Filed: September 27, 2010 EB-2010-0002 Exhibit J3.12 Page 1 of 1

UNDERTAKING

3 **Undertaking**

TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE AS TO WHY THE TABLES IN C1, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 2, TABLE 1 AND D1, TAB 3, SCHEDULE 1, PAGE 2, TABLE 1 DO NOT MATCH RE DEVELOPMENT OM&A EXPENDITURES.

8 9 <u>Response</u>

10

1 2

4

5

6

7

The Development OM&A is primarily for Research, Development & Demonstration 11 initiatives and Standards Development. This work addresses long term process and 12 technical issues related to the transmission system. The increase in the 2011/12 test years 13 from the Board Approved amount for 2009/10 is for new studies and demonstration 14 initiatives related to transmission issues as a result of smart grid development and the 15 increasing level of distributed generation on the system. The increase in activities and 16 expenditures are in the "Smart Zone Development" item as outlined in Exhibit C1, Tab 2, 17 Schedule 4 page 2 lines 16-20. 18

19

The Development Capital expenditures fund projects related to new or upgraded 20 The decrease in spending from 2011 to 2012 is largely transmission facilities. 21 attributable to the nature of timing of development work. A significant amount of 22 Development Capital work is demand driven, based on customer load growth and there is 23 a decrease in spending in 2012 in this area. Spending levels for the Bruce to Milton 24 project are lower in 2012 as the project is completed. Also a number of projects at 25 Transmission stations to install SVC's and Shunt Capacitors to address off coal 26 completion and system stability are completed in 2011 as outlined in Exhibit D1, Tab 3, 27 Schedule 3 page 13 lines 12-15. New projects (per Government Instruction) will not 28 commence construction until after approvals are obtained and therefore cashflows for 29 these projects occur beyond the test years. 30