Name: 001 Roc 07-06-11 Letter From Dg To Ttfn.tif

Size: 89KB (90,222 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:10:41 PM



June 11, 2007

Taykwa Tagamou (New Post) First Nation (affiliated with Mushkegowuk Council) Chief Dwight Sutherland and Council RR#2 P O Box 3310

Cochrane, ON POL 1C0

Phone: (705) 272-5766 / fax (705) 272-5785

Kira Dunham - Forestry Liason cell (705) 262 0044 kdunham@ntl.sympatico.ca

Dear Chief Dwight Sutherland

Subject: 2007 Exploration activities at the Detour Gold's project "Detour Lake Property" located in the Porcupine Division

Detour Gold Corporation would like to inform the Moose Cree First Nation ("MCFN") of some recent changes in the ownership of the Detour Lake Property and of our recent activities on the property.

Detour Gold acquired 100% of Pelangio's rights in the Detour Lake Property on January 31, 2007. We initiated our exploration program in January of this year, and are currently conducting diamond drilling with four rigs on the former Detour Lake Mine site, which is currently in reclamation following the closure of the mine in 1999. The Detour Lake Mine was formerly operated by Placer Dome, and Goldcorp Canada Ltd. has succeeded to Placer Dome's reclamation liabilities and is rehabilitating the property in accordance with the mine closure plan filed by Placer Dome in January 1995.

Detour Gold would like the opportunity to discuss with MCFN our current program of activities for the Detour Lake Property. Since Detour Gold hopes to operate in this area for many years, we believe it is important for Detour Gold and MCFN to understand each other's interests in the area to reduce any misunderstandings and to work together for our mutual benefit.

To begin this process, we would like to arrange a meeting with you and other members of the MCFN community the week of July 9th if possible, to introduce our management team and outline our current plans. At this meeting, we would provide a detailed presentation regarding the project, including with respect to environmental

issues. We would also like to discuss with you and other interested members of the MCFN community:

- any questions or concerns you may have regarding our planned activities
- any impact you feel our plans may have on your traditional activities in the area
- training and employment opportunities for members of the MCFN community
- opportunities for members of the MCFN community to bid on supply and services contracts
- a communications protocol to ensure the MCFN community is kept informed of developments on the Detour Lake Property and has an opportunity to participate in key decisions affecting its interests

If the week of July 9th is a convenient date for you, please let me know, and I will make detailed arrangements for the meeting. Otherwise, please let me know if there is another more convenient date.

Detour Gold is committed to working with all communities in the area to develop the Detour Lake Property for our mutual benefit. I look forward to meeting with you and other members of the MCFN community soon to begin building this relationship.

Yours truly

Gerald PannetonPresident & CEO

Detour Gold Corporation

CC.

Mr. Rob Ferguson, Ministry Development Mines, Ontario

Mr. Gord Yule, Ministry Development Mines, Ontario

Mr. Roger Aubertin, Project Manager, Detour Lake Property

Detour Gold Corporation, Board of Directors

Name: 002 Apr 30 08 Mbdc Timmins _1_.tif

Size: 207KB (211,147 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:10:51 PM



Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date April 30, 2008

Location Timmins – Petersen Consulting Offices, 136 Cedar Street South

Purpose To hold preliminary discussions between Detour Gold Corporation(DGC) and

representatives of the Moose Band Development Corporation (MBDC) regarding possible contractual and business opportunities linked to development of the

Detour Project - meeting sanctioned by Chief Patricia Faries-Akiwenzie

Participants Gerald Panneton (GP) DGC

Louis Dionne (LD)DGCPat Donovan (PD)DGCRoger Aubertin (RA)DGC

Dave Simms (DS) AMEC representing DGC

Peter Wesley (PW) MBDC

Lillian Trapper (LT) Moose Cree First Nation

Ken Petersen (KP) Petersen Consulting representing MBDC
Carl Swanson (CS) CS Enterprises representing MBDC
Trent Baker (TB) Operations Manager CS Enterprises

representing MBDC

Prepared by Dave Simms (reviewed by Pat Donovan)

Date Issued Final - May 21, 2008

Subject Meeting Minutes – Business Opportunities with MBDC

Meeting Agenda

- Introductions
- Meeting Objectives
- Definition of Business Opportunities
- Communications and Procedures
- Other First Nation Interests
- Other Matters
- Next Steps

Introductions

- <u>Peter Wesley</u> is the Business Manager for MBDC, mentioned that MBDC also owns a 5star lodge on Kesogami Lake north of the Detour site.
- <u>Lillian Trapper</u> is the Land Use Plan Coordinator, Lands and Resources, for the Moose
 Cree First Nation. Lillian's family has traditional ties to the Detour site, and her family has
 regularly used this area for some time. She also had a lead role in the development of a
 working plan for the Moose Cree Homeland, developed in coordination with the

AMEC Earth & Environmental, a division of AMEC Americas Limited 160 Traders Blvd. East, Suite 110 Mississauga, Ontario Canada L4Z 3K7 Tel (905) 568-2929 Fax (905) 568-1686 www.amec.com





- provincial government as part of the Northern Boreal Initiative. Lillian indicated that 4 of the 7 MBDC board members are also on the Council of the Moose Cree First Nation (MCFN).
- Ken Petersen has been in business for 17 years and has represented the MCFN for the past 12 years, among other clients. He has also represented the Wahgoshig First Nation (WFN). His area of expertise is in the development of business and other agreements between First Nations and industry, and particularly Impact Benefit Agreements (IBA). Ken stressed the need for development of modern IBAs based on "enlightened consultation", and to learn from the better examples, and not to repeat situations such as the Platinex KI conflict.
- <u>Carl Swanson</u> is a Business Advisor with CS Enterprises, a subsidiary of the MBDC.
 Carl was formerly in the forest business and has managed up to 175 union employees.
 He was extensively involved in working with the First Nations to facilitate construction of the Victor transmission line, working with both Vallard and AMEC.
- Trent Baker is an Operations Manager with CS Enterprises.
- <u>DGC Team</u> Members of the DGC team (as listed above) also introduced themselves and defined their relevant experience and expertise, and their respective roles in the Detour Project.

Discussions

- GP indicated that the most important thing is to build a working relationship with the
 MCFN and the MBDC. Mining and forestry are commodity businesses that must work
 with the local First Nations, so that all can benefit. A major challenge for DGC is to
 balance the interests of the MCFN with those of other First Nations whose tradition lands
 may overlap portions of the Detour Project and its associated infrastructure, namely the
 Taykwa Tagamou and Wahgoshig First Nations. DGC needs to get a stronger handle on
 the relative claims of the three First Nations on lands occupied by the Detour Project.
- LT indicated that the MCFN have the strongest claim on traditional use of the Detour area. Her family lives in the immediate area, and her organization has undertaken extensive studies of the MCFN Homeland through the tracing of historical use of the land by 62 MCFN families. No other FN in Ontario has put together this level of detail on their traditional lands, although some others such as Wahgoshig have come close.
- KP enquired about the current status of expenditures on contracts.
- GP responded that exploration drilling at Detour had been ongoing since January 2007, and that thus far DGC has spent about \$25 million. Expect to complete drilling for feasibility study in about 3-4 months. Expect to spend another approximately \$40 million to completion of the feasibility study. Project capital costs are likely to be in the range of \$500-600 million, and will employ about 300 people over 15+ years.
- GP indicated that DGC has current cash reserves of about \$75 million, and a current stock price of about \$17/share.
- KP indicated that the mandate of MBDC was to secure contracts for the Detour Project.
- CS indicated that MBDC would be interested in contracts such as re-building the transmission line, which MBDC was capable of undertaking [in joint venture] with companies such as PowerTel and Vallard.
- GP indicated that Detour was a brown-field site, which should make permitting easier, but recognized the importance of local support from the First Nations.
- KP indicated that MBDC was coming off projects linked to the Victor transmission line and winter road, and therefore had current capacity to undertake contracts.



- GP stated that DGC could provide MBDC with a generalized schedule of planned construction activities for 2009 and 2010, assuming a positive feasibility study, CS indicated that MBDC could provide a reciprocal list of MBDC's ability to undertake contracts.
- KP stressed that MBDC was interested in negotiating a policy of "open book" bidding on contracts, and provided an explanation of how this process would work, indicating for example that if DGC received 3 bids for a contract, then MBDC would have the right to review the bids, and then approach the lowest qualified bidder to negotiate an MOU with that company to form a joint venture (JV) to present to DGC. DGC would then have to review the terms of the JV bid in light of its desired relations with the MCFN (i.e., there could be consequences if the JV bid was rejected).
- LD and GP stressed the need for competitive bidding, especially since DGC was a
 publicly traded company, and that it might be difficult to get qualified bidders to
 participate in an open book bidding process, as described by KP. LD suggested that a
 combination approach could by considered, in which contracts could be classified
 (categorized), with certain types of contracts potentially lending themselves to open book
 bidding, while other types of contracts would be best dealt with through competitive
 bidding.
- CS stated that MBDC know that they have to be competitive, otherwise no companies would bid.
- KP indicated that it would be important for DGC to develop an MOU with the MCFN that included provisions for favourable business opportunities.
- PW stated that MBDC would like to be the general contractor for contracts involving the MCFN, and that MBDC would determine how MCFN contractors should deal with DGC (either directly or through MBDC).
- KP indicated that all contracts with MBDC should be well defined to facilitate implementation, and to reduce uncertainty and potential conflicts. He gave the example of the Victor winter road contract which caused concerns in the way it was administered by the First Nations.
- KP supported LD's suggestion that a "classified" approach to contract bidding might work, whereby some contracts would be open book and others would not.
- GP put out the suggestion that he would be willing to work with the MCFN and MBDC to set up a joint mineral exploration company to work on MCFN traditional lands, as a way of building improved business capacity within the MCFN, separate from the Detour Project itself; and that he had discussed a similar prospect with the Taykwa Tagamou First Nation (TTFN) for activities on their traditional lands.
- LT indicated that MCFN lands were very extensive, as indicated on the map hanging on the wall, and that TTFN lands were confined principally to a smaller area around and north of Cochrane encompassing some 9 townships.
- KP enquired as to DGC's legal council, and GP replied that DGC used different legal council for different functions and that one of DGC's legal council was Charles Birchall.
- GP expressed a desire to further develop the relationship between DGC and the MCFN, and asked if LT, or others representing the MBDC, would be able to assist with setting up such a meeting. LT said that the Chief and Council had a busy schedule but that she would try.
- LT indicated that for any community meetings, DT should make efforts to ensure that the
 material presented is in a form that is readily understandable and relevant to community
 member's interests, and that a translator, familiar with the MCFN dialect, be on hand to
 help explain the material to Elders and any others not comfortable with English. She also



- indicated that DGC should meet with the Chief and Council, prior to holding any community meetings.
- DS asked whether translations would be required for discussions involving the Chief and Council. LT indicated that the Chief and Council would not require translation.

Follow-up

- GP stated that all contacts from MBDC to DGC should go directly through him, so as to maintain clear lines of communication and consistent messaging.
- PW indicated that all contacts made by DGC to MBDC should go through him (GP indicated that DGC representatives would like to meet with the Chief and Council in early June, if at all possible, to further develop relationships between DGC and the MCFN
- All concerned felt that the meeting had between very worthwhile, and was a good first step to developing a mutually beneficial relationship between DGC and the MCFN and MBDC.

Please feel free to discuss any aspect of the above.

David Simms

Principal, Environmental Assessment and Resource Development

AMEC Earth & Environmental

Name: 004 April 9th Letter From Mcfn-0417_001.tif

Size: 59KB (59,561 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:10:59 PM

17056582002



Moose Factory, ON POL 1WO

Tel: (705) 658-4619 Fax: (705) 658-4734



April 9, 2008

Gerald Panneton
President & CEO
Detour Gold Corporation
Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower
200 Bay Street, Suite 2040
Box #23
Toronto, Ontario
M5J 2J1

Dear Mr. Panneton:

Re: Detour Gold Exploration activities in the Moose Cree Homelands (Detour Lake Property)

I am writing in response to your letter dated June 11, 2007.

Detour Lake and the land that surrounds it are within the Moose Cree homeland. Our people have been living and practicing traditional harvesting activities on a year-round basis in the Detour Lake area since time immemorial.

Canadian courts, including the Supreme Court of Canada, have emphasized the importance of our aboriginal and treaty rights. As the guardians of our land and as a signatory to Treaty Nine the Moose Cree First Nation must protect our rights and ensure that they are respected by both your company and the government of Ontario.

Recent Supreme Court decisions have confirmed that when there is development activity taking place in our territory that we be consulted and accommodated. Ultimately, if you want to engage in development and exploration on our land you will need our consent.

. 2

Page 2 Gerald Panneton, Detour Gold Corporation April 9, 2008 Continued

I understand that your activity has progressed to an advanced exploratory stage. It is unfortunate that the Moose Cree council did not have an opportunity to consider all options available to us regarding Detour Gold's activity in our homeland.

I hope that we will be able to work together and I am therefore authorizing a meeting between Detour Gold and the Moose Band Development Corporation to explore possible economic benefits from your mining activity. Lillian Trapper will also be in attendance.

Moose Cree First Nation would also like to meet with Detour Gold to discuss our relationship including the present situation which is unacceptable to us and how you and the First Nation may be able to move forward and achieve a relationship of mutual benefit.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Patricia Faries-Akiwenzie, B.A., LL.B.

Chief

Moose Band Development Corporation CC:

Lillian Trapper, Lands and Resources

Name: 007 Roc 08-06-09 Phone Call - Ttfn.tif

Size: 718KB (735,203 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:56:40 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

Send completed form to caroline.burgess@amec.com, with Detour Lake ROC in the subject line.

# res lurter 11			d/Even			
Date	Jur	e 9, 2008		Recorded by	Caroline Burgess	
Location [if applicable]	n/a	⁄a		Project team Caroline Burgess participants [list all]		
Names & organizations	of pa	rticipants [list all]	C. Burgess, AMEC			
** For any new contacts, provide contact information at the bottom of this form **		Stephanie Cheechoo, Band Manager, Taykwa Tagamou First Nation				
Purpose of contact/event To set up meeting with Chief and Council to introduce the project						

To set up meeting with Chief and Council to introduce the project

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

- 1. Call log: June 9th 1:40 p.m. left message for S. Cheechoo
- 2. Call log: June 9th 3:30 p.m. talked with receptionist who indicated S. Cheechoo is away this week. She gave me her cell number. I called the cell number and left a message.
- 3. Call log: June 10th 10:50 a.m. called cell # which went right to the voice mail. I did not leave another message.
- 4. Call log: June 10th 12:20 p.m. called cell # and left another message for her to call me.
- 5. Call log: June 12 2:12 p.m. called the Band office for Stephanie. She is not in and it was explained to CB that she does not work at the office, but off site in another town. CB asked how she can arrange a meeting between Detour and the Chief and Council. Cindy Linklater, EA to the Chief indicated she would help set up the meeting. She suggested CB send the request by fax with an email to the Chief at: Dwight_sutherland@hotmail.com.
- 6. ACTION: CB to draft letter and have Detour send COMPLETED JUNE 16TH.
- 7. ACTION: CB to follow up with phone call to confirm meeting date/time.
- 8. Call log: June 17 8:30, 9:30 no answer at TTFN. Left message for Cindy at 9:30 a.m.
- 9. Call log: June 17 10:08 a.m. got Cindy they received letter. Cindy to confirm time with the Chief. I let her know we would like to confirm the meeting today.
- 10. Call log: June 17 3:55 p.m. left a message for Cindy to confirm meeting time/date.
- 11. Call log: June 18: 10:15 a.m. talked with Cindy she has not yet heard from the Chief. She said she would send him an email to confirm.
- 12. Call log: June 18: 3:40 p.m. called again for Cindy she had left for the day. Left a message for her with the receptionist.
- 13. Call log: June 23 11 a.m. called Cindy and confirmed the meeting with Chief Sutherland (Councillors unavailable due to a death in the community). Meeting set for 10 a.m. Wednesday June 25. Sent note to Gerald and Dave Simms about the confirmation.

Form completed by	C. Burgess	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]

##73###7# ##73######							
First name	Stephanie	3742000000000000000000000000000000000000		Title	Band Manage	F 12 41 17 . INC. 11	22 (10 M 19 20) 15 C (12 M 19 M
Last name	Cheechoo			E-mail			
Phone no.			Fax no.			Cell no.	807-854-8987
Name of orga	anization	Taykwa T	agamou Firs	t Nation			
Department/f	ield office	Administra	ation				
Street addres	SS						
City			ı	Province		Postal co	de

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252) E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)

Fax: (613) 727-9465

(Updated 08-06-10)

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

Mailing add [if different the	ress nan street addres	s]							
Add to mail appropriate]	ing list? [Y/N - c	hoose all	Pos	tal Mail:	E-Mail:	Pho	one:	Inactive:	
Replacing s [Y/N]	omeone else in	same position	on?	Who?					
Also affiliate affiliations]	ed with [list othe	r project-relate	ed						
** Copy abov	e section if there	e is more than							
* 7 % ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;									
First name	Cindy			Title	Title Executive Assistant to the Chief				
Last name	Linklater			E-mail					
Phone no.	705-272-576	6 F	ax no.	705-272-	705-272-5785 Cell no.				
Name of org	ganization	Taykwa Tag	amou Fin	st Nation					
Department	/field office	Administration	on						
Street addre	ess								
City				Province		Postal cod	е		
Mailing add [if different the	ress nan street addres	ss]							
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Pos	stal Mail:	E-Mail:	Pho	one:	Inactive:	
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]			on?	Who?					
Also affiliat	ed with [list othe	r project-relate	ed				-		

affiliations]

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252)
E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)
Fax: (613) 727-9465

Name: 008 Roc 08-06-09 Phone Call - Wahgoshigfn.tif

Size: 470KB (480,712 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:56:45 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

Send completed form to caroline.burgess@amec.com, with Detour Lake ROC in the subject line.

		Market and malice 14		
Date	June 9, 2008	Recorded by	Caroline Burgess	
Location [if applicable]	n/a	Project team participants [list all]	Caroline Burgess	
Names & organizations ** For any new contacts, information at the bottom	provide contact	C. Burgess, AMEC Ginger Nadon, Band Administra	ator, Wahgoshig First Nation	
Purpose of contact/event To set up meeting with Chief and Council to introduce the project				
			1.4 : 6 !!	

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

- 1. C. Burgess contacted the Band office and asked to speak with the Band Manager. She was not in, so I left a message (1:44 p.m.)
- 2. Band Manager Ginger Nettle called me back (2:08 p.m.) and indicated that the Chief would be available for a meeting with Detour Gold the week of June 16th or June 23rd. She stated that a letter should be sent to the Chief from Detour Gold requesting the meeting with a cc to herself.
- 3. Action: CB to draft letter to send to Gerald Panneton to send to Wahgoshig. Due by June 13 COMPLETED
- 4. Action: Gerald to send letter by June 13th once we know when meeting will be set the week of June 23 with the Moose Cree First Nation COMPLETED JUNE 16
- 5. Response from WFN received by fax on June 16 indicating that the Chief can not meet on June 23rd and requesting the meeting for the afternoon of June 24th. ACTION: CB to contact to re-schedule as this conflicts with meeting with Moose Cree that day.

Form completed by	C. Burgess	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	08-06-10

									. K. 1: # 31 31 ** ** 1	1102
					e a leas e a a a a a				1	, is 2
First nam	e Ginger				Title	Band Administ	trator			
Last name	e Nadon				E-mail					
Phone no	. (705) 273-	2055	Fax n	0.	(705) 273	-2900	Cell no.			
Name of o	organization	Wah	goshig First	Nation						·
Departme	nt/field office	Adm	inistration							
Street add	dress									
City				Pro	ovince		Postal cod	е		
Mailing ad	ddress t than street addr	ess]								
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Postal	Mail:	E-Mail:	Pho	ne:	Inactive:		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]				Who?			·			
Also affili affiliations	ated with [list ot l]	ner proje	ct-related							

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252)
E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)
Fax: (613) 727-9465

^{**} Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**

Name: 009 Roc 08-07-24 Meeting- Ttn.tif

Size: 751KB (768,144 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:56:50 AM

Date of Contact	08-07-24		Recorded by	Meryl Warren
	Cochrane, Best Western Hotel Meeting Room		Project team participants [list all]	Caroline Burgess, AMEC Dave Simms, AMEC Gerald Panneton, Detour Gold Craig Goodings, Detour Gold Consultant
Names & organizations of participants [list all] ** For any new contacts, provide contact information at the bottom of this form **		Taykwa Brian G Ed Chilt Rod Rie	Ditis, Evergreen Innovat Tagamou FN elanais, TTN on, Five Nations Energy mer, Five Nations Energ Sutherland, Chief	

Purpose of contact/event

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

1. PP provided an agenda and asked for any changes/additions. It was suggested that we do self-introductions around the table including experience with First Nations. CB asked that PP confirm that the presentation slides provided to PP in the June 24/08 meeting were distributed to the other meeting participants. PP indicated that they had been shared.

Introductions

Each meeting participant provided an introduction to themselves including their experience working with First Nations. The following is a short summary of key points:

Dave Simms – working at AMEC for 30 years almost exclusively in mining in Ontario. Have experience working with FNs through the Victor project.

Craig G. – working for Detour as consultant to do permitting/Aboriginal relations. Worked with FNs in the north including Baker Lake.

Caroline – working at AMEC for 10 years, 14 in consulting. Most experience gained with Cree and Dene in the oil sands in Alberta, but also with Northgate Minerals and Goldcorp recently in Ontario.

Rod Reimer – with Five Nations Energy since 1998 in financial management; worked and lived in northern Ontario for many years doing business. Fluent in Cree.

Chief Sutherland – Chief of TTN since 2000; when his Dad died, he moved away from Reserve and ended up coming back and living on the land with his Grandfather and Uncle; trained as a policeman and lived off-Reserve for time, returned to help people out of poverty situation. Began negotiations with Tembec, Abitibi, Ontario Power (which took 7 years), DeBeers. Have experience with industry now and know where they want to go. He stressed that TTN is more than just a stakeholder, that this area is their land, they will outlive the mine and thus need to be consulted about it. He mentioned there are Ojibiway people in the band as well as Cree.

Ed Chilton – Project Manager/Engineering Technologist with Five Nations Energy (FNE)

Born in Cochrane; moved to Moose Factory. He worked on community infrastructure when with the Muskegowuk Council. He could see through this work that infrastructure projects were delayed due to lack of capacity in power – so pioneered energy studies to replace diesel generators. He helped build hydro lines to service all FN communities (in James Bay region) for 20 years. He stated that FNE is licensed and regulated like any other hydro company. He stated that FNE does not get involved in negotiations between FNs and industry and that he has seen no information about the Detour Lake project.

Brian Gelanais - has been with the FN for 5 years born in Cochrane. His father is from LaSarre, Qc and his Mother is FN.

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)
E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put Detour Gold ROCi in subject line)

Worked in forestry and is starting a new company in construction.

Peter Politis – Management consultant working with Aboriginal communities for 13 – 14 years. Started in forestry and worked in northern Ontario since 1985. Helped TTN negotiate agreement with foresty and with mining industry for Wahgoshig. He would like to see FNs lead rather than follow. He mentioned that in Quebec there is a permitting process with the FNs that confirm that they have been consulted on resource development projects.

Gerald Panneton – President and CEO of Detour Gold. Background in geology; lived in Val d'Or, Amos working in gold mining in early career and later all over the world for Barrick Gold. Don't know the Cochrane area very well and would like to learn more from TTN. While working for Barrick Gold opened many mines to highest environmental standards; left Barrick in 2006 to work for himself.

3

Project Introduction

GP provided background about the Detour project:

Detour bought mine from Pelangio in Aug 2006 - Jan 2007 who had bought from PlacerDome in 1998.

PP stated that the previous mine was offered to the TTN for nothing and they turned it down which he felt was a real loss. GP explained that mines open and close based on commodity prices and that even if the TTN had taken the mine, they would only have had the surface (not the mineral) rights – so the loss to the FN should not be considered so important. GP talked about how Detour is building a team of people to implement the project and stated that consultation with the local communities is very important. GP explained that it will be difficult for him to be able to meet with TTN at each meeting, but that others on the team will represent the company. GP also stated that he would like to have a liaison working from Cochrane (rather than in Timmins).

GP stated that there could be 700 – 900 construction-related jobs during the construction period as set out in the presentation. GP to send presentation to Chief Sutherland.

GP also mentioned that there were maps at the meeting that we could review.

GP emphasized that Detour will be consulting with all three First Nation communities and that there will be enough benefits for all three. He stated it is important to work diligently to move benefits and the project forward.

PP commented that he likes the transparency shown by Detour Gold and the openness to trying different things. Chief Sutherland commented that it is nice to deal with the President rather than many vice-Presidents and that he is glad to see that we did not bring any lawyers. He stated that the TTN will not be bringing lawyers to the table.

4. Overview of Five Nations Energy (FNE) - Ed Chilton:

FNE is a licensed, regulated energy transmission company.

Company is Aboriginal-owned (Kashechewan, Fort Albany, Attiwapiskat, Moose Cree and TTN).

Company originally had to collect money directly from customers (originally only Kashechewan, Fort Albany and Attiwapiskat). Since May 2002, monies collected from their proportion of the transmission pool (less than ½%).

Do not generate power, only distribute

Not a contractor – they hire contractors as required and prefer to work with Power Tel.

EC outlined situation with DeBeers. DeBeers paid for transmission line to the Victor Mine. DeBeers has to pay to maintain the line since they are the only customer on the line. EC explained that this would also be the case with Detour since they will be the only customer at the end of the line. If more than one customer on the line, then the line must be owned and operated by a licensed operator – which could be a role for FNE.

FNE could establish, own, operate and maintain the transmission line. They can also ensure Aboriginal employment on the line's construction through PowerTel and other contractors.

GP stated that he will need a list of all the services for the re-establishment of the transmission line.

EC stated he could provide, but that this would also have to form part of the negotiations for the IBA.

Chief Sutherland stated that this could be stated as part of the principles in an agreement.

- 5. Project Timing and Permits:
 - GP stated that Detour will need to submit permit application for the transmission line in the Fall of 2008.
 - DS clarified that there are many permits (IESO, Class EA for MNR, etc.) required to construct the line between December 2009 and March 2010.
 - EC stated that there is much lead time required to secure construction materials.
 - GP stated that there are the same issues with all mining materials.

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)
E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put Detour Gold ROCi in subject line)

- DS stated that Detour needs to start preparing the Class B EA; Leave to Construct permits and the IESO.
- PP asked when the relationship with TTN need to be secured.
- GP stated that we can work on the agreements in parallel with the permitting processes to get First Nation "sign-off."
- GP noted that decisions on contracting will need to be made by the end of 2008. GP stated that they won't take ownership of the transmission line if they can be flexible and leave it for longer term benefits.

6. Environmental Assessments and consultation:

- GP stated that mines have small footprints compared to other resource development projects and can be built to there is no effect on the environment. He stated that if there are future plans for the site, that the TTN should let him know so they can anticipate and plan for the future.
- DS stated that for the Class B EA, that we will need to start work with the community to complete SEIA, TK studies. DS stated that the consultation for the Closure Plans, the EA and the other permits will take place concurrently to use time efficiently.

7. Alliances

- Chief Sutherland stated that the reason FNE were invited is he wants them to be involved with the project. He stated that there is an agreement between TTN and Moose Cree FN that the TTN territory is south of the old CN rail line.
- PP stated that there is a formal alliance between TTN and the Wahgoshig FN to deal with industry. TTN is also hoping to establish the same with Moose Cree.

8. Economic Opportunities

GP will consider FNE and Detour taking joint ownership of the transmission line. EC clarified that the owner does not have to be a licenced transmission company if only servicing their company/site.

GP stated that he would like to have the IBAs signed by the end of 2008, but may need to get more information from the Feasibility studies which will be done by end of 2008. GP will need to discuss costs with FNE. GP stressed that he wants to provide the opportunity for people to grow in this industry and the project will also provide other contracts for catering, cleaning, etc.

Chief Sutherland stated that other opportunities could included leasing trucks from TTN which would represent a cost savings to the project since the FN does not pay the fuel taxes. PP, the Chief and BG were unclear about how this benefit could be realized (fuel on the Reserve? Drivers have to be Aboriginal? Etc.).

GP stated this could be a real benefit since 1/3 of the mining costs will be for fuel and could save tens of millions of dollars per year.

9. MOU Process:

PP asked GP what is expectations were for the MOU.

GP stated that the expectations were to live and work in harmony and to create opportunities for local and regional communities. Opportunities could involve partnerships on sub-contracting (although Detour cannot embark on JVs directly). GP stated that transparency will be important so that everyone can benefit.

GP mentioned that agreements could include community development initiatives and benefits sharing. GP stressed, that bringing the project to fruition will be risky and the FN must understand risks and expectations.

CB asked PP to outline TTNs expectations for the MOU.

PP clarified that TTN needs to be tied to the project so they are dependant upon each other. TTN is aspiring to:

- 1. Generate "unfettered" revenue (revenue not tied to any specific requirements)
- 2. Create a plan for investing back into the community (social or civil infrastructure).
- 3. Social development
- 4. Economic partnerships to tie TTN to the project

PP clarified that the MOU was to solidify and immediate relationship until an IBA is signed - so the MOU has an end point.

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

CG asked if we need an MOU

PP stated that one is needed to handle day-to-day issues and the short-term relationship until the IBA is in place.

PP clarified that jobs were important but that business opportunities are better. He gave the example of the FNE scenario which would be a win since the project gets the transmission line and the Aboriginal communities benefit long-term.

CG stated that the details will be in the feasibility study which can be shared and will help identify opportunities.

GP stated that contracts have been given to CS Enterprises, owned by Moose Band Development Corporation (MBDC).

GP asked if there was any relationship between TTN and the MBDC. PP replied that there are no ties.

EC explained that FNE has no surplus funds in the company, but that when there are they will benefit the communities. FNE wants to build their line infrastructure in the north.

GP was supportive of this.

CG explained that Detour will need to negotiate 3 MOUs and 3 IBAs.

PP stated that the TTN will support and work with other FNs but that they are all at different levels of capacity. He stated that the TTN will "build the bus" so that other FNs can get on the bus, but that the TTN don't want to get stuck waiting. Chief Sutherland stated that they don't want to argue about treaty rights, but want to move beyond this.

GP stated that Detour will balance social responsibility to communities with the success of the project. CG clarified that the benefits to the communities cannot have a negative effect on the mine.

There was general agreement that many possible opportunities need to be examined.

10.

Follow-up

For Detour Team:

- 1. GP to send presentation slides to Chief Sutherland.
- 2. Chief Sutherland requested that we work with Mushkegowuk Environmental Research (Jennifer Simard) for TK studies and consultation. He stated that Peter Achibald has done previous TTN TK reports. Detour should be prepared to cover costs for salary, travel, etc. He cautioned to not have too many community meetings since we will get less input with more meetings.

For TTN:

- 1. PP to draft and MOU and send to GP in 2 weeks (by August 7th). PP stated that this should not take too long to get established. It was stated that FNE will be part of the MOU.
- 2. FNE/TTN to draft vision for project opportunities and send to GP including list of all the services for the reestablishment of the transmission line..
- 3. GP left an open invitation to discuss more about the transmission line when FNE is ready.

For Both:

1. PP suggested setting dates for monthly meetings for next few months. PP to work through CG to set these up.

		3 #3#1455115561231135113	
Form completed by	Meryl Warren	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	08-11-27

First name	Title	
Last name	E-mail	

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)
E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put *Detour Gold ROC*i in subject line)

Phone no		Fax n	0.			Cell no.		
Name of c	organization							
Departme	nt/field office			-				
Street add	iress							
City			Р	rovince		Postal co	de	
Mailing ad	idress than street addre	ss]						
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Posta	al Mail:	E-Mail:	P	none:	Inactive:
Replacing [Y/N]	someone else ir	n same position?		Who?				
Also affili	ated with [list other	er project-related						

^{**} Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**

Name: 022 Roc 08-10-21 Email- Wg Receipt Of Mou.tif

Size: 22KB (22,105 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:52:54 PM

From:

Dan [wfnlandsresources@ntl.sympatico.ca]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 21, 2008 1:41 PM

To:

Gerald Panneton

Subject:

draft MOU

Mr, Panneton

This is to follow-up with the draft MOU that you faxed to our office October 1st,2008. Thanks for your response. I am requesting a electronic copy to my address.

We will reviewing the hard copy soon. The e-version will make it much easier to share with the WFN team.

I trust that this is sufficient and await the e-version.

Thanks.

Daniel Stubbe

Program Manager Lands and Resources **Wahgoshig First Nation** R.R. #3 Matheson, ON POK 1N0

Ph:(705) 273-2055 Fax:(705) 273-2900

Blackberry no: (705) 648-3561

wfnlandsresources@ntl.sympatico.ca

CONFIDENTIAL NOTE: The information contained in this message is priveleged and confidential message that is exempt from disclosure under applicable law and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the inteded recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and delete the original message. Thank you for your cooperation.

Name: 023 Roc 08-10-29 Letter-wg-request For Tek-afi.tif

Size: 58KB (58,955 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:07 PM



October 29, 2008

Chief David Babin Wahgoshig First Nation R.R. #3 Matheson, ON P0K 1N0

Dear Chief Babin,

As you are aware, Detour Gold is currently in the process of developing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Wahgoshig First Nation, which has treaty rights that could potentially be affected by the re-development of the former Detour Lake mine site, including its associated power line that was recently decommissioned.

Although we understand the MoU will take some time to negotiate and finalize, it is important that we move ahead with certain studies which are critical to the permitting process. These studies should ideally be completed before the snow falls.

As part of the permitting process to re-develop the mine site, and to protect local cultural heritage values, Detour Gold is planning to undertake archaeological studies of new areas adjacent to the Detour Lake mine site that could potentially be developed, along with investigations of the power line routing, which would use the same right-of-way (ROW) as the prior line. The archaeological studies would be carried out under the direction of John Pollock, a licensed archaeologist, of Woodland Heritage Services (WHS).

Timing for the archaeological studies is constrained by the seasons in that field work for most elements is best carried out during the snow free period. To avoid unnecessary delays in archaeological data collection, we would like to develop a cooperative work arrangement with the Wahgoshig First Nation to undertake the archaeological field work this fall, while the MOU is being completed. This work could be completed with WHS working directly with your Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) staff, and possibly also including, at your discretion, the involvement of the Wabun Tribal Council.

The focus of TEK gathering at this stage would be on cultural heritage values that could have a bearing on archaeological field investigations. The reason for this is that TEK held by community members would greatly assist the planning and direction of archaeological field investigations. Broader aspects of TEK gathering could be addressed separately. TEK data would be collected through confidential questionnaires with the data to remain confidential, and only disclosed at the discretion of the First Nation.



