

Scott A. Stoll  
Direct: 416.865.4703  
E-mail: [ssoll@airdberlis.com](mailto:ssoll@airdberlis.com)

October 5, 2010

BY COURIER

Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
27<sup>th</sup> Floor, Box 2329  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4  
Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Detour Gold Corporation  
Response to Interrogatories  
EB-2010-0243**

---

We are counsel to Detour Gold Corporation ("Detour").

Attached are Detour's response to the interrogatories of Board Staff, the IESO, the Wahgoshig First Nation and Coral Rapids Power. The letter and the responses are being filed with the Board on the RESS today.

Please note there is a large volume of materials in the attached. The submission in the emails and RESS is in pdf form. However, a cd is being provided to each intervenor of Attachment B of such files in the original format. Two hardcopies will be couriered to the Board and a hardcopy will be forwarded to Counsel for the Wahgoshig First Nation per its request to arrive tomorrow morning. If others need a hardcopy please contact me and I will make arrangements for you to receive.

If there are any questions please contact the undersigned.

October 5, 2010  
Page 2

Yours truly,


AIRD & BERLIS LLP



Scott Stoll

SS/hm  
Encl.

cc: All intervenors  
E. Zwarenstein  
W. Clark  
D. Teevan



**EB-2010-0243**  
**Detour Gold Corporation**  
**Response to Coral Rapids Power LP Interrogatories**

**Interrogatory #1**

**Reference:** Board Staff Interrogatory # 12 – First Nations consultations

**Questions/Request:**

- a) TTN asks for the opportunity to reply to the responses provided by Detour.

**Response:**

- a) For the purpose of this interrogatory, Detour is assuming that TTN is acting through Coral Rapids.

The conduct of the proceeding is determined by the OEB and provided in procedural orders to the parties. If TTN is requesting a right to reply, it is typical of OEB proceeding to provide for intervenors to make submissions following argument in chief by the Applicant. The Applicant would then have the right of reply. If however, TTN is requesting the opportunity to ask further interrogatories, then Detour would note Board Counsel's response of Sept. 29, 2010 to Wahgoshig First Nation in respect of a similar request which is reproduced below.

"Dear Ms. Rae,

Your letter of September 28, 2010 was forwarded to me for reply. In your letter, you indicate that, upon receiving Detour's interrogatory responses WFN may file further interrogatories.

Please note that the Board's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* do not provide for a second round of interrogatories as a matter of course. According to Rule 29.03, if any party to the proceeding considers the interrogatory responses non-responsive, such a party can bring a motion requesting that the Board provide for further interrogatories.

Accordingly, if after receiving the applicant's interrogatory responses, WFN wishes to ask further interrogatories it will be required to make a request of the Board to that effect and the presiding panel will consider the request or motion in due course.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions concerning the Board's hearing procedures or any other matter pertaining to this application.

Ljuba Djurdjevic  
Legal Counsel  
Ontario Energy Board  
416.440.7670  
[ljuba.djurdjevic@oeb.gov.on.ca](mailto:ljuba.djurdjevic@oeb.gov.on.ca) “

**Interrogatory #2**

**Reference:** Board Staff Interrogatory #10 – On Connecting Generation

**Question/Request:**

- a) Has Detour Gold identified any specific renewable energy sites that could potentially connect to the transmission line?
- b) Has Detour Gold entered any negotiations or discussions with potential renewable energy transmitters with an interest in these sites?
- c) Has Detour identified any other potential future users who could connect to the transmission line?
- d) Has Detour Gold signed any agreement or entered into any negotiations with a transmitter to operate the transmission line?

**Response:**

- a) Detour Gold has not identified any specific renewable energy generation sites on or close to the proposed right of way for the line.
- b) No.
- c) Detour Gold has not identified any other potential future users of the line as proposed in this Application.
- d) No.

**Interrogatory #3**

**Reference:** Exhibit B Tab 6 Schedule 1, Appendix 2 – Presentation and supplemental Posters from Community Information Session

**Preamble:** Upon decommissioning/closure of the mine, Detour's proposed closure plan – Concept 2 – Transmission Line, states that Detour plans to remove the onsite power infrastructure, the 230 kV power line (from Island Falls only), and cut poles from the ground or remove.

