

1 **Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #19 List 1**

2
3 **Interrogatory**

4
5 **Issue 2.2: Are Other Revenue (including export revenue) forecasts appropriate?**

6
7 **Reference:** i) Exhibit H1, Tab 5, Schedule 2, page 2
8 ii) Exhibit H1, Tab 5, Schedule 2, Attachment 1, page 4

9
10 **Preamble:** It is anticipated that the following questions may be addressed by the
11 IESO.

- 12
13 a) When were the findings of the consultant's study and the view that Option 2 best
14 satisfied the four selection principles first shared/reviewed with Stakeholders?
15 b) Was any analysis or further work undertaken to address stakeholder comments? If
16 yes, please outline.
17 c) When was the IESO Management recommendation to remain with the \$1/MWh ETS
18 tariff first shared with Stakeholders?
19 d) Were the concerns of IESO Management regarding changing conditions shared with
20 Stakeholders and Stakeholder input sought regarding the alternative means of
21 addressing these concerns prior to the formulation of the IESO Management
22 recommendation? If not why not? If yes, what input was received and provide any
23 analysis undertaken/options considered in response to this input?
24 e) Please provide copies of any comments received regarding the IESO's Stakeholder
25 Engagement Process on this issue.
26

27
28 **Response**

29
30 This response is provided by the IESO.

- 31
32 a) The study findings and IESO Staff's view that Option 2 best satisfied the four
33 selection principles was reviewed with stakeholders on August 10, 2009.
34
35 b) Yes, additional work and analysis was carried out by IESO Staff in response to
36 comments provided by stakeholders. Please refer to Export Transmission Service
37 Tariff (SE-78) Stakeholder Feedback (Exhibit H1-5-2, Attachment 1, Appendix C).
38
39 c) IESO management's recommendation to maintain the \$1/MWh ETS Tariff was
40 communicated to stakeholders on August 27, 2009.
41
42 d) IESO management made its recommendation to maintain the \$1/MWh ETS Tariff
43 based on a number of factors, including the CRA study, prior feedback received from
44 stakeholders as part of SE-78, IESO staff's recommendation and its views on

1 economic conditions, GEGEA-related changes and SBG events. IESO management
2 was satisfied that it had adequate information and it therefore did not seek additional
3 stakeholder input prior to making its recommendation.
4

5 e) For written stakeholder comments regarding the IESO's stakeholder Engagement
6 Process, please refer to Export Transmission Service Tariff (SE-78) Stakeholder
7 Feedback (Exhibit H1-5-2, Attachment 1, Appendix C). Summary of stakeholder
8 session feedback can be found at the following links:

- 9 i. **January 22, 2009 Stakeholder Session:**
10 [http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090122-
12 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf](http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090122-
11 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf)
- 12 ii. **June 25, 2009 Stakeholder Session:**
13 [http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090625-
15 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf](http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090625-
14 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf)
- 15 iii. **August 10, 2009 Stakeholder Session:**
16 [http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090810-
18 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf](http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090810-
17 Summary_of_Session_Feedback.pdf)
- 18 iv. **September 21, 2009 Final Stakeholder Evaluation (Updated)**
19 [http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090923-
Feedback_Summary_Final.pdf](http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/pubs/consult/se78/se78-20090923-
20 Feedback_Summary_Final.pdf)