Archaeological Stage 1 (Site Potential) and Stage 2 (Follow-up Field Testing) would be conducted (weather permitting) following the acquisition of sufficient information from the TEK studies to guide the field program. The field investigations would focus on areas where new ground disturbing development could potentially occur, and in areas identified from the TEK research, together with sites along the former transmission line ROW exhibiting higher archaeological potential such as major water and esker crossings.

Once you have had a chance to consider this proposal, Detour Gold would like to immediately work with your community to determine how best to carry out the TEK studies and archaeological field programs.

Respectfully yours,

Detour Gold Corporation

Gerald S. Panneton

President & Chief Executive Officer

Name:

025 Roc 08-10-30 Email-wg-request For Tek-afi.tif

Size:

17KB (16,421 bytes)

Modified:

Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:35:29 PM

From:

Gerald Panneton

Sent:

Thursday, October 30, 2008 11:16 AM

To:

'Dan'

Cc:

Brian Davey; 'Laurie Gaborit'; 'Simms, David'

Subject:

DGC Request

Attachments:

DGC Request Wahgoshig FN Letter_Oct 29.pdf

Importance:

High

Dear Chief Babin

It has been a while we have not met, and hopefully once the hunting season is over we can scheduled to meet in November to finalise the MoU

In the mean time, we do have a request to proceed with some archeological studies to facilitate our requirement prior to the winter snow.

Thank you very much for giving consideration to this request at your earliest convenience

Regards

Gerald Panneton

President & CEO Detour Gold Corporation

Royal Bank Plaza North Tower 200 Bay Street, suite 2040 Box #23 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1

Phone 416 304 0800 Fax 416 304 0184

gpanneton@detourgold.com

Name: Attach 1 Dgc Request Wahgoshig Fn Letter_oct 29.tif

Size: 58KB (58,955 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:35:38 PM



October 29, 2008

Chief David Babin Wahgoshig First Nation R.R. #3 Matheson, ON P0K 1N0

Dear Chief Babin.

As you are aware, Detour Gold is currently in the process of developing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Wahgoshig First Nation, which has treaty rights that could potentially be affected by the re-development of the former Detour Lake mine site, including its associated power line that was recently decommissioned.

Although we understand the MoU will take some time to negotiate and finalize, it is important that we move ahead with certain studies which are critical to the permitting process. These studies should ideally be completed before the snow falls.

As part of the permitting process to re-develop the mine site, and to protect local cultural heritage values, Detour Gold is planning to undertake archaeological studies of new areas adjacent to the Detour Lake mine site that could potentially be developed, along with investigations of the power line routing, which would use the same right-of-way (ROW) as the prior line. The archaeological studies would be carried out under the direction of John Pollock, a licensed archaeologist, of Woodland Heritage Services (WHS).

Timing for the archaeological studies is constrained by the seasons in that field work for most elements is best carried out during the snow free period. To avoid unnecessary delays in archaeological data collection, we would like to develop a cooperative work arrangement with the Wahgoshig First Nation to undertake the archaeological field work this fall, while the MOU is being completed. This work could be completed with WHS working directly with your Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) staff, and possibly also including, at your discretion, the involvement of the Wabun Tribal Council.

The focus of TEK gathering at this stage would be on cultural heritage values that could have a bearing on archaeological field investigations. The reason for this is that TEK held by community members would greatly assist the planning and direction of archaeological field investigations. Broader aspects of TEK gathering could be addressed separately. TEK data would be collected through confidential questionnaires with the data to remain confidential, and only disclosed at the discretion of the First Nation.



Archaeological Stage 1 (Site Potential) and Stage 2 (Follow-up Field Testing) would be conducted (weather permitting) following the acquisition of sufficient information from the TEK studies to guide the field program. The field investigations would focus on areas where new ground disturbing development could potentially occur, and in areas identified from the TEK research, together with sites along the former transmission line ROW exhibiting higher archaeological potential such as major water and esker crossings.

Once you have had a chance to consider this proposal, Detour Gold would like to immediately work with your community to determine how best to carry out the TEK studies and archaeological field programs.

Respectfully yours,

Detour Gold Corporation

Gerald S. Panneton

President & Chief Executive Officer

Name: 027 Roc 08-12-08 Phone Call-mopachee Boydon.tif

Size: 693KB (708,861 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:56:56 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

	it the state of the	ង់/ដែលនៃពីលើការពីលើ៖ អំដែន	
Date	December 8, 2008	Recorded by	M Burson
Location [if applicable]	n/a	Project team participants [list all]	
Names & organizations ** For any new contacts, pinformation at the bottom	provide contact	Mary Boydon, Wahgoshig's Minir	ng Initiatives Development Officer
Purpose of contact/ever	nt To explain Paulo M	Nopachee quitting	

Mary had already heard from Rejean (Landdrill) about this. She did not know that Paulo had been with three different drillers or that the third driller actually left the rig because Paulo was so aggressive. She doesn't know Paulo well, he lives in Timmins and not on the reserve. She explained that Paulo had experience drilling and wasn't happy being a helper but she told him that he would have to help first until he learned how Landdrill did things. She was surprised when I told her that all personnel on the rigs right now are drillers and they take turns helping.

She also was dismayed that Paulo was so aggressive at the time of the incident that the driller actually left the rig and returned to camp.

Mary has expressed concern that we don't take this as a negative with regard to hiring others from the Wahgoshig FN. I told her this was not an issue, that the other helper was a pleasure to have around.

She will be meeting with Paulo tomorrow and will let me know if there are any concerns.

Form completed by	M Burson	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	08.12.08

				Ņ	ew enn Rombel	ata kan Postavanik				
First name			ar en an inis		Title	al museritate in stead of	n 16 55 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	4.11. U. 5.45.4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ाना, कृत्युं∘देशका
Last name	•				E-mail					
Phone no.			Fax n	0.			Cell no.			
Name of o	rganization									
Departme	nt/field office									
Street add	Iress									
City				Pr	ovince		Postal cod	de		
Mailing ac	Idress than street addres	ss]								
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Posta	l Mail:	E-Mail:	Ph	one:	Inactive:		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]				Who?						
Also affiliated with [list other project-related affiliations]										

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252)

E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)

Fax: (613) 727-9465

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

** Copy abo	ve section if there i	s more th	an 1 ne	w cor	itact**					
****			i k		roulente.	\$				1.6
			ilyriee		Bisitificati	(griewconie				
					Title					
Last name		-			E-mail					
Phone no.		Fax n		10.			Cell no.			
Name of org	ganization									
Department	/field office									
Street addre	ess									
City				Р	rovince		Postal c	ode		
Mailing add	ress han street address]		-	•						
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Postal Mail:		E-Mail:	F	Phone:	Inactive:		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]				Who?						
Also affiliat	ed with [list other	oroject-re	lated							

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252)
E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)
Fax: (613) 727-9465

Name: 028 Roc 08-06-13 Letter-wg-request For Meeting.tif

Size: 30KB (29,980 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:15 PM



Chief David Babin Wahgoshig First Nation PO BOX 629 Matheson, ON POK 1N0

June 13, 2008

Dear Chief Babin.

I am writing to request a meeting with you and the Council of the Wahgoshig First Nation.

Detour Gold is a Canadian gold exploration and development company whose primary focus is to re-develop an historic gold mine - the Detour Lake property - located 135 kilometers northeast of Cochrane in Northern Ontario. The Detour Gold Project is in the feasibility planning stage, and if developed, would be developed on the same site as the former Detour Gold Mine which operated from 1983 to 1999, and was recently decommissioned by Placer Dome / Goldcorp.

Prior to formal engagement, Detour Gold and AMEC Earth & Environmental (retained by Detour Gold for environmental services for the project) would like to meet with you to build constructive, mutually beneficial relationship with your community and other First Nations who may have traditional lands in the project area. We would like to discuss with you:

- 1. the proposed project
- 2. your interest in the project, and
- 3. engagement protocols for your First Nation about the project.

In speaking with Ginger Nettle, we understand that you and the Council are available to meet with us at 1 p.m. on Monday, June 23, 2008 at your offices in Matheson. We will follow-up with a phone call to confirm our meeting.

We look forward to meeting with you and the Council.

Sincerely,

Gerald Panneton,

President & CEO, Detour Gold

ee: Ginger Nettle, Band Manager, Wahgoshig First Nation

Name: 029 Roc 08-06-16 Letter-wg To Dgc.tif

Size: 53KB (53,742 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:24 PM



WAHGOSHIG FIRST NATION (Abitibi #70)

R.R.=3 Matheson, Ontario P0K 1N0

All SSAGIEL

17052732900

Phone: (705) 273-2055 Fax: (705) 273-2900

E-Mail: wahgoshi@ntl.sympatico.ca

FAX COVER SHEET DATE TO: 416 304 0184 FIRM: FAX: PHONE: Band Administrator FROM: | | David Babin Chici () Betty Singer 1 | Sandra Lavoie CHR Executive Assistant [] Daniel Stubbe Lands and Resources Program Manager () Elizabeth Babin Education Officer { } Therisa Paulins Finance Administrator ! ! Joel Babin Ontario Works Administrator () Nigel Babin Ec. Dev. Officer 1 | George Sackaney Membership Clerk/CTC () Cynthis Dismond Finance Assistant ! | Bonnie Sackaney Secretary WRI [| Cristal Mallette Lands and Resources Secretary IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES. PAGES TO FOLLOW (including this cover sheet) PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER AT THE NUMBER LISTED ABOVE: { } for your approval () reply requested) (as per your request originalism to follow by mail for your information as discussed



Wangoshig First Nation

R.R.#3 Matheson, Ontario **POK 1NO**

Phone: (705) 273-2055 Fax: (705) 273-2900 E-Mail: wahgoshi@ntl.sympatico.ca

June 16, 2008

Gerald Panneton President & CEO **Detour Gold**

Fax:

416-304-0184

Re:

Meeting with Chief and Council Scheduled for Monday, June 23, 2008:

Dear Mr. Panneton:

I am writing regarding the upcoming meeting on June 23rd with the Chief and Council of Wahgoshig First Nation.

The Chief has asked that the meeting be rescheduled until the 24th as he cannot be here on the 23rd as thought. If this change is convenient for you, please either phone or fax confirmation to me.

We look forward to meeting with you on June 24, 2008 at 1:00 p.m.

Sincerely,

Ginger Nadon

Band Administrator

Wahgoshig First Nation

Hingu Nadon

Name: 043 Roc 08-03-07 Letter- Ttn Politis Letter.tif

Size: 81KB (82,895 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:34 PM

Phone: 705 272-8564

Fax: 705 272-4816

Email: admn@forevergreen.ca

WED SITE: WWW.fOREVERGREEN.CA

Aboriginal Solutions Branch

March 7, 2008

President & CEO
Detour Gold Corporation,
Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower, 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040, Box 23
Toronto, Ontario
M5J2J1

Attention: Gerald Panneton

Subject: Relationship with The Taykwa Tagamou Nation

Mr. Panneton;

Our company represents the Taykwa Tagamou Nation (TTN) in their mining initiatives and we've been asked to make contact with you regarding your letter of June 11, 2007.

TTN is a progressively minded Aboriginal community with many forward thinking and established natural resource development agreements with companies just like yours. They are the closest First Nation to your Detour Gold Mine project and are situated about 150 km's to the south.

The community is looking to establish some quick job opportunities in the coming weeks while collaboratively working to establish a longer term arrangement between you. Should there be a quick opportunity to employ some of their work force in the very short term, while being appreciated, this will be viewed positively moving forward.

Could we kindly arrange a time to speak together to begin the process?

Please feel free to call anytime [705-272-8564].

Evolving First Nation, Governmental, And Industrial Relations To Fresh New Levels

FOR EVERGREEN

INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES INC.

March 7, 2008

Aboriginal Solutions Branch

Looking forward to hearing from you ...

Sincerely,

Peter Politis,

Senior Consultant

PP/cl

Name: 044 Roc 08-05-07 Letter- Dgc To Mcfn.tif

Size: 59KB (59,562 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:43 PM



Toronto, May 7th 2008

Chief Patricia Faries-Akiwenzie Moose Cree First Nation P.O. Box 190 Moose Factory, ON POL 1W0 (Fax (705) 658 4734)

Dear Chief Faries-Akiwenzie:

Thank you for your letter of 9 April 2008. On behalf of Detour Gold Corporation, I would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the status of the project as well as our ongoing relationship with the Moose Cree First Nation. We would like to also thank you for facilitating a meeting with the Moose Band Development Corporation.

Detour Gold Corporation has been working diligently to complete a feasibility study on the Project by the end of 2008, at which point the company will be in a position to recommend development of the Project.

As you may know, during the past month we have been contacted by Mr. Gary Innes as well as Messrs. Gary and William Small of Cree Aski wishing to discuss economic opportunities concerning the Project.

We also recently met with Mr. Ken Petersen on behalf of the Moose Cree First Nation and the Moose Band Development Corporation on 30 April in Timmins. Also present at the meeting were Mrs. Lillian Trapper, Mr. Peter Wesley for MCFN and two employees of CS Enterprises.

Detour Gold's representatives at the meeting included myself, Mr. Louis Dionne, Director of the company, Mr. Patrick Donovan, Vice President Corporate Development, Mr. Roger Aubertin, Site Manager and Mr. David Simms of AMEC, the company's retained engineering consultant.

The meeting went very well from our point of view. There was good sharing of information from both sides, and we look forward to further discussions with MCFN respecting the status of the Project and its potential future development based on the completed feasibility study.

Based on our mutual interest, it would be timely, as you suggest, to have a meeting to "move forward" and achieve a relationship of mutual benefit. As with the previous meeting held on 2 November 2007, it might be helpful if Grand Chief Stan Louttit of the Mushkegowuk Council also attended the meeting.

I would be available to meet on any of the following dates in Moose Factory:

- June 2nd or June 3rd
- Week of June 16th
- Week of June 23rd

4/1

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest opportunity.

Yours truly

Gerald Panneton President & CEO

Cc: Detour Gold Directors, Senior Management, Lillian Trapper, MCFN Land resources Peter Wesley, MBDC, Ken Petersen 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040, Box #23, Toronto, Ontario, M5J 2J1 Phone: 416-304-0800, Fax: 416-304-0184

Name:


045 Roc 08-07-23 Letter- Mcfn Cs Enterprises.tif

Size:

40KB (40,193 bytes)

Modified:

Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:11:51 PM



534209 ONTARIO LIMITED OM CS ENTERPRISES

HEAD OFFICE: P.O. BOX ISB • MODBE FACTORY, ONTARIO • MOLTINO TEL: (705) 656-4835 • FAX: (705) 656-4802

COCHRANE OFFICE RO. BOX 1208 . COCHRANE, ONTARIO . POLICO

Teu: (705) 272-6325 . FAX: (705) 272-2018

保証券

July 23, 2008

Gerald Panneton - President & CEO Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040, Box #23 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1

RE: 534209 ONTARIO LIMITED o/a CS ENTERPRISES, WORK CAPACITY

Dear Mr. Panneton

CS Enterprises a 100% owned subsidiary of Moose Band Development Corporation is pleased to provide a summary of work that we would be interested and capable of should the Detour Gold project continue to move forward. This is a result of our meeting in Timmins on April 30, 2008.

CS Enterprises recently met with Denis Alarie, a representative of Leo Alerie and Sons Construction Ltd, whose Parent company is Aecon, to discuss the possibility of a Joint Venture to secure work for the Detour Gold Project. Potential work through this Joint Venture is as follows:

Buildings
Concrete
Tailings Dam
Site preparation
Open pit mining
Mechanical Installations
Electrical work

In addition, Valard Construction Ltd has expressed an interest in a possible Joint Venture as well for this project, they are one of Canada's largest Power Line contractors. They would provide capacity for construction of the Transmission Line and Substation infrastructure.

These Join Ventures will allow CS Enterprises to form Moose Cree First Nation Businessses that can provide a broad range of cost effective and competitive price services to Detour Gold as well as opportunities for Moose Cree First Nation.

We will keep you advised as things progress and we look forward to working with your company should Moose Cree First Nation support this project.

We would like to set up another meeting to discuss developing Moose Cree First Nation contracting rules. Please contact Trent Baker at 705-272-6325 or Carl Swanson at 705-367-2973 to discuss possible dates.

Sincerely,

Peter W. Wesley - A/General Manager

Name: 046 Roc 08-09-30 Letter- Dgc Mou Mcfn.tif

Size: 53KB (54,037 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:02 PM



September 3, 2008

VIA EMAIL: <u>norm.hardisty@moosecree.com</u> WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Norman Hardisty, Chief Moose Cree First Nation P.O. Box 190 Moose Factory, ON P0L 1W0

Re: Memorandum of Understanding

Dear Chief Hardisty,

It was a pleasure meeting with you and Messieurs Rickard and Hunter. We on the Detour Gold side thought of the meeting as a good start to establish a long term relationship and we feel positive about working together in the future.

As discussed, we would like to suggest the next steps to be taken in building our partnership with the Moose Cree First Nation.

We believe the best approach is to start with the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) that commits the parties to the following: sharing information, keeping each other informed, organizing community and mine site visits, establishing a process for communicating with media, identifying opportunities as they become available, identifying sensitive or cultural sites near the project area, establishing confidentiality provisions while being transparent, providing budgetary requirements associated with the First Nation's participation in our engagement, establishing timelines and items to be negotiated in an Impact and Benefit Agreement (IBA) and contact persons for both parties.

This is not an exhaustive list but it provides a good indication of the provisions we anticipate to be included in a MoU. We look forward to your suggestions as well. Also, if you consider this list to be too ambitious for a MoU, please let us know. To kick start the process, we have drafted a MoU for your comments and consideration.

Hopefully, we can advance and resolve the MoU as soon as possible and move towards the larger task of negotiating and concluding an IBA for the benefit of your people and our company.

In closing, we look forward to your response. If you require additional clarification or have questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. As Messieurs Davey and Goodings are assisting me in this file, you or your officials can also communicate with them directly.

Kind Regards,

Gerald S. Panneton

DETOUR GOLD CORPORA

President and Chief Executive Officer

cc. Fred Hunter, Moose Cree First Nation Ernest Rickard, Moose Cree First Nation

Name: 053 Roc 08-07-31 Letter-wg Request For Donation.tif

Size: 82KB (83,265 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:10 PM



Wangoshig First Nation

R.R.#3 Matheson, Ontario Phone: (705) 273-2055 Fax: (705) 273-2900 E-Mail: wahgoshi@ntl.sympatico.ca

	POK INO	E-Mail. Wangoshi & Iti.
	FAX	COVER SHEET
DATE:	July 31,	_
TO:	Mr. G. Pannete)n
FIRM:	President & CEO	Detour Gold.
PHONE:	416-304-0411	FAX: 416-304-0184
FROM: { } D	David Babin Chlef	{ } Ginger Nadon Band Administrator
	Sandra Lavoie Executive Assistant	() Betty Singer CHR
	Elizabeth Babín Education Officer	() Daniel Stubbe Lands and Resources Program Manager
() J	loel Babin Ontario Works Administrator	() Therisa Paulins Finance Administrator
	George Sackancy Membership Clerk/CTC	() Adam Sackaney - Acting Ec. Dev. Officer
	Crystal Mallette Secretary/Lands and Resources	() Cynthia Diamond Finance Assistant
	Bonnic Sackaney Lowbush Coordinator	Christine Mallette-Widdiffeld Assistant Lowbush Coordinator
PAGES TO F	FOLLOW (including this cover sheet) INTACT THE SENDER AT THE NUM	3. IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES. MBER LISTED ABOVE:
	() as per your request () original(s) to follow by mail () as discussed	for your approval reply requested for your information
MESSAGE:_	House a nice da	у
	0.11 15.00.4.4	
\$ENDER: _	Chicalifield	



WAHGOSHIG FIRST NATION

(Abitibi #70)

R.R.#3 Matheson, Ontario POK 1N0 Phone: (705) 273-2055 Fax: (705) 273-2900

E-Mail: wahgoshi@ntl.sympatico.ca

July 31, 2008

Mr. Gerald S. Panneton
President & C EO
Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower
200 Bay Street, Suite 2040,
Box # 23
Toronto, Ontario
M5J 2J1

RE: DONATION FOR TRADITIONAL GATHERING 2008

Dear Mr. Panneton,

Wahgoshig First Nation is in the process of planning a Traditional Gathering that will be held at our original Land site which is located in Lowbush, Ontario approximately 153 km from Wahgoshig. The dates for this event are August 15, 16, 17, 2008.

The reason we are writing your organization is to request a donation for our Traditional Gathering. In particular, for Detour Gold, one of our main objectives is to identify our traditional territory—while we are at the gathering. Should a representative from your company like to attend and perhaps hold an information session, we would gladly extend an invitation.

The Gathering will consist of all Wahgoshig Band Membership which is approximately 268 members. During the gathering we plan to have a number of activities for children and youth as well as our elders.

Should your organization wish to donate towards our Traditional Gathering. We will announce your organization and we will ensure that you receive a certificate of recognition. Should you wish to make a donation please make cheque payable to

Wahgoshig First Nation R.R. #3 Matheson, Ontario POK 1NO

Should you require any further information please feel free to call the number listed above. Our Coordinators for this event are Bonnie Sackaney and Christine Mallette-Widdifield.

Respectfully yours,

Bonnie Sachaney

Coordinator Lowbush Gathering

Name: 054 Roc 08-12-01 Phonecall- Chief Norman Hardisty.tif

Size: 686KB (701,464 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:02 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

ennal pale postavia de a descritacifeven informational de le											
Date	December 1, 2008			Recorded by	Brian Dav	vey					
Location [if applicable]	n/a			Project team	olli.						
Name of the state	£ diain and	- flict olli	Chief N	participants [list all] Chief Norman Hardisty							
Names & organizations of participants [list all] ** For any new contacts, provide contact				oman maruisty							
information at the bottom of	of this form **										
Purpose of contact/even				First Nation is at wi							
Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:											
I had a phone conversation	n with Chief N	orman Hard	disty regard	ling the First Natio	n's response to D	etour's draft MoU. I					
asked him when we expect completed and the Counci	t to hear from	the Moose	Cree First	Nation on the Mol	J. He indicated th	ne legal review is					
response shortly thereafte	r - probably th	e next day	or 2.	ait response on D	ecember 9 and v	we should receive the					
					E 1						
Carries of the angle of the contract of the co		1719 Re	eoro Ma								
Form completed by	Brian Davey			Date form co	mpleted [08-12-0	02]					
			anni da	maesatesä							
SERVICE CLICATE				eteo d'Enely cont							
First name			Title								
Last name			E-mail	-mail							
Phone no.		Fax no.			Cell no.						
Name of organization											
Department/field office											
Street address					T						
City			Province		Postal code						
Mailing address [if different than street add	ressi										
Add to mailing list? [Y/N		Po	stal Mail:	E-Mail:	Phone	: Inactive:					
appropriate]											
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]			Who	?							
Also affiliated with [list other project-related											
affiliations] ** Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**											
本种的股份中央的由于1915年中的1915年中的1915年中,1915年中,1915年中,1915年中,1916年中,1916年中,1916年中,1916年中,1916年中											
	ĒĦPĒĦĨ	rivine dist	a de coma		cs i i i i i i i i						
The second secon			Title								
Last name			E-mai								
Phone no.		Fax no.			Cell no.						
Name of organization				 -							

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Department/field office

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252)
E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line)
Fax: (613) 727-9465

(Updated 08-06-10) Page 1 of 2

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

Street ad	dress						
City			Province			Postal code	
Mailing a [if differer	ddress It than street address]						
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]		Postal Mail:		E-Mail:	Phone:	Inactive:	
Replacin [Y/N]	g someone else in sar	ne position?		Who?			
Also affil	iated with [list other pro	oject-related					

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252) E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line) Fax: (613) 727-9465

Name: 058 Roc 08-12-16 Email- Ttn Tek Rfp.tif

Size: 70KB (71,006 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:35:09 PM

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 3:36 PM

To: Warren, Meryl

Subject: FW: Detour Gold - TEK study request for proposal

More for SIIMs

From: Gerald Panneton [mailto:GPanneton@detourgold.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2008 12:15 PM
To: Simms, David; peter_archibald@hotmail.com
Cc: Brian Davey; Burgess, Caroline M; Daniel, Sheila E
Subject: RE: Detour Gold - TEK study request for proposal

Tks David

Peter.

we look forward to work with you in the future.

Gerald Panneton

President & CEO
Detour Gold Corporation

Royal Bank Plaza North Tower 200 Bay Street, suite 2040 Box #23 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1

Phone 416 304 0800 Fax 416 304 0184

gpanneton@detourgold.com

From: Simms, David [mailto:david.simms@amec.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2008 12:10 PM

To: peter_archibald@hotmail.com

Cc: Gerald Panneton; Brian Davey; Burgess, Caroline M; Daniel, Sheila E

Subject: Detour Gold - TEK study request for proposal

Dear Peter:

Please find enclosed, as discussed last week, a finalized version of Detour Gold's Request for Proposals (RFP) for the Community (and its representatives) to carry out Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)

studies in relation to the Detour Lake Project. This version has minor changes from the earlier draft, and includes maps showing the suggested study area boundaries.

Once you and your representatives have had time to review the RFP, we would be please to discuss any aspects that you might wish to consider. The RFP is somewhat prescriptive to ensure consistency of information. Detour Gold and AMEC Earth & Environmental (as their representatives) want to ensure that all communities will provide similar information. The same RFP will also be sent to the Moose Cree and Wahgoshig First Nations, as these communities also have traditional lands in the Project area, and will therefore also hold TEK.

TEK is required to ensure that traditional values in the area are identified and protected, as well as to meet provincial and regulatory requirements. We would like to award the contract by January 10, 2009, so that studies can be completed in a timely fashion to support environmental approval applications. However, we recognize that the holiday season is fast approaching, so if more time is needed please feel free to contact either myself, Brian Davey, or Caroline Burgess.

The proposal (in electronic format) should be forwarded to Gerald Panneton of Detour Gold, with copies to myself, Brian Davey and Caroline Burgess.

In the meantime, if you should have any questions, please contact me by e-mail, or by phone (905-568-2929 ext 4224; or cell 416-452-6506), or you can contact Brian Davey by cell, or by phone at 416-738-7140.

Kind regards Dave Simms

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed

Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents

If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

Name: 059 Roc 07-06-19 Letter- Ttn To Dgc.tif

Size: 38KB (38,129 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:18 PM

Taykwa Tagamou Nation

T.T.NATION

Taykma Tagamon Territory Via Codiment, ON P01. 1CU

Facsimile: (705) 272-5785 Email: trustion Opinenci

June 19, 2007

Mr. Gerald Panneton Detour Gold Royal Bank Plaza North Tower 200 Bay St. Suite 2040, Box #23 Toronto, ON M5J 2J1

Dear Mr. Panneton;

We are in receipt of your letter of June 11, 2007, both versions. For future correspondence and reference please note that with our name change from New Post First Nation, the 'First' has been dropped and it is Taykwa Tagamou Nation.

I have consulted with the Chief and Council and it seems that some wish to meet with you, Tuesday July 10th, 2007 is a preferable date.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter, we look forward to confirmation of the date.

Sincerely,

Kira M.M. Dunham-Crone, M.Sc.F.

Forestry Liaison, TTN

CC:

Chief and Council, TTN

Gord Yule, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Name: 061 Roc 08-08-05 Letter-dgc Receipt Of Wg Mou.tif

Size: 22KB (22,336 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:28 PM



August 1, 2008

Fap. 705 273 2950

Chief David Babin Wahgoshig First Nation R.R. # 3 Matheson, ON P0K 1N0

Dear Chief David Babin,

Thank you for sending a draft MOU to our attention. Detour Gold has received the MOU and would once again like to thank you for the attached document. We will review this document and look forward to a continued agreement between Detour Gold Corporation and Wahgoshig First Nation. We truly appreciate the information shared with us.

Sincerely,

Gerald Panneton
President and CEO

CC-9 CMy GOODINGD.

Name: 063 Roc 08-11-12 Letter-wg-okt To Dgc.tif

Size: 110KB (112,078 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:36 PM



229 COLLEGE ST., SUITE 312 TORONTO, ON M5T 1R4

TEL: 416.981.9330 FAX: 416.981.9350

Wednesday, November 12, 2008

TO:	PHONE #	FAX#
Mr. Gerald S. Panneton President and CEO Detour Gold Corporation		416-304-0184

FROM: Kate Kempton / 416.981.9374 / kkempton@oktlaw.com

FILE#: 72475

NO. PAGES: 2 (including this page) ORIGINAL: will not follow

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. Any other use, dissemination, distribution, disclosure or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect if necessary) so that we may arrange the return of the original transmission. Thank you,



Kate Kempton kkempton@oktlaw.com 416.981.9374 72475

November 12, 2008

BY FACSIMILE 416-304-0184

Mr. Getald S. Panneton
President and CEO
Detour Gold Corporation
200 Bay Street, Suite 2040
Box 23 Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower
Toronto ON M5J 2J1

Dear Mr. Panneton:

Re: Consultations and Negotiations with Wahgoshig First Nation

You wrote to the Chief of Wahgoshig First Nation on October 29, and the Chief has asked me to respond as legal counsel to WFN, in respect of your proposed exploration activity in WFN's traditional territory this winter.

WFN wishes to maintain a positive working relationship with your company, but there are a few things that need to be sorted out first.

In a nutshell, WFN is prepared to have you proceed with the development activities you propose in your October 29 letter ("Activities"), if Detour is prepared to agree as follows:

- that the MOU you reference in your letter is agreed to in advance of such Activities;
- that the archaeological and "TEK" and cultural heritage values work you reference in your letter, are completed in respect of the area to be directly affected by your proposed Activities, before you commence such Activities, and
- that such work as referenced in the bullet point above is done by advisors hired by WFN but paid by your company.

As a matter of context, I am not at all routinely involved in negotiations between WFN and the proponent. Gerry Kerr has been hired to advise on such negotiations, and I routinely provide advice 'at the end' when the parties have negotiated a deal in principle, and I am called upon to provide advice to WFN on that deal from a legal perspective.

Page 2

But your letter suggests you need immediate decisions and actions from the First Nation, and the Chief contacted me about the implications to the First Nation about what you were asking. There are often many implications to First Nations in these sorts of situations and our firm is routinely called upon to advise about these (since these are legal rights, and since our firm is a specialist in this area, this is normal).

Thus, after discussing the situation with Gerry Kerr and the Chief tonight, I was instructed to send you this letter to help (I hope) clarify what the First Nation needs before the First Nation is prepared to have you engage in the Activities you propose.

First Nations do not normally agree to have the proponent conduct or hire specialists for the archaeological or anthropological work they require to determine what "cultural values" might exist for the First Nation in the affected area. These are the First Nation's values and this is the First Nation's heritage — and it is critically important that the First Nation trust the experts who will be working on this, and that the First Nation be able to control this process. Thus, the First Nation normally chooses and hires such experts, and the proponents normally pays for this work.

This is now routine, in all the files for First Nations related to these matters on which I work.

This is WFN's requirement here, and it is one in keeping with standard practice.

WFN has a relationship with an anthropologist (Peter Armitage) and archaeologist (Linda Larcombe) already. WFN requires that the archaeological work and anthropological work necessary to undertake the cultural heritage assessment for the area in which you intend to explore, be conducted by WFN with WFN's advisors, and that your company pay for this work based on workplans and budgets for reasonably necessary costs for this.

Again, this is or is becoming standard practice.

Please respond asap. I am available to answer questions etc. We are sending this now in the interests of meeting your very tight timelines. This is not adversarial. It is an attempt to reach agreement on key elements, as above.

Sincerely, John May Con re Jol

Kate Kempton

KK/

Name: 076 Roc 08-12-18 Phone Call- Chief Norman Hardisty.tif

Size: 696KB (711,763 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:09 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

trostrastrostro	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
Date	December 18, 2008	Recorded by	Brian Davey		
Location [if applicable]	n/a	Project team participants [list all]			
Names & organizations ** For any new contacts, information at the bottom	provide contact	Telephone conversation with Ch Mushkegowuk press release dat			
Purpose of contact/eve	nt				

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]: Here is a summary of the main points in my discussion with Chief Hardisty. I made reference to their Tribal Council's press release (which includes Moose Cree First Nation) that indicates no mining activity will be supported by the First Nations until certain demands are met by the province in the Mining Act legislation. I asked him does that mean the upcoming MoU negotiations with Detour Gold are off the table. Chief Hardisty responded by saying "no" we are reading the press information wrong. The Mushkegowuk position in the resolution and the press release does not apply to Detour because Moose Cree has a working relationship with Detour Gold - soon to be formalized in an MoU. I suggested that it would be a good for him (Chief Hardisty) to speak directly to Gerald and have a Chief to Chief discussion to clarify any points of confusion that may have resulted from the press release. He agreed and the call was set for later in the day.