**Question/Request:**

- a) Please confirm whether Detour has any plans to, or has entered into any discussions regarding a potential sale of the transmission line as an asset?
- b) Is Detour, in any respect, contemplating a transfer of the asset from private to network?

**Response:**

- a) Detour has not made any plans nor has it entered specific discussions regarding the sale or transfer of the asset.
- b) No, not at this time.

**Interrogatory #4**

**Question/Request:**

- a) Please provide details of restrictions and the expected duration of restrictions on travel along the right of way during the life on mine?

**Response:**

- a) Detour is not contemplating restrictions on uses of the right of way during the life of the transmission line after it is constructed. Some restrictions may be necessary for safety reasons during the construction period.

**Interrogatory #5**

**Question/Request:**

- a) Please provide Detour's plan for vegetation management during the life of the transmission line and whether by hand clearing or pesticide use?

**Response:**

- a) Pursuant to the Procedural Order No. 1, page 2 and 3 the Board stated:

*"The Board will not require Detour to answer interrogatories related to the EA process, nor will the Board award costs in this proceeding for matters which are related to the EA process."*

Therefore, Detour's position is that it is not required to respond as the issue is not within the Board's jurisdiction. However, if Detour is incorrect, it has provided its answer below.

The method of vegetation management has not yet been selected and is subject to the details of the Environmental Assessment for the project. For a link to the Environmental Assessment, please see the response to Board staff interrogatory #2.



**Detour Gold Corporation  
Response to IESO Interrogatories**

**Interrogatory #1**

**Reference:** Exhibit B/Tab2/Schedule 1/Page 1

**Preamble:** The reference indicates, at paragraph 2, "Detour hereby applies to the Ontario Energy board (the "Board") pursuant to section 92 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, c. 15 Sched. B (the "OEB Act") for an order or orders granting leave to construct approximately 142 kilometers transmission line (the Project" or "Transmission Line" ) and facilities" and "[t]he project will be designed and built to the standards of a 230 kV transmission line but will be operated at 115 kV until Phase II-Island Falls to Pinard Transmission Station is complete."

**Questions/Request:**

1. Please confirm that the leave to construct approval that is being sought in this application by Detour Gold Corporation (Detour), among other things, is for design and construction of 142 km of 230 kV transmission line and related facilities.
2. If the answer to question 1 above is affirmative, please confirm that the technical assessments (e.g., SIA and CIA) that may have been pre-filed with the application or may be filed subsequently in support of the application meets and are consistent with the connection requirement for design and construction of 230 kV transmission system.

**Response:**

1. The approval being sought is for 142km of transmission line to be operated at 115kV but designed to a 230kV standard. Please refer to Detour Gold responses to Board staff interrogatories #5 and #6.

2. Please refer to Detour Gold's responses to board staff interrogatories #5 and # 6.

**EB-2010-0243**  
**Detour Gold Corporation**  
**Response to Wahgoshig First Nation ("WFN") Interrogatories**

**Interrogatory #1: Detour's interest in the transmission line lands**

- a) What is the exact nature of the property interest(s) or other interest(s) that Detour has acquired or intends to acquire in respect of the transmission line lands? If there are multiple interests in different portions of the transmission line lands, please specify the size and location of each interest/
- b) Are these interests subject to unresolved land claims by Aboriginal groups? (e.g. claims concerning treaty land entitlements or aboriginal title, etc.)
- c) If the answer to (b) is yes, what is the specific mechanism by which Detour's interests are made subject to the resolution of such claims? Please provide full details. (e.g. by an agreed condition [if so, please provide the condition], by existing law [if so, please specify], etc.)

**Response:**

- a) Over crown land Detour will need to obtain a land use permit and for private land Detour will seek to obtain an easement in the form included in the Application at Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 5. The easements will be subject to negotiation with the owner.
- b) To our knowledge, there are no unresolved land claims by Aboriginal groups for these lands.
- c) See (b).

**Interrogatory #2: In relation to Board staff interrogatory #8:**

- a) In relation to Question/Request 2, please:
  - i. provide full details of any objections, including the nature and reason given for such objections;
  - ii. indicate if any affected groups have not indicated a response, and if so what groups; and
  - iii. indicate if any affected groups have indicated support, and if so, how was that support expressed, and is it final or preliminary or conditional in nature.

