REDACTED PUBLIC



ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

FIZ

Ontario Energy Board

FILE NO. EB - 2010 - 0002

EXHIBIT No. K4. L

DATE Sept. 24,2010

06/99

FILE NO.:

EB-2008-0272

VOLUME:

2

REDACTED - PUBLIC

DATE:

February 24, 2009

BEFORE:

Cynthia Chaplin

Presiding Member

Paul Vlahos

Member

Ken Quesnelle

Member

THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S. O. 1998, C. 15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF a review of an application filed by Hydro One Networks Inc. under section 78 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, seeking changes to the uniform provincial transmission rates.

Hearing held at 2300 Yonge Street, 25th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, on Tuesday, February 24th, 2009, commencing at 9:31 a.m.

VOLUME 2

BEFORE:

CYNTHIA CHAPLIN Presiding Member

PAUL VLAHOS Member

KEN QUESNELLE Member

- 1 Staff just to double through an external link that in fact
- 2 we are off the air? I know sometimes we have checked
- 3 through -- and internally it's been off the air, but
- 4 externally it was not. So, thank you.
- 5 MR. STEPHENSON: It would sort of defeat the purpose,
- 6 wouldn't it?
- 7 MS. CHAPLIN: All right, Mr. Stephenson.
- 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. STEPHENSON (CONTINUED):
- 9 MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.
- Mr. Rogers, does the panel have Exhibit I-4-2?
- MR. ROGERS: I hope so.
- MR. STEPHENSON: It's the executive summary to the
- 13 business plan.
- MR. GRAHAM: Yes, I have it at least.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. Let me ask a couple of
- 16 questions about this document. The document we're looking
- 17 at is a -- as I understand it, is the executive summary to
- 18 the 2009-2011 Hydro One business plan dated August 14,
- 19 2008. Okay.
- MR. GRAHAM: As submitted to the board, that's
- 21 correct.
- MR. STEPHENSON: As submitted to the board. As I
- 23 understand it, this would have been approved by the board
- 24 in the form we see it here?
- 25 MR. GRAHAM: Yes.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. I take it you've seen this
- 27 document before?
- 28 MR. GRAHAM: I have.

- 1 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. And does this document
- 2 continue to be an accurate reflection of the Hydro One
- 3 business plan as of today?
- 4 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, it does.
- 5 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. The reason I'm going to ask
- 6 you about this is I want to come back to the issue of the
- 7 risk, the reliability risk, on the transmission system for
- 8 the business planning period.
- 9 You recall I asked you some questions about that
- 10 before the lunch break?
- 11 MR. GRAHAM: I recall.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. If I can just take you, on
- 13 page 1 of the document, under the heading "Key Highlights",
- 14 the third bullet point reads as follows. It says:
- "As a result of transmission development
- 16 requirements and limitations on resources, some
- 17 sustainment work has been deferred, increasing
- risks to reliability and customer satisfaction in
- 19 the short and medium term. Addressing this risk
- 20 will be a key focus of the 10-year transmission
- 21 plan."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, I do.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. I'm assuming that when it
- 25 refers to the short and medium term, it is referring, at a
- 26 minimum, to the 2009-2011 period; is that fair?
- 27 MR. GRAHAM: I would assume so, certainly to the test
- 28 years.

- 1 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. And so what I asked you
- 2 before, was the plan of work in the sustainment area that
- 3 you were proposing, assuming it was approved as proposed
- 4 and assuming it was in fact executed, whether that would
- 5 result in an increase or a decrease to the level of
- reliability risk on the system, this document appears to 6
- 7 indicate the answer to that question is that there will be
- 8 an increase in the reliability risk; fair?
- 9 MR. GRAHAM: Well, I think, as I tried to respond to
- 10 your question earlier, there is a relationship between
- 11 development sustainment work, and this document clearly
- sets that out in the way that if there's really major 12
- 13 priorities driving one area, we may have short term and
- 14 medium term, as is set out in this document, implications
- 15 for another area.
- 16 Our goal continues to be to provide reliable service
- 17 both in the immediate areas, and certainly towards the long
- 18 term, to achieve the corporate targets. In the case of
- 19 this business plan, it is fair to say that the priorities,
- 20 as we see it, driving development were such that we have to
- 21 do some short-term deferral of some sustainment work, and
- 22 that -- I don't know that that will increase
- unreliability. It does increase the risk, though, to your 23
- 24 point.
- 25 MR. STEPHENSON: Fair enough. If I can, not to flog a
- dead horse, this issue is revisited on page 4 of the 26
- 27 document.
- 28 Let me just ask you one question before I get there.

- The prior business plan, am I correct that would be the 1
- 2 business plan for the period of 2007-2009?
- 3 MR. GRAHAM: Well, this actually was -- it's done
- 4 annually, so there was a business plan for 2008 to 2012, as
- well. 5
- 6 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. So you see the first -- I am
- 7 now looking at the last paragraph of the document.
- first sentence reads: 8
- 9 "System reliability risk has increased from the
- 10 prior business plan."
- 11 And so when it refers to the "prior business plan",
- 12 that is the document you just referred to, I take it, the
- 13 2000 -- sorry, what years?
- 14 MR. GRAHAM: Eight to twelve.
- 15 MR. STEPHENSON: Eight to twelve. So even in the span
- 16 of one year, they are assuming increased risk; fair?
- 17 MR. GRAHAM: I think that would be fair for two
- 18 reasons. As is laid out in this submission, there were
- 19 certain sustainment pieces of work that didn't get done in
- 20 2007, which this would have recognized.
- 21 And, as well, as you say, the sustainment investment
- 22 may not have been what we would ideally optimally have
- 23 looked for, having less pressure, if you will, on the
- 24 development side.
- 25 MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. And then the second sentence
- 26 of the final paragraph reads:
- 27 "Given development requirements and funding and
- 28 execution constraints, the level of work required