*(note for Meryl: make a note in the ROC in SIIMS that Gerald did contact Chief Hardisty later that day)

			i i i i		តែវារៈ ទៅពីក្	\$ \$ \$ 1 L \$ \$.		115.		i i
1 = = 1 1					eropine leier	neres comu				
First name	e				Title					
Last name	9				E-mail					
Phone no			Fax n	0.			Cell no.			
Name of o	organization									
Departme	nt/field office							_		
Street add	dress									
City				Pi	rovince		Postal cod	ie		
Mailing ad	ddress t than street addres	ss]					"			
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Posta	ıl Mail:	E-Mail:	Ph	one:	Inactive:		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]				Who?						
Also affili affiliations	ated with [list othe	r project-re	ated							

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252) E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line) Fax: (613) 727-9465

^{**} Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**

Record of Contact – Detour Lake Project

- 10 2 2 3 3 11 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	2 - 1	##	ykrese)	14 i t 16 i š	₩.# . #.#					
				-	Title					
Last nam	е				E-mail					
Phone no) .		Fax no).			Cell no.			
Name of	organization	<u>"</u>								
Department/field office										
Street ad	dress									
City				Pro	vince		Postal co	de		
Mailing a	ddress t than street addres	s]								
Add to m	ailing list? [Y/N - c te]	hoose all		Postal	Mail:	E-Mail:	Ph	one:	Inactive:	
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]		tion?		Who?						
Also affiliated with [list other project-related affiliations]		ated								

Caroline Burgess, AMEC Earth & Environmental (613-727-0658 x 252) E-mail: caroline.burgess@amec.com (please put Detour Lake ROC in subject line) Fax: (613) 727-9465

Name:

077 Roc 08-12-10 Meeting- Ttn Cochrane.doc.tif

Size:

115KB (117,328 bytes)

Modified:

Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:16 AM



Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date December 10, 2008

Location Restaurant (BBQ & Grill) in Cochrane

Purpose Meeting with Taykwa Tagamou Nation (TTN) representatives to review path

forward on MOU and TEK studies

Participants Gerald Panneton (GP) Detour Gold

Brian Davey (BD) Detour Gold

Dave Simms (DS) AMEC

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN – Senior Advisor

Thomas Kioki (TK) TTN – Elder

Mary Archibald (MA) TTN – Member

Prepared by Dave Simms

Date December 22, 2008

Subject Meeting Minutes - TTN

Meeting Agenda

- Introductions
- Review status of MOU
- Determine path forward on TEK
- Follow-up

Introductions

- General introductions of participants in meeting stating their roles relative to the Detour Lake Project;
- Gerald Panneton explained his role as president and provided TTN representatives with an overview of the Detour Lake Project;
- Brian Davey Detour Gold (DG) lead on First Nation consultations regarding MOUs, IBAs, and related matters;
- Dave Simms technical environmental lead;
- Peter Archibald primary liaison with TTN response for development of MOU and IBA, and for TEK and other matters;
- Thomas Kioki Community Elder to assist Peter Archibald;
- Mary Archibald Community member and wife of Peter Archibald;



Status of MOU

- PA stated that he has been mandated by Chief and Council (CC) to proceed with MOU and IBA negotiations;
- TTN will be using the serves of McLeod Wood (Merv McLeod and Sue Hartwig) to help with development of the MOU;
- TTN will also be assisted by Wayne Ross who currently works at the Victor Mine (Wayne is a band member of TTN):
- PA stated that he is not happy with current draft MOU; it is too general, needs to provide
 more detail on employment and training opportunities, also screening process for
 employees is a concern (criminal checks people need to have a second chance;
- GP responded that this was a concern that needed to be addressed on a case by case basis, DG wants to be fair and to provide people with a second chance;
- PA stated that Band funding is limited for such things as training and would like DG to help the Community to obtain funding either directly, or through government agencies;
- PA need to start training as soon as possible so people ready for work opportunities;
- PA Community representatives would like to go to site to see conditions for themselves:
- GP indicated that late 2009 or early 2010 would be the earliest that Detour could look at training.
- GP DG will work with the Community to arrange a site visit;
- BD rather than rejecting the current draft MOU, DG will try to work with TTN representatives to modify the agreement to address the concerns raised by PA;
- All agreed to move forward with the development of agreements.

Note: Subsequent to the meeting DS spoke with De Beers to clarify the process that De Beers used for the Victor Project regarding TTN involvement. For the Victor Project there was no MOU. Instead there was a more general Participation Agreement Framework which was more general than an MOU, but effectively served as an MOU. Also, instead of an IBA with TTN, there was a Working Relationship Agreement. Peter Archibald's concern with the lack of specifics in the draft MOU likely derives from a misunderstanding of the purpose of the MOU, and that the details that Peter is looking for in the MOU would in fact be part of the IBA.

Also, in subsequent conversations with Merv McLeod, of McLeod Wood, MERV indicated that his preference would be to develop a Letter of Intent rather than an MOU, and to move directly into the more substantive agreement (IBA, Working Relationship Agreement, or other – not specified).

Path Forward on TEK Studies

- DS explained about the need for TEK studies to both protect cultural heritage resources, and to satisfy regulatory requirements for Environmental Assessments and permits;
- DS provide a draft copy of the TEK Request for Proposals (RFP) to PA, explaining that our expectation is that the First Nations would undertake these studies with the help of consultants and other specialists, but that DG (and AMEC as DG's representative) would want to be involved in the overall planning and guidance of the TEK studies;

- DS also explained that the a TEK RFP would be provided to the Moose Cree and Wahgoshig First Nations, and that the purpose of the RFP was to make sure that the three First Nations were all collecting similar information;
- The draft TEK RFP was left with PA, with the understanding that a finalized version showing suggested study area boundaries would be provided shortly. [Follow-up – the final version was sent out electronically on Tuesday December 17, 2008];

Other Comments

 PA – TTN will work with Alex Litvinov, Aquatic Biologist, from the Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre (MERC) to review technical details such as those related to water quality and tailings management;

Follow-up

- All participants agreed to move forward with development of the MOU and with advancing the TEK studies;
- BD to follow-up with PA and McLeod Wood on the MOU;
- DS to provide a final copy of the TEK RFP (provided December 17);
- BD to work with PA to arrange a site visit in the new year.

Please feel free to discuss any aspect of the above.

David Simms

Principal, Environmental Assessment and Resource Development

Name: 089 Roc 09-01-20 Phone Call - Ttn.tif

Size: 256KB (262,110 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:21 AM

Record of Contact - Detour Gold Project

ROC 09-01-20 Phone Call - TTN

Date of Contact	January 20, 2009	Recorded by	C. Burgess
Location [if applicable]	Phone Call	Project team participants [list all]	C. Burgess
Names & organizations of participants [list all] ** For any new contacts, provide contact information at the bottom of this form **		Sue Hartwig, McLeod Wood (re	epresenting TTN)
Purpose of contact/event Update on TEK St		udy progress	

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

- 1. Sue requested via email that I call her to discuss TEK Study progress
- 2. Sue stated that there was a meeting with Peter Archibald, Wayne Ross (Coral Rapids Project), Sue Hartwig, Merv McLeod and Chief Ernie Linklater to discuss the TTN TEK study for Detour. They also asked Jennifer Simard from MERC to provide a price to conduct the TEK study. Sue provided copies of the materials AMEC sent to them in December.

At the meeting it was decided that:

- Nancy Wood, Peter Archibald and Wayne Ross would form the TEK Steering Committee.
- Nancy would be working on the TEK and that Sue would be working on the Letter of Intent with Detour.
- 3. Jennifer Simard is preparing a cost for the TEK work which should be submitted to Sue today.
- 4. Derek Chum, TTNs legal council and Jennifer Simard are reviewing the draft TEK Agreement. Sue is expecting comments back on the agreement contents today, Sue would also like Jennifer's input before sending back to AMEC and Detour for further discussion.
- 5. Once these materials are drafted they will be sent to AMEC and Detour for review prior to our next meeting.
- 6. Next meeting is proposed for Monday, February 9th in Timmins from 11 a.m. 3:30 p.m. Location to be confirmed, but will most likely be at the Five Nations Energy building at 36 Birch Street. S. MERC is located in the same building so, if needed, we could call Jennifer into the meeting when needed. Meeting participants to include: N. Wood, P. Archibald, W. Ross, D. Simms and C. Burgess
- 7. ACTION: CB to confirm that the date and time will work for Dave Simms CONFIRMED 09-01-20.
- 8. ACTION: CB to email Sue to confirm date and time okay with Dave Simms COMPLETED 09-01-20.
- Sue also provided an update on the Letter of Intent. She stated that since Detour is in the feasibility phase of the
 project, that a Letter of Intent is appropriate at this time. Derek Chum is drafting a Letter of Intent. Once the project is
 more advanced, IBA discussions can occur. A meeting has been set with Detour to discussion the Letter of Intent on
 Feb 12th.

Form completed by	C. Burgess	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	08-01-20

Name: 093 Roc 08-09-08 Letter - Ttn Moratorium On

Development.tif

Size: 20KB (20,358 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:44 PM

Taykwa Tagamou Nation

R.R. 82, Box 3320 Taykwa tagamuu Terskory COCHRANE, Ontado Phane: (705)272 5785 Facsimila: (705)272 5785 PDL 100

September 8, 2008

Delivered by Facsimile: 705 670 5803

Northern Development and Mines
Mineral Development and Lands branch

933 Ramsey Lake Road, B6 SUDBURY, Ontario P3E 685

ATTENION: CHIRIS MARR, MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANT

Dear: Chris Marr

Re: Moratorium on Development

Please be advised that our community is placing a Moratorium on Development with-in our Traditional Territory. We can no longer sit by and watch as our resources get deplete and our Territory pillaged by conglomerate mining companies.

I would urge your ministry to meet with and listen to our concerns. It is our hope that we can move ahead positively.

Faithfully yours,

TAYKWA TAGAMOU NATION

Dwight Sutherland Chief

c.c. TTN Council

FILE COPY

Name: 095 Roc 08-11-17 Letter- Detour To Mcleod Wood Ttn.tif

Size: 67KB (68,395 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:26 AM



November 17, 2008

Sue Hartwig, Advisor McLeod Wood Associates Inc. 465 St. Patrick Street West Fergus, ON N1M 1M2

Dear Ms. Hartwig,

Following our meeting of November 11, 2208 regarding a response to our letter of October 29, 2008 requesting Taykwa Tagamou Nation's approval before starting the Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) gathering and archaeological field investigations (prior to concluding an MoU), Detour Gold would like to reassure the Chief and his counsel, along with the community, that it will make best efforts to make employment opportunities available as the project moves ahead towards construction and production.

In addition, Detour Gold makes a firm commitment to create a training development program during the development of the project (pre-production). Although, we are still in the early stages of developing the project (i.e. feasibility study expected in 2009 and construction in 2010-11), we will also seriously look into starting an educational program where Detour Gold would provide scholarships or grants to young individuals and then employ them following their graduation. However, it is difficult at present time to schedule the training development or educational funding program as the current economic situation is difficult. If we are successful, we foresee that training and educational programs could start in 2010. In the interest of efficiency and certainty, we would recommend amending the MoU to reflect the foregoing commitments.

As discussed, at this time, we would like to facilitate the direct involvement of members of the Taykwa Tagamou Nation, including the hiring of community members to assist with Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) gathering and archaeological field investigations. The costs involved with these tasks will be assumed by Detour Gold.

We would also like to start the search for a Community Liaison Officer (CLO) who would be employed by Detour Gold on a part-time basis. Your assistance would be much appreciated in identifying potential candidates with relevant experience.

It is also our understanding from Mr. McLeod and yourself that the Taykwa Tagamou Nation would like to amend the draft MoU (sent to Chief Sutherland on September 30th) to emphasize the power line construction/operation as well as employment commitments relating to it.

Please let us know how you would like to proceed to advance our negotiations in connection with the MoU.



As mentioned in our meeting, once we have the financial capabilities of constructing the mine, my priority is to create employment and training in the communities near the project area and most importantly offer opportunities to the aboriginal people that live on their traditional lands.

Respectfully yours,

Detour Gold Corporation

Gerald S. Panneton President & Chief Executive Officer

Cc: Chief Sutherland Merv McLeod

Name: 098 Roc 08-11-25 Letter- Dgc To Sue Hartwig Ttn.tif

Size: 149KB (152,510 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:35 AM



November 25, 2008

Sue Hartwig, CEO Coral Rapids Power Limited Partnership 465 St. Patrick Street West Fergus, ON N1M 1M2

Dear Ms. Hartwig,

Following our letter of November 17, 2008 stating that Detour Gold will make best efforts to make employment opportunities available as the project moves ahead towards construction and production, find below a very preliminary list of positions that will need to be fulfilled for the operation of the mine. Note that the feasibility study is not completed and this list is subject to change.

Titles	
Mineral processing	73
Mining	87.5
Geology and Engineering	36
Administration	111
Start -up	24
Totals	308 (excl. start-up)

Furthermore, the preliminary list is broken down into more specific positions:

ADMINISTRATION		Totals
Management	2	
General Manager		1
Deputy General Manager		1
Finance & Materials Management	24	
Manager - Fin. & Mat.		1
Sr Admin Assistant		1
Sr Accountant		1
Accountant		2
Clerk		2
Materials Management Superintendent		1
Sr Purchasing Officer		1
Warehouse Supervisor		2
Warehouse Assistant		6



Bus Drivers	T	4
IT Coordinator		1
IT assistant		2
Health, Safety and	-	
Training	10	
H+S+T Manager		1
H+S+T Deputy Manager		1
Safety Foreman		2
Training Foreman		2
Training assistant		4
Environment	8	
Environment Manager		1
Environment Deputy		1
Manager		'
Enviro Officer		2
Samplers		4
Clinic	4	
Paramedic		2
Assistant Paramedic		2
Assay lab	8	
Lab manager		1
Assistant Lab manager		1
Lab assistants		6
CR + HR	6	
HR/CR Manager		1
HR/CR Deputy Manager		1
HR/CR Officer		4
Catering and	34	
accomodation	34	
Catering Manager		1
Catering Deputy Manager		1
Labourer		4
Catering and		30
accomodation		30
Security	11	
Security Manager		1
Security Supervisors		2
Security Agents		8
Concentrate Sales	2	
Gold Sales Manager		1
Gold Sales Deputy Manager		1
Totals		111



MINERAL PROCESSING	Totals
Plant Superintendent	1
Sr. Metallurgist	1
Metallurgist	2
Chief Chemist	1
Maintenance Superintendent	1
Maintenance Planner	2
Plant Shift supervisors	4
Maintenance Superintendent	1
Electrician	4
Reagent Operators	2
Mechanics/Welders	12
Maintenance supervisor	4
Control Room Operators	0
Primary Crusher Operators	4
Operator helpers	4
Tailings Operators	
Grinding Operators	4
Grinding Helpers	0
CIP and Stripping Operators	4
Electrowinning and Gold room Operators	4
Plant Labours	0
Sample Prep Technicians	6
Day Labours	0
Assay Technicians	6
Dryman	2
Instrumentation technicians	4
Totals	73

ENGINEERING and GEOLOGY	Totals
Chief Mining Engineer	1
Sr Mine Surveyor	1
Chief Geologist	1
Sr Mine Geologist	1
Development Geologist	1
Pit Geologists	4
Grade Control Technicians	12
Training Coordinator	1
Assistant Surveyor	4



Surveyor	2
Samplers	8
Totals	36

MINING	Totals
Mining Manager	1
Deputy Mining Manager	1
Pit Superintendent	1
Deputy Pit Superintendent	1
Sr Planning Engineer	1
Maintenance Superintendent	1
Mining Engineers	6
Mining technician	6
Pit Supervisors	4
Operators	30
Labourers (25 % of ops)	8
Maintenance Supervisor	2
Sr Mechanics	4
Schedulers	2
Fuel Station Technicians	4
Wash Bay Technicians	2
Lube Station Technicians	2
Mechanics	8
Electricians	4
Totals	87.5

Respectfully yours,

Detour Gold Corporation

Gerald S. Panneton President & Chief Executive Officer

Cc: Chief Sutherland Peter Archibald Merv McLeod

Name: 099 Roc 08-12-23 Meeting Ttn.tif

Size: 275KB (281,315 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:41 AM



Minutes of Meeting

Date/Time:	December 23, 2008	File No:	TC81510
Location:	McLeod Wood Offices, Fergus, ON	Written By:	C. Burgess
Subject:	TEK Study and Impact Assessment for Taykwa Tagamou Nation	Project No.:	TC81510
Project:	2008 Detour Lake Project		
Present:	TTN: Peter Archibald (PA) AMEC: Dave Simms (DS), Caroline Burgess (CB – b) McLeod Wood: Sue Hartwig, Nancy Wood		
Distribution:	Attendees plus: Gerald Panneton (Detour), Brian Da (AMEC)	vey (Detour); Sheila	Daniel

	The main purpose of the meeting was to discuss the process for collecting and assessing effects of the Detour Lake Project on the Traditional Ecological Knowledge held by members of the Taykwa Tagamou Nation.	
	ITEM	ACTION
A	Introductions	
1	All participants introduced themselves:	Info
	Sue: has worked with McLeod Wood and with TTN and Peter Archibald on a number of projects for several years. Sue is currently the Acting CEO of Coral Rapids Power, a TTN owned company.	
	Peter: TTN member and community advisor for TEK	
	Dave: AMEC senior consultant and worked in mining in northern Ontario for 30+ years	
	Nancy: Mining technician with McLeod Wood and involved in the TEK study for the Five Nations Energy, James Bay Coastal Transmission Line project, and with the First Nation Services Co. on the James Bay Winter Road.	
	Caroline: Works for AMEC and is responsible for managing TEK work for the Detour Lake project, as well as consultation, and socio-economics for the Environmental Assessment	
В	Detour Lake Project Overview	



1	DS provided an overview of the Detour Lake project including:	Info
	Where the project is located and current condition of the site	
	Types of Environmental assessments and permits likely to be required	
	An overview of Project details relating such aspects as open pit mine development, waste rock storage and management, mill processing, water management and treatment, power supply, workforce and accommodations, reclamation at closure (a draft map showing a generalized layout for site development was left on the understanding that the map is draft and could change with further study)	
	First Nation traditional lands (including a map showing Moose Cree and Wahgoshig traditional lands), and potential interests, stressing that Detour is striving to work fairly and openly with all three First Nations (Moose Cree, Taykwa Tagamou, and Wahgoshig)	
2	PA indicated that he needs to know more about the mining process to understand possible environmental effects.	Info for consultation
	SH suggested that a video simulation of the mining process has been used by other mining companies to show the public how mining processes work.	program
	A physical model and tours of the mine site would also be helpful.	
3	Tailings water drainage:	D. Simms
	PA asked what would be done with the water in the tailings pond.	
	DS answered that during the mining operations there will always be water in the tailings ponds. At Closure, the water will be drained.	
	PA asked where the water would be drained.	
	DS explained that the water would be drained into the Sunday Lake watershed/system; and that the water is treated before it is released into the environment.	:
	PA recalled that during the previous operation of the Detour Lake mine that there was a breach of the tailings dam and one of the lakes in the area was destroyed. PA stated that the Moose Cree were aware of this and other First Nations as well.	
	DS responded that he was not aware of this occurrence, but would ask Reuben Wallin (previous mine site operator) about this event.	
	DS explained that in the past operation, there was no chemical destruction of cyanide and that East Lake was used as part of the treatment system for tailings. In the late 1980s hydrogen peroxide started being used to treat tailings water.	
	PA asked how much water would be drained from the old mine pit.	
	DS stated that if the water is clean enough to drain into Karel Creek, then this will be done. If the water is not clean enough for direct discharge, then it will be drained into the newly constructed tailings ponds.	
	PA stated that he thinks there could be old equipment at the bottom of the old mine pit under water.	
	DS stated that he was not aware of any equipment, but that there are reportly some sulphide concentrate tailings at the bottom of the pit.	



4	Environmental Monitoring:	Info
	PA asked if there will be First Nations monitors at the site like there is for the DeBeers Victor mine.	
	DS stated that this may also be put in place for Detour.	
	PA asked if Muskegowuk Environmental Research Council (MERC) would be involved in reviews of the Environmental Assessment.	
	DS stated that AMEC will produce the Environmental Assessment(s) and permit documents, and that these would be reviewed by the government agencies and the First Nations. He stated that while there are three different First Nations involved in the project, he hoped that there would be one review of the EAs (by MERC or other organization) on behalf of all three First Nations, rather than duplicating the review process.	
	SH indicated that the government has more rigorous reviews of EAs now than in the past.	
5	Water Effects:	Info
	PA stated that First Nations will be mainly concerned with the water effects and will need to know which lakes will be affected.	
	DS stated that the water balance is being studied currently. DS provided an overview of the lakes in the receiving water drainage system and what chemicals will come out of the mining process. DS stated that the plan is for a high rate of water recycle back to process, and that cyanide (a process chemical) would be destroyed in the mill using the SO ₂ -AIR cyanide destruction and metals removal process, and that residual ammonia from ammonia-based blasting agents would be removed in the tailings basin.	
	NW asked how deep will be the new mine pit.	
	DS stated it will be about 500 meters deep (which participants found hard to visualize).	
	DS stated that even with water recycling, there will be a need to take water from a local water body such as the Detour River, but that other options were being considered.	
6	Terrestrial Info:	Info
	DS explained that there will be 100 meter high waste rock piles since for every tonne of ore there will be 5 tonnes of waste rock. Also there will be 10-20 meters of soil (overburden) that will have to be removed from the open pit area to expose the ore.	
	PA asked if all the soil would be removed all at once for the pit.	
	DS explained that soil would be removed in stages, as this is more cost effective.	
7	Mine Operational Lifespan:	Info
	NW/SH asked how many years the mine is forecasted to operate.	
	DS stated that with a \$700/oz. pit operating at 40,000 to 60,000 tons/day, the mine would operate for 20 – 25 years, but that there was the potential to extend the mine life.	



8	Power Line:	Info
	There was clarification about the need for larger transmission line. DS stated that the new line would be a wooden pole 115 kV line, similar to the previous line, and would be developed along the previously used route unless there was something in the right-of-way that would cause Detour to move the line in some areas. DS explained that in the previous route selection for the transmission line that no TEK or cultural heritage studies were completed.	
9	Worker Camp:	Info
	PA asked how many acres would be needed for the workers camp.	
	DS stated that the camp would be about the same size as that for the Victor mine and will be able to accommodate 800 – 850 workers during construction and approximately 250 workers for the operations period.	
	PA wanted to know if the workers would have access to Little Hopper Lake for fishing and recreation, since that is where he and other First Nation members conduct their spring goose hunt. He is concerned that with the number of workers on site, they will be using the lakes when not on shift.	
	DS stated that resource uses by workers should be discussed to eliminate conflicts with First Nation uses.	
	PA asked where the camp would be located.	
	DS stated that while the site location has not been finalized, the ideal location would be along the access road into the site and in an area that is aesthetically pleasing. Before the location is determined, Detour will need to get a better understanding of how the land is currently being used.	
С	Approach to TEK	
1	Study Area:	Info
	There was clarification of the difference between the Far North Planning Initiative, which involves mandatory First Nations planning in the area north of current forest licensed areas; and the Northern Ontario Growth Plan which is focused on economic development. It was clarified that the Detour mine site is located south of the Far North Planning Initiative boundary.	
2	CB indicated that the proposed TEK working group would confirm the study area boundaries for TEK work. CB clarified that we proposed that there would be separate working groups for each First Nation.	Info
	DS stated that the study area proposed on the map is the area that would most likely be influenced by the project, however, the routes used to travel into the study area would also be considered.	
	DS stated that AMEC does not have a map of the TTN traditional territories.	
	PA explained that TTN has a traditional territory map, but that it is not yet finalized. The map has been presented to the community, but the Chief and Councl have not finalized it, and some have issues with the map. He confirmed that the TTN territory overlaps with that of the Moose Cree and extends approximately 10-12 km past east of the the Little Abitibi Provincial Park and that the [North] French River forms the eastern boundary.	



3	CB asked about family connections between the three First Nations involved in the project.	
	PA stated that there are many family connections and that TTN members live on the Moose Cree reserve and inter-marriages.	
4	CB asked if TEK studies were undertaken to establish the TTN traditional territories map.	Info
	PA stated that TEK studies were done, but that some of the members living in Thunder Bay and North Bay were not interviewed.	
5	Detour Gold Info:	Info
	SH asked who are the main players at Detour Gold.	
	DS and CB provided names and titles as follows:	
	Gerald Panneton, President and CEO	
	Laurie Gaborit, VP Investor Relations	
	Pat Donovan, VP Corporate Affairs,	
	Patrick Rummell, Environment Manager	
	Paul Martin, CFO	
	Roger Auberton and Mike Burson, Mine Site Managers	
	Brian Davey – Aboriginal Relations.	
	CB stated that Roger and Mike welcome site visitors and DS suggested that if there was interest a site visit could be arranged.	
3	SH stated that TTN sent Detour Gold a letter stating who would be on the MOU negotiating team and includes: Wayne Ross, Nancy Wood, Merv McLeod. She stated she would send a copy to DS and CB.	S. Hartwig
7	SH asked who is Pelangio.	Info
	DS stated that they are one of the mining companies involved as a shareholder. He stated that Goldcorp was also a previous part owner, but not any more. Tradewinds also has a camp on Little Hopper Lake and have some joint holdings with Detour.	
		



8	TEK Study in Public Domain:	Info
	PA stated that whether or not the TTN traditional territory map will be public will be determined by the Chief.	
	PA asked if the TEK study would become part of the public domain.	
	DS stated that it may not be part of the public domain and that this would need to be agreed to in the TEK agreement. The 2 primary purposes of the TEK study are to ensure protection of cultural resources and to document and prove to government agencies that cultural values were considered in planning and implementation of the project.	
	PA stated that he would not want the location of the cemetaries to be public.	
	DS suggested, that for cemetaries and other culturally sensitive areas, that large areas would be identified (not specific points) and that before development is allowed in that area, that the First Nation would need to be consulted.	
	SH stated that MERC or Muskegowuk Lands and Resources (separate from MERC) had maps of the area.	
	DS went on to say that someone in the community would be designated to keep this information on behalf of the community and act as the liaison with industry.	
9	TEK Agreement and MOU:	Detour and
	CB stated that before other decisions about the TEK study are made, that there needs to be agreement that there should be sign off on a TEK Agreement by the Acting Chief. All agreed to this approach.	TTN (B. Davey and P. Archibald)
	There was some discussion about the flexibility of the schedule. DS explained that in part, the schedule is being driven by the need to have archaeological field work completed in the spring or early summer and that TEK is needed to help guide this work.	
	PA/SH/NW requested that the field work NOT be conducted in April.	
	PA stated that the TEK Agreement may not go over well with Chief and Council if there is nothing else on the negotiating table such as an MOU or letter of intent. It was agreed that Detour and TTN need to meet in the second week of January to discuss these details.	
	DS stated that AMEC is not involved with negotiating the MOU or letter of intent unless asked by Detour to review environmental conditions. All agreed that the TEK work should proceed concurrently with the MOU negotiations.	



10	TEK Study Roles and Sample Documents:	C. Burgess
	There was discussion about support for the TEK work. McLeod Wood may not fulfill all the requirements as set out in the Request for Proposals. CB stated that this could be a shared effort with MERC or AMEC filling in gaps when requested by the Working Group. DS added that there are various ways that AMEC can support the TEK work, but that it is AMECs wish to have the archaeological work completed by Woodland Heritage Resources (John Pollock). PA agreed that John Pollock is well respected by the community.	
	SH and PA asked CB to send the following templates and examples for their consideration (all were sent on Dec 23 rd following the meeting):	
	TEK agreement	
	Working Group Terms of Reference	
	Draft TEK budget	
	Questionnaire	
11	Next Meeting:	S. Hartwig
	All agreed to review materials and re-convene in person on (or around) January 15 th at a location to be determined.	

Name: 10-02-02 Meeting - Wfn Wec.tif

Size: 122KB (124,208 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:46 AM

WFN Community - WEC

Attendees:

Krissy McMartin Madeline Lorraine Chokomolin Bernadette Morris Cheryl Tremblay Elizabeth Babin Rachel Pineault Derek Teevan

Intro

Blessing

Review of Project Description - January 2010 TC 81510

To Do: Committee to come out to the mine site in the spring

Q: Is the line to site 3 phase?

Yes - it is the HON1 line

Q: Is drilling to occur on all DGC sites?

Figure 3: We are trying to determine the size of the pit. Drilling is occurring to the west of the old pit. Mine previously operated as underground and open pit.

Figure 9: Top picture is what Placer mined out. Proposed pit is 2 km long, 600 m deep and 800 meters wide.

A claim is something you have to work. A lease is something you have to mine.

Q: What are you going to do with the flooded open pit?

Natural benches within open pit. The fish would be able to naturally leave.

Q: Will there be fences around the tailings ponds?

No - tailings will not be something caribou will be attracted to due to the high topography. The sun will naturally break down cyanide.

Polishing pond - is a secondary holding area.

We would like to reclaim East Lake as a lake.

Review Figure 12 & 13

Q: Will cyanide cause any health issues?

No because cyanide is used in a closed circle.

Q: Before you move the stickleback, determine if they are healthy.

We have studies to verify they are healthy.

Q: What do you do with frogs?

I don't have a specific answer

N of the existing tailings - there is a wetlands area. Figure 16

Tailings beach is not ideal for frogs.

Q: What are you going to do with water fowl and birds landing in the pond? What would you do with this - what about bangers?

Cyanide gets evaporated by sun - cyanide naturally occurs.

We would be concerned about birds eating fish in the pond. Thus the tailings ponds are built high to avoid fish entering pond in the first place.

Q: Do you need a permit to Take Water?

Yes

Q: How much water per day?

20-50 thousand m/4 per day

Water will first come from the pit prior to being used from any other source.

Q: What type of H²0 volume would go back to East Lake?

Q: In cell 3 - is there an option to use aquatics?

Plankton extracts so many contaminates, another option is to use lime.

Right now ore produces copper and we do monthly/quarterly water testing.

Q: How often does DGC monitor fish?

Q: Tailings - new system. What kind of operating system is it? What type of audits will be done? What type of training? How will DGC report to First nation?

Engineers will build up tailings. Build up with waste rock, acid file rock, and crushed rock. This builds a filter like barrier. Around tailings, you will have a ditch to capture any leakage. Leakage will be drained back into pit?

Q: How would DGC react to an extreme breach?

Design, inspection, crisis management, manage flows from the outset.

Q: How will Tailings Plan manage closure?

EA Closure plan

Q: Breach

- 3 Levels
- 1. What is in the water?
- 2. Where did it go?
- 3. Is there anybody hurt?

Notifications > MOE, MNR, DFO

Q: Is there a possibility of a sub - breach?

Natural filtration system plus manufactured liner helps to relieve this. The rock is tight. When the rock is hard and tight together - water does not flow very fast.

Q: How do you ensure that you use clean rocks?

Understand chemistry of the rocks we are using. Waste rock is rock that won't go to the processing plant. We have looked at the rock and it has low levels of acid generation.

Placer Dome had est. 10% of rock was acid generating. Our studies show the percentage is lower.

LB: Key contact for WEC will be:

Madeline Lorraine Chokomolin

LB: We just completed a 2 day training with Linda

4 EA's

- 1. Transmission Line individual EA PROV
- 2. On-site diesel (<5 megawatts) screening PROV
- 3. Disposition of Crown lands PROV
- 4. Class EA bridges (only if impact water), DFO, NRCAN (explosives permit) FED

Q: Cyanide vs. Bromide

Bromide is like chlorine, bromide does not break down rock as fast. You need high levels of bromide to do the same process. You need too much. You would need bigger tanks and it slows the process.

Q: Wouldn't it make more sense to use bromide vs. cyanide? It breaks down and evaporates faster. Wouldn't this shorten the need for tailings?

Q: What is gold used for? 80% jewellery

Madeline Home: 273-3186

Cell: 273-2055

Email: chokomolin@ntl.sympatico.ca

Name: 10-02-12 Email - Mno.tif

Size: 14KB (13,961 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:35:15 PM

From: Derek Teevan [DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 2:51 PM

To: Andy Lefebvre

Cc: Melanie Paradis; Burgess, Caroline M; Warren, Meryl

Subject: March 1 & 2

Andy: I'm going ahead and booking Cedar Meadows (March 1) and the meeting room at Terry's

Restaurant Cochrane (March 2).

DO you have a mailing list that we could send notices to your regional citizens in the area – or of course I could have them printed stuffed and you could add the labels.

Derek Teevan V.P. Aboriginal and Government Affairs Detour Gold Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower 200 Bay St, Suite 2040 Box #23 Toronto, ON, M5J2J1

office: 416.304.0800 fax: 416.304.0184 cell: 416.278.2851

dteevan@detourgold.com

Name: 10-04-07 Meeting - Ttn Tek Steering Committee2.tif

Size: 54KB (54,490 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:50 AM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Meeting Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2010

Time: 10 a.m. – 10:45 p.m.

Location: Conference Call

Purpose: To review and discuss progress on TEK Study

Participants: Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Wayne Ross (WR) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Derek Teevan (Detour Gold), Dave Simms (AMEC), Sheila

Daniel, AMEC

DISCUSSION:

JS indicated that she had received comments on a preliminary draft of the TEK report.

CB stated that it will be important to know where culturally valued points are within the proposed transmission line right of way.

All agreed that this would include cabins, stick nests, burial sites, etc. and that these points need to be verified by the field visit.

JS will forward Interim Final TEK report to the TEK Steering Committee on April 14th

CB indicated that the draft Archaeology study was sent for their review and asked when the review could be completed. PA has received the draft but would like WR to also review. CB to email the draft report to WR with cc to NW.

Concerns expressed by interviewees and other TTN community members include:

- How much land is being removed for the transmission line right of way
- Turning over the right of way from Island Falls to Pinard to the OPG once Detour Gold no longer needs the power. There is a concern about loosing this land forever. TTN wants to ensure that any future leasing of this right of way includes full disclosure and consultation.
- The trapper at Red Sucker River would like his road to be fixed and a boat launch.
- Another trapper near Fraserdale has asked that an additional power pole be erected to supply stepped-down power from Fraserdale to his cabin. PA suggested that this be included in the IBA.
- Other suggestions for mitigation were: no brush piles, consulting all trappers, no ground disturbance, no environmental contamination.

CB suggested that any other concerns about the transmission line should be forwarded today so that they can be included in the Detour Lake Power Project Environmental Assessment.

CB indicated that concerns shared at the previous TEK Steering Committee meeting and the suggested mitigations were incorporated into the EA.

To support the TEK Report and Archaeology a field visit should be planned and executed in the next few weeks.

PA and WR will discuss who should be involved in the field visit and forward names to CB. Preliminary participants include: Stan Sutherland and George Ross from Moosonee and Tom Kioki (Elder from New Post) and William Archibald (Youth representative). WR would also like to participate and is available May 3rd.

NW to set up conference call April 15th at 1 p.m. to discuss field visit and results of TEK Study report.

CB to ask if John Pollock is available for the conference call on April 15th.

Name: 100 Roc 09-01-26 Letter Olthuis Kleer Townshend.tif

Size: 134KB (136,694 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:12:53 PM



OLTHUIS KLEER TOWNSHEND

BARRISTERS AND SOLECTIONS

229 COLLEGE ST., SUITE 312 TORONTO, ON MST 1R4

Tel: 416.981.9330 FAX: 416.981.9350

Monday, January 26, 2009

TO:	PHONE#	FAX#
Mr Gerald Panneton Detour Gold Corporation		416,304.0184
Chief David Babin Wahgoshig First Nation	(705) 273-2055	(705) 273-2900
Daniel Stubbe Wangoshig First Nation	(705) 273-2055, ext 6	(705) 273-2900

FROM: Kate Kempton / 416.981.9374 / kkempton@oktlaw.com

FILE#: 72475

NO. PAGES: 4 (including this page) ORIGINAL: will not follow

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimite message is legally privileged and confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. Any other use, dissemination, distribution, disclosure or copy of this facsimite is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect if necessary) so that we may arrange the return of the original transmission. Thank you.



Kate Kempton kkempton@oktlaw.com 416.981.9374 72475

January 26, 2009

BY FACSIMILE 416-304-0384

Mr. Gerald Panneton
President and CEO
Detour Gold Corporation
200 Bay Street, Suite 2040
Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower, Box 23
Toronto ON M5J 2J1

Dear Mr. Paimeton:

Ro: Consultations and Negotiations with Wangoshig First Nation

I am in receipt of your letter of January 14, 2009. J, on behalf of WFN, have several comments.

