



By electronic filing and by e-mail

September 3, 2010

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli,

Hydro One Networks Inc. (“Hydro One”)
2011-2012 Transmission Rate Case
Board File No.: EB-2010-0002
Our File No.: 339583-000057

Please find attached the Interrogatories of our client, Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters (“CME”) for Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario (“AMPCO”).

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Peter C.P. Thompson', is written over a horizontal line.

Peter C.P. Thompson, Q.C.

PCT\slc
enclosure

c. Anne-Marie Reilly (Hydro One)
Donald Rogers, Q.C. (Rogers Partners LLP)
Intervenors EB-2010-0002
Paul Clipsham (CME)

OTT01\4181051\1

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
Lawyers • Patent & Trade-mark Agents
World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street, Suite 1100
Ottawa ON K1P 1J9
tel.: (613) 237-5160 fax: (613) 230-8842
www.blgcanada.com

PETER C.P. THOMPSON, Q.C.
direct tel.: (613) 787-3528
e-mail: pthompson@blgcanada.com

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*,
S. O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF a review of an application filed
by Hydro One Networks Inc. for an order or orders
approving a transmission revenue requirement and rates
and other charges for the transmission of electricity for
2011 and 2012.

**INTERROGATORIES OF
CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS (“CME”)
FOR ASSOCIATION OF MAJOR POWER CONSUMERS IN ONTARIO
(“AMPCO”)**

Reference: **AMPCO Pre-Filed Evidence, Page 7, Footnote 7**

Question:

1. Some changes were recently announced with respect to the methodology for allocating costs recoverable from electricity customers through the Global Adjustment Mechanism (“GAM”). CME understands that the methodology that is now to be used for recovering GAM costs from certain electricity customers is similar to the methodology AMPCO is proposing for the Network Charge Determinant (“NCD”). Having regard to this preamble, please provide the following information:
 - (a) AMPCO’s description of the new methodology that is to be applied to recover GAM costs, including the customers or customer classes that will benefit from this new methodology.
 - (b) List and briefly describe the differences between the new methodology that is to be applied to recover GAM costs and the methodology AMPCO is asking the Board to approve for the purposes of recovering transmission system costs.
 - (c) Please indicate whether the approach AMPCO is proposing is likely to produce more or less system-wide benefits than the new approach that is to be applied to recover GAM costs and provide rationale for the response.
 - (d) Is there any way of implementing a pilot project to determine whether AMPCO’s proposal will produce the benefits for all electricity consumers, including those connected to distributors, as described in AMPCO’s evidence? If so, then please describe the appropriate parameters for such a pilot project.