOUS FEES
RELATED TO DISTRIBUTION GENERATING PROJECTS
EB-2010-0228**

VECC INTERROGATORIES

QUESTION #1

Reference: Exhibit B/Tab 1/Schedule 1, pages 1 - 4

Preamble: The Board Decision from EB-2003-0249 approved a pole rental rate of \$22.35 per pole per year.

- a) Please indicate what year's cost data was used in the Board's Decision.
- b) Please provide the basis for the current joint use rate of \$28.61. In doing so, please also fully explain the derivation of the \$57.22 value referenced at Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, page 2 and Table 3.
- c) The OEB Decision makes no reference to a 28.1% space allocation factor. Please fully explain what the 28.1% represents and how it was determined.
- d) Please explain the derivation of the 29.1% factor used in the Board's Decision and referenced on page 5.

QUESTION #2

Reference: Exhibit B/Tab 1/Schedule 1, pages 5-7

- a) Page 6 makes reference to shared space between the LDC and Hydro One Distribution. Please explain why these parties are sharing space as opposed to it being a generator and a LDC that are sharing space.
- b) The 29.1% factor used by the OEB was based on a 40' pole and 2.5 attachments. However, in the current Exhibit Hydro One has assumed a 50' pole and two attachments along with the LDC/generator. Please reconcile the use of 29.1% in the Hydro One calculations.
- c) If the 56.2% shared space factor is based on a 50' pole, why won't this factor change as the size of the pole changes. Presumably, with larger poles to accommodate generators the allocation factor applicable to communications/street lights will decline.

- d) Do the proposed rates account for the additional maintenance costs and productivity losses discussed at lines 6-12 or do they just account for the fact higher poles are required when generators also seek to connect and generators required a larger portion?

QUESTION #3

Reference: Exhibit B/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 9

- a) Why is \$57.22 the appropriate cost base to use for the rates to be implemented January 1, 2010? Is \$57.22 based on 2010 costs?

QUESTION #4

Reference: Exhibit C/Tab 1/Schedule 1, page 2

- a) Please provide the basis for the proposed \$3,000 charge for Net Metering projects and Capacity Allocation Exempt projects.

QUESTION #5

Reference: Exhibit C/Tab 1/Schedule 1, pages 3-4

- a) Prior to the EB-2009-0096 Decision, what was the CIA rate charged for small and mid-sized projects?
- b) What are the distinguishing features between these projects and the Capacity Allocation Exempt projects such that the latter warrant the lower charge?
- c) Why is August 31, 2010 the appropriate date on which to start charging the "full cost"?