First, there are concerns among the leadership of WFN that Detour might be engaged in drilling and/or other exploration activity on the claims site. Please let me know immediately whether this is the case, and if so, what type of activity and where. If any drilling or related activity is occurring, WFN requires Detour to cease such activity immediately and until the TUS (traditional use study) and archaeological work is completed and until the exploration agreement with WFN is executed. We are informed by archaeologist Linda Larcombe that the area of the claims site is high potential for archaeological finds, and WFN leadership have expressed concerns that there are burial sites and other aboriginal values in this area — all of which must be appropriately identified and protected. Purther, it is our interpretation of current case law (most notably, the Platinex - KI decisions) that an MOU between the affected First Nation(s) and the mineral exploration company must be in place before mineral exploration can proceed.

Second, WFN requests that representatives of your company meet with WFN representatives and their negotiator, Gerry Kerr, in the very near future, in order to hopefully conclude negotiations on the MOU/exploration agreement. Gerry Kerr will forward to you WFN's version of the MOU in the near future (we have amended it and this is the response to Detour's version). Please provide me with dates in February that you and other appropriate individuals from Detour are available to meet in the Wahgoshig community. I would suggest that at least one full day might be required for negotiations.

Page 2

Third, cultural anthropologist Peter Armitage will be engaged to conduct a traditional land use and cultural heritage study of the claims site area on behalf of WFN. Thank you for agreeing to this, and agreeing to pay the reasonable costs of this. Peter will prepare a scope of work and budget and we hope to have this and forward to you by February 13.

To clarify, this is not a "TEK study", but will likely include information about WFN's traditional ecological knowledge. A traditional land use and cultural heritage study involves respectfully interviewing elders, trappers, hunters and others from WFN with knowledge of the First Nation's historical and current use of, connection to and cultural heritage "values" in respect of the affected land, compiling this information in a report and mapping elements of this information to identify such "values" and sites of importance. This information will help determine what sites and areas must be protected and how, among other things. This information will also assist the archaeologist in the field study (to better determine where to focus the stage 2 work and how to better interpret any findings). This information is in most cases particular to each aboriginal community, and thus it indeed makes most sense to have this work done separately for each First Nation.

Fourth, thank you for agreeing to pay the reasonable costs associated with the archaeological work required to be undertaken for the affected area. We agree that it makes sense for one archaeologist to conduct this work for the entire area to be affected by Detour's activities, as long as all three First Nations to be affected agree on the archaeologist and the scope of work or workplan the archaeologist prepares.

WFN does not agree to have John Pollock undertake the archaeological work for this area. Another lawyer at our firm represents Taykwa Tagamou Nation ("TTN") in respect of Detour's exploration, and we are informed by TTN that it does not wish to have John Pollock engaged either, and would be fine with the archaeologist proposed by WFN. We attempted to reach legal counsel for Moose Cree First Nation to ask if MCFN would agree to the archaeologist proposed by WFN, but we have been unable to reach such legal counsel to date (we will keep trying). The archaeologist proposed by WFN is Linda Larcombe. Linda has engaged in other archaeological work for WFN, and WFN is very pleased with this work and its relationship with Linda. As stated in my letter of November 12, 2008, "it is critically important that the First Nation trust the experts who will be working on this".

If you require a CV or other background information from Linda, I am certain I could acquire this and forward on to you. If you are able to discuss this issue with MCFN, please inform them that neither WFN nor TTN agree to John Pollock, and both agree to have Linda Larcombe for this work. She is eminently qualified and is trusted by WFN.

We hope to have a scope of work and budget for the archaeological work from Linda by February 13, and I will forward to you when I get it.

Finally, we are pleased to hear that your company remains committed to reaching an agreement with WFN on an MOU. So too is WFN.

Page 3

Please respond to the above as soon as possible. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Myserre As Kate Kenipion

KK/tjm

hp LaserJet 3380

HR LASERUEL FAX

26 (Jan (2009) 5:58PM



	700-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
l	
I Eav Call Report	
Fax Call Report	

Job	Date	Time	Type	Identification	Duration	Pages	Result
169	267 172009	5+56:40PM	Recenve	416 981 9359	1:25	4	OK

CL/28/49 11 42 Fct 4/8 891 8050 IRT WELLCOA



239 Conjunio 8 a ; Six (6.512 TOSCOMO, CON 1457-1784

75a - 416 981,9330 FAX: 450,981,9356

Monday, January 26, 2009

(10:	PRONE P	FAX#
Mr Griald Parestan Delow Gold Constraint		456,364 0184
Clife David Babin Wahapship First Matien	(704) 273-2055	(365) 273 2900
Daniel Ströbe Wohgosing First Nation	(705) 273 2055 . 551 6	(705) 271 2900

PROM: Kale Kompton / 410 981.9314 / kkemptonigostilaw com

M(8.7(n) 72405

NO. PAGES: 4 (meludong this page) ORIGINAL: will not follow

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The other price continued in this forcement making in the price of the constitution and in which the use of the constitution of making valued before they great use, desembled a students, or copy of the laterals in party purphets. If you have referred the second or over, present interestible, another as the property purphets. If you have referred the second or over, present interestible, another as the property purphets and the property property purphets.

Name: 101 Roc 09-01-30 Letter- Mcfn Draft Mou.tif

Size: 454KB (464,070 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:57:55 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Gold Project

Save the completed Record of Contact using the following naming convention:

ROC <YY-MM-DD> <Type of Event>- <Organization>.doc

EXAMPLE: ROC 07-02-14 Meeting – Ontario Ministry of Environment.doc

Send completed form to antonio.difebo@amec.com, with "Detour Gold ROC" in the subject line.

i Buduli (i Buduli			(JEVěril	indinettens is.			
Date of Contact	09-0)1-30		Recorded by			
Location [if applicable]				Project team participants [list all]	Gerald Panneton, Detour Gold		
Names & organizations of	of pai	rticipants [list all]	Chief of	Chief of Moose Cree First Nation			
** For any new contacts, painformation at the bottom of							
Purpose of contact/even	t	MOU Discussion					
 Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]: On January 30, 2009 the Moose Cree First Nation sent a revised Memorandum of Understanding to Detour Gold. The letter can be found here: <p:\projects -="" and="" attachments="" detour="" eia\siims="" in="" office="" other="" progress\6="" projects\mississauga\tc81510="" roc="" rocs\rocs\007=""></p:\projects> 							
2.							
							
			oe Ne				
Form completed by				Date form complete	d [YY-MM-DD]		

1111111	2.7 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2								
First nam	е				Title				
Last nam	е				E-mail				
Phone no			Fax no	э.	_		Cell no.		
Name of	organization								
Departme	nt/field office								
Street ad	dress								
City				Pı	rovince		Postal code		
Mailing a	ddress t than street addre	ss]							
Add to ma	ailing list? [Y/N - o	choose all		Posta	ıl Mail:	E-Mail:	Phone:	Inactive:	
Replacing [Y/N]	g someone else ir	same pos	ition?		Who?				
Also affili affiliations	ated with [list othe	er project-re	lated						

Send Completed Form (and attachments) to:

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)
E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put Detour Gold ROCi in subject line)

^{**} Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**

Name: 103 Roc 09-02-09 Meeting- Ttn Tek Sc.tif

Size: 205KB (209,837 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:00 AM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Meeting Date: Monday, February 9, 2009

Time: 11 a.m. – 3 p.m.

Location: Timmins, Five Nations Energy Offices, 36 Birch Street

Purpose: To review and discuss draft TEK Agreement and Work Plan

Participants: Dave Simms (DS) AMEC

Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Wayne Ross (WR) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Distribution: Meeting Participants

DISCUSSION:

(1) REVIEW OF MEETING NOTES FROM DEC 23/08

- CB and DS provided a <u>Project n-overview and discussion</u> so that Wayne Ross would be familiar with previous meeting discussions.
- No additional changes suggested to the meeting notes.
- CB asked PA about the progress on the TTN Traditional Territories map. PA indicated that the map has been drafted (it was shown at the meeting), but had not been approved by the community nor other First Nations. This and the Detour Lake project will be discussed at a meeting with other First Nations on Feb 18th.
- DS asked for clarification about <u>McLeod Wood Associates (MWA)</u> and <u>Coral Rapids Power (CRP)</u> roles. NW clarified that TTN has given CRP the mandate to represent TTN for TEK work on the Detour Lake Project.
- There was discussion about coordinating archaeology between the three FNs involved in the project. DS explained that while it makes sense to have the TEK work completed by each of the FNs separately, that the Archaeology work would could be best done by only one archaeologist. Agreement on the archaeologist to do the work should be lead by Moose Cree since they have the strongest claim over the project area. CB stated that regardless of the archaeologist who conducts the work that there should be strong ties between the TEK and the archaeology since the TEK will help identify areas for investigation and the TEK field verification could be carried out with the archaeology field investigations.

ACTIONS:

☐ CB to provide a copy of the Dec 23/08 meeting notes to WR.

(2) COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK AGREEMENT

There was discussion about the need for Letter of Intent, MOU and IBA. Discussion of need for Letter of Intent and MOU to be completed prior to the TEK Study starting.

 It was agreed and understood that the TEK study process (collection of the data) could start at the same time that the Letter of Intent is being negotiated. However, release of the TEK (in a public document including the environmental assessments or permitting applications) will not be allowed by TTN unless "significant progress" is being made in negotiating an MOU. These agreements and the timing of same will be discussed at a meeting to discuss the draft Letter of Intent later in the week.

• There was discussion of the study area including Highway 652 in addition to the transmission line corridor and the mine site. It was agreed that since there will likely be an increase in traffic along the Highway related to the project that may affect traditional land uses of TTN in the vicinity of the Highway, that a 10 km wide corridor (5 km on either side of the highway centerline) will also be included in the TEK Study Area. PA also suggested that a larger study area boundary be included around the Island Falls hydro dam to incorporate access points for power line construction.

ACTIONS:

AMEC to issue revised study area map to be issued to TEK Steering
Committee prior to next meeting to incorporate these changes.
NW to discuss and make changes to TEK Agreement

(3) REVIEW AND COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK WORK PLAN (SUBMITTED BY MERC/CRP)

Jennifer Simard, MERC joined the meeting and provided an overview of the TEK work plan.

Background material review:

- It was agreed that list of materials for review as stated in the work plan was appropriate.
- CB mentioned that there may be additional materials forthcoming from John Pollock for their consideration. Some of these materials may or may not be in the public domain, so all necessary approvals to use information should be sought.
- JS also requested a copy of the previous Detour Mine Closure Plan.

Interviews:

- PA and WR stated that because there are TTN members living in Moosonee,
 Cochrane and North Bay that interviews will need to be conducted in these three communities.
- An interviewer will be sought for the Moosonee interviews, and it was recommended that John Archibald conduct that North Bay/Cochrane interviews.
 John speaks Cree and it was generally thought that most of the interviewees would be able to conduct the interviews in English.
- PA has prepared a list of 30 interviewees.
- DS and CB suggested that prior to the interviews occurring, that a general community meeting to provide an overview of the project and the TEK Study should be held. It was agreed that these meetings should be held in the evening at the TTN Reserve near Cochrane (March 10) and in Moosonee (March 11).

Introductory Community Meetings (March):

- It was agreed that an introduction to the project and the TEK study should be presented to the TTN members PRIOR to starting the interviews. By doing this, the entire community will be informed about the reason for the TEK study and when and how they will be able to participate. This introduction meeting should be conducted in both Moosonee and the TTN Reserve near Cochrane where many TTN members currently live. It was suggested that these meetings be held March 10 (Cochrane) and 11 (Moosonee).
- Chief and Council should be informed about these meetings. PA volunteered to send a letter to them explaining the need for and the dates of the meetings.
- The TEK Steering Committee to review the presentation materials could be scheduled in Timmins the day before the first meeting – March 9th (Monday).
 These meeting dates are subject to the availability of Detour representatives to present project information.
- PA volunteered to set up the meeting in Moosonee.
- JS volunteered to set up the meeting at the TTN Reserve.
- JS to ensure there are ads in the community and on the cable TV channel.
- The value of video documentation of the interviews was discussed. It was recognized that videos can be expensive to produce. JS will prepare cost estimate with revised budget.

Youth Participation:

There was general discussion about how to involve youth in consultation on resource development projects. It was agreed that if youth wanted to be involved in TEK interviews that would be acceptable to the TTN TEK Steering Committee.

Information Management:

JS requested that AMEC provide base imagery and maps for the project. CB suggested that for the interviews, that a combination of satellite imagery and topographic maps should be provided to accommodate a broad range of maps for interviewees to consult.

Budaet:

Discussion about the budget and what it included and what was not included. It was clarified that CRP would invoice Detour directly for costs relating to the TEK Study. MERC would by a sub-contractor to CRP. MERC/CRP to review and revise budgets based on discussion.

Schedule:

There was discussion about when work would begin and when TEK Steering Committee meetings would occur. It was agreed that the work could begin immediately and wrap up in late June 2009. Schedule determined as follows:

Event/Activity	Date	Who Involved	Where
TTN TEK Steering Committee meeting to review actions from Feb 9 th , review community meeting materials	March 9/09	N. Wood P. Archibald W. Ross D. Simms C. Burgess J. Simard	MERC/Five Nations Energy Boardroom in Timmins

TTN Community Meeting	March 10	TEK Steering Committee; TTN membership	TTN Reserve near Cochrane
TTN Community Meeting	March 11	TEK Steering Committee; TTN membership	Moosonee
Interviews	March – April Complete by April 24 th	TTN Interviewers and interviewees	Cochrane, Moosonee and North Bay
TTN TEK Steering Committee meeting (if needed to review results of the interviews)	Early May	TEK Steering Committee	Timmins
Draft TEK Study Report	End of May	MERC to prepare	n/a
TTN TEK Steering Committee meeting to review draft TEK Study Report and discuss need for field verification	May 25 th	TEK Steering Committee	Timmins
TTN Community to present the findings of the TEK Study	First week of June	TEK Steering Committee; TTN membership	Moosonee and TTN Reserve near Cochrane
Field verification (if desired/applicable)	Early June	TBA; archaeologist	ТВА
Final TEK Study Report	End of June	MERC to prepare	n/a

Reporting:

There was discussion about the need for translation of the TEK Study Report to be translated to Cree. It was agreed that only a summary of the report should be translated.

Steering Committee ToR: JS recommended and Steering Committee agreed that a Terms of Reference for the Steering Committee outlining:

- TEK principles
- Data sharing and use protocols
- Meeting dates, location
- Roles and responsibilities including reporting protocols

A OTIONO	
ACTIONS:	
	CB to send list of background materials to JS.
	DS to send JS Detour Mine Closure Plan.
	PA and WR to find interviewer for Moosonee.
	PA to send TEK Steering Committee the list of interviewees.
	JS to set up meetings in TTN Community near Cochrane
	PA to set up community meeting in Moosonee.

JS to set up advertising for community meetings. AMEC to prepare community presentation and maps to be reviewed by TEK Steering Committee at their meeting on March 9th prior to the community meetings.
PA to send a letter to TTN Chief and Council explaining the need for and the dates of the introductory community meetings.
JS to send CB template for Terms of Reference to use in drafting a Steering Committee ToR.
CB to draft the Steering Committee ToR for review prior to next meeting.
CB to check availability of Detour representatives to attend TTN TEK Introductory meetings in Cochrane/Moosonee March 10/11.
JS to provide cost estimate for video taping interviews.
DS to send JS base imagery and maps for the project on which to build the TEK information system.

Name: 110 Roc 09-02-19 Phone Call-nancy Wood.tif

Size: 457KB (467,892 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:05 AM

Record of Contact – Detour Gold Project

ROC 09-02-19 Phone Call - Nancy Wood

BBCP LABET NOBER	usis divisis di divisi di Titti	ali venamolmulo ila la	
Date of Contact	Feb 19-09	Recorded by	C. Burgess
Location [if applicable]	Phone	Project team participants [list all]	C. Burgess
Names & organizations ** For any new contacts, information at the bottom	provide contact	Nancy Wood – McLeod Wood	Associates
Purpose of contact/eve	nt Update on TTN TE	K Study	

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

- 1. CB contacted NW to apologize for not getting meeting notes from the Feb 9th/09 TTN TEK Steering Committee meeting out sooner. CB reviewed some of the meeting action items with NW.
- 2. CB committed to having the draft meeting notes out to NW with the action items listed to the Steering Committee on Feb 20th in the afternoon.
- 3. CB asked NW if okay to have spatial information relayed directly from AMEC to MERC she was fine with this.
- 4. CB asked NW if okay to have John Pollock provide TEK reference list and materials directly to MERC she was also okay with this.
- 5. NW mentioned that they will send the revised copy of the TEK Agreement.
- 6. NW mentioned that she has left messages with Jennifer Simard re: setting up the meetings, advertising and changes to the workplan and budget. Jennifer is not in the office for the remainder of this week.

Form completed by	C. Burgess	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	09-02-19

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					evida Recolocies		and the street and street	nu discripció de la contraction de la contractio		0.7
First nam		HARDANA HARDAN KI ANCHAN SIANJAN SI KOMBON KI KOMBON ANC	MINISTERNA MICHARISTER MINISTER		Title	e vitale de merie i mese militare (1627 e militare militare).	dicarendo a caleira (del se alebra elebro diriba (del di			
Last nam	е		·		E-mail					
Phone no			Fax no).			Cell no.			
Name of	organization									
Departme	nt/field office									
Street add	dress									
City				Pr	rovince	Postal code		le		
Mailing a	ddress t than street addre	ss]								
Add to mailing list? [Y/N - choose all appropriate]			Posta	I Mail:	E-Mail:	Pho	one:	Inactive:		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]				Who?						
Also affiliated with [list other project-related affiliations]										

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)
E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put Detour Gold ROCi in subject line)

Name: 115 Roc 09-03-10 Meeting- Mcfn.tif

Size: 45KB (45,302 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:09 AM



Minutes of Meeting

Date/Time:	March 10, 2009	File No:		
Location:	Timmins (La Chaumiere - breakfast meeting)	Written By:	D. Simms	
Subject:	Initial Meeting with Moose Cree Chief and Assistant	Project No.:	TC81510	
Project:	2008 Detour Lake Project			
Present:	Detour Gold: Gerald Panneton (GP) ent: MCFN: Chief Norm Hardisty, Judy Small AMEC: Dave Simms			
Distribution:	Lisa Noseworthy (DG), Caroline Burgess (AMEC)			

	ITEM	ACTION	TARGET DATE	STATUS
1.	The purpose of the meeting was to follow up with Chief Hardisty on the draft MOU submitted earlier by the Moose Cree First Nation (MCFN).			
2.	GP explained where the Project is at in terms of exploration work, pre-feasibility and feasibility studies, and described the general plan for the site, including an large open pit large tonnage (45 tpd) operation. It was also explained that the former mine site has been substantially reclaimed, and that the only remaining facilities are the access road, the airstrip, and the tailings facility, and that there is small exploration camp. It was also stated that the former powerline has been removed and would need to be re-built along the former ROW connecting to Island Falls.			
3.	Chief Hardisty asked about the involvement of other First Nations, and particularly the involvement of Wagashnee (sp? from Quebec). There was confusion between the above FN and Wahgoshig. It was explained that the only FN that DG is talking to are MCFN, TTN and WFN. We are not holding discussions with Quebec FN.	Chief Hardisty was going to provide a copy of the e-mail with the FN name	Not defined	
4.	Chief Hardisty indicated that MCFN has assembled its negotiating team, and would look to one further follow-up introductory meeting, before holding a more detailed negotiation session. It was agreed that the next meeting could be held in early April, with the first negotiation session to be held in early May after the goose hunt.	GP to work with Chief Hardistry to arrange a date for the next meeting	March 20	
5.	An offer was made to MCFN representatives to visit the mine site whenever they wished, but just to give some notice to make sure that someone was there to show them around.	GP to follow- up on the invitation		

Name: 117 Roc 09-03-10 Meeting- Ttn.tif

Size: 676KB (691,501 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:12 AM

Record of Contact - Detour Gold Project

Perlansing the	Karatarateri	dEven	armaticana a a a a	
Date of Contact	March 10, 2009; 7:30 p.r	n.	Recorded by	Meryl Warren
Location [if applicable] TTN Reserve near Cochrar ON		rane,	Project team participants [list all]	Caroline Burgess, AMEC Gerald Panneton, Detour Gold David Simms, AMEC Nancy Wood, Coral Rapids Power Wayne Ross, Coral Rapids Power/TTN Peter Archibald, Coral Rapids Power. TTN
Names & organizations of	participants [list all]	Project team above and the following community members:		
** For any new contacts, provide contact information at the bottom of this form **		Thomas Archibald, TTN John D. Archibald, TTN Howard Archibald, TTN Gabriel Archibald, TTN Lucy Archibald, TTN Jason Prevost, TTN Jean Sutherland, TTN		
Purpose of contact/event Taykwa Tagamou Nation Traditional Ecological Knowledge Meeting			edge Meeting	

Summary of discussion, with responses if applicable [use a separate number for each topic of discussion and be as thorough as possible]:

Gerald Panneton gave a PowerPoint presentation to introduce the Detour Lake Project and the TEK studies required for the environmental assessments.

The following is a record of the questions and responses given during this meeting.

- Q: How long is the life of the mine?
- A: 15 years with potential for longer.
- Q: If the price of gold drops, how will the schedule change?
- A: The mine will break even at \$600/oz. Detour does not control the price of gold, it is dependant on inflation and markets. However, price alone is not the only factor considered in deciding to build a mine. Successful negotiations with First Nations is also an important factor. We believe it will take \$600-\$800 million USD to build the project and take 18 to 24 months.
- Q: Who is AMEC?
- A: AMEC is a consultant to Detour Gold for environmental assessment and permitting.
- Q: Who will do the construction?
- A: Detour Gold doesn't know who will construct the mine. We need environmental permits first and then can begin construction. We estimate that there are between 400-500 construction job opportunities, peaking at about 800 persons on site. Detour Gold will provide training opportunities. Open pit mining needs heavy equipment operators. Construction jobs include welders and concrete workers. Need lots of different expertise.
- Q: What is the timeframe for agreements?
- A: Target for agreements is the end of 2009.

Record of Contact - Detour Gold Project

Q: Is there a Memorandum of Understanding with the Town of Cochrane?

A: No. However, the Town of Cochrane wants to ensure they can have jobs and business opportunities. The Town is supportive of the project. It will be easier to draw workforce from Cochrane than from other towns located farther away from the mine. Detour wants to set up an office in Cochrane.

GP Comment: The Impact Benefit Agreement is important for First Nations. We will need to negotiate transparently.

Q: (Full question not heard) There are rumours of a Memorandum of Understanding circulating?

A: Three draft Memorandums of Understanding were presented to First Nations in September 2008 to initiate discussions. Taykwa Tagamou Nation's approach was to do a Letter of Intent first.

TTN Comment: The voices of the community were not heard in the past, only the Council was heard. The community wants to see the Letter of Intent before it is signed.

Response: Peter Archibald said that he can make the Letter of Intent available to the community.

TTN Comment: There is mistrust of the leadership and we want to insure we have a chance to see what will be put in the agreement. We are not against development. We want the work, but also want the process – for the entire membership living in Cochrane and Moosonee.

Q: Gerald Panneton asked about the best time to organize meetings.

A: No direct response was provided.

TTN comment: The community has to see the benefits of this project in a positive manner. It has not been seen this way in the past. How do we prepare the kids to have careers in mining? Not just in mining, but in technology as well – this is what will drive their interest. We need more information on training and how it will be done. Parents and kids will need this training. Start sponsoring kids at school. DeBeers promised training at Attawapiskat but it did not happen.

GP response: We don't want to repeat same mistakes that have been made in the past. Need community liaison to build a relationship with TTN and build trust.

There was discussion about the community having problems with wireless Internet access and that Peter Archibald uses a lot of email. Peter Archibald is proposing to establish a liaison, but this person has not been picked yet. Gerald Panneton said that it is important to get direct link to the community. This is a job. This will help speed up process of keeping community informed about the project.

Q: Are there any jobs available right now?

A: Gerald Panneton can check if there are any jobs available right now. People can send their resumes to Gerald Panneton directly or to the mine site.

TTN Comment: The Agreements need to come from Band membership. We need to be informed. The community was not informed that Peter Archibald and Wayne Ross were the representatives for TTN negotiations.

GP Response: Detour started correspondence with TTN two years ago but did not get a response initially. Detour had to start correspondence with the Chief and Council first before starting to talk to the community. No Agreements have been signed yet. There is much time to review what has been stated in the agreements.

TTN comment: There is frustration over lack of First Nation hiring.

Wayne Ross response: He has been employee there (at Victor mine) and will bring experience and learn from mistakes.

Peter Archibald response: The Letter of Intent and Traditional Ecological Knowledge Agreements are both in draft. Membership can still have input. Peter Archibald will send more information to the community.

Record of Contact - Detour Gold Project

- Q: If we can get our members trained, what are the chances of employment?
- A: Chances of employment are very good.
- Q: Can I get that in writing? We need to get access to training funding.
- A: Training for the Detour Lake project will start in 2011 (one year before mine opens). There is also government money available for training.

Gerald Panneton offered to have Detour go to community to discuss project with community members.

Q: What if we can't agree on an Impact Benefit Agreement? Then what happens to the project?

A: I think we will have an agreement. I think there is always a solution. GP also stated many things need to be in place to build a project, and one is the Impact Benefit Agreement with First Nations. The other factors include environmental permitting, other permits, financing and gold prices. Detour Gold has \$40 million in the bank to do a feasibility study.

Q: Is the mine unionized? Many miners are out of work in Timmins.

A: Very few gold mines have closed. We should do okay. Some mines don't have unions. Need to make employees happy.

TTN Comment: We need a guarantee for employment.

Detour response: Priority to hire local communities.

TTN comment: We need to find a way to find a solution so that everyone gets good opportunities. It would be good to get a Regional Impact Benefit Agreement. However, we won't likely get this. DeBeers failed hiring First Nations with agreements. Need to learn from others' mistakes. When DeBeers started construction everything was rushed and there were lots of people on site. We need to take time to prepare for this project through training.

Wayne Ross: There is a person at DeBeers helped with hiring and Impact Benefit Agreement. He is willing to help us as well.

Gerald Panneton: We can start looking at Impact Benefit Agreement in July 2009. Okay to circulate other agreements.

Action: Participants want a copy of the PowerPoint presentation.

Form completed by	Date form completed [YY-MM-DD]	06-03-23

First name			Title	in the district that the barries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Last name			E-mail			
Phone no.		Fax no.			Cell no.	
Name of or	ganization					
Departmen	t/field office					
Street addr	ess					
City			Province		Postal code	
Mailing add	iress han street address]					
Add to mai	ling list? [Y/N - cho	ose all F	Postal Mail:	E-Mail:	Phone	: Inactive:

Antonio DiFebo, AMEC Earth & Environmental (905-268-2929)

E-mail: antonio.difebo@amec.com (please put Detour Gold ROCi in subject line)

Record of Contact – Detour Gold Project

appropriate]		
Replacing someone else in same position? [Y/N]	Who?	
Also affiliated with [list other project-related affiliations]		

^{**} Copy above section if there is more than 1 new contact**

Name: 118 Roc 09-04-01 Email- Ttn Trad Lands.tif

Size: 67KB (68,284 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:52:57 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Wednesday, April 01, 2009 1:07 PM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

FW: TTN Traditional Lands

For SIIMS. C

From: Simms, David

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:48 AM **To:** peter archibald; shartwig@mcleod-wood.com **Cc:** Burgess, Caroline M; bdavey@detourgold.com

Subject: RE: TTN Traditional Lands

Peter:

For now, for the purpose of the Project description for the government agencies, lets just assume that AMEC will define the TTN lands in general terms, without the use of mapped boundaries. The mapped boundaries can then come later.

Dave

From: peter archibald [mailto:peter_archibald@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 10:17 PM **To:** Simms, David; shartwig@mcleod-wood.com **Cc:** Burgess, Caroline M; bdavey@detourgold.com

Subject: RE: TTN Traditional Lands

Hi Dave

the final copy should be done when the the TEK is done or we could make that a priority and approach the members that had concerns about the mapping.let me know what your thoughts about that. PA

Subject: TTN Traditional Lands

Date: Thu, 26 Mar 2009 18:00:34 -0400

From: david.simms@amec.com

To: peter-archibald@hotmail.com; shartwig@mcleod-wood.com CC: Caroline.Burgess@amec.com; BDavey@detourgold.com

Peter or Sue:

Any sense on timing for public release of the TTN traditional lands map. We are preparing a Project Description as part of the CEAA process to confirm whether or not we have any triggers (we probably do), and if so, to trigger the process. It would be helpful if we could show the TTN traditional territory boundaries as part of the Project Description document (which will be a public document); but if not we can work around it. Not a critical item at this point.

Please advise.

Dave

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

Tell the whole story with photos, right from your Messenger window. Learn how!

Name: 121 Roc 09-06-03 Email- Tek Worplan.tif

Size: 31KB (31,504 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:52:59 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Wednesday, June 03, 2009 2:10 PM

To: Subject: Warren, Meryl FW: TEK worplan

Importance:

High

For Detour SIIMS, C

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Brian Davey [mailto:BDavey@detourgold.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2009 11:20 AM

To: nwood@mcleod-wood.com

Cc: Burgess, Caroline M; Derek Teevan

Subject: TEK worplan Importance: High

Hello Nancy,

Thank you for sending for review the TEK work plan and services contract on April 23, 2009. I apologize for the latest in getting back to you. We are currently planning a community meeting to present the project description in the next number of weeks and we will be coordinating this with the TTN negotiating team. Presumably this will be done after the MoU is signed. We feel it is important to put the project into context with community prior to undertaking the TEK study.

After that time, we will contact you to discuss the TEK work plan you submitted for review. Generally we agree with the direction the study is going and are looking forward to continuing work on the study with the Taykwa Tagamou Nation.

All the best,

Brian Davey

Cell: (416) 738-7140

Name: 131 Roc 09-07-17 Letter - Mno Reply To Pd.tif

Size: 200KB (204,008 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:18 AM



T: 613-798-1488
TF: 800-263-4889
F: 613-722-4225
E: mno@metisnation.org
W: www.metisnation.org

July 17, 2009

Also sent via email to: dteevan@detourgold.com and gpanneton@detourgold.com

Derek Teevan V.P. Aboriginal & Government Affairs Detour Gold Corp. 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040, Box #23 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1 Gerald Panneton CEO and President, Director Detour Gold Corp. 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040, Box #23 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2J1

Dear Sir:

Subject: <u>Detour Lake Project Description</u>

We write in response to the Detour Lake Project Description (the "Project"). As you know, the Crown's duty to consult and accommodate Aboriginal title and rights, in keeping with the honour of the Crown and the promise of s. 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982,* applies to the three Aboriginal peoples of Canada, one of those being the Métis Nation. The duty requires the Crown, or a proponent acting on behalf of the Crown, to meaningfully consult Aboriginal peoples and accommodate their interests if the project or development might adversely affect Aboriginal rights, interests or way of life.

In 2003, Métis rights were recognized and affirmed by the Supreme Court of Canada in *R. v. Powley*. Based on the *Powley* case, as well as the principles later articulated by the Supreme Court of Canada in the *Haida Nation* and *Taku River* cases, the MNO entered into an agreement with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, which accommodates Métis harvesting rights within identified Métis harvesting areas based on credible claims.

Unlike First Nation citizens, who may live on identifiable reserve lands, Métis rights-holders live in hamlet, settlements, towns and cities throughout these territories. While these Métis may live in one location, they may harvest throughout the territories at issue (i.e., the James Bay/ Abitibi-Temiskamingue territory). Even though Métis communities in Ontario do not have reserves created pursuant to the *Indian Act*, the Supreme Court of Canada has held that the Aboriginal harvesting rights of Métis are equal to those of First Nations with whom they share a traditional territory.

The Métis Nation has Aboriginal rights in the lands, waters and natural resources within the area your Project is contemplated. The Project has the potential to impact Métis who harvest within the James Bay/ Abitibi-Temiskamingue protocol area. Due to the fact that the rights-bearing Métis



T: 613-798-1488
TF: 800-263-4889
F: 613-722-4225
E: mno@metisnation.org
W: www.metisnation.org

community in this region has already been accommodated by the Crown, it is the MNO's position that adequate consultation requires more than just simply providing information on the Project to the rights-bearing community. It requires providing the Métis community an opportunity to ascertain and assess the potential impacts of the project on Métis rights, harvesting practices and way of life. However, there is no reference to Métis in any of your company's consultation efforts except to include a patently false statement that the Métis Nation of Ontario has "no traditional lands as such, but also exercise Aboriginal Rights." Furthermore, in Appendix B, Table APPB-2 does not mention a single reference to any consultation attempts with the Métis Nation of Ontario. Therefore, you are required to meaningfully consult with the potentially affected rights-bearing Métis community, as represented by the Métis Nation of Ontario ("MNO").

The MNO is concerned that your company's general communications efforts on the project have not reached the Métis community. Moreover, aside from receiving the "Detour Lake Project Description" document and letter dated July 6, 2009, the MNO is unaware of your company having any communications with the potentially affected Métis community in the region.

We currently have no capacity to either review the documents that you have provided in depth or to meaningfully participate in consultation about your Project. We receive many requests for consultation, almost all of which propose to affect our Aboriginal rights and many of which often involve complex and far-reaching initiatives. The courts have made clear that when our Aboriginal rights may be affected, we must be engaged early, in a meaningful way, for consultation to have taken place. Any consultation that takes place must be undertaken with the intention of substantially addressing our concerns and interests.

It is our position that a meaningful consultation process has five component parts:

- 1. Receipt of Notice there must be adequate notice to us that you are proposing a development Project (with Crown authorization). The notice must come to us because we have Aboriginal rights in the affected area.
- 2. **Funding** the Crown and/or the developer must fully fund the rights-bearing Métis community's participation in the consultation process. Funded participation includes, but is not limited to, research, analysis and the preparation of materials needed to determine the potential effects of the Project. It may also involve participation in environmental hearings, information meetings and consultation with our communities.
- 3. Information Gathering, Analysis and Exchange the Crown and/or the developer must fully inform the rights-bearing Métis community about the Project. As explained above, adequate funding and Project information is required to enable the rights-bearing Métis community to participate in the consultation process. This builds capacity to provide



T: 613-798-1488
TF: 800-263-4889
F: 613-722-4225
E: mno@metisnation.org
W: www.metisnation.org

information to the Crown and/or the developer about our various spiritual, cultural and resource uses in the Project area.

- 4. **Negotiations** Government and the developer must work together with us to understand how the proposed Project might affect our rights, culture, way of life and economy.
 - a. If the Project is determined to have significant effects on Métis rights and interests that cannot be mitigated, it may not proceed.
 - b. If the Project's effects can be mitigated so that they minimally affect Métis rights and interests, we proceed to the accommodation stage.
- 5. **Accommodation** As the Project proceeds, there is an ongoing duty on the Crown and the developer to work together with the rights-bearing Métis community to ensure that the Project accommodates our rights, title, culture, way of life and economy.

As noted above, we do not have the financial resources to participate in a meaningful consultation and accommodation process. The onus is therefore on Detour Gold Corporation to provide us with a written proposal detailing how you intend to provide us with the financial resources necessary to participate in a meaningful consultation process. Consultation cannot commence until the resources have been provided that will allow us to effectively participate in a meaningful consultation and accommodation process.

Please note that communication with our staff for the purposes of collecting information, arranging technical studies, undertaking technical studies, or developing your proposal for assistance, will not be provided without resources in place.

Based on the points outlined above, the MNO is interested in meeting with your company as soon as possible. Please contact Melanie Paradis, the MNO's Director of Lands, Resources and Consultation, in order to discuss this issue further and to hopefully arrange a meeting between your company and the Regional Consultation Committee for the James Bay/ Abitibi-Temiskamingue traditional territory. Ms. Paradis can be reached at (519) 591-929 or via email at melaniep@metisnation.org. We would also encourage you to visit the MNO's website at: www.metisnation.org/consultations in order to learn more about the Métis Nation's consultation framework.

At this stage, we would ask that you:

1. Consider us as any Aboriginal group with rights in the area of the Project, rather than just an "interested party";



T: 613-798-1488
TF: 800-263-4889
F: 613-722-4225
E: mno@metisnation.org
W: www.metisnation.org

- 2. Provide us with sufficient information to enable us to determine whether the Project may impact our Aboriginal rights; and
- 3. Notify the Métis Consultation Unit c/o the Métis Nation of Ontario of any further developments concerning the Project.

We look forward to working with you on this matter.

Yours truly,

Marcel Lafrance, Regional Councilor and Consultation Committee Chair Métis Nation of Ontario

c.c. Gary Lipinski, President, Métis Nation of Ontario Melanie Paradis, Director of Lands, Resources and Consultations

Name: 132 Roc 09-07-17 Meeting - Ttn Tek Sc.tif

Size: 46KB (47,091 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:13:01 PM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - FINAL

Meeting Date: Friday, July 17, 2009

Time: 3:30 - 4 p.m.

Location: Tele-conference

Purpose: To discuss next steps for TTN TEK Study

Participants: Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN

Derek Teevan (DT Detour Gold

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Wayne Ross (TTN), Jennifer Simard (MERC), Sheila

Daniel (AMEC), Dave Simms (AMEC)

DISCUSSION:

CB, NW and PA provided overview of the work completed to date by the TEK Steering Committee.

DT indicated that he had spoken with PA earlier in the week and discussed the need to reduce the number of TTN members that would be interviewed at the start of the study. DT stated that those that were immediately affected by the transmission line corridor should be interviewed and that may include 8 - 10 TTN members.

PA and DT agreed that the 8 – 10 TTN members that PA wants to involve in the study are primarily living at the New Post reserve (5) and in Moosonee (3). It was confirmed that John Archibald would conduct the interviews.

DT stated that depending on the outcome of this first set of interviews, the Steering Committee should determine if additional TTN members should be interviewed. There is a need to document TEK for those members that will be directly affected (8-10) and that additional interviews could be conducted later if needed. PA agreed that this would be an acceptable approach to take.

Reporting structure and roles/responsibilities were also discussed. It was decided that PA would be the primary contact for TTN working directly with Jennifer Simard (MERC). JS would report back to the Steering Committee on the study progress. NW, CB would coordinate and participate in Steering Committee members on behalf of Detour (CB) and TTN (NW). Wayne Ross would take on the role of alternate to PA; Dave Simms would be the alternate for CB. DT would attend meetings when available.

It was decided that a follow up meeting with the Steering Committee should be convened once NW had a chance to contact JS to determine her availability for a meeting. Subsequently, the meeting was scheduled for Friday, July 24, 2009 from 1 – 2 p.m. The purpose of the meeting was to review progress on the TEK Agreement, TTN TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference, review a revised work plan and budget based on the 10 interviews. There is a target to complete first round of interviews by September 1 so that if site visits were needed they could be done in October.

Name: 133 Roc 09-03-09 Meeting - Ttn Tek Sc.tif

Size: 145KB (147,597 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:13:11 PM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - FINAL

Meeting Date: Monday, March 10, 2009

Time: 12 p.m. - 3 p.m.

Location: Timmins, Five Nations Energy Offices, 36 Birch Street

Purpose: To review and discuss progress on TEK Study

Participants: Dave Simms (DS) AMEC Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Wayne Ross (WR) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Guest: Gerald Panneton (GP) Detour Gold

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Sheila Daniel, AMEC

DISCUSSION:

(1) REVIEW OF FEB 9/09 TEK STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES

- Need to mention trapline issues within the traditional territory. One of the traplines is PA's. In the past, there was much activity on traplines with no compensation or consultation.
- Need to ensure that all trappers need to be involved in the TEK study PA knows which Sutherlands have traplines.
- WR working with Tembec and MNR to get traplines back.
- Need old trapline maps and new trapline permit holders.
- Area has already been compromised by forestry and other activities on the land. Detour cannot compensate for past damages.

ACTIONS from February 9/09 Meeting	Status
CB to provide a copy of the Dec 23/08 meeting notes to WR	Received
AMEC to issue revised study area map to be issued to TEK Steering Committee prior to next meeting to incorporate these changes.	Done
NW to discuss and make changes to TEK Agreement	Done – now with Martin Bayer for final review. NEW: Peter Archibald committed to letting the TTN community members review this agreement at the TTN community meeting March 10/09.
CB to send list of background materials to JS.	Done (hard copy) – CB to ask John Pollock to send original copy of list to Jennifer.
DS to send JS Detour Mine Closure Plan.	GP has amended closure plan to send to Jennifer by courier. CB to send GP a reminder.
PA and WR to find interviewer for Moosonee.	John Archibald to do Cochrane and North Bay interviewers. WR spoke with George Ross (the 3 rd) about doing the Moosonee interviews. He needs

	more information: renumeration, wages. JS
PA to send TEK Steering Committee the list of interviewees.	and WR to discuss with George Ross. PA has started list and WR to add a few more names before finalized. The list could be close to 50 people once completed. WR to send final copy of list to Steering Committee.
JS to set up meetings in TTN Community near Cochrane	Done
PA to set up community meeting in Moosonee.	Done NOTE: MEETING DID NOT OCCUR DUE TO WEATHER.
JS to set up advertising for community meetings.	Done
AMEC to prepare community presentation and maps to be reviewed by TEK Steering Committee at their meeting on March 9th prior to the community meetings.	Done – to review shortly. CB to send final copy of presentation to TEK Steering Committee (for distribution to TTN community) and to Detour Gold for their files.
PA to send a letter to TTN Chief and Council explaining the need for and the dates of the introductory community meetings.	PA spoke to the Chief(s) about the need for the community meetings. They may not be able to attend, but they are aware.
JS to send CB template for Terms of Reference to use in drafting a Steering Committee ToR.	No
CB to draft the Steering Committee ToR for review prior to next meeting.	Draft ToR – need to review.
CB to check availability of Detour representatives to attend TTN TEK Introductory meetings in Cochrane/Moosonee March 10/11.	Done
JS to provide cost estimate for video taping interviews.	Done – estimated at \$15,000 (2 weeks of time) to be focused on the Elder interviews – particularly the "real" Elders – like Veronica Archibald and others who have spent a lot of time in the bush. Approximately 12 Elders. PA and WR to determine who would be appropriate to video tape. JS needs to book video company.
DS to send JS base imagery and maps for the project on which to build the TEK information system.	Ken Brookes sent maps and imagery.

New Information:

- PA meeting with Ernie Rickard (Resource Protect organization of the Moose Cree FN) on Thursday March 12 to discuss TTN traditional territories map. Moose Cree and TTN Chiefs and Councils need to ratify. Not sure on timeline for ratification.
- Southern boundary not well defined.
- In past people respected boundaries and asked permission to enter other's territories. Today, there isn't the same respect which could be the route of all problems.
- Okay to bring the TTN territory map to the community meetings planned for March 10/11
- NW asked for an update on Archaeology DS said there was no change to status of the issue (i.e., unresolved).
- Videos should ask which interviewees are willing to be video taped

(2) COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK AGREEMENT

- Changes to date reflect more simplified language; provides recognition of the MOU.
- Point 2d is redundant so agreed it should be taken out
- 3a add that TTN is participating in TEK Steering Committee
- 4 Clarification that permitting could occur throughout the life of the mine not just during mine start up. Need to have consent from the First Nation to use the data at a later date.
- Any reporting needs to be shared with the trapline permit holders not just Chief and Council. "... thereafter in any way without consent."
- CRP mandated by the First Nation so may have signing authority for the Agreement. However, more comfortable if Chief Sutherland signing.
- Could append the BCR that gives CRP the mandate for the TEK Study to the TEK Agreement.
- CB to ensure all to get copy of final version.
- NEW: Concerns were heard at the TTN Community meeting in the evening of March 10/09 about the need for the community to also review and know what is in the TEK (and other) Agreements. PA committed to letting the community to review the agreement before it is signed.

(3) REVIEW OF STEERING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

- Purpose okay
- Principles add: "Develop and abide by an Agreement of Traditional Environmental Knowledge between Detour Gold and TTN."
- Add two additional principles provided by JS (in hard copy).
- Geographic scope Agreed to north south portion of Highway 652 change wording in ToR. Add map of the mine site showing the watersheds.
- Membership NW to be referenced as McLeod Wood Associates Inc. (also change in footnote). Add roles and responsibilities – CB to take meeting notes; Nancy Chair person and calls the TEK Steering Committee meeting. JS responsible for the TEK meeting.
- Data Sharing "Data sharing and TEK Use protocols shall be in accordance with the TEK Agreement."
- Decision making see hard copy for edits.
- Resources and Funding Remove second sentence.
- CB to make these changes to the Terms of Reference and circulate to the Steering Committee.

(4) REVIEW OF TTN COMMUNITY MEETING PRESENTATION

The remainder of the meeting was spent reviewing the presentation and making changes. A final copy of the presentation slides is attached.

ACTIONS SUMMARY:

CB to forward final review of TEK Agreement by Martin Bayer (Detour)

PA to ensure TTN community has had an opportunity to review the TEK Agreement CB to ask John Pollock to send TEK Bibliography to JS

JS to work directly with John Pollock to obtain hard copies of articles, etc. as needed.

GP to send JS copy of Amended Closure Plan; CB to send GP a reminder.

PA and WR to provide more information re: TEK interviewing to George Ross.

PA and WR to finalize list of interviewees and forward to the TEK Steering Committee

CB to forward copy of Final TTN TEK Community presentation to Detour for files CB to forward copy of Final TTN TEK Community presentation to TEK Steering Committee for distribution (as they set fit) to the TTN community members. PA and WR to determine who would be appropriate to video tape in interviews. CB to make changes to TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference and circulate to the Steering Committee.

Name: 134 Roc 09-08-04 Meeting - Ttn Tek Sc (draft).tif

Size: 109KB (110,869 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:22 AM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Meeting Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2009

Time: 2 p.m. – 4 p.m.

Location: Teleconference

Purpose: To resume progress on TEK Study

Participants: Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Derek Teevan (Detour Gold), Wayne Ross (TTN), Dave

Simms (AMEC), and Sheila Daniel, AMEC

DISCUSSION:

AGEN	ACTION BY	
(1) RE\		
•	Peter to contact Wayne to determine if he is still involved in the	PA
	Steering Committee.	
•	The format of reporting back to the TTN community on the TEK	NW
	study is not yet defined by could include community newsletters	
	and Coral Rapids website. Nancy to get back to the Steering	
	Committee with recommendation about how this will be done.	
•	It was decided that a 1 – page status summary from each	CB
	Steering Committee meeting by prepared by CB about the study	
	progress. This would be reviewed by the Steering Committee,	
	then reviewed to Chief & Council/Detour prior to putting up on the	
	Coral Rapids Website.	
(2)	COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK AGREEMENT	
•	PA to discuss Dwight whether he would sign.	PA
•	CB to clean up document with changes and send to Peter to take	СВ
	to Chief Sutherland. A copy will also be provided to the Steering	
	Committee and Detour.	
•	Nancy to find BCR attachment.	NW
10)	REVIEW OF STEERING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE	
(3)		СВ
•	CB to send out future meeting notes in MSWord (rather than in	CB
	.pdf format) so the Steering Committee can use the track	
	changes feature in MSWord in making edits.	CD
•	CB to edit March 10/09 notes to indicate that we did not have the	СВ
	meeting in Moosonee due to bad weather.	1150
•	Steering Committee decided that we would not have an initial	INFO
	community meeting in Moosonee (as we did at the New Post	
	Reserve) since there is now a limited number of interviews.	
•	CB to send JS map of watershed boundaries for mine site.	CB

•	All agreed to issue March 10/09 notes as final with the above changes.	СВ
•	July 17 th Steering Committee meeting notes: Change to indicate schedule for completion of the interviews by end of September and field visits if needed in October and issue as final.	СВ
(4) RE	VIEW OF WORK PLAN AND BUDGET	
•	JS provided overview of the tasks.	INFO
•	John Archibald will be doing the interviews in both Moosonee and at New Post Reserve.	INFO
•	JS stated that she had not received all of the background information requested from John Pollock. CB offered to contact John to see how materials could be sent to JS. The need for JS to travel to New Liskeard was discussed as an option.	CB/JS
•	CB asked about status of the TTN Traditional Territories map — PA has been working on it and it is finalized for now — but territories are dynamic and will likely be changed over time. With motorized travel traditional territories are now larger and are not confined just to the watersheds as they were in the past.	INFO
•	JS to send out questionnaires to Steering Committee for review. CB asked that a question probing any information about Sturgeon in the Detour River would be appreciated. CB also indicated that the questions should be informed/guided by the information gleaned from the background literature review. Steering Committee to review and send comments back to JS by email.	JS and Steering Committee
•	It was agreed that the Steering Committee should have a conference call (convened by NW) following the interview process to determine if field visits are necessary.	NW
•	Draft report completion is targeted for the end of September	INFO
•	Regarding field visits, PA stated that there was a small community at Island Falls – and that there could be a burial site there. Another point may be Harris Lake. John Pollock may have information on this area as well.	INFO
•	CB indicated that the interviewer should be careful not to commit to site visits until they can be discussed with the Steering Committee.	INFO
•	PA to send JS list of interviewees.	PA
•	The follow up community meeting will be targeted for the end of October (end of hunting season).	INFO
•	The video option budget is still based on 40 interviews – so with the smaller number of interviewees the cost would likely be less than estimated. The option to do some video taping at the community meeting was discussed. All felt that it would be appropriate to ask the interviewees at the time of the interview if they would be willing/comfortable being video taped after (or during?) the community meeting. JS to get new quote from video company.	JS
•	CB to send an email to Detour that the work plan has been	СВ
	on to bottle ent entire to a broad track present the board	1

approved by the Steering Committee and recommend that MERC and Detour should sign off on the work agreement.	
 JS to send contract, revised work plan to Derek Teevan. JS to append to contract the proposal with the revised work plan. 	JS
 JS to add a few days of time and travel expenses to go to see John Pollock in New Liskaerd to gather/review additional background materials. 	JS
JS to send v.6 of the work plan.	JS
 JS to send bi-weekly emails to the Steering Committee to provide updates on progress. First email update is expected from JS the week of August 17. 	JS

Name: 136 Roc 09-08-05 Email - Ttn Tek Sc.tif

Size: 47KB (47,522 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:35:41 PM

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 3:57 PM

To: Warren, Meryl

Subject: FW: TTN TEK Steering Committee Meeting Summary

Attachments: TTN TEK SC Terms of Reference - FINAL DRAFT (2009-08-05).doc; TEK Agreement v5

09-08-04.doc; TEK_StudyArea_MineSite_Watersheds.pdf; DetourProject_TEK_Study_Area_

2.pdf; DRAFT TTN TEK SC Meeting Notes 2009-08-04.doc; FINAL TTN TEK Meeting

09-07-17.pdf; TTN TEK SC Mtg Notes 09-03-09-FINAL.pdf

For SIIMS - also note that separate ROCs should be made for the meeting notes from March 10 and July 17th (if you haven't already). And one ROC for this email correspondence. Whew!!

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 3:50 PM

To: nwood@mcleod-wood.com; Jennifer Simard; 'peter archibald' **Cc:** 'Derek Teevan'; 'Wayne Ross'; Daniel, Sheila E; Simms, David

Subject: TTN TEK Steering Committee Meeting Summary

Hello all,

As promised attached for your review are the DRAFT meeting notes from our TEK Steering Committee meeting yesterday afternoon. Thanks for the great meeting - we got lots accomplished. If there are errors or omissions in the notes, please send to me.

Also attached for your info/files are:

- Final draft of the Steering Committee Terms of Reference
- TEK Study area maps (including the mine site watersheds)
- TEK Agreement that Peter will be taking to Chief Sutherland for review
- Final versions of the meeting notes from March 10th and July 17

I committed to preparing a 1-page summary update that could be used to communicate the progress of the TEK work to TTN community members. I will be able to complete this upon my return from holidays the week of August 17th.

Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606


Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300

Name: Attach 1 Detourproject_tek_study_area_2.tif

Size: 176KB (179,759 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:35:47 PM



Name: Attach 2 Draft Ttn Tek Sc Meeting Notes 2009-08-04.tif

Size: 109KB (110,869 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:35:57 PM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Meeting Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2009

Time: 2 p.m. – 4 p.m.

Location: Teleconference

Purpose: To resume progress on TEK Study

Participants: Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Derek Teevan (Detour Gold), Wayne Ross (TTN), Dave

Simms (AMEC), and Sheila Daniel, AMEC

DISCUSSION:

AGENDA ITEM	ACTION BY
(1) REVIEW TEK STEERING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE	
 Peter to contact Wayne to determine if he is still involved in the Steering Committee. 	PA
 The format of reporting back to the TTN community on the TEK study is not yet defined by could include community newsletters and Coral Rapids website. Nancy to get back to the Steering Committee with recommendation about how this will be done. 	NW
 It was decided that a 1 – page status summary from each Steering Committee meeting by prepared by CB about the study progress. This would be reviewed by the Steering Committee, then reviewed to Chief & Council/Detour prior to putting up on the Coral Rapids Website. 	СВ
(2) COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK AGREEMENT	
PA to discuss Dwight whether he would sign.	PA
 CB to clean up document with changes and send to Peter to take to Chief Sutherland. A copy will also be provided to the Steering Committee and Detour. 	СВ
Nancy to find BCR attachment.	NW
(3) REVIEW OF STEERING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE	
 CB to send out future meeting notes in MSWord (rather than in pdf format) so the Steering Committee can use the track changes feature in MSWord in making edits. 	СВ
 CB to edit March 10/09 notes to indicate that we did not have the meeting in Moosonee due to bad weather. 	СВ
 Steering Committee decided that we would not have an initial community meeting in Moosonee (as we did at the New Post Reserve) since there is now a limited number of interviews. 	INFO
CB to send JS map of watershed boundaries for mine site.	CB

·		0.0
•	All agreed to issue March 10/09 notes as final with the above changes.	CB
•	July 17 th Steering Committee meeting notes: Change to indicate schedule for completion of the interviews by end of September and field visits if needed in October and issue as final.	СВ
(4) RE	VIEW OF WORK PLAN AND BUDGET	
•	JS provided overview of the tasks.	INFO
•	John Archibald will be doing the interviews in both Moosonee and at New Post Reserve.	INFO
•	JS stated that she had not received all of the background information requested from John Pollock. CB offered to contact John to see how materials could be sent to JS. The need for JS to travel to New Liskeard was discussed as an option.	CB/JS
•	CB asked about status of the TTN Traditional Territories map — PA has been working on it and it is finalized for now — but territories are dynamic and will likely be changed over time. With motorized travel traditional territories are now larger and are not confined just to the watersheds as they were in the past.	INFO
•	JS to send out questionnaires to Steering Committee for review. CB asked that a question probing any information about Sturgeon in the Detour River would be appreciated. CB also indicated that the questions should be informed/guided by the information gleaned from the background literature review. Steering Committee to review and send comments back to JS by email.	JS and Steering Committee
•	It was agreed that the Steering Committee should have a conference call (convened by NW) following the interview process to determine if field visits are necessary.	NW
•	Draft report completion is targeted for the end of September	INFO
•	Regarding field visits, PA stated that there was a small community at Island Falls – and that there could be a burial site there. Another point may be Harris Lake. John Pollock may have information on this area as well.	INFO
•	CB indicated that the interviewer should be careful not to commit to site visits until they can be discussed with the Steering Committee.	INFO
•	PA to send JS list of interviewees.	PA
•	The follow up community meeting will be targeted for the end of October (end of hunting season).	INFO
•	The video option budget is still based on 40 interviews – so with the smaller number of interviewees the cost would likely be less than estimated. The option to do some video taping at the community meeting was discussed. All felt that it would be appropriate to ask the interviewees at the time of the interview if they would be willing/comfortable being video taped after (or during?) the community meeting. JS to get new quote from video company.	JS
•	CB to send an email to Detour that the work plan has been	СВ

approved by the Steering Committee and recommend that MERC and Detour should sign off on the work agreement.	
 JS to send contract, revised work plan to Derek Teevan. JS to append to contract the proposal with the revised work plan. 	JS
 JS to add a few days of time and travel expenses to go to see John Pollock in New Liskaerd to gather/review additional background materials. 	JS
JS to send v.6 of the work plan.	JS
 JS to send bi-weekly emails to the Steering Committee to provide updates on progress. First email update is expected from JS the week of August 17. 	JS

Name: Attach 3 Final Ttn Tek Meeting 09-07-17.tif

Size: 46KB (47,091 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:02 PM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - FINAL

Meeting Date: Friday, July 17, 2009

Time: 3:30 - 4 p.m.

Location: Tele-conference

Purpose: To discuss next steps for TTN TEK Study

Participants: Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC

Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN

Derek Teevan (DT Detour Gold

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Wayne Ross (TTN), Jennifer Simard (MERC), Sheila

Daniel (AMEC), Dave Simms (AMEC)

DISCUSSION:

CB, NW and PA provided overview of the work completed to date by the TEK Steering Committee.

DT indicated that he had spoken with PA earlier in the week and discussed the need to reduce the number of TTN members that would be interviewed at the start of the study. DT stated that those that were immediately affected by the transmission line corridor should be interviewed and that may include 8-10 TTN members.

PA and DT agreed that the 8-10 TTN members that PA wants to involve in the study are primarily living at the New Post reserve (5) and in Moosonee (3). It was confirmed that John Archibald would conduct the interviews.

DT stated that depending on the outcome of this first set of interviews, the Steering Committee should determine if additional TTN members should be interviewed. There is a need to document TEK for those members that will be directly affected (8-10) and that additional interviews could be conducted later if needed. PA agreed that this would be an acceptable approach to take.

Reporting structure and roles/responsibilities were also discussed. It was decided that PA would be the primary contact for TTN working directly with Jennifer Simard (MERC). JS would report back to the Steering Committee on the study progress. NW, CB would coordinate and participate in Steering Committee members on behalf of Detour (CB) and TTN (NW). Wayne Ross would take on the role of alternate to PA; Dave Simms would be the alternate for CB. DT would attend meetings when available.

It was decided that a follow up meeting with the Steering Committee should be convened once NW had a chance to contact JS to determine her availability for a meeting. Subsequently, the meeting was scheduled for Friday, July 24, 2009 from 1 – 2 p.m. The purpose of the meeting was to review progress on the TEK Agreement, TTN TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference, review a revised work plan and budget based on the 10 interviews. There is a target to complete first round of interviews by September 1 so that if site visits were needed they could be done in October.

Name: Attach 4 Tek Agreement V5 09-08-04.tif

Size: 176KB (180,066 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:06 PM

AGREEMENT FOR SHARING OF TRADITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL KNOWLEDGE

THIS AGREEMENT dated as of August 5 , 2009

BETWEEN:

TAYKWA TAGAMOU NATION, represented herein by the Council of Taykwa Tagamou Nation pursuant to the *Indian Act* (Canada)

("TTN")

AND:

DETOUR GOLD CORPORATION, a corporation incorporated under the laws of Canada and having their head office at Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower, 200 Bay Street, Suite 2040. Box #23, Toronto, ON M5J 2J1

("Detour")

WHEREAS the parties entered into a Letter of Intent dated August 5, 2009 (the "Letter of Intent") to enable Detour to examine the feasibility of constructing and operating a gold mine and related undertakings on lands which TTN asserts are in its traditional lands and in respect of which TTN asserts it holds constitutionally protected rights ("TTN Lands");

AND WHEREAS Detour contemplates a 2009 exploration program, construction, operation and eventual closure of a gold mine, corridor to the mine site (road, electricity transmission), and related undertakings, portions of which are on TTN Lands (the "**Project**");

AND WHEREAS the Letter of Intent contemplates Detour and TTN negotiating and entering into a memorandum of understanding (the "**MOU**") in respect of the Project;

AND WHEREAS the Parties wish to facilitate the provision by TTN to Detour of traditional environmental knowledge ("TEK") in respect of TTN Lands;

AND WHEREAS Detour is committed to maintaining the integrity of the TEK and respects the right of TTN to outline for Detour the appropriate use of the TEK.

NOW THEREFORE in consideration of the premises, covenants and agreements of the Parties set out herein and other good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. Principles of the Agreement:
 - (a) The Parties agree that TEK refers to an evolving body of environmental and cultural knowledge and beliefs held by members of TTN, transmitted through oral tradition and first hand observation based upon living in close contact with the land. Such information includes that about ecology, and TTN's traditional land uses, sacred sites, religious practices and demographic data not already in the public domain.

- (b) Provided that TTN and Detour have executed the MOU in respect of the Project, TTN and Detour will set out the details and scope of the TEK required in respect of the Project and TTN will provide such TEK to Detour and advance Detour's understanding of such TEK within the area to be affected by the Project. This agreement is intended to be consistent with the traditional principles of sharing TEK in a manner consistent with the maintenance of the environment and the health of TTN. The Parties may elect to collaborate on the TEK work in advance of a signed MOU.
- (c) Notwithstanding provision of TEK to Detour, the TEK provided by TTN to Detour continues to be the property of TTN and its members.
- (d) Detour will only use the TEK provided by TTN to support the Environmental Assessment(s) and for permitting processes related to the Project.

2. Detour agrees to:

- (a) Recognize that TTN may have its own specific and distinct guidelines, principles and protocols relating to the collection and use of TEK.
- (b) Keep strictly confidential, in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, all TEK, and not to release same to any third party without TTN's prior written consent, other than to comply with law.
- (c) Utilize TEK where applicable to assist in its obligations, undertakings and work in respect of the Project.
- (d) Correctly cite TEK sources in all published materials. TTN shall have the right to review any documentation which uses this information, before it is published or made public, so that TTN can ensure the accuracy of reference to or use of TEK therein.
- (e) Make its employees, contractors and/or agents aware of this Agreement and Detour shall be responsible for their adherence to the same.
- (f) Provide the necessary resources required on its part to meet the conditions of this Agreement. Detour and TTN will come to consensus on the necessary resources and define these based upon the timetables, workplan(s) and eligible costs as agreed to by the parties from time to time.
- 3. Provided that TTN and Detour have made substantial progress toward execution of a MOU in respect of the Project, TTN agrees to:
 - (a) Be responsible for providing an appropriate process for the collection and sharing of TEK by TTN members. To do so, TTN will be represented on the TTN TEK Steering Committee struck to guide the TEK Study. The TTN TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference.
 - (b) Maintain documentation of the TEK it collects and shares with Detour.

- (c) Determine what TEK provided to Detour may be made available to the public pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement.
- 4. All TEK provided to Detour which is to be held confidential by Detour (where section 5 of this Agreement does not apply), shall be returned to TTN or, at TTN's option, destroyed and its destruction certified, immediately upon TTN's written request. Detour shall otherwise promptly return or destroy such TEK upon completion of the environmental assessments and permitting related to the Project and shall not use such information thereafter in any way without consent.
- 5. This Agreement does not apply to information which:
 - (a) Detour is legally required by law or by a governmental or court decree, order, regulation or rule or by any legal process to disclose and, in such case, Detour will immediately provide notice to TTN of such a requirement and assist TTN, if required, in defending against disclosure of such information;
 - (b) is generally available to persons engaged in the mining industry and related sectors at the time it is acquired by Detour or thereafter;
 - (c) is made known to Detour by a third party which did not, to the knowledge of Detour, have an obligation of confidentiality to TTN;
 - (d) after it is made known to Detour, becomes generally known or available to persons engaged in the mining and related sectors through no fault of Detour;
 - (e) was in Detour's possession prior to the date of disclosure by TTN;
 - (f) the Parties agree does not have to be confidential and may be disclosed to third parties; or
 - (g) TTN is required to disclose to the Ontario or federal government, as at the time of and after such disclosure.
- 6. Detour acknowledges that a breach of any of the promises and covenants set forth herein shall have a material and adverse effect upon TTN and that damages arising from said breach may be difficult to ascertain. Consequently, Detour hereby consents to an injunction being issued against it which would restrain it from any further breach of the covenants contained in this Agreement.
- 7. In this Agreement, words importing the singular number include the plural and vice versa.
- 8. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein.
- 9. If any term or provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any Party or circumstance shall to any extent be found to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement or the application of such term or provision to a Party through circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid and unenforceable shall not be affected thereby to the extent possible.

- 10. Any condoning, excusing or overlooking by any Party of any default, breach or non-observance by any other Party at any time or times in respect of any covenant, proviso or condition herein contained shall not (any law, statutory or otherwise to the contrary notwithstanding) operate as a waiver of such Party's rights hereunder in respect of any continuing or subsequent default.
- 11. No changes, amendments or modifications of any of the terms or conditions of this Agreement shall be valid unless made by an instrument in writing signed by all Parties.
- 12. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which, taken together, shall be deemed to constitute on and the same instrument.
- 13. This Agreement is binding on the Parties' successors and assigns. Detour shall ensure that any party that acquires any of Detour's rights or interests in respect of the Project is likewise bound by this Agreement.
- 14. This Agreement remains in force for as long as the Project exists, and Detour remains thereafter forever obligated to hold confidential all TEK that is determined to be confidential pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement.

TAYKWA TAGAMOU NATION

Per:	
Name: Dwight Sutherland	
Title: Chief, Taykwa Tagamou Natio	n

DETOUR GOLD CORPORATION

Per:
Name: Derek Teevan
Fitle: Vice President, Government and Aboriginal Affairs

N:\Matters\72690\TEK Agreement v2.doc


Attachments:

- Band Council Resolution that Coral Rapids Power has mandate to represent TTN in the TEK Study
- TTN TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference

Name: Attach 5 Tek_studyarea_minesite_watersheds.tif

Size: 143KB (146,211 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:13 PM



Name: Attach 6 Ttn Tek Sc Mtg Notes 09-03-09-final.tif

Size: 145KB (147,597 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:18 PM

Detour Lake Project Taykwa Tagamou (TTN) TEK Steering Committee Meeting Notes - FINAL

Meeting Date: Monday, March 10, 2009

Time: 12 p.m. - 3 p.m.

Location: Timmins, Five Nations Energy Offices, 36 Birch Street

Purpose: To review and discuss progress on TEK Study

Participants: Dave Simms (DS) AMEC

Caroline Burgess (CB) AMEC
Nancy Wood (NW) Coral Rapids Power

Peter Archibald (PA) TTN
Wayne Ross (WR) TTN
Jennifer Simard (JS) MERC

Guest: Gerald Panneton (GP) Detour Gold

Distribution: Meeting Participants; Sheila Daniel, AMEC

DISCUSSION:

(1) REVIEW OF FEB 9/09 TEK STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES

- Need to mention trapline issues within the traditional territory. One of the traplines is PA's. In the past, there was much activity on traplines with no compensation or consultation.
- Need to ensure that all trappers need to be involved in the TEK study PA knows which Sutherlands have traplines.
- WR working with Tembec and MNR to get traplines back.
- Need old trapline maps and new trapline permit holders.
- Area has already been compromised by forestry and other activities on the land. Detour cannot compensate for past damages.

ACTIONS from February 9/09 Meeting	Status
CB to provide a copy of the Dec 23/08 meeting notes to WR	Received
AMEC to issue revised study area map to be issued to TEK Steering Committee prior to next meeting to incorporate these changes.	Done
NW to discuss and make changes to TEK Agreement	Done – now with Martin Bayer for final review. NEW: Peter Archibald committed to letting the TTN community members review this agreement at the TTN community meeting March 10/09.
CB to send list of background materials to JS.	Done (hard copy) – CB to ask John Pollock to send original copy of list to Jennifer.
DS to send JS Detour Mine Closure Plan.	GP has amended closure plan to send to Jennifer by courier. CB to send GP a reminder.
PA and WR to find interviewer for Moosonee.	John Archibald to do Cochrane and North Bay interviewers. WR spoke with George Ross (the 3 rd) about doing the Moosonee interviews. He needs

	1
	more information: renumeration, wages. JS and WR to discuss with George Ross.
PA to send TEK Steering Committee the list of interviewees.	PA has started list and WR to add a few more names before finalized. The list could be close to 50 people once completed. WR to send final copy of list to Steering Committee.
JS to set up meetings in TTN Community near Cochrane	Done
PA to set up community meeting in Moosonee.	Done NOTE: MEETING DID NOT OCCUR DUE TO WEATHER.
JS to set up advertising for community meetings.	Done
AMEC to prepare community presentation and maps to be reviewed by TEK Steering Committee at their meeting on March 9th prior to the community meetings.	Done – to review shortly. CB to send final copy of presentation to TEK Steering Committee (for distribution to TTN community) and to Detour Gold for their files.
PA to send a letter to TTN Chief and Council explaining the need for and the dates of the introductory community meetings.	PA spoke to the Chief(s) about the need for the community meetings. They may not be able to attend, but they are aware.
JS to send CB template for Terms of Reference to use in drafting a Steering Committee ToR.	No
CB to draft the Steering Committee ToR for review prior to next meeting.	Draft ToR – need to review.
CB to check availability of Detour representatives to attend TTN TEK Introductory meetings in Cochrane/Moosonee March 10/11.	Done
JS to provide cost estimate for video taping interviews.	Done – estimated at \$15,000 (2 weeks of time) to be focused on the Elder interviews – particularly the "real" Elders – like Veronica Archibald and others who have spent a lot of time in the bush. Approximately 12 Elders. PA and WR to determine who would be appropriate to video tape. JS needs to book video company.
DS to send JS base imagery and maps for the project on which to build the TEK information system.	Ken Brookes sent maps and imagery.

New Information:

- PA meeting with Ernie Rickard (Resource Protect organization of the Moose Cree FN) on Thursday March 12 to discuss TTN traditional territories map. Moose Cree and TTN Chiefs and Councils need to ratify. Not sure on timeline for ratification.
- Southern boundary not well defined.
- In past people respected boundaries and asked permission to enter other's territories.
 Today, there isn't the same respect which could be the route of all problems.
- Okay to bring the TTN territory map to the community meetings planned for March 10/11
- NW asked for an update on Archaeology DS said there was no change to status of the issue (i.e., unresolved).
- Videos should ask which interviewees are willing to be video taped

(2) COMMENTS ON DRAFT TEK AGREEMENT

- Changes to date reflect more simplified language; provides recognition of the MOU.
- Point 2d is redundant so agreed it should be taken out
- 3a add that TTN is participating in TEK Steering Committee
- 4 Clarification that permitting could occur throughout the life of the mine not just during mine start up. Need to have consent from the First Nation to use the data at a later date.
- Any reporting needs to be shared with the trapline permit holders not just Chief and Council. "... thereafter in any way without consent."
- CRP mandated by the First Nation so may have signing authority for the Agreement. However, more comfortable if Chief Sutherland signing.
- Could append the BCR that gives CRP the mandate for the TEK Study to the TEK Agreement.
- CB to ensure all to get copy of final version.
- NEW: Concerns were heard at the TTN Community meeting in the evening of March 10/09 about the need for the community to also review and know what is in the TEK (and other) Agreements. PA committed to letting the community to review the agreement before it is signed.

(3) REVIEW OF STEERING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

- Purpose okay
- Principles add: "Develop and abide by an Agreement of Traditional Environmental Knowledge between Detour Gold and TTN."
- Add two additional principles provided by JS (in hard copy).
- Geographic scope Agreed to north south portion of Highway 652 change wording in ToR. Add map of the mine site showing the watersheds.
- Membership NW to be referenced as McLeod Wood Associates Inc. (also change in footnote). Add roles and responsibilities – CB to take meeting notes; Nancy Chair person and calls the TEK Steering Committee meeting. JS responsible for the TEK meeting.
- Data Sharing "Data sharing and TEK Use protocols shall be in accordance with the TEK Agreement."
- Decision making see hard copy for edits.
- Resources and Funding Remove second sentence.
- CB to make these changes to the Terms of Reference and circulate to the Steering Committee.

(4) REVIEW OF TTN COMMUNITY MEETING PRESENTATION

The remainder of the meeting was spent reviewing the presentation and making changes. A final copy of the presentation slides is attached.

ACTIONS SUMMARY:

CB to forward final review of TEK Agreement by Martin Bayer (Detour)

PA to ensure TTN community has had an opportunity to review the TEK Agreement

CB to ask John Pollock to send TEK Bibliography to JS

JS to work directly with John Pollock to obtain hard copies of articles, etc. as needed.

GP to send JS copy of Amended Closure Plan; CB to send GP a reminder.

PA and WR to provide more information re: TEK interviewing to George Ross.

PA and WR to finalize list of interviewees and forward to the TEK Steering Committee

CB to forward copy of Final TTN TEK Community presentation to Detour for files CB to forward copy of Final TTN TEK Community presentation to TEK Steering Committee for distribution (as they set fit) to the TTN community members. PA and WR to determine who would be appropriate to video tape in interviews. CB to make changes to TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference and circulate to the Steering Committee.

Name: Attach 7 Ttn Tek Sc Terms Of Reference - Final Draft (

2009-08-05).tif

Size: 134KB (136,243 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:24 PM







Detour Lake Project and Taykwa Tagamou Nation Traditional Ecological Knowledge Steering Committee DRAFT Terms of Reference August 2009

Purpose

The Taykwa Tagamou Nation (TTN) Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) Steering Committee will guide all aspects of the Traditional Ecological Knowledge study conducted to better understand traditional cultural values, land uses and knowledge held by members of the Taykwa Tagamou Nation membership in the vicinity of the Detour Lake Project (the TEK study area – see attached map).

TEK Study Principles

The TTN TEK Study will:

- be used to inform the environmental assessment, permitting and project planning so that negative effects to traditional land uses and values are avoided or mitigated;
- help build TTN capacity in conducting TEK Studies by involving, as much as possible, members of TTN in the management and implementation of the study process;
- contribute to the documentation and sharing TTN TEK with other members of their community including youth members; and
- help promote trust, cooperation and respect between Detour Gold and TTN community members.
- Develop and abide by principles outlined in the Traditional Environmental Knowledge Agreement between Detour Gold and TTN
- Ensure that the researchers respect the integrity, morality and spirituality of the Taykwa Tagamou culture, traditions, and relationships of the Taykwa Tagamou with the world, and where possible to avoid the imposition of external conceptions and standards. Taykwa Tagamou protocols will be followed or developed as required such as misapprehension of burial sites and the development of protection protocols.
- Cree knowledge may also be referred to as indigenous knowledge, traditional knowledge, traditional ecological knowledge. Taykwa Tagamou have intellectual and property rights to Taykwa Tagamou cultural items especially those itesm located in the Taykwa Tagamou territory. The intellectual and property rights of the Taykwa Tagamou will be respected through the recognition of Taykwa Tagamou to own, control, access and possess their Cree knowledge. The information collected in the TEK study of the Detour Lake Project study area has collective as well as individual authority and rights that include control of:







- o Existing knowledge and resources
- New knowledge and resources that will emerge throughout the project
- o Information management practices that impact Taykwa Tagamou Nation.

Geographic Scope

The study area will comprise of an area that encompasses the watersheds surrounding the mine site, a 5 kilometre area centred on the transmission line corridor and a 10 kilometre area centred on Highway 652 to the Detour Mine site leases (see attached map).

Steering Committee Membership and Responsibilities

This is Committee will be comprised of members selected by the TTN and Detour Gold Leadership as having the skill sets to guide this study:

Name	Organization	Alternate	Role/Responsibility
Caroline Burgess	AMEC'	Dave Simms, AMEC	Secretary – to record meeting notes and action items and distribute to Steering Committee following each meeting. Reports to Derek Teevan, Detour.
Nancy Wood	McLeod Wood Associates ²		Chairperson – calls and chairs Steering Committee meetings. Reports to TTN Leadership.
Peter Archibald	Coral Rapids Power		TTN Representative and Community Liaison. Works with J. Simard to implement TEK Study in communities. Reports to TTN Leadership and community.
Wayne Ross	Coral Rapids Power	Alternate to Peter?	
Jennifer Simard	Mushkegowuk		Responsible for
	Environmental		arranging meeting
	Research Centre		space for Steering
	(MERC)		Committee meetings

¹ AMEC is contracted to Detour Gold to conduct the environmental assessment and permitting for the Detour Lake Project

² Coral Rapids Power is a company owned by TTN and has been selected by TTN Leadership to manage the TEK Studies on behalf of TTN. McLeod Wood Associates has been retained by Coral Rapids Power to assist CRP in managing the TEK studies.



Taykwa Tagamou Nation	amec [©]
	and implementing the TEK Study Work Plan. Reports to the Steering Committee.

The TEK Steering Committee will meet on a regular basis to review and discuss the study process and plan next steps. A TEK Work Plan was reviewed and agreed to by the Steering Committee and will be used to guide the study process (attached).

Data Sharing and TEK Use Protocols

Data sharing and TEK use protocols shall be in accordance with the TEK Agreement.

TTN TEK is the property of the TTN membership. Protocols for use of this knowledge is detailed in a signed TEK Agreement (attached).

Decision Making Process and Reporting

Decisions will be made by consensus of the TEK Steering Committee members.

MERC will be responsible for the day-to-day management and implementation of the study and will report to the TEK Steering Committee at their meetings. AMEC will be responsible for reporting to the Detour Gold leadership. Coral Rapids Power will be responsible for reporting back to the TTN leadership on a regular basis.

The Steering Committee may provide additional support, when requested, to MERC for implementing the TEK Study.

Resources and Funding

Resources and funding required for the participation of the TEK Steering Committee will be provided by Detour Gold A budget for the study and TEK Steering Committee participation is included with the TEK Work Plan.

Attachments:

- TEK Agreement
- TEK Work Plan
- Study Area Map

Name: 137-138 Roc Caroline Call Log.tif

Size: 25KB (24,817 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:02 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Wednesday, August 05, 2009 3:56 PM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

My call log for Detour

Several calls to Nancy Wood (McLeod Wood Associates), Peter Archibald (TTN) and Jennifer Simard (MERC) to set up TTN TEK Steering Committee meeting. Date: August 4th in the morning. Outcome: arranged meeting for 2 p.m. on August 4th. (I will forward you the email I sent just now to all with the draft notes)

August 5th - follow up emails to Peter Archibald (TTN) - sent him copies of the TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference, TEK study area maps and the TEK Agreement. He is to take the agreement to Chief Sutherland for review/approval.

Aug 5th - email correspondence with Jennifer Simard to determine what needs to be done to obtain access to the remaining TEK documentation that John Pollock (Woodland Heritage Resources) has in his library. Action for Jennifer to email Caroline on Thursday Aug 6th with progress in getting in touch with John. Caroline also called and left a message with John indicating that he should touch bases with Jennifer.

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

Name: 142 Roc 09-08-18 Email - Mno.tif

Size: 94KB (95,556 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:06 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Tuesday, August 18, 2009 10:32 AM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

FW: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Discussions with MNO for Detour SIIMS. C

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 9:53 AM

To: Burgess, Caroline M

Subject: FW: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

fyi

From: Melanie Paradis [mailto:MelanieP@metisnation.org]

Sent: August 18, 2009 9:51 AM

To: Derek Teevan

Subject: Re: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

They will probably be there although I can't say for sure. As per our consultation process, however, when you do eventually meet with MNO to discuss the project, it will be with our Consultation Committee for the James Bay-Abitibi-Temiskamingue regional protocol area. Natalie and Urgil are members of that committee, but there are other Councils that are included in the Region that must be consulted with. I will explain this more when we meet, but for now our website has a great consultation page that will help clarify.

-M

---- Original Message -----

From: Derek Teevan < DTeevan@detourgold.com>

To: Melanie Paradis

Sent: Tue Aug 18 09:28:37 2009

Subject: RE: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Melanie:

Let's play it by ear. Do you know whether Natalie Durocher and Urgil Courville will also be in attendace?

D

From: Melanic Paradis [mailto:MelanicP@metisnation.org]

Sent: August 17, 2009 10:36 PM

To: Derek Teevan

Subject: RE: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Sunday is the gala opening so I am not sure of my ability to meet.

The Lands, Resources and Consultation branch will have 2 booths at the tradeshow so you will find either myself or Brian Tucker at one of those tables... or get me on my Blackberry: 519-591-9219 or via email. Scheduling anything during the AGA is complicated so it's best if we plan on running into each other and grabbing a coffee.

Are you leaving on Monday?

Melanie Paradis

Director of Lands, Resources and Consultation

Metis Nation of Ontario

75 Sherbourne St., Suite 222

Toronto, ON M5A 2P9

Office: 416-977-9881 x 114

Fax: 416-977-9911

Mobile: 519-591-9219

Guelph Office: 519-265-8002

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: August 17, 2009 12:59 PM

To: Melanie Paradis

Subject: RE: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Hi Melanie: I hope you had a nice weekend.

I'll be in Peterborough on Saturday and driving up to Sudbury Sunday morning for the grand entry. Could we plan a time to meet on Sunday?

All the best

Derek Teevan

From: Melanie Paradis [mailto:MelanieP@metisnation.org]

Sent: July 29, 2009 11:18 AM

To: Derek Teevan

Subject: RE: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Excellent, I will be there for the entire AGA as well as a few days before and after for additional meetings.

Do you have plans to set up a booth or are you attending the AGA as a participant?

I'm sure we'll have an opportunity to chat over coffee at some point during the AGA.

Melanie Paradis

Director of Lands, Resources and Consultation

Metis Nation of Ontario

75 Sherbourne St., Suite 222

Toronto, ON M5A 2P9

Office: 416-977-9881 x 114

Fax: 416-977-9911

Mobile: 519-591-9219

Guelph Office: 519-265-8002

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: July 29, 2009 11:13 AM

To: Melanie Paradis

Subject: RE: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Thanks Melenie:

I'm hoping to meet you during the annual assembly in Sudbury in a few weeks.

D

From: Melanie Paradis [mailto:MelanieP@metisnation.org]

Sent: July 20, 2009 6:49 PM To: Derek Teevan; Gerald Panneton Subject: Detour Lake - Metis Nation of Ontario

Dear Derek and Gerald,

Please find attached a letter from our James Bay/ Abitibi-Temiskamingue regional protocol area consultation committee. A signed hardcopy has been mailed to you.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter. Email and mobile are the best ways to reach me.

Thank you,

Melanie Paradis

Director of Lands, Resources and Consultation

Metis Nation of Ontario

75 Sherbourne St., Suite 222

Toronto, ON M5A 2P9

Office: 416-977-9881 x 114

Fax: 416-977-9911

Mobile: 519-591-9219

Guelph Office: 519-265-8002

Name: 149 Roc 09-07-06 Letter - Project Description Mcfn.tif

Size: 36KB (36,071 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:27 AM



July 6, 2009

Chief Norm Hardisty Moose Cree First Nation P.O. Box 190 Moose Factory Ontario, POL 1W0

Re: Detour Lake Project Description

Dear Chief Hardisty;

On behalf of Detour Gold Corporation (Detour), I am pleased to provide you with the final draft of the Project Description for the proposed re-development of the Detour Lake site. Detour Gold proposes to construct, operate and eventually close a new open pit gold mine, the 'Detour Lake Project' at the site.

The Project Description has been prepared in order to provide governmental authorities with information regarding the proposed Detour Lake Project in order to assist decision making in regards to the applicability of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. It has purposefully been organized in the same format / order suggested in the "Guide to Preparing a Project Description for a Major Resource Project, December 2008". As approved by the provincial regulators, the Project Description will also provide the basis for provincial regulatory review.

Detour is working through the pre-feasibility and feasibility study stage in concert with the required environmental reviews to ensure a successful and sustainable project. We look forward to working with the Moose Cree First Nation to ensure we build the Project in a way that respects Moose Cree values. It is understood that through the reviews and consultation with Moose Cree and other government agencies that the project may change.

If you have any questions regarding the Project Description please feel free to contact me at the telephone number below.

Best regards,
On behalf of Detour Gold

Derek Teevan
VP Aboriginal and Government Affairs

cc. E. Rickard

Name: 149 Roc 09-07-06 Letter - Project Description Mno.tif

Size: 42KB (42,456 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:33 AM



July 6, 2009

Joanne Meyer Director of Intergovernmental Affairs Suite 2222-75 Sherborne St Toronto Ontario, M5A 2P9

Re: Detour Lake Project Description

Dear Ms. Meyer;

On behalf of Detour Gold Corporation (Detour), I am pleased to provide you with a copy of the Project Description for the proposed re-development of the Detour Lake site. Detour Gold proposes to construct, operate and eventually close a new open pit gold mine, the 'Detour Lake Project' at the site.

The Project Description has been prepared in order to provide governmental authorities with information regarding the proposed Detour Lake Project in order to assist decision making in regards to the applicability of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. It has purposefully been organized in the same format / order suggested in the "Guide to Preparing a Project Description for a Major Resource Project, December 2008". As approved by the provincial regulators, the Project Description will provide the basis for provincial regulatory review.

Detour is working through the pre-feasibility and feasibility study stage in concert with the required environmental reviews to ensure a successful and sustainable project. It is understood that through the reviews and consultation the project may change. I look forward to meeting with you to discuss consultations with the regional Métis councils in the area of the Project. The two principle groups that we have copied on the Project Description are the Northern Lights Métis Council and Métis Nation of Ontario - Timmins.

If you have any questions regarding the Project Description please feel free to contact me at the telephone number below.

Best regards,
On behalf of Detour Gold

Derek Teevan
VP Aboriginal and Government Affairs

CC. Urgil Courville Natalie Durocher



Urgil Courville President Northern Lights Métis Council P.O. Box 2690 275 Fifteenth Ave. Cochrane, ON POL 1C0

Natalie Durocher, President Métis Nation of Ontario 347 Spruce St. South Timmins, ON P4N 2N2

Name: 149 Roc 09-07-06 Letter - Project Description Ttn.tif

Size: 36KB (36,039 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:38 AM



July 6, 2009

Chief Dwight Sutherland Taykwa Tagamou Nation PO Box 3310, RR 3 Ontario POL 1C0

Re: Detour Lake Project Description

Dear Chief Sutherland;

On behalf of Detour Gold Corporation (Detour), I am pleased to provide you with a copy of the Project Description for the proposed re-development of the Detour Lake site. Detour Gold proposes to construct, operate and eventually close a new open pit gold mine, the 'Detour Lake Project' at the site.

The Project Description has been prepared in order to provide governmental authorities with information regarding the proposed Detour Lake Project in order to assist decision making in regards to the applicability of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. It has purposefully been organized in the same format / order suggested in the "Guide to Preparing a Project Description for a Major Resource Project, December 2008". As approved by the provincial regulators, the Project Description will also provide the basis for provincial regulatory review.

Detour is working through the pre-feasibility and feasibility study stage in concert with the required environmental reviews to ensure a successful and sustainable project. We look forward to working with the Taykwa Tagamou Nation to ensure we build the Project in a way that respects Nation's values. It is understood that through the reviews and consultation with Taykwa Tagamou and other government agencies that the project may change.

If you have any questions regarding the Project Description please feel free to contact me at the telephone number below.

Best regards, On behalf of Detour Gold

Derek Teevan
VP Aboriginal and Government Affairs

cc. S. Hartwig

Name:

153 Roc 09-08-24 Memo - Mno Consultation.tif

Size:

47KB (47,161 bytes)

Modified:

Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 11:58:42 AM



	MEMORANDUM	
DATE:		
Aug 24th, 2009		
TO:		
Management Team		
FROM:		
Derek Teevan		
RE:		
Métis Update		

Informal meeting and Métis Nation of Ontario meeting Sudbury

- Participants: Melaine Paradis (Director MNO), Urgil Courville (Cochrane) Natalie Durocher (Timmins), Liliane Ethier, Temiskaming, Andy Lefebvre Captain of the Hunt, Marcel Lafrance Chair of the consultation committee
- The Métis Nation of Ontario (MNO) just received \$2.6 million for consultation. They have established a Consultation Committee and have hired three staff. The region with significant activity is the James Bay/Abitibi-Temiskaming region. The amount of activity and the fact that they are volunteers was repeatedly made. The consultation committee had a negative experience with the Northgate Minerals team.
- Melanie outlined that the specific consultation protocol that the Métis follow and that it is a
 requirement for proponents and governments that will need to be funded by the proponent in the
 absence of government funding.
- Melaine presented at the session outlining that Métis want the following if their rights are infringed:
 - Habitat compensation (improve the local area e.g. tree planting)
 - Wildlife regeneration (fish habitat)
 - o Training plans for local Métis
 - Scholarships and apprenticeship opportunities
- I met later with the Consultation Committee on the evening of August 23 it is clear that they are empowered by the funding from the province. They are claiming fully equal status with the regional

- first nations with the expectation that they will be treated the same. A repetitive comment was "unlike the first nations we don't want money...we want the environment taken seriously".
- The committee members have differences of opinion ranging from the Chair's desire to have a rigorous formal mechanism to the local presidents who want jobs and opportunities in their communities and for local Métis people.
- The region that this consultation committee covers is large and they are busy with a number of
 projects. Nevertheless, they were excited about the Detour Project and looking forward to the first
 "consultation" meeting which is tentatively booked for the last week in September and will include
 a site visit.

Background on individuals

Urgil – works with his brother and manages operations at the Cochrane Airport, he has a 24 year old Down Syndrome son.

Liliane Ethier, Temiskaming, her husband worked underground at Detour in the "old days"

Marcel Lafrance - Chair – Mattachewan – focused on training opportunities and process

Gary (last name not caught) Brother works for MTO and big supporter of Detour.

Name: 154 Roc 09-08-26 Letter - Project Description Wfn.tif

Size: 98KB (99,491 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:09 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Wednesday, August 26, 2009 3:07 PM

To:

Warren, Mervl

Subject:

Fw: Detour Lake Project - Project Description

For SIIMS

From: Daniel, Sheila E To: 'larcombe@mts.net'

Cc: 'DTeevan@detourgold.com'; Simms, David; Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Wed Aug 26 14:16:22 2009

Subject: Re: Detour Lake Project - Project Description

We are also couriering a hard copy to your attention today.

Sheila E. Daniel, M.Sc., P.Geo. Head, Environmental Management AMEC Earth and Environmental

Cell: 416-524-5928

From: linda.larcombe@gmail.com

To: Daniel, Sheila E

Cc: Derek Teevan; Simms, David; Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Wed Aug 26 13:23:56 2009

Subject: Re: Detour Lake Project - Project Description

Hi

We aren't able to get any information from the ftp site. All of the files "appear" empty except for the zipped file which has autocad maps in it. Is there any other way we can get a more detailed report of the proposed developments?

Linda

On Tue, Aug 25, 2009 at 3:10 PM, Daniel, Sheila E < sheila.daniel@amec.com > wrote: As per Dave Simm's request, the Project Description is available on the ftp site below.

ftp://Detour2009:AMECEE@FTP1.NA.AMEC.COM

For Internet Explorer 7:

copy the link : ftp://Detour2009:AMECEE@FTP1.NA.AMEC.COM open Windows Explorer and paste the link.

If you use some other ftp software you may need to use:

Site: ftp1.na.amec.com

Username: Detour2009

Password: **AMECEE** We have been having problems with the ftp site today, so please e-mail me if you have problems, and I can get it posted to another FTP site when I am in the office next on Thursday.

Best regards,

Sheila E. Daniel, M.Sc., P.Geo. Head, Environmental Management Associate Geoscientist AMEC Americas

Dir. Tel.: 905-568-1917 ext. 4123

Cell: 416-524-5928

World Skills on Your Doorstep: www.amec.com

From: <u>linda.larcombe@gmail.com</u> [mailto:<u>linda.larcombe@gmail.com</u>] On Behalf Of Linda Larcombe

Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 6:16 PM

To: Simms, David **Subject:** Re: last e-mail

Thank you for the information. This is precisely what I needed.

Linda

On Fri, Feb 13, 2009 at 4:24 PM, Simms, David < <u>david.simms@amec.com</u>> wrote: Linda:

Please find attached maps showing AMEC's previously study area boundaries for the TEK studies. The transmission line routing is the ROW from the former transmission line connecting to Island Falls. The original wooden pole 115 kV line was installed in the winter of 1981/82. The line was removed as part of mine decommissioning in I believe 2003 / 2004. The western approximately 95 km of the transmission line ROW extends across country. It was build and decommissioned using winter road access. The eastern approximately 40 km of the transmission line ROW follows along the mine all-season access road.

We have Spot5 satellite imagery for the transmission line. Not as good as IKONOS or Quickbird, but sufficient for our needs, given the intent to rebuild the transmission line along the existing ROW.

Dave

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

L. Larcombe Ph.D.
Department of Medical Microbiology
Rm 503 Basic Medical Sciences Building
University of Manitoba
745 William Ave.

Winnipeg, Manitoba R3E 0J9 Ph (204)789-1051 Cell (204) 770-3858 http://whitesprucearchaeology.com

__

L. Larcombe Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Department of Internal Medicine
Rm 503 Basic Medical Sciences Building
University of Manitoba
745 Bannatyne Ave. Winnipeg
Manitoba R3E 0J9
Ph 204.789.1051
Cell 204.770.3858
http://whitesprucearchaeology.com

Name: 159 Roc 09-08-27 Email - Wfn Tek.tif

Size: 78KB (79,418 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:12 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Thursday, August 27, 2009 3:57 PM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

FW:

SIIMS stuff

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 3:24 PM

To: Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E; Burgess, Caroline M; Brian Davey

Subject:

FYI

From: Maurice J Kistabish [mailto:wfnibacoordinator@gmail.com]

Sent: August 27, 2009 11:14 AM

To: Derek Teevan

Cc: Gerry Kerr; Linda Larcombe

Subject: Re:

Dear Derek,

Lets discuss the best approach when we meet in September 02, 2009 at your office in Toronto. I think it would be more wise to proceed this way as we will have the pertinent documents in front of us then. Right now, it is, in my view, a bit premature to come up with an approach when we didn't even had the chance to look at the plan from Linda and Matthew.

Thanks Maurice

On Thu, Aug 27, 2009 at 8:33 AM, Derek Teevan < <u>DTeevan@detourgold.com</u>> wrote:

Linda: thanks very much to you and Matthew for speaking with Dave and I the other day.

Maurice by this note I'll capture where we got to in the conversation and the subsequent actions should you be agreeable.

- Detour will be providing Linda with a copy of the Project Description via FTP site download.
- There was agreement that the following is the most ideal way forward
 - o Review existing TEK materials in the community and identify a few key Elders for conversation to identify known areas or areas of high potential (no later than mid September)
 - It will be WFN's perspective on how this is to be completed with the support of Linda and Caroline Burgess of AMEC
 - o Linda to review the Project Description and maps to identify potential areas of interest.
 - o Initial report and plan is developed and approved in order to have site investigations complete prior to Oct 30th.
 - o Ideally there would be inclusion of Scott Hamilton perhaps peer review?

The feeling of the group is that the TEK is an important feature of this investigation as it directs the areas of interest. Detour will of course commit to meeting the Ontario Heritage Act and would be pleased to have that captured in our agreements.

I would be pleased to expand on this note via telephone.

Best regards

Derek Teevan

V.P. Aboriginal and Government Affairs

Detour Gold

Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower

200 Bay St, Suite 2040 Box #23

Toronto, ON, M5J2J1

office: 416.304.0800

fax: 416.304.0184

cell: 416.278.2851

Maurice J. Kistabish
Wahgoshig First Nation
IBAs Coordinator and IBAs Lead Negotiator
R.R.#3
Wahgoshig (Matheson) Ont
POK 1NO
ph: 705-273-2055
wfnibacoordinator@gmail.com

This e-mail message and any attachments may be privileged, confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorized review, copying, transmittal, use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient you have received this message in error. Please immediately notify us by reply or collect telephone call to (705) 232-8345 and destroy this message and any attachments.

Name: 160 Roc 09-09-01 Letter - Ttn Band Council Resolution.

tif

Size: 30KB (30,701 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:13:19 PM

s Indiennes Nord Canada

Chronological no Nº consecutif	
239	! !
Flo relatance as Nº 60 relatence du di	nester
2009	

BAND COUNCIL RESOLUTION RÉSOLUTION DE CONSEIL DE BANDE	2009
NOTE. The words "from our Black Funds" "capital" or "revenue", whichever is the case, that appear in all parestions reques NOTE. The words "from our Black Funds" "capital" or "revenue", whichever is the session parallel spince (in all parallel spince) and the session of the s	eting expenditures from Bland Flands. Orient aur des dépenses à refine ha fonds des bandes.
	Cash free palance - Solde disponible
The council of the Taykwa Tagamou Nation Le conseil de	Capital account Compte capital \$
Date of duly currened meeting 0 9 0 5 0 9 ONTARIO	Revenue uccount Compte revenu \$
DO HERSBY RESOLVE: DECIDE PAR LES PRÉSENTES: Whomas: Taylona Tagamon Nation is mandating Cor:	al Rapids Power to negotiate

Whereas: Taykwa Tagamou Nation is mandating Coral Rapids Power to negotiate and implement the agreement for traditional knowledge and

Whereas: Coral Rapids will adhere to the Detour Lake Project and Taykwa Tagamou Nation Traditional ecological knowledge steering committee terms of reference dated August 5, 2009

LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT: The Chief & Council agree to the above conditions.

Quorum		Chier	- Chat	•	
-Connolice	- Canseller)	Escusion (Control of the Control of	Conseiler)	(Councillo	or - Consistier)
· (Counsillar	Conseiler)	(Counsilia)	- Conseite)	Contractor	x - Conseiler)
2 pCouncellor	- Conseilled	(Councillor	- Donesiler)	(Cold incide	x - Confelier)
Expenditury – Déparses	FOR DEPAI Authority (Indian Act Section Authority (Indian Act Section Authority (Indian)	RTMENTAL USE ON Source of TURSE Source deel Source	LY – RÉSERVÉ A Expanditure – Depandes	U MINISTERE Authority (Indian Act Section Authority Andreide in Lot sur les indians)	Source of Synds Source Set fonce
		Capital Revenu			Cograpa Revenue
Recontrecting affect - Reco	ommancė par		Recommending officer - Rec	mintendé psi	
Skyr	Net are	D #/4	<u> </u>	netera	Dete
Approving Pricer - Approved			Approving afficient in Approving	per .	:
S'q:	Panner	Deva	86	neturs	Dyte
PAS 1200 750 F-006-8502		Pointed on recycles at	par - Imprind our papier recycle	•	

Name: 161 Roc 09-09-01 Email - Merc.tif

Size: 30KB (30,320 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:15 PM

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2009 8:43 AM

To: Warren, Meryl Subject: FW: Follow up

For SIIMS

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2009 8:42 AM

To: 'Jennifer Simard'

Cc: 'Nancy Wood'; 'peter_archibald@hotmail.com'; Wayne Ross; Simms, David; 'Derek Teevan'

Subject: Follow up

Hi Jennifer -

Further to my voice mail to you this morning, I want to give you an update:

Derek will be signing the MERC contract and you should receive by the end of this week. The June 22nd invoice to Detour has been received by Detour and has been sent for payment.

Can you let us know when we can see the final draft of the questionnaire?

Also - I did not hear whether or not you were able to connect with John Pollock. Can you let me know if this is happening in the near future?

Thanks!

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental

Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

Name: 162 Roc 09-09-01 Phone Call - Nancy Wood.tif

Size: 14KB (14,153 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:16 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Tuesday, September 01, 2009 11:56 AM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

ROC

Telephone Call today with nancy Wood (McLeod Wood) re: TTN TEK study work - I clarified that there are no additional areas that need to be added to the study area and we discussed the need for regular updates from MERC. I provided an update on the fact that the TEK contract agreement had been received by Detour and would be signed off and sent back this week.

C'est tout.

С

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

Name: 163 Roc 09-09-03 Email - Ttn Tek.tif

Size: 51KB (51,414 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:27 PM

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Thursday, September 03, 2009 8:22 AM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

FW:

Attachments:

TTN TEK SC Terms of Reference - FINAL (2009-08-05).doc

for SIIMS

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2009 8:22 AM

To: 'Jennifer Simard'

Cc: 'RoseAnn Ross'; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; nwood@mcleod-wood.com; 'Derek Teevan'

Subject: RE:

Jennifer - I do not have a copy of the signed TEK Agreement. My understanding is that Peter was taking it to Chief Archibald for signing and I have not heard anything since then. Can you check with Peter please?

Since there were no significant issues raised with the most recent version of the TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference - I made it the FINAL version. It is attached.

Good luck with John's training today. I would like to still have a final look at the questionnaire before he starts the interviewing. It is important that all members of the Steering Committee sign off on the tool.

Thanks Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental

Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Jennifer Simard [mailto:jsimard@merc.ontera.net]

Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2009 3:00 PM

To: Burgess, Caroline M Cc: 'RoseAnn Ross'

Subject:

1

Hi Caroline-

Do we have the signed TEK Agreement and final TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference- (I have the Final Draft version)- I'd to include this in John';s training tomorrow.

Jennifer Simard
Executive Director
Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre
36 Birch Street South
Timmins, ON P4N 2A5
ph: 705.268.1123
fax: 705.268.3282
jsimard@merc.ontera.net

There is no way to quantify a way of life, only a way to live it.

Winona LaDuke

Name: Attach 1 Ttn Tek Sc Terms Of Reference - Final (2009-

08-05).tif

Size: 131KB (133,946 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:34 PM







Detour Lake Project and Taykwa Tagamou Nation Traditional Ecological Knowledge Steering Committee DRAFT Terms of Reference August 2009

Purpose

The Taykwa Tagamou Nation (TTN) Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) Steering Committee will guide all aspects of the Traditional Ecological Knowledge study conducted to better understand traditional cultural values, land uses and knowledge held by members of the Taykwa Tagamou Nation membership in the vicinity of the Detour Lake Project (the TEK study area – see attached map).

TEK Study Principles

The TTN TEK Study will:

- be used to inform the environmental assessment, permitting and project planning so that negative effects to traditional land uses and values are avoided or mitigated;
- help build TTN capacity in conducting TEK Studies by involving, as much as possible, members of TTN in the management and implementation of the study process;
- contribute to the documentation and sharing TTN TEK with other members of their community including youth members; and
- help promote trust, cooperation and respect between Detour Gold and TTN community members.
- Develop and abide by principles outlined in the Traditional Environmental Knowledge Agreement between Detour Gold and TTN
- Ensure that the researchers respect the integrity, morality and spirituality of the Taykwa Tagamou culture, traditions, and relationships of the Taykwa Tagamou with the world, and where possible to avoid the imposition of external conceptions and standards. Taykwa Tagamou protocols will be followed or developed as required such as misapprehension of burial sites and the development of protection protocols.
- Cree knowledge may also be referred to as indigenous knowledge, traditional knowledge, traditional ecological knowledge. Taykwa Tagamou have intellectual and property rights to Taykwa Tagamou cultural items especially those items located in the Taykwa Tagamou territory. The intellectual and property rights of the Taykwa Tagamou will be respected through the recognition of Taykwa Tagamou to own, control, access and possess their Cree knowledge. The information collected in the TEK study of the Detour Lake Project study area has collective as well as individual authority and rights that include control of:



Taykwa Tagamou Nation



- o Existing knowledge and resources
- o New knowledge and resources that will emerge throughout the project
- o Information management practices that impact Taykwa Tagamou Nation.

Geographic Scope

The study area will comprise of an area that encompasses the watersheds surrounding the mine site, a 5 kilometre area centred on the transmission line corridor and a 10 kilometre area centred on Highway 652 to the Detour Mine site leases (see attached map).

Steering Committee Membership and Responsibilities

This is Committee will be comprised of members selected by the TTN and Detour Gold Leadership as having the skill sets to guide this study:

Name	Organization	Alternate	Role/Responsibility
Caroline Burgess	AMEC'	Dave Simms, AMEC	Secretary – to record meeting notes and action items and distribute to Steering Committee following each meeting. Reports to Derek Teevan, Detour.
Nancy Wood	McLeod Wood Associates ²		Chairperson – calls and chairs Steering Committee meetings. Reports to TTN Leadership.
Peter Archibald	Coral Rapids Power		TTN Representative and Community Liaison. Works with J. Simard to implement TEK Study in communities. Reports to TTN Leadership and community.
Wayne Ross	Coral Rapids Power	Alternate to Peter	
Jennifer Simard	Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre (MERC)		Responsible for arranging meeting space for Steering Committee meetings

¹ AMEC is contracted to Detour Gold to conduct the environmental assessment and permitting for the Detour Lake Project

² Coral Rapids Power is a company owned by TTN and has been selected by TTN Leadership to manage the TEK Studies on behalf of TTN. McLeod Wood Associates has been retained by Coral Rapids Power to assist CRP in managing the TEK studies.



Taykwa Tagamou Nation	amec [©]
	and implementing the TEK Study Work Plan. Reports to the Steering Committee.

The TEK Steering Committee will meet on a regular basis to review and discuss the study process and plan next steps. A TEK Work Plan was reviewed and agreed to by the Steering Committee and will be used to guide the study process (attached).

Data Sharing and TEK Use Protocols

Data sharing and TEK use protocols shall be in accordance with the TEK Agreement.

TTN TEK is the property of the TTN membership. Protocols for use of this knowledge is detailed in a signed TEK Agreement (attached).

Decision Making Process and Reporting

Decisions will be made by consensus of the TEK Steering Committee members.

MERC will be responsible for the day-to-day management and implementation of the study and will report to the TEK Steering Committee at their meetings. AMEC will be responsible for reporting to the Detour Gold leadership. Coral Rapids Power will be responsible for reporting back to the TTN leadership on a regular basis.

The Steering Committee may provide additional support, when requested, to MERC for implementing the TEK Study.

Resources and Funding

Resources and funding required for the participation of the TEK Steering Committee will be provided by Detour Gold. A budget for the study and TEK Steering Committee participation is included with the TEK Work Plan.

Attachments:

- TEK Agreement
- TEK Work Plan
- Study Area Map

Name: 165 Roc 09-09-04 Email - Ttn And Ea.tif

Size: 245KB (250,131 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:21 PM

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 12:50 PM

To: Warren, Meryl

Subject: FW: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

For SIIMS -

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 12:49 PM

To: Jennifer Simard; 'Nancy Wood'; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; 'Wayne Ross'

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E Subject: RE: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Okay - The first contact with TTN was June 2008 to set up a meeting with the Chief and Council to introduce the Detour leadership and the project. From initial meetings with Chief Sutherland (and, at that time, Peter Politis) my understanding is that the MOU discussions started to take place later in the fall of 2008. Because AMEC is not involved in the MOU negotiations I do not feel I can comment accurately on who has been involved with the MOU over time. This is perhaps better addressed by Derek, Nancy, or Peter. Derek has left for the weekend.

To my knowledge, there are no initial letters of intent -- the only agreement still being discussed at this time is the MOU. The only other agreement we have in place is the TEK Agreement.

С

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental

Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Jennifer Simard [mailto:jsimard@merc.ontera.net]

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 12:07 PM

To: Burgess, Caroline M; 'Nancy Wood'; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; 'Wayne Ross'

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E **Subject:** RE: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Thanks Caroline-- I was able to explain the TEK committees and structures but what I was asking for was the broader TTN consultation including the MOU that Nancy referenced and other letter of intents or so on that has been negotiated

between Detour Gold and TTN—for example a chart that shows dates when initial contact was made, with who and who is involved including names so John will be able to say who is speaking for TTN not only on the TEK study but on the overall project (so initial letter of intents, signatories and IBA team members, etc)

Jennifer Simard
Executive Director
Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre
36 Birch Street South
Timmins, ON P4N 2A5
ph: 705.268.1123

fax: 705.268.3282 jsimard@merc.ontera.net

There is no way to quantify a way of life, only a way to live it.

Winona LaDuke

From: Burgess, Caroline M [mailto:Caroline.Burgess@amec.com]

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 10:41 AM

To: Jennifer Simard; Nancy Wood; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; Wayne Ross

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E **Subject:** RE: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Hi all - here is my first stab at the organization and reporting structure for the TEK work - please review and let me know if you have any changes to this. John Archibald would like to have this to help introduce the study to the interviewees. The interviewing is starting this weekend, so your immediate review would be really appreciated.

Thanks all.

Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465 210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300

From: Burgess, Caroline M

Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 10:08 AM

To: Jennifer Simard; 'Nancy Wood'; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; 'Wayne Ross'

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E **Subject:** RE: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Jennifer,

Thanks for incorporating these changes to the questionnaire.

Traffic volumes will be figured out by the end of November - however, Detour gave me thefollowing estimates:

- During construction (18 months) traffic volumes will likely to be upwards of 3000 + (total) over the 18 months - this works out to be about 6 vehicles per day on the Highway up to site
- Annually (during operations) they estimate volumes would be closer to 600 or less than 2 vehicles per day.

Regarding equipment:

Construction equipment will be contracted to pre strip the mine area. A heavy lift crane will be mobilized for construction.

Conventional open pit mining methods will be used to mine the Detour Lake deposit using a fleet size of up to 28 haul trucks (300 tonne), 3 electric cable shovels (24.5 m³ to 34.2 m³), 6 drills, and various ancillary equipment to support the mining operation (such as ½ tonne pickup trucks, site bus etc)

Regarding the broader approach to consultation with TTN. Detour and the TTN negotiators nearing completion of an MOU. Detour has been actively engaging TTN about the project for over a year. Other than our TEK Steering Committee there are no other negotiations or committees established with TTN at this time. I am happy to draft a chart for the TEK work structure for the Steering Committee to review and subsequently for John's use for the interviews. I'll send this out shortly.

Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Jennifer Simard [mailto:jsimard@merc.ontera.net]

Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2009 3:57 PM

To: Burgess, Caroline M; 'Nancy Wood'; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; 'Wayne Ross'

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E **Subject:** RE: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Thanks for the update Caroline.

We had discussed the need for information regarding how much traffic/ type of traffic is expected during the construction and during the operational phases, do you have this information? As well as the kind of activities/ machinery/ noise associated with construction and operation?

Also- the TEK Steering Committee, terms of reference, reporting structure and so on were discussed with the interviewer John Archibald however, he expects questions from study participants such as how is Detour approaching the broader consultation with the First Nation, what other teams are in place such as the IBA negotiation team, and what other

agreements have been signed – he would like a flow chart along with a timeline to use as a reference—Can you provide this information?

Regarding the questionnaire changes:

There was a question for bird nests/locations and a part of John's training has been to identify the type of bird if possible (eagle, osprey, heron colony, etc...) under the Hunting Section- Question 9

There was also a space for the identification of sturgeon under the Fishing Section but we added a question that even if they never fished it if they knew of presence - a part of his training has been to discuss the Species at Risk legislation – woodland caribou was also in the hunting section but we emphasized it.

The additional questions:

Hunting Section

3.a What is your understanding of caribou in the project area?

Fishing Section

5. Do you know of areas where sturgeon are in the project area such as the Detour River?

Day 2 of training with John is on for tomorrow, will provide 1st biweekly TEK Study update next Wednesday September 9th

Jennifer Simard
Executive Director
Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre
36 Birch Street South
Timmins, ON P4N 2A5
ph: 705.268.1123
fax: 705.268.3282
jsimard@merc.ontera.net

There is no way to quantify a way of life, only a way to live it.

Winona LaDuke

From: Burgess, Caroline M [mailto:Caroline.Burgess@amec.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2009 1:08 PM

To: Nancy Wood; Jennifer Simard; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; Wayne Ross

Cc: dteevan@detourgold.com; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E

Subject: Clarification on Transmission Line Corridor

Hi TEK Steering Committee,

As discussed on our conference call on Tuesday this week, I am providing some clarification on the transmission line routing that we wish to have included in the TEK Study Area. For the draft Terms of Reference for the Individual environmental assessment (EA) of the transmission line, we need to provide a number of different options for the transmission line routing. The options that we will suggest in the Terms of Reference are in the attached map file.

We received agreement yesterday from the Ministry of Environment that only the route that I provided earlier (the one that shows the line going from Fraserdale/Pinard to Island Falls and then along the previous route to the site) will be the one that is assessed in the EA. The other routes are not preferred primarily because they would cause additional land disturbance. So, although this right of way crosses the Fraserdale Conservation Reserve, the Ministry is more interested in limiting land disturbance within or immediately adjacent to an existing disturbance area (the existing Hydro One corridor).

Regarding Peter's question about the connection to the Island Falls substation - pending final engineering designs, there will be no "tie in" to the Island Falls generating station. The connection will be to the transmission line at Pinard or potentially installation of a substation at Pinard.

I included a proposed new TEK Study Area map including the 5km buffer zone along this section of the transmission line corridor. If you have significant concerns with this suggestion, then we should discuss by telephone. If you do not, please let the committee know by email back to all by the end of the week or as soon as possible.

If we are okay with the proposed new study area, then I suggest that Jennifer provides us with an addendum to the work plan and budget to indicate what additional effort will be needed to complete the study.

I also forgot to indicate that we would like specific questions on the following included in the questionnaire:

locations and/or knowledge of:

- Sturgeon in the Detour River
- Raptor and heron nesting sites
- Caribou wintering and calving sites
- Caribou migration corridors or river/stream crossings

Looking forward to receiving the questionnaire so we can provide further suggestions.

Thanks and hope this helps to clarify,

Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP
Senior Consultant, Human Environment
AMEC Earth & Environmental
Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252
Cell: 613-291-2606
Fax: 613727-9465
210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300
Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

DOCUMENT INFO

Name: 166 Roc 09-09-06 Email - Ttn Logistics.tif

Size: 61KB (61,515 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 12:53:24 PM

DOCUMENT INFO

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Tuesday, September 08, 2009 9:12 AM

To:

Warren, Meryl

Subject:

FW:

For SIIMS - more coming

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment

AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300

Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

----Original Message----

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 06, 2009 11:29 AM

To: 'peter_archibald@hotmail.com'; Burgess, Caroline M; 'jsimard@merc.ontera.net'

Cc: 'rross@vianet.ca'; 'nwood@mcleod-wood.com'

Subject: Re:

Peter: I'm glad to meet.

Regards

---- Original Message -----

From: peter archibald <peter archibald@hotmail.com>

To: Caroline Burgess <caroline.burgess@amec.com>; jennifer simard <jsimard@merc.ontera.net>

Sent: Sun Sep 06 09:54:27 2009

Subject: RE:

Hello All

I believe both Gerald and Derek will in Cochrane sept 9,I'll see if I if they'll a few minutes for the MOU.

Peter Archibald

Subject: RE:

Date: Thu, 3 Sep 2009 08:21:51 -0400

From: Caroline.Burgess@amec.com
To: jsimard@merc.ontera.net

CC: rross@vianet.ca; peter_archibald@hotmail.com; nwood@mcleod-wood.com;

DTeevan@detourgold.com

Jennifer - I do not have a copy of the signed TEK Agreement. My understanding is that Peter was taking it to Chief Archibald for signing and I have not heard anything since then. Can you check with Peter please?

Since there were no significant issues raised with the most recent version of the TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference - I made it the FINAL version. It is attached.

Good luck with John's training today. I would like to still have a final look at the questionnaire before he starts the interviewing. It is important that all members of the Steering Committee sign off on the tool.

Thanks Caroline

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment

AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252 Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300

Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Jennifer Simard [mailto:jsimard@merc.ontera.net]

Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2009 3:00 PM

To: Burgess, Caroline M

Cc: 'RoseAnn Ross'

Subject:

Hi Caroline-

Do we have the signed TEK Agreement and final TEK Steering Committee Terms of Reference- (I have the Final Draft version)- I'd to include this in John';s training tomorrow.

Jennifer Simard

Executive Director

Mushkegowuk Environmental Research Centre

36 Birch Street South

Timmins, ON P4N 2A5

ph: 705.268.1123

fax: 705.268.3282

jsimard@merc.ontera.net

There is no way to quantify a way of life, only a way to live it. Winona LaDuke

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual or entity to whom it is addressed.

Its contents (including any attachments) may contain confidential and/or privileged information.

If you are not an intended recipient you must not use, disclose, disseminate, copy or print its contents.

If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete and destroy the message.

Faster Hotmail access now on the new MSN homepage. http://go.microsoft.com/?linkid=9677399>

DOCUMENT INFO

Name: 167 Roc 09-09-08 Email - Arch Report To Wfn.tif

Size: 59KB (60,025 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:38 PM

DOCUMENT INFO

From:

Burgess, Caroline M

Sent:

Tuesday, September 08, 2009 2:20 PM

To: Subject: Warren, Meryl FW: Arch Report

Attachments:

AMEC - 1982 Detour Lake Access Road Archaeological Ass.pdf; Detour Lake FINAL DRAFT

Stage 1 Archaeological August 28-09-ver15a rp-jp.pdf

For SIIMS

Caroline Burgess, M.A., RPP, MCIP Senior Consultant, Human Environment AMEC Earth & Environmental Tel: 613-727-0658 x 252

Cell: 613-291-2606 Fax: 613727-9465

210 Colonnade Road South, Unit 300 Ottawa, ON Canada K2E 7L5

From: Derek Teevan [mailto:DTeevan@detourgold.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 12:02 PM

To: Maurice J Kistabish

Cc: Gerry Kerr; Linda Larcombe; Burgess, Caroline M; Simms, David; Daniel, Sheila E

Subject: RE: Arch Report

Linda:

As instructed by Maurice, attached please find the Detour Lake Final stage 1 study that has been filed with the Ministry of Culture.

We will be working with WFN to finalize an interview process to undertake TK work right away.

Best regards Derek

From: Maurice J Kistabish [mailto:wfnibacoordinator@gmail.com]

Sent: September 4, 2009 10:24 AM

To: Derek Teevan **Cc:** Gerry Kerr

Subject: Re: Arch Report

Dear Derek,

As discussed, please forward it to Linda

Thanks Maurice

On Wed, Sep 2, 2009 at 12:12 PM, Derek Teevan < <u>DTeevan@detourgold.com</u>> wrote:

Maurice & Gerry:

As discussed today, attached please find the stage one archaeological report that has been filed with the Ministry of Culture.

At your okay, I would be pleased to forward it to Linda Lacomb	oe.
--	-----

Best regards

Derek Teevan

V.P. Aboriginal and Government Affairs

Detour Gold

Royal Bank Plaza, North Tower

200 Bay St, Suite 2040 Box #23

Toronto, ON, M5J2J1

office: 416.304.0800

fax: 416.304.0184

cell: 416.278.2851

dteevan@detourgold.com

Maurice J. Kistabish
Wahgoshig First Nation
IBAs Coordinator and IBAs Lead Negotiator
R.R.#3
Wahgoshig (Matheson) Ont
POK 1NO
ph: 705-273-2055
wfnibacoordinator@gmail.com

This e-mail message and any attachments may be privileged, confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorized review, copying, transmittal, use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient

you have received this message in error. Please immediately notify us by reply or collect telephone call to (705) 232-8345 and destroy this message and any attachments.					

DOCUMENT INFO

Name: Attach 1 Amec - 1982 Detour Lake Access Road

Archaeological Ass.tif

Size: 1,180KB (1,207,487 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:36:55 PM

DOCUMENT INFO

HERITAGE RESOURCES ASSESSMENT DETOUR LAKE ACCESS ROAD

From 84.3 km North of Secondary Hwy. 652, Northerly for 36.8 km

An Appendix to Previous Reports

HERITAGE RESOURCES ASSESSMENT DETOUR LAKE ACCESS ROAD

From 84.3 km North of Secondary Hwy. 652, Northerly for 36.8 km

prepared for

Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications Engineering & Right-Of-Way Office Planning & Design Section

> Contract Agreement 4212-5081-171 (W.P. 7-81-03, W.P. 7-81-04)

Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture License No. 82-73

To Serve as an Appendix to Contract Reports 4212-5081-40 and 4212-5081-41 prepared during January 1982

bу

Settlement Surveys Ltd.
An Historical Resources Consulting Firm
P.O. Box 58
1st Street
Englehart, Ontario
Telephone (705) 544-8244

FINAL REPORT
CONTRACT 4212-5081-171

TO SERVE AS AN APPENDIX

TO CONTRACT REPORTS 4212-5081-40

and 4212-5081-41 (W.P. 7-81-03

and W.P. 7-81-04)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	i
Project Credits	ii
List of Figures	iii
List of Maps	v
SECTION I SYNOPSIS OF PREVIOUS WORK AND OUTLINE OF 1982 FIELD SEASON PROJECT	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Synopsis of Previous Heritage Resources Assessment Work	1
1.3 Work Conducted During 1982 Field Season	3
SECTION II HERITAGE RESOURCES RESEARCH REPORT FOR THE 1982 FIELD SEASON	
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Methodology and Fieldwork	4
2.3 Study Results, Detour Lake Right-of-Way	5
2.4 Study Results, Gravel Pits and Aggregate Sources	8
2.5 Study Results, Kattawagami Lake and River	
2.6 Artifact Analysis	
SECTION III PROJECT CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY	
3 1 Conclusion and Summany	1.4

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

and property and the second of the second second

The 1982 field season investigations of the right-of-way and granular source locations for the Detour Lake Access Road resulted in the recording of three prehistoric archaeological sites: DeHa-1 on Kattawagami Lake, DiHb-1 on the North Floodwood River and DkHb-1 on an unnamed lake, one of the headwaters of the North Burntwood River system. As well, two historic log structure features and a fossil collecting locale (all on Kattawagami Lake) are documented and recorded in this report.

This appendix report to the two 1981 reports contains the complete results of the 1982 field investigations and completes the heritage resources impact assessment of the Detour Lake Access Road.

PROJECT CREDITS

Project Staff

Project Co-ordinator : John Pollock, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. candidate,

Director, Settlement Surveys Ltd.

Archaeological Field Research : Mr. Mike McLeod and John Pollock

Typing : Carolyn Reid

Drafting : Reza Lessany

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		<u>Page</u>
1.	Detour Lake Access Road showing construction sections and major rivers	2
2.	Detour Lake Right-of-Way showing winter clearing of trees	16
3.	Helicopter touchdowns were used to check likely areas	16
4.	Shovel hole subsurface testing was undertaken as well as surface examinations	17
5.	View from archaeological site (DkHb-1) towards the right-of-way (looking west)	17
. 6.	Aerial view of right-of-way, archaeological site (DkHb-1) located on small bay on lake at right side of photo (looking north)	18
7.	Sketch map of prehistoric site (DkHb-1)	6
8.	Floorplan of 1 x 2 metre units excavated at (DkHb-1) above	7
9.	Initiation of excavations at site (DkHb-1)	18
10.	Prehistoric cultural materials were found at a shallow depth of 5 to 10 cm below surface	19
11.	Prehistoric site (DiHb-1), an isolated findspot is located in the center of the photograph along the shore of the Floodwood River (looking north)	19
12.	Sketch map of prehistoric site (DiHb-1)	9
13.	Contractor's pit "C"	20
14.	Contractors pit "B"	20
15.	Completed bridge at the Kattawagami River, looking east towards Detour Lake Mine Site	21
16.	View of Kattawagami River Bridge from upstream on river	21
17.	Sketch map of prehistoric site (DlHa-1) Kattawagami Lake	11
18.	Remains of log structure, east end of Kattawagami Lake	22
19.	Log cabin (circa 1960s) south shore, Kattawagami Lake	22
20.	Outfitter's cabin (presently in use), Kattawagami Lake	23
21.	Artifacts from DiHb-1 and DkHb-1	24

List of Figures Continued.

No.	.	Page
	Artifact from DlHa-1	25
23.	Artifacts from DiHa-1 and fossils from Kattawagami Lake shoreline	26

LIST OF MAPS

No.

1. Map of the Detour Lake Access Road (in rear pocket of report)

SECTION I

SYNOPSIS OF PREVIOUS WORK AND OUTLINE
OF 1982 FIELD SEASON PROJECT

1.1 INTRODUCTION

43

This report is designed to serve as a general appendix to reports prepared for Contracts 4212-5081-40 and 4212-5081-41 undertaken under Ontario Ministry of Culture and Recreation License No. 81-70 during the summer of 1981. Complete background information on the project including previous archaeological work, history, ethnology, built environment, geology, etc., is presented in these previous two reports and therefore is not repeated in this report for Contract 4212-5081-171. The fieldwork for this report was undertaken during the summer of 1982 under the Ministry of Citizenship and Culture License No. 82-73 a general consulting license for Northern Ontario assigned to John Pollock, report author and field director for the project.

- 1.2 SYNOPSIS OF PREVIOUS HERITAGE RESOURCES ASSESSMENT WORK (see Figure 1 for locations)
- (a) Project #1, W.P. 7-81-01, 37.5 km Heritage Resources Work Undertaken by Environmental Applications Ltd., 1980 and Settlement Surveys Ltd. 1981.
- (b) Project #2, W.P. 7-81-02, 26.0 km

 Heritage Resources Assessment Undertaken by Settlement Surveys Ltd.,


 1981.
- (c) Project #3, W.P. 7-81-05, 35 km

 Heritage Resources Impact Assessment Work Undertaken by Settlement

 Surveys Ltd., 1981. 1982 work (described in this report).
- (d) Project #4, W.P. 7-81-03, 17.4 km

 Heritage Resources Impact Assessment Conducted by Settlement Surveys

 Ltd., 1982.
- (e) Project #5, W.P. 7-81-04, 17.4 km Heritage Resources Impact Assessment by Settlement Surveys Ltd., 1982.



Summary of Work Undertaken During 1981 on Projects W.P. 7-81-01 and W.P. 7-81-02

An archaeological survey of contracts one and two, W.P. 7-81-01 (37.5 km) and W.P. 7-81-02 (26.0 km) was conducted during August 1981. Areas such as proposed aggregate sites were also inspected as were construction camp areas. Sites off the right-of-way such as Albert Biederman's cabin and the associated graveyard on Lac La Fance were documented.

The results of the work proved largely negative. No historic sites (built environment) are present on or near the right-of-way and sites such as the Biederman cemetery are not threatened. In regards to paleontological sites, a secondary source of invertebrate fossils was found eroding from glacial drift deposits at the North Floodwood River locality.

Summary of Work Undertaken During 1981 on Project W.P. 7-81-05

An archaeological survey of the proposed 35 km long access road from the Kattawagami River to the Joint Venture Mine site was inspected for archaeological sites. As well, all possible aggregate sources that had been identified as of our survey date (August 1981) were assessed for sites.

This work resulted in the recording of an estimated 5000 ± year old prehistoric archaeological site together with evidence of historic fur trapping activities on the Hopper Lake esker, a potential source of sand for road construction. Extensive field testing revealed that the majority of cultural materials may be on the beach and/or under the waters of Hopper Lake, suggesting that a combination of higher water levels and erosion has largely destroyed the site.

1.3 WORK CONDUCTED DURING 1982 FIELD SEASON

During the 1982 field season the remaining contracts (#4, W.P. 7-81-03 and #5, W.P. 7-81-04) were field inspected together with potential aggregate sources and as well an expanded area off the right-of-way in the vicinity of Kattawagami Lake. The results of this work undertaken under license 82-73 is described in this appendix or summary report.

SECTION II

HERITAGE RESOURCES RESEARCH REPORT FOR THE 1982 FIELD SEASON

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The 1982 field project was conducted during the time period from July 12th to July 22nd, 1982. The project was designed to complete the heritage resources impact assessment on projects 4 & 5, a total of 34.8 km of right-of-way not examined during the previous year's work. Also included in the 1982 inspection were numerous gravel pits or aggregate sources and an expanded survey in the vicinity of Kattawagami Lake.

and the company of the control of th

2.2 METHODOLOGY AND FIELDWORK

As in 1981, our methodology was designed to locate all historical (built environment) archaeological and paleontological sites within areas to be disturbed by the proposed highway project such as gravel pits and construction camp sites as well as the main right-of-way which had been cleared of trees during the winter of 1981-1982.

Project proponents in most cases are only responsible for the historical resources that their project may directly impact. Normally, in the case of a linear project such as a highway or pipeline this means that the heritage resources survey is restricted to the right-of-way and immediately adjacent areas. Other project impacts such as gravel pits and construction camp sites are also normally checked.

Specifically, our contract for the 1982 archaeological survey included the following:

- (1) Areas likely to be affected or disturbed by the undertaking within new right-of-way as marked on M.T.C. B plan. Should sites be located within the right-of-way, they were to be accurately mapped even if they extended beyond the right-of-way.
- (2) Stream banks were to be surveyed for 50 metres upstream and downstream of the highway right-of-way.
- (3) A survey was to be conducted of the areas to be utilized for gravel pits along the proposed routes as outlined in the Aggregate Sources List (M.T.C.) as well as construction camp areas, etc.
- (4) Mr. Bruce Roberts also advised us that if time permitted, once the work for items 1-3 was completed some additional work could take place in the vicinity of Kattawagami Lake. The purpose of this work would be to

obtain data on settlement pattern and prehistoric material culture to aid in the analysis and evaluation and interpretation of materials found on the Detour Lake Road.

The fieldwork, as always when working in remote areas, was designed to fit the access available. In this, we were fortunate as the southern end of the project was accessible via truck. For the rest of the area both helicopter and fixed wing aircraft were used.

Gravel pits were inspected by foot traverses with subsurface testing as were portions of the main right-of-way. Areas of the main right-of-way not traversed by foot were checked via helicopter touchdowns on all likely areas (i.e., stream crossings, topographic highs, etc.) and a surface and subsurface examination made. For the Kattawagami River and Lake, a boat and motor were used to examine all areas of high potential.

2.3 STUDY RESULTS, DETOUR LAKE RIGHT-OF-WAY

The right-of-way for contracts 4 and 5 were traversed by foot and by helicopter. Portions of the right-of-way in likely areas were traversed on foot. Because the right-of-way had been cleared during the winter under frozen ground conditions, surface disturbance was minimal in most areas (Figure 2). Other areas such as topographic highs were inspected via helicopter touchdowns (Figure 3). Both surface inspections and subsurface testing (Figure 4) were undertaken at all areas of potential for site occurrence. Examination of the right-of-way and adjacent stream and lake shores resulted in the recording of two new prehistoric sites as outlined below:

(a) Upper Burntbush Lake Tributary (Unnamed Lake) Site. This site (DkH6-1) was located near the right-of-way (Figure 5) on the shore of an unnamed lake, part of the headwaters of the North Burntbush River System (Figure 5). Extensive testing of the site produced a total of nine flakes (see Figure 21) of very heavily patinated chert and one tiny flake (Figure 21) of white quartzite of high quality (similar to Sheguiandah quartzite). Ten shovel test pits .25 metres and two 1x1 metre squares were excavated as shown on Figures 6 and 7. From the extensive testing the site boundaries were established as being at the maximum about 20 metres by 20 metres in size. The cultural materials were located at a depth of

The same of the commentation of the same o





Figure 7: Sketch Map of Prehistoric Site (DkHb-1).



· The frequency of the commence of the commence of the second of the commence of the commence

Figure 8: Floor Plan of Excavations at (DkHb-1).

circa 5 cm to 10 cm deep (Figures 9 & 10) as outlined in Figure 7. As the site is located off the right-of-way and due to the small amount of material recorded Settlement Surveys did not recommend any further work at the site. A description of the site and a map were sent to M.T.C. on July 26th with the recommendation that disturbance to the site be avoided during construction on the nearby right-of-way.

(b) A second prehistoric site (DiHb-1) was located at the Floodwood River in Tweed Township. This site was several hundred metres from the right-of-way and was located during attempts to photograph a cemetery reported in the area by Albert Biederman. The site consists of an isolated find-spot (Figure 11) on the shore of an expansion of the river just east of the new highway. A prehistoric hide scraper of green abitibi chert (see Figure 21) was recovered at this spot. Extensive testing with shovels as well as a detailed surface examination of the shoreline failed to reveal any further cultural materials with the exception of one flake of brown chert (see Figure 21). The location of the site is shown on the map in the rear pocket of this report. Also see sketch map (Figure 12).

2.4 STUDY RESULTS, GRAVEL PITS AND AGGREGATE SOURCES

The 1982 aggregate sources checked were those outlined to Settlement Surveys Ltd. on strip maps sent to Settlement Surveys by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications on February 4th and June 30th, 1982. These areas are shown on the map in the rear pocket of the report and included some new areas located by the contractors such as Pits "C" and "B" (Figures 13, 14) along portions of the right-of-way examined in 1981. These were checked with negative results.

2.5 STUDY RESULTS, KATTAWAGAMI LAKE AND RIVER

As some time remained in our contract after the right-of-way and granular sources inspection, we undertook a brief shoreline examination via motorboat of the banks of the Kattawagami River in the vicinity of the highway crossing (Figures 15 and 16) as well as the shores of Kattawagami Lake itself. The purpose of this work was to obtain valuable baseline data in order to evaluate,

and a trip construction of the provider of the construction of the




Figure 12: Sketch Map of Prehistoric Site (DiHb-1) at the Floodwood River.

a proprieta de la companya de la co

interpret and analyze the other sites located. Of interest is the fact that this work resulted in the recording of only one additional prehistoric site (DlHa-1) (Figure 17) although a large number of high potential areas were examined along both the river and lake shores. Both areas close to the water and areas of higher ground away from the water were checked. The lack of sites could perhaps be attributed to a number of hypothetical factors including: (a) a general lack of prehistoric occupation; (b) higher water levels due to isostatic rebound raising the lake's outlet have flooded the site; (c) shoreline erosion and deposition (the land in this area is very flat, the highest terrace above the lake only being about 2 metres allowing for easy lateral movement of the shoreline); and (d) a judgmental error in the sampling locations picked by the archaeological survey crew. Only two other lakes in this portion of the Southern James Bay Lowlands have been examined (i.e., Pierre Lake, Little Abitibi) and the results from these also indicated small numbers of sites with very few cultural remains. In addition to the prehistoric site, remains of a log structure were found at the very extreme east end of the lake (Figure 17) and an abandoned trappers cabin on the west side (Figure 18). There are many other cottages and outfitters cabins in active use on the lake including the one used by the field party (Figure 19).




Figure 17: Sketch Map of Prehistoric Site (D1Ha-1).

2.6 ARTIFACT ANALYSIS

2.6.1 Site (DiHb-1) Floodwood River

(a) End Scraper (Figure 21: top left). This unifacial tool is manufactured from green Abitibi chert. It has six flakes removed from its dorsal face and the distal end (or working face) is 3.1 cm long and .8 cm high. Overall metric measurements are length 4.4 cm, width 3.2 cm and thickness 1.0 cm. This multi-purpose tool could have been used for hide preparation and other cutting/scraping functions.

and the second of the second o

(b) Flake (Figure 21: top right). A flake of brown chert was recovered about 100 metres from item (a) above. Overall measurements are length 5.0 cm, width 2.6 cm and thickness 1.2 cm.

2.6.2 Site (DkHb-1) North Burntbush Headwaters Lake (Unnamed Lake)

(a) Ten Flakes (9 chert and 1 quartzite) (Figure 21: bottom 2 rows). These small flakes (the largest is 3 cm long, the smallest .9 cm) were the only cultural materials recovered at the above site. The small thin flakes are secondary thinning flakes from tool resharpening, etc., and are not from primary tool manufacturing. One flake of a white translucent quartzite (middle row, extreme left) bears some resemblance to Sheguiandah quartzite. The other flakes are of chert, possibly green abitibi chert, although a positive identification is difficult as all have been heavily patinated to a white colour.

2.6.3 Site (D]Ha-1) Kattawagami Lake

(a) Hammerstone. This artifact (Figure 22) consists of a cobble of green volcanic rock which has been modified at the distal end by use wear and pecking to form a tool working face some 7.3 x 3.4 cm in size. Some minor battering is also evident on the right lateral margin. The artifact may have been used for food preparation and/or reducing of other lithic materials for the purpose of stone tool manufacture. Overall metric measurements are length 13.35 cm, width (maximum) 8.7 cm, and thickness 6.2 cm.

(b) Flakes. One green greywacke or abitibi chert flake (length 3.1 cm) was recovered from DlHa-1. This item is illustrated in Figure 23 (top row, center item). A second flake, diameter 1.5 cm, of grey chert (Figure 23: top row, far right) was also recovered from the site.

and the second of the second second

2.6.4 Paleontological Materials (Invertebrate Fossils)

As described in the previous two 1981 reports, there are numerous fossils in the Detour Lake road area where surface exposures of gravel exist. Some of these are illustrated (Figure 23: bottom two rows). All of these specimens were recovered from the shoreline of Kattawagami Lake.

2.6.5 Musket Ball

A possible lead musket ball (diameter 1.2 cm) was also recovered from Kattawagami Lake (Figure 23: top row, far left). No other cultural materials were found in association.

SECTION III

PROJECT CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

3.1 CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

Two seasons of fieldwork (14 days, 1981; 8 days, 1982) on the Detour Lake Access Road have produced a total of four prehistoric sites as follows: the Hopper Lake Site DIGx-1; the Floodwood River Site DiHb-1; the unnamed lake at the headwaters of the North Burntbush River DkHb-1; and a site on Kattawagami Lake DIHa-1. All of the above sites represent the first sites ever recorded in their Borden Blocks and indeed the first ever recorded in the entire region north of Little Abitibi Lake. Although the results are sparse they do prove that the area was inhabited by prehistoric peoples from the earliest times possible after deglaciation of the region circa 7000 B.C. and subsequent retreat of the Cochrane I and II ice readvance about 4000 B.C. The spear point fragment from Hopper Lake most certainly dates to these early time periods.

The site on the unnamed lake which is part of the headwaters of the North Burntbush River system is located on what was a former portage from there across the Detour Lake right-of-way and via three small ponds to Upper Kesagami Lake and the Kesagami River. This indicates that prehistoric peoples used this route to gain access from the Abitibi to Kesagami watersheds and thence possibly to James Bay. Other prehistoric archaeological sites on Kattawagami Lake (DlHa-1) and the Floodwood River (DiHb-1) indicate that people have lived in this area for several thousand years, although present evidence suggests a small nomadic population with a very low percentage of stone items in their material culture repertoire. It should be stressed that the evidence recovered to date is very sparse and preliminary. Much more work would be required to attempt any sort of a definitive prehistory of the region.

Other sites located during the assessment work included a cemetery recorded on Lac La France and some historic structures on Kattawagami Lake. As well, paleontological specimens (invertebrate fossils) were recorded at a number of locations, the most notable being the North Floodwood River and Kattawagami Lake.

In summary, this appendix report to the 1981 reports outlining the results of the 1982 field season completes the heritage resources impact assessment of the Detour Lake Access Road. Although no heritage sites requiring mitigation were located a number of historical, archaeological and paleontological sites were located and documented on or nearby the right-of-

way and aggregate source locations. Data from these sites contained in the three reports although sparse, is the first ever for this region of Ontario and therefore will make a positive contribution to Ontario's heritage.



a and the season states a tree to the season to be

Figure 2: Detour Lake right-of-way showing winter clearing of trees.



Figure 3: Helicopter touchdowns were used to check likely areas.



Figure 4: Shovel hole subsurface testing was undertaken as well as surface examinations.



Figure 5: View from archaeological site DkHb-l towards road right-of-way (looking west).



Figure 6: Aerial view of right-of-way. Archaeological site (DkHb-1) is located on small bay on lake at right (looking north).



Figure 9: Initiation of excavations at site (DkHb-1).



Figure 10: Prehistoric cultural materials were found at a shallow depth of 5 to 10 cm below surface.



Figure 11: Prehistoric site (DiHb-1) located in center of photograph along shoreline of Floodwood River (looking north).



Figure 13: View of contractor's granular source pit "C" looking west.



Figure 14: View of contractor's granular source pit "B" looking east.




Figure 15: Completed bridge at the Kattawagami River, looking east towards the Detour Lake Mine Site.



Figure 16: View of Kattawagami River Bridge from upstream on river.



Figure 18: Remains of a small log structure, extreme east end of Kattawagami Lake.



Figure 19: Log cabin (circa 1960s) south shore, Kattawagami Lake.



Figure 20: Outfitter's cabin (presently in use), Kattawagami Lake.




Figure 21: Artifacts from DiHb-1 (Floodwood River) (top row) and DkHb-1 unnamed headwaters lake, North Burntbush River System (bottom two rows).



Figure 22: A hammerstone from prehistoric archaeological site D1Ha-l on Kattawagami Lake.



Figure 23: Artifacts from (D1Ha-1) Kattawagami Lake, and invertebrate fossils from the Kattawagami Lake shoreline.

DOCUMENT INFO

Name: Attach 2 Detour Lake Final Draft Stage 1 Archaeological

August 28-09-ver15a Rp-jp.tif

Size: 1,526KB (1,562,431 bytes)

Modified: Tuesday, Oct 05, 2010 01:37:07 PM

DOCUMENT INFO

DRAFT WOODLAND HERITAGE SERVICES LIMITED STAGE ONE PROJECT REPORT

STAGE ONE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE ASSESSMENT OF DETOUR GOLD CORPORATION'S DETOUR LAKE PROJECT SITE AND PROPERTY AREA, COCHRANE DISTRICT, ONTARIO

Prepared for

AMEC Earth & Environmental
A Division of AMEC Americas Limited
160 Traders Blvd. E., Suite 110
Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 3K7
Telephone: 905-568-2929

Fax: 905-568-1686 Attention: Shelia Daniel Cell: 416-524-5928

Submitted by

WOODLAND HERITAGE SERVICES LIMITED 17 Wellington Street, Box 2529 New Liskeard, Ontario P0J 1P0

Attention: Dr. John W. Pollock, Ph.D. Telephone: 705-647-8833

Fax: 705-647-7026

E-mail: jpollock@woodlandheritage.com Province of Ontario Archaeological Licence #P016

> MCL PIF # P016-228-2008 Our Project # J2008-07

DRAFT

August 28, 2009.

AMEC Earth & Environmental A Division of AMEC Americas Limited 160 Traders Blvd. E., Suite 110 Mississauga, On L4Z 3K7

Attention: Shelia Daniel

RE: Stage One Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment of Detour Gold Corporation's Detour Lake Project Site and Property Area, Cochrane District, Ontario

Please find attached three copies of an Archaeological and Heritage Impact Assessment Report for the above captioned project.

For licence and regulatory purposes, we will be sending an additional three copies on your behalf to the following offices:

Archaeological Licence Office Programs and Services Branch Cultural Programs Unit Ministry of Culture, 4th Floor, 400 University Ave. TORONTO, Ontario M7A 2R9

We were pleased to have assisted you with this project and hope to be of continuing service with your future undertakings.

Yours truly, WOODLAND HERITAGE SERVICES LIMITED

John Pollock, Ph.D.
JP/rp, Enclosures

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Part l	I. BACKGROUND DATA	
1.1	Archaeological License Regulations	1
1.2	Introduction and Record Review	2
1.3	Brief Pre-contact History and History of the Study Area	3
1.4	Overview of the Detour Lake Project	5
Part l	II. ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT	
2.1	Assessment Methodology	8
2.2	Potential Assessment Model for Archaeological/Heritage Sites	.10
2.3	Statements Required by the Ministry of Culture	.16
2.4	Archaeological Assessment of the Project Site Area	. 18
2.5	Study Area Maps and Photos	-24
Part l	III. RECOMMENDATIONS	
3.1	Anticipated Impact on Heritage Resources	.25
3.2	Mitigation Requirements	.25
3.3	Recommendations and Conclusion	.25
3.4	References	.27
Anner	ndix 1 1982 Detour Lake Road Archaeological Assessment	20

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Detour Lake Project - Location and General Geology	6
2.	The WHS Algorithm for Determining Archaeological Potential	13
3.	Project Location Map	20
4.	Map of Detour Gold Property (courtesy of AMEC)	21
5.	Map of the Permit Area Showing WHS Areas of Archaeological Potential	22
6.	Vegetation Map for the Northern Extent of the Property (AMEC)	23
7.	Vegetation Map for the Southern Extent of the Property (AMEC)	34
	LIST OF TABLES	
1.	Description of Registered Archaeological Sites in the Detour Lake Region	2
2.	MCL Checklist for Proposed Development Activities	14

Type and Location of Activity:

Detour Lake, Cochrane District, Ontario. Stage One Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resource Overview (Desktop) Assessment of proposed mine development activities within the study area (Figure 2). No field work was undertaken as part of this study.

Purpose:

This Stage 1 or preliminary overview Archaeological and Heritage Assessment was undertaken to determine the potential impact on cultural heritage sites and features due to the proponent's proposed gold mine development plans.

Study Results:

The Ministry of Culture (MCL) check list for determining archaeological potential identified areas of potential, as did Woodland Heritage Services' (WHS) algorithm for determining archaeological potential. The algorithm was particularly useful for identifying areas of high potential for archaeological and/or cultural heritage in the lowland topography of the Detour Mine Project study area (Figure 4).

A recommendation was made that Stage 2 Archaeological and Cultural Heritage field Assessments be carried out for those areas identified as high potential in this Stage 1 Assessment that may impacted by disturbances caused by site development activities.

PROJECT CREDITS

Report Authors: John Pollock, Ph.D.

Ryan Primrose, B.A., B.Ed., M.A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the assistance provided by AMEC Earth & Environmental in helping to prepare the background information and mapping related to this project.

The contents of this report are copyright © 2009 by Woodland Heritage Services Limited, AMEC Earth & Environmental, and Detour Gold Corporation and are not to be distributed, copied, or cited without permission.

PART I. BACKGROUND DATA

1.1 Archaeological Licence Regulations

<u>Recommendations</u>: Any recommendations made in this report are subject to approval by the Minister responsible for the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990. Pursuant to Section 65(1) of the Act, it is required that the licensee will include in any report the following: a statement of impacts that the proposed undertaking may reasonably be expected to have upon archaeological heritage, any recommendations made to the proponent regarding the protection, preservation or conservation of archaeological heritage values in the area of the undertaking, and a statement of the reasons for those recommendations.

Site Record Form: Every newly discovered site must be recorded on an Archaeological Site Record Form. Each site revisited or previously recorded must be documented on a Site Update Sheet.

<u>Prior Notice</u>: The licensee must, before initiating field work on a particular undertaking, provide the Ministry of Culture with notice concerning the identity of the proponent and/or contractor, the identity of the Project Director, the nature, purpose, location, duration and extent of the planned field work, the anticipated staffing of the project, and the details of special arrangements or conditions of the contract. Before commencing field work, the licensee must receive confirmation of receipt of this notice from MCL.

<u>Human Remains</u>: An archaeological licence does not authorize disinterment of human remains. Disinterment must be conducted in compliance with the Cemeteries Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.4 and the Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations.

Archaeological licenses are issued pursuant to the Ontario Heritage Act. R.S.O. 1990, c. 0.18, and are subject to the provisions of this Act. Licences are not transferable. Under archaeological license regulations, three copies of this report must be submitted to the Ministry of Culture.

1.2 Introduction and Record Review

Before initiation of fieldwork, site files and catalogued reports at the offices of Woodland Heritage Services Ltd. were checked to determine if any heritage sites had been previously recorded either in or near the study area. To date, no archaeological sites have been registered with the Ministry of Culture in the current study area, although four sites are registered in the general region (see Table 1).

Table 1. Description of Registered Archaeological Sites in the Detour Lake Region.

DlGx-1	Hopper Lake	Findspot	Spear point fragment recovered
DiHb-1	Floodwood River	Campsite	End scraper recovered / flake recovered
DkHb-1	North Burntbush River	Campsite	10 flakes recovered
DlHa-1	Kattawagami Lake	Campsite	Hammerstone and 2 flakes recovered
n/a	Lower Detour Lake	Native Cemetery	5 well-made wooden crosses, 6-7 mounds
n/a	Lac La France	Native Burial	Specific location unknown

The above four registered sites represent the first sites ever recorded in their Borden Blocks and indeed are the first ever recorded in the entire region north of Little Abitibi Lake. Although the results are sparse, they do prove that the area was inhabited by pre-contact and historic First Nation peoples from the earliest times after deglaciation of the region (circa 7000 BCE) and subsequent retreat of the Cochrane I and II ice re-advance around 4000 BCE. The spear point fragment from Hopper Lake most certainly dates to these early time periods.

The DkHb-1 site on the unnamed lake which is part of the headwaters of the North Burntbush River system is located on what was a former portage route from there across the Detour Lake road right-of-way and via three small ponds to Upper Kesagami Lake and the Kesagami River.

Stage One Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment of Proposed Mining Developments, Detour Lake Project Study Area. Woodland Heritage Services, Ontario Ministry of Culture PIF: P016-228-2008.

This suggests that pre-contact and post-contact peoples used this route to gain access from the Abitibi to the Kesagami watersheds and then to James Bay. Other pre-contact and post-contact/historic archaeological sites on Kattawagami Lake (DlHa-1) and the Floodwood River (DiHb-1) indicate that people have lived in this area for several thousand years, although the preliminary results suggest a likely small nomadic population with a very low percentage of stone items in their material culture repertoire. It should be stressed that the material culture evidence recovered to date is very sparse and preliminary. Much more work would be required to attempt any sort of a definitive pre-contact and post-contact history of the region (Settlement Surveys 1983).

Other sites reported during previous work include a cemetery on Lac La France, Lower Detour Lake and some historic structures on Kattawagami Lake (Settlement Surveys 1983).

1.3 Brief Pre-contact History and History of the Study Area

Archaeologists generally divide northeastern Ontario Aboriginal Peoples' history into the following generalized temporal/cultural sequences, described in further detail below:

- Late Palaeo (circa 8,000 6,000 BCE)
- Shield Archaic (circa 6,000 500 BCE)
- Middle Woodland (circa 500 BCE CE 1200)
- Late Woodland (circa 1200 1600)
- Historic (circa 1600 present)

After European contact in the seventeenth century, the various First Nation Peoples first became known by their European names such as Cree, Ojibway, Algonquin, etc. However, the correct way to refer to Aboriginal Peoples is by what they call themselves in their own language. Archaeologists have divided the Aboriginal Past into various culture phases primarily on the basis of technological traits, attributes, and material culture changes in the artifacts uncovered

from archaeological sites. Because of the wet climate of northern Ontario, virtually the only materials that have survived to the present day are those made of stone, bone, or clay. The way in which the stone tools or clay pots were made was strongly governed by the cultural traditions of the people. This allows for some separation of past groups based on technology. In the Detour Lake area, these main technological divisions are outlined below.

Shield Archaic Peoples (6000 BCE - 500 BCE)

The Shield Archaic peoples are represented by technological and stylistic differences and variations in raw materials as well as the geographic distribution of technology, style, etc. The Shield Archaic Peoples (which may involve one or more separate cultural phases or groups) were widespread across northern Ontario and may have evolved their culture and technology from the preceding Plano peoples who lived in the Thunder Bay and Manitoulin Island areas. These people used large spear points and cutting/scraping tools.

<u>Laurel Middle Woodland Peoples (500 BCE - 500/900 CE)</u>

Evidence associated with the Laurel Peoples represents the first appearance of pottery in the French River/ northern Lake Huron region. Laurel sites tend to be found along major lakes and rivers. Moose and beaver were important food sources, as were fish. Stone tools were generally smaller, and atlatl (device for throwing a spear) and arrowhead projectile points were common.

Late Woodland/Pre-contact Peoples (500/900 – 1600 CE)

Woodland Peoples were the groups who lived in northeastern Ontario just prior to the arrival of Europeans and European trade goods in what is now Canada. Many of these groups are known on the basis of their pottery vessels and distinctive decorations found on them. Some of the pottery traditions found in the area are Blackduck, Selkirk, and Ontario Iroquois. These peoples

were the direct ancestors of the present day Cree, Ojibwa, Ottawa, Nipissing, and Algonquin Peoples, all of whom speak various Algonquian languages.

Post-contact/Historic Native Peoples (1600 - 1900)

Aboriginal sites in this area relate to the various First Nations. The Aboriginal Peoples have shared this area for more than four hundred years with European settlers. However, their history in the area goes back a minimum of 7,000 years and perhaps several thousand years earlier to the days of the glacial lakes. The entire area was utilized, including many inland areas and the smaller rivers and lakes during this time period.

The Cree, Algonquin and Ojibway are the resident Aboriginal Peoples of the Moose River Basin. In the Mushkegowuk Tribal Council area, Native People form an absolute majority of the population. They also constitute a majority in certain sub-regions, such as the areas north and east of Lake Abitibi. In addition, Cree, Algonquin and Ojibway people are the only residents of the Moose River Basin, with Treaty and Aboriginal Rights to lands and resources. These rights are constitutionally protected.

1.4 Overview of the Detour Lake Gold Mine Project

The Detour Lake project is located on the northernmost, relatively unexplored Abitibi Greenstone Belt in northeastern Ontario. It encompasses 265 square kilometres, including the Detour Lake deposit, which contains an in-pit gold resource of 13.2 million ounces (measured and indicated resource of 10.8 million ounces and inferred resource of 2.4 million ounces) based on the current engineered pit design. The Detour Lake deposit is situated in the area of the former Detour Lake mine, which was operated by Placer Dome and produced 1.8 million ounces of gold from 1983 to 1999. In addition, Detour Gold has a 50% interest in Block A located west of the Detour Lake project.

The Detour Lake project is located approximately eight kilometres west of the Ontario-Quebec border and 180 kilometres northeast of Cochrane, Ontario (see Figure 2). Access to the property is available via the Detour Lake mine road, an extension of Highway 652 from Cochrane, as well as by air to the site's 1.6 kilometre gravel airstrip.




Figure 1. Detour

Lake Project - Location and General Geology.

In October 2008, Detour Gold exercised its option with Goldcorp Canada ("Goldcorp") for a 100% interest in the Detour Lake Mine Property, which hosts the current resource Mine History.

The Detour Lake mine was discovered by Amoco Petroleum Limited in 1974. Most of the past exploration and mine development has been carried out by four main operators: Amoco, Campbell Red Lake Mines, Placer Dome, and Pelangio Mines Inc.

Amoco conducted the initial work between 1974 and 1979, followed by Campbell between 1979 and 1987, Placer Dome between 1987 and 1999, and Pelangio between 1999 and

2006. A minor amount of work was also completed by a number of small companies, such as Global Energy Limited, Gowest Amalgamated, and Destor Resources Exploration.

Goldcorp took over from Placer Dome in May 2006.

The first mining activity at the property was by Campbell between 1983 and 1987, utilizing open pit methods. Campbell and then Placer Dome mined the deposit by underground methods until July 1999. Details regarding the original open pit are limited, but indications are that small-scale equipment and a selective mining approach were used. The open pit extended to a depth of approximately 120 metres with an estimated strip ratio of 4.5:1 (excluding low grade ore). Underground mining was conducted from the 120 metre level to approximately 785 metres below surface. Access for mining was provided by a 600 metre shaft located approximately 150 metres west of the open pit, as well as a series of ramps extending to the 785 metre level.

Past production totalled approximately 1,764,986 ounces of gold from the milling of just over 14.3 million tonnes of ore at an average recovered grade of 3.82 g/t, including 5.2 million tonnes grading 2.57 g/t (430,516 ounces) from the open pit and 9.1 million tonnes grading 4.98 g/t (1,464,431 ounces) from underground. Average mill recoveries were 93.2%.

On July 2, 2008, Detour Gold reported a mineral resource update (previous one was in December 2007) that included the historical drilling, Phase I drill results (49,322 metres), 51% of Phase II drill results (73,469 metres). The results showed a 125% increase in measured and indicated gold resources from 4.8 million ounces to 10.8 million ounces while inferred gold resources have decreased 20% from 3.0 million ounces to 2.4 million ounces.

The proposed open pit extends 2.7 kilometres in length and up to 650 metres in depth. There is excellent potential for additional mineralization along strike, especially west of the Calcite Zone for several kilometres. The mineralized system has also not been fully tested to the north in the hanging wall outside of the main 200 metre wide corridor. The deposit remains open below the former open pit where significant gold mineralization was intersected in the footwall of the chert marker horizon (CMH).

(Source for above map and information: http://www.detourgold.com/s/DetourLake.asp)

PART II. ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT

2.1 Assessment Methodology

A Stage 1 background study provides the consulting archaeologist and the Ministry of Culture (MCL) report reviewers with information about the known and potential cultural heritage resources within a particular study area prior to the start of a Stage 2 field assessment. As part of the Stage 1 background study, and as required by MCL's 1993 technical guidelines, the consulting archaeologist should:

- examine the National Site Registration Database to determine the presence of known archaeological sites in and around the project area. This information is available through the MCL Data Coordinator;
- review the land use history and the present condition of the study area;
- and talk to individuals with information regarding archaeological remains on the subject property.

The consulting archaeologist may also examine/document, as deemed appropriate:

• the geomorphological history of the land during the period of possible human occupation, in order to evaluate the potential for buried cultural deposits;

 any other historical, environmental, planning, or archaeological data applicable for the subject lands.

The consulting archaeologist may also wish to review the development property with the appropriate MCL development review officer to determine if additional information regarding known and/or potential heritage resources is available for the project area.

New Technical Guidelines

In early June 2009, MCL introduced Revised Draft Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (see http://www.culture.gov.on.ca/english/heritage/archaeology/arch_sng.htm.). The 2009 standards and guidelines are for voluntary pilot testing during the 2009 archaeological field work season, prior to full implementation in January 2010. Also released in June was a Draft Technical Bulletin for Engaging Aboriginal Communities in Archaeology. This draft technical bulletin provides consultant archaeologists with additional guidance and resources for this important aspect of their professional practice.

Besides complying with the new guidelines, Woodland Heritage Services Ltd. prefers to advance the quality of Stage 1 Archaeological Assessments by conducting a property or site visit, wherein we survey the property with a comprehensive surface inspection. At this scale we are able to more accurately assess the spatial, topographic, hydrologic, and pedologic characteristics of the property, all of which are the main determinants for assessing archaeological potential. Although we have not been able to undertake this preliminary field inspection of the Detour Lake project area yet, we are hoping to do so in the future with the First Nations, perhaps as part of the Stage 2 field assessment work.

Assessing the property at the on-ground field work scale has the ability (even under the new proposed guidelines) to remove areas that were previously thought to have archaeological potential. While confirming features of archaeological potential and identifying other features related to the archaeological assessment of the area, a site inspection also helps to determine:

- that watercourses are present where mapped and are not artificial, altered, or nonexistent;
- that land formations are natural and not artificial;
- that the shore of permanent water bodies is conducive to past human habitation (e.g., low enough to land a canoe, allowing for safe landing of watercraft);
- the presence / absence of low, sloping well-drained soils;
- the presence of cabin remains or other structural remains;
- the presence of old roads, trails, and portages;
- the presence of extensive wetland areas (which may impede a Stage 2 assessment);
- the presence of permanently saturated soils;
- the presence of a hummocky or boulder terrain;
- the presence of cairns, monuments, plaques, culturally modified trees (CMTs), blazes, or any other anomalous feature made by humans.

2.2 Potential Assessment Model for Archaeological/Cultural Heritage Sites

The following algorithm to predict archaeological potential (Figure 2) along with MCL's checklist of archaeological potential (Table 2) were used to identify high potential areas for archaeological sites in the Detour Lake project area (Figures 3 and 4). When combined with satellite or LIDAR images and other data, areas with low and high potential to contain sites can be identified (see Figure 4). The algorithm used in this Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was not designed, nor does it claim, to assess all types of sites in the potential development area. However, it utilizes a comprehensive approach that allows for the

identification of areas with a high potential to contain presently unknown archaeological/heritage sites.

Pre-contact Aboriginal Peoples were faced with the task of obtaining a living from the animal, plant, and natural resources of the area. For pre-contact people, critical decisions were those involving where to live, the size of the group that could be supported, and the duration of habitation at a specific location. The major determinant in these decisions was to secure the maximum return for energy expended. This would mean finding a secure food source and required material items (e.g., bark for canoe manufacture, stone sources for tools, plants for medicine, etc.). A second critical factor was to have alternate food sources nearby in case one source failed (such as a fish run not appearing).

Pre-contact settlement locations, therefore, should be tied to various economic or environmental variables and these (both macro and micro) can be broadly tied to present day topographic, geological, and climatic factors. They can also, although to a lesser extent, be based on documented data from Aboriginal Elders and historical records regarding the distribution of plant and animal resources.

The algorithm (Figure 2) for determining archaeological potential used by Woodland Heritage Services Ltd. / Settlement Surveys Ltd. to identify areas with high archaeological potential is based on the following assumptions:

- pre-contact First Nation Peoples sought to minimize the distance travelled and effort required to obtain resources;
- sites will be located close to areas where a secure level of food resources and raw materials was available:

- travel was reduced by reducing group size, thereby reducing subsistence needs and the size of the area required for exploitation. Consequently, most sites will be relatively small;
- whenever possible, sites were located in areas of environmental diversity, thereby allowing for a range of possible subsistence alternatives should one food or material source fail;
- site selection was influenced by the need for protection, visibility of the surrounding terrain, and access to other groups for purposes of trade, visiting, and information exchange. In northern Ontario most families, according to Anishnabai Elders, would gather together in a group during the summer months when resource availability was at its highest;
- some sites will be stops along travel routes such as o-nig-um (portages) and mekums (overland trails);
- not all areas of the physical landscape were equally suited for settlement, and some of these characteristics (e.g., steep slopes, permanently wet soils, etc.) work to limit or nullify archaeological potential.

The site potential model serves as a preliminary planning tool to indicate the areas that require field assessment prior to land disturbance.

Several of the variables discussed above are present in the algorithm below (Figure 2). The algorithm has been set up to begin with the most basic conditions for archaeological potential and then, through other variables, hone the final determination of potential. It should be noted that there are two distinct sections of the algorithm used to determine archaeological potential. On the left are a set of variables used to determine pre-contact archaeological potential. To the right is a checklist used to determine post-contact archaeological potential.

WOODLAND HERITAGE SERVICES' ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL




Figure 2. The WHS Algorithm for Determining Archaeological Potential.

The answers to the above statements were not assigned values; instead they are designed to build to a final conclusion of archaeological potential. The algorithm has been set so that if physical characteristics are present that automatically discount the area from having archaeological potential, the algorithm will assign a conclusion of low potential. Additionally, if conditions exist through which positive variables are affirmed, then the algorithm will automatically assign a conclusion of high archaeological potential.

Table 2. MCL Checklist for Proposed Development Activities within the Detour Lake Project Area - Sunday Lake Area and West of Sunday Lake Area, District of Cochrane.

MCL CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINING ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL

	Potential Feature	Yes	No	Not Available	Comment
1	Known Archaeological Site (250m)		x		If Yes, Potential Determined

*** PHYSIOGRAPHIC FEATURES ***

2	Water – any within 300m?	Х		If Yes, what kind of water?
2a	Primary Water Source (300m) (lakeshore, river, large creek, etc.)	x		If Yes, Potential Determined
2b	Secondary Water Source (200m) (stream, spring, marsh, swamp)	×		If Yes, Potential Determined
2c	Ancient Water Source (300m) (beach ridge, river bed)		x	If Yes, Potential Determined
3	Elevated Topography (knolls, drumlins, eskers, plateaus, etc.)	Х		If Yes, and Yes for any of 4-9, Potential Determined
4	Pockets of Sandy Soil in a clay or rocky area		x	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3, 5- 9, Potential Determined
5	Unusual Land Formations (mounds, caverns, waterfalls, etc.)		x	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3-4, 6- 9, Potential Determined

*** HISTORIC CULTURAL FEATURES ***

6	Extractive Area (for food or scarce resources)	×	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3-5, 7-9, Potential Determined
7	Non-Aboriginal Settlement (monuments, cemeteries, etc.)	х	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3-6, 8-9, Potential Determined
8	Historic Transportation	×	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3-7 or

Stage One Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment of Proposed Mining Developments, Detour Lake Project Study Area. Woodland Heritage Services, Ontario Ministry of Culture PIF: P016-228-2008.

	(road, rail, portage, etc.)		9, Potential Determined
9	Designated Property (Refer to LACAC or Clerk's Office)	х	If Yes, and Yes for any of 3-8, Potential Determined

*** APPLICATION SPECIFIC INFORMATION ***

10	Local Knowledge	×	If Yes, Potential Determined
11	Recent Disturbance (confirmed extensive and intensive)	×	If Yes, No Potential

SUMMARY: Potential Confirmed

- If Yes to any of 1, 2a-c, or 10: Archaeological Potential is **Confirmed**.
- If Yes to two or more of 3-9: Archaeological Potential is **Confirmed**.
- If unqualified Yes to 11, or no to 1-10: Low Archaeological Potential is Confirmed.

2.2.1 Assessment of Potential within Proposed Development of the Detour Gold Property

The results of the WHS algorithm confirm the Detour Lake project area has many areas of archaeological potential, as the property contains several lakes and streams with reasonably well-drained soils and slightly sloping terrain.

2.2.2 Physiographic (LIDAR) Based Assessment of Potential

To refine the areas of archaeological potential, a LIDAR based terrain elevation model with derived contours at 50 cm was used in combination with the layer depicting vegetation cover. All areas of steep slopes (>30%) and permanently saturated soils were removed from the area of archaeological potential. The vegetation was examined to infer the drainage of the soils that were found on near level slopes and close to water. If the vegetation consisted of a mixed forest or the forest contained jack pine, the areas were highlighted for archaeological potential. Stands of alder and 100% black spruce as well as

cedar swamps were eliminated. Figure 5 shows the resulting areas of archaeological potential found in the Detour Lake Project study area.

2.3 Statements Required by MCL

The Ministry of Culture requires that all archaeological assessment reports contain the following statements.

2.3.1 A Statement Detailing the Exact Location of the Subject Property.

The Detour Gold Property is bounded within the following UTM coordinates. N.B. All coordinates are in UTM Zone 17 NAD 83.

Corners	Easting	Northing
NW	585000	5545000
NE	599000	5545000
SW	585000	5538000
SE	599000	5538000

2.3.2 A Statement of the Size of the Property.

The study area based on the smallest box that can contain all of the areas to be developed is approximately 24 km².

2.3.3 A Statement Concerning Any Limits Placed on Access to the Study Area.

N/A as no field work was undertaken.

2.3.4 A Statement Concerning the Long-term Care and Disposition of Artifacts and Documents (e.g., Field Notes) Related to an Archaeological Assessment Project.

All artifacts and documents will be kept safe and confidential in facilities either directly owned or associated with Woodland Heritage Services Ltd. Artifacts can be transferred to First Nations via the Ministry of Culture's "Archaeological Collection Transfer Form."

2.3.5 A Statement Concerning the Condition of the Subject Property at the Time of the Field Assessment.

As no field assessment has currently been conducted, a complete description of the condition of the subject property is not yet available. It is known that some areas of the Detour Gold Corporation property have been intensively and extensively disturbed through past site development and mining activities.

2.3.6 A Statement Concerning the Weather and Lighting Conditions Encountered
During Field Activities. (This statement confirms that the weather and lighting
conditions at the time of the assessment were conducive to the identification
and recovery of archaeological resources).

No field activities have been conducted to date.

2.3.7 Where Methods Vary from the Technical Guidelines, an Explicit Statement as to the Rationale Behind the Use of Such Methods.

This Stage 1 assessment did not vary from the 1993 MCL's Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines (Stages 1-3 & Reporting Format). This report took into consideration the 2009 Draft Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists.

2.3.8 A Detailed Statement Outlining the Criteria Used to Evaluate Areas of Archaeological Potential, or Lack Thereof, Within a Subject Property.

Criteria used to evaluate areas of archaeological potential, or lack thereof, within a subject property included the following:

- The use of the WHS algorithm for determining archaeological potential (see Figure 2);
- An evaluation of the pre-contact and historic use of the land. This information is based on the MCL archaeological site location and information database as well as any local historical sources.

2.3.9 A Detailed Statement Providing the Rationale Behind, and Justification for, Each Recommendation Made in the Report.

The rationale behind, and justification for, each recommendation in the report is provided in detail in parts 2 and 3 of this report.

2.4 Archaeological Assessment of the Project Site Area

The purpose of this assignment is to complete, to the Ontario Government's standards and satisfaction (both MCL and First Nations), a Stage 1 archaeological assessment to identify any areas of high archaeological potential that may be impacted by the proposed development.

The Detour Lake Project site area has little relief (Figures 3, 4), with the exception of low hills. The bulk of the land is low-lying and fairly flat (Figure 4). Expanses of wetlands comprise the bulk of the project area and consequently restrict the travel of people during the summer months. The vegetation is composed solely of species found throughout the boreal forest. These include black spruce, aspen, alder, and white birch. In the more well-drained areas, jack pine and white spruce are found (Figures 5 and 6).

The study area comprises an area of proposed development by the Detour Gold Corporation. The development has a varied approach as some places are to be used as rock piling areas, tailing ponds, water lines, and expanded open pit operations (Figure 4).

2.5 Study Area Maps and Photos

Maps and photographs of the study area can be found on the following pages.




Figure 3. Project Location Map.




Figure 4. Map of Detour Gold Property (courtesy of AMEC).




Figure 5. Map of the Permit Area Showing WHS Areas of Archaeological Potential.




Figure 6. Vegetation Map for the Northern Extent of the Property (AMEC).




Figure 7. Vegetation Map for the Southern Extent of the Property (AMEC).

PART III. RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Anticipated Impact on Heritage Resources

Archaeological sites are non-renewable resources requiring proper planning, development, management, and protection similar to that afforded to most natural resources. There are several areas of high archaeological potential within the proposed Detour Lake Project study area. Therefore, there exists a potential for impact to archaeological and cultural heritage sites and values.

3.2 Mitigation Research Requirements

As areas of high archaeological potential were identified through the Stage 1 assessment work, it is recommended that field assessments be conducted by a licenced archaeologist working with First Nation cultural monitors and advisors.

3.3 Recommendations DRAFT

- 3.3.1 A topographic and physiographic analysis confirmed the location of several high potential archaeological areas within the Detour Mine Project study area. We recommend that the archaeological and cultural heritage concerns be addressed through a Stage 2 archaeological and cultural heritage field assessment prior to the commencement of any substantive ground disturbing activities.
- 3.3.2 (A) Finally, as required by MCL regulations under the Ontario Heritage Act, all archaeological reports must also state that there is a possibility of deeply buried, undetected archaeological remains or other cultural heritage values (archaeological or historical materials or features existing within the study area). Should these be identified

during operations, all activity in the vicinity of the discovery should be suspended and the Ministry of Culture archaeologist contacted at the Heritage Operations Unit of the Ministry of Culture, 4th Floor, 400 University Ave, Toronto, Ontario M7A 2R9. This condition provides for the potential for discovery of deeply buried artifacts or site areas not typically identified in evaluations of potential or archaeological field work.

(B) Should human remains be identified during operations, all work in the vicinity of the discovery must be suspended immediately. Notification should be made to the Ontario Provincial Police, or local police, who will conduct a site investigation and contact the district coroner. Notification should also be made to the Ministry of Culture and the Registrar of Cemeteries (part of the Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations). Other government staff may be contacted as appropriate; however, media contact should not be made in regard to the discovery.

3.4 References

Baker M.B.

1911 Route Map of Parts of Moose River Tributaries Districts of Algoma Sudbury and Nipissing. To accompany report by M.B. Baker, The Iron and Lignite of the Mattagami Basin, Twentieth Report of the Bureau of Mines, Ontario.

Baldwin, Doug

1975 The Fur Trade in the Moose-Missinaibi River Valley 1770-1917. Historical Planning and Research Branch Research Report 8.

Borron, E.B.

1891 Report on the Basin of the Moose River. Ontario Legislative Assembly Sessional Paper, No. 87, 1891. (Contains reports of Mr. Borron's yearly explorations in the Moose River Basin from 1880 to 1890).

Dyer, W.S.

1929 Geology and Economic Deposits of the Moose River Basin. Ontario Department of Mines, Thirty-seventh Annual Report, Part IV, pp. 1-69.

Hunt, George T.

1940 The Wars of the Iroquois. The University of Wisconsin Press.

Ontario, Government of

1975 A Topical Organization of Ontario History (The Historical Systems Plan), Historical Sites Branch, Division of Parks.

Pollock, J.W.

1975a The Culture History of Kirkland Lake District, Northeastern Ontario. Unpublished M.A. Thesis, Department of Anthropology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario.

- 1975b Algonquian Culture Development and Archaeological Sequences in Northeastern Ontario. Canadian Archaeological Association Bulletin 7:1-53.
- 1976 The Culture History of Kirkland Lake District, Northeastern Ontario. National Museum of Man Mercury Series. Archaeological Survey of Canada. Paper 54. Ottawa.

Settlement Surveys Ltd.

Heritage Resources Assessment Detour Lake Access Road, From 84.3 km North of Secondary Hwy. 652, Northerly for 36.8 km, prepared for Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Engineering & Right-Of-Way Office, Planning & Design Section, Contract Agreement 4212-5081-171, (W.P. 7-81-03, W.P. 7-81-04).
 Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Culture License No. 82-73

APPENDIX 1.

1982 DETOUR LAKE ACCESS ROAD ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

N.B. The 1982 study did not cover the mine site area, as at that time the Environmental Assessment Act did not apply to private sector projects. Therefore only the access road being built by the Province was assessed for archaeological sites.