**Response:**

(a) (i),

A letter was received from Olthuis Kleer Townshend LLP dated September 16 2010, indicating that they wished that the Ontario Energy Board process be put on hold “until an adequate process for consultation and accommodation of WFN is set up and implemented”.

(ii) and (iii)

To Detour’s knowledge no other groups expressed concern/support regarding the environmental assessment.

**Interrogatory #3: In relation to Board staff interrogatory #12:**

- a) In relation to Question/Request 1, please provide full details of the nature and content of the discussion, if any, to the best of Detour's knowledge and recollection, that occurred on each occasion of contact or attempted contact between Detour and Aboriginal groups with respect to the project.

**Response:**

- a) See attached summary of contact with First Nations since June 2008.

In addition, on July 28, 2010, Detour provided a letter to the First Nations indicating the application to the OEB had been made and providing information on the OEB leave to construct process.

Summary of Engagement with Wahgoshig First Nation						
ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	W/FN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Response
28	Letter	10/29/2008	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Chief David Babin	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>DGC sends letter to Wahgoshig First Nation Chief for MOU, Archeological study request and TEK Request.</li></ul>
30	Meeting	06/25/2008	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Chief David Babin; Paul McKenzie; Mary Boyden	Gerald Panneton; David Simms; Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Noted. Traditional Knowledge and Traditional land uses would need to be gathered as part of the environmental assessments for the Project.</li></ul>
30	Meeting	06/25/2008	Closure Planning Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Wildlife Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Chief David Babin; Paul McKenzie; Mary Boyden	Gerald Panneton; David Simms; Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>These effects would be addressed as part of the Closure Planning and that consultation about the lodge as a possible end use would need to occur before finalized. Detour has a reclamation bond set aside to return the site back to its natural state following mine closure.</li><li>For other projects AMEC has involved Elders to identify First Nation values.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Chief Babin stated that his people would want the land to be returned to its natural state following mine closure, rather than leaving any facilities.</li><li>He stated a concern that animals are disappearing because of disturbances to the environment. He stated that there is resource competition for hunting and fishing, that sturgeon (fish) are disappearing and that he is concerned with the dams on the Matagamí River.</li><li>Chief Babin stated that the Band hunts and fish in the region and is concerned that they will be prevented from going into this area to hunt and fish. He stated that the Band members do not want to be harassed if they go on the site and that this loss of use must be compensated.</li></ul>
30	Meeting	06/25/2008	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Chief David Babin; Paul McKenzie; Mary Boyden	Gerald Panneton; David Simms; Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It was re-iterated that governments and industries do not know what values are in W/FN's traditional territories and that this is the reason for discussing the project with the W/FN to understand these values.</li><li>Detour Gold is in the process of signing MOUs with First Nations, applying for permits and want the project to benefit all and respect rights.</li></ul>
30	Meeting	06/25/2008	Stakeholder Engagement	Chief David Babin; Paul McKenzie; Mary Boyden	Gerald Panneton; David Simms; Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CB indicated that at this time, First Nations in Ontario were being consulted about the project.</li></ul>
30	Meeting	06/25/2008	Water Resources	Chief David Babin; Paul McKenzie; Mary Boyden	Gerald Panneton; David Simms; Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Noted.</li></ul>
42	Phone Call	6/9/2008	Event Logistics	Ginger Nadon	Caroline Burgess	
58	E-mail	10/21/2008	Negotiated Agreements	Dan Stubbe	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Discussion regarding Memorandum of Understanding – request for electronic copy.</li></ul>
59	Phone Call	12/8/2008	Employment and Training	Mary Boyden	Mike Burson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>On-site issue with employee – confidential.</li></ul>
60	Letter	6/13/2008	Stakeholder Engagement	Chief David Babin	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter from Detour Gold to Wahgoshig First Nation in order to arrange an introductory meeting regarding the project.</li></ul>
61	Letter	6/16/2008	Event Logistics	Ginger Nadon	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter to change meeting date.</li></ul>
85	Letter	7/31/2008	Event funding request	Bonnie Sackaney	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Request for donation for traditional gathering.</li></ul>
90	Letter	7/31/2008	Negotiated Agreements	Chief David Babin	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Thank you letter for community visit on June 25, 2008. Attached MOU for review and request for next meeting.</li></ul>
91	Letter	8/5/2008	Negotiated Agreements	Chief David Babin	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter from Detour Gold to Wahgoshig First Nation to acknowledge receipt of draft MoU.</li></ul>
94	Letter	9/30/2008	Negotiated Agreements	Chief David Babin	Gerald Panneton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter from Detour Gold to Wahgoshig FN regarding draft MoU. Nine pages of Detour comments and suggested changes to the draft MoU are included in the document (confidential).</li></ul>
158	Letter	6/29/2009	Negotiated Agreements	Chief David Babin Gerry Kerr	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Discussing the MOU. Re: Community Meeting June 22 2009.</li><li>Discussing of TK and archeological work timelines. cc: Gerry Kerr</li></ul>
160	Meeting	6/30/2009	Employment and Training	Mary Boyden	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up to Detour's Project Description presentation. Discussion of relation between Apollo and W/FN. Discussion of potential employment and contracting process for W/FN members.</li></ul>



ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	W/FN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
163	Meeting	4/9/2009	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Dan Stubbe Gerry Kerr	Derek Teevan Brian Davey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Minutes from the MoU negotiation session with Wahgoshig First Nation.</li><li>Discussion of Archaeologist to retain for Stage 1 and 2 Reports</li></ul>	
193	E-mail	8/27/2009	Negotiated Agreements	Maurice J. Kistabish	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Discussion about TEK planning. Commitment to meet requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act. More discussion suggested at meeting on September 2, 2009.</li></ul>	
206	E-mail	9/8/2009	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Linda Larcombe Maurice J. Kistabish	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Derek Teevan sent the final Stage 1 Archeological Assessment to Maurice Kistabish, Wahgoshig First Nation (WFN). The Assessment was then sent to Linda Larcombe, consultant for the WFN. Derek also told Linda that Detour would be working with WFN to finalize a TK interview process. Attachment: 'Detour Lake FINAL DRAFT Stage 1 Archeological August 28-09-ver15a rp-jp'</li></ul>	
209	E-mail	9/8/2009	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge	Linda Larcombe Maurice J. Kistabish	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The Wahgoshig First Nation TEK Agreement and Draft TEK Work Plan were sent to Maurice Kistabish (IBA coordinator) and Gerry Kerr (consultant for WFN).</li></ul>	
227	Letter	9/23/2009	Document Reviews	Chief David Babin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The Detour Lake Permanent Power Project Draft Terms of Reference for Review was sent to stakeholders between September 23, 2009 and October 6, 2009.</li></ul>	
233	E-mail	9/29/2009	Document Reviews	Mary Boyden	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter from Derek to WFN inquiring about options available to the Wahgoshig Environment Committee (WEC) to gather independent advice to review EAs. Offer to meet with WEC and WFNs technical support to review the project and the EAs, and discuss how best consult and accommodate WFN.</li></ul>	
243	E-mail	11/16/2009	Employment and Training	Mary Boyden	Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Caroline Burgess of AMEC left a voicemail with Mary Boyden of WFN requesting a list of mining and construction contractors to pass along to Denis Caron of Detour Gold. Caroline Burgess followed up this request with an e-mail to Mary Boyden on November 12, 2009. Caroline left another voicemail with Mary Boyden and followed up with an e-mail on November 16, 2009 requesting a list of contractors.</li></ul>	
249	Site Visit	11/17/2009	Project Update and Facilities	Liz Babin Madeline Chookoomlin, Mary Boyden,	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The visit allowed the participants to get a sense of what the historical mine operation was able to do with regard to reclamation. It was stated that the relationship with WFN will go beyond the environmental assessment process and include permitting and monitoring of the mine over the production and closure phases.</li></ul>	
266	Letter	11/12/2009	Document Reviews	Chief David Babin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The Detour Lake Power Project Proposed (Revised) Terms of Reference (TOR) was sent to stakeholders. Any stakeholder comments were to be received by January 4, 2010. Detour Gold sent responses to comments in January 2010.</li></ul>	
409	Meeting	1/14/2010	Project overview	Regional Chiefs	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mattawa Economic Development Forum. Meeting participants included Chief Babin and ENGOS.</li></ul>	
283	Meeting	01/28/2010	Project Update and Facilities	Denyse Nadon	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>WFN requested clarification of the tailings facility.</li><li>There was discussion around hosting a presentation to the Wahgoshig Environmental Committee (WEC).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold provided an update on the Project.</li><li>It was stated that the larger tailings area will have less downstream impact.</li></ul>
283	Meeting	01/28/2010	Traditional Territories	Denyse Nadon	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>WFN indicated that it would not share this map at this time. Once the map is finalized that they would share it with Detour Gold (estimated to be 2 weeks from date of meeting). It was noted that a land claim was in process.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The WFN Map of traditional territories was discussed and the importance of assertion and claim. Detour requested a copy of the WFN traditional territories map.</li></ul>
284	Meeting	02/02/2010	Aquatic Resources	Liz Babin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Questions regarding location of drilling were raised.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold indicated that drilling is occurring to the west of the old pit in an effort to determine the size of the pit needed. Detour Gold provided clarification that a claim is something that must be worked and a lease is something that must be mined.</li></ul>
284	Meeting	02/02/2010	Fisheries	Liz Babin	Rachel Pineault, Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Questions posed about the use of the flooded open pit mine, the health of the stickleback and frogs.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold indicated that the open pit will have natural benches and that fish will be able to naturally leave. Detour Gold indicated that studies completed indicate that the sticklebacks are healthy. Detour Gold indicated that they do not have a specific answer with respect to frogs but indicated that there are wetland areas on site and that tailings beach is not ideal for frogs.</li></ul>
284	Meeting	02/02/2010	Wildlife Aquatic Resources	Liz Babin	Rachel Pineault, Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Questions posed regarding fences around the tailings ponds and water fowl and birds landing in the pond.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold indicated that there would not be fences around the tailing ponds and indicated that tailings will not be something caribou will be attracted to due to the high topography. Detour Gold provided</li></ul>

ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	WFN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>There was a concern about birds eating the fish from the tailings ponds and it was suggested that bird/wildlife bangers should be used to prevent wildlife from landing on or drinking from the tailings ponds.</li><li>There was a concern about the effects of an extreme breach of the tailings dam.</li><li>WFN enquired whether there is an option to use aquatics in cell 3.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>clarification that the polishing pond is a secondary holding area and indicated that Detour Gold would like to reclaim East Lake as a lake.</li><li>Detour Gold indicated that cyanide gets evaporated by the sun and is also naturally occurring. Detour Gold indicated that tailings ponds are built high to avoid fish entering pond in the first place.</li><li>Detour Gold indicated that plankton extracts many contaminants and that another option is to use lime. Currently, ore produces copper and Detour gold is conducting water testing.</li><li>Detour Gold would work to prevent an extreme breach of the tailings dam through design, inspection, crisis management and management of flows from the outset. To respond to a breach Detour Gold would assess:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- What is in the water?</li><li>- Where did it go?</li><li>- Is there anybody hurt?</li></ul>Detour Gold would notify MOE, MNR and DFO. Detour Gold indicated that natural filtration system plus manufactured liner helps to relieve the possibility of a sub - breach and that the rock is tight limiting flow. The Tailings Plan will manage closure according to the EA Closure plan.</li></ul>
284	Meeting	02/02/2010	Human Health	Liz Babin	Rachel Pineault; Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Questions posed regarding whether cyanide causing health issues.</li><li>WFN posed questions regarding the whether if it would make more sense to use bromide vs. cyanide as it breaks down and evaporates faster and would shorten the need for tailings.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold indicated that the sun will naturally break down cyanide.</li><li>Detour Gold indicated that cyanide would not cause health because it is used in a closed circle.</li><li>Re: Cyanide vs. Bromide - Detour Gold indicated that bromide is like chlorine but does not break down rock as fast and that high levels of bromide are needed to do the same process which amounts to too much resulting in bigger tanks and a slower process.</li></ul>
284	Meeting	02/02/2010	Permits - Water Resources	Liz Babin	Rachel Pineault; Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Questions were posed regarding whether permits were required to take water and the quantities needed and returned.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold indicated that a permit was required to take water and that 20,000-50,000 m<sup>3</sup> per day will be likely required. Detour Gold indicated that water will first come from the pit prior to being used from any other source and that the water will be returned to East Lake Polishing Pond.</li></ul>
288	Letter	2/3/2010	Negotiated Agreements	Chief David Babin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter to Detour Gold from WFN indicating who is on the WFN negotiating team.</li></ul>	
#NA	Meeting	2/12/2010	Negotiated Agreements	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>WFN Agreement negotiations</li></ul>	
335	E-mail	2/19/2010	Stakeholder Engagement	Madeline Lorraine Chokomolin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Correspondence regarding community notification and event logistics for community meeting in March 2010.</li></ul>	
329	Open House	03/03/2010	Stakeholder Engagement	WFN Community	Derek Teevan Dave Simms Caroline Burgess	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The information session was held for members of the Wahgoshing First Nation and provided information about Detour Gold, the Detour Lake Project, the environmental assessments required for federal and provincial project approvals, and the Closure Plan concepts. There were 12 people in attendance. No comment forms were received from members of the WFN from this information session. Questions and comments following the presentation are below.</li></ul>	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Is there concern about the federal EA?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: We started the environmental assessment process with the federal government in July 2009. They need to do their scoping and recent court decisions have changed how the federal government may need to scope projects.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: When do Detour Gold's environmental concerns end?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Detour Gold will be responsible for the site during operations, at closure, and after closure until it can be demonstrated that no further management is required for safety and to protect the environment.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Who are you consulting about the transmission line?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: We are consulting First Nations, Métis, surrounding communities including Cochrane and Timmins, environmental non-government organizations, government agencies as well. There is also information on our website.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Does this meeting have to do with consultation on the transmission line?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Yes, this is about the transmission line environmental assessment as well.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: How thick will the MINR EA be?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: It may be about 300 to 400 pages.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: What could cause a bump-up request?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Bump up requests are made if people feel that they were not</li></ul>



ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	W/FN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q. What is the construction schedule and how many construction jobs will there be?</li><li>Comment: Would like a map of the watersheds</li><li>Comment: Would like to have on the job training programs.</li></ul>	<p>consulted, or they don't like the Project or they feel there were deficiencies in the environmental assessment report.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: The construction will start pending permit approvals. Aboriginal community acceptance and financing of the project. Numbers of construction workers on site are expected to peak at about 900.</li><li>A: A map of the watersheds is provided in the Project Description document that is available on the project website and forwarded to the WFN.</li><li>A: There will be on the job training programs. There will be 3 – 4 contract tenders coming to the band by the end of March. Even if we contract with a company that is not local, they will have to demonstrate local worker content.</li></ul>
309	Letter	4/13/2010	Permits	Chief David Babin	Sheila Daniel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A letter was sent from AMEC (Sheila Daniel) with a request to review the enclosed application per the consultation requirements of the Aggregate Resources Act for a Category 10 Pit. (cc: Derek Teevan (Detour Gold) (by e-mail)).</li><li>WFN Agreement negotiations</li><li>Agreement in principle review</li></ul>	
#NA	Meeting	04/14-15/2010	Negotiated Agreements	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan Rachel Pineault		
#NA	Meeting	04/27/2010	Negotiated Agreements	Cheryl Tremblay Kate Kempton	Derek Teevan Rachel Pineault		
383	Mailout	4/30/2010	Document Reviews	Chief David Babin	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Primary stakeholders were sent hardcopies and/or CD copies of the Detour Lake Project Draft Environmental Study Report MNR EA. Stakeholders were asked which format they would prefer to receive. All primary stakeholders, including those who did not respond to document type request, were given the link to access the document online. (All primary stakeholders are included here)</li></ul>	
373	E-mail	05/31/2010	Project Operations – Environmental Management Systems	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The DLPP EA document refers to following "regulations and industry best practices" with regard to fuel procedures. The Wahgoshig Environmental Committee (WEC) would like the opportunity to review Detour's fuel management procedures or programs (for storage and handling of fuel), in particular with respect to fuel management near bodies of water. As a minimum, the following information is requested:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Outline of spill prevention methods</li><li>- Operating practices with respect to fuelling equipment</li><li>- Engineering standards for portable fuel tanks</li><li>- Spill response contingency plans (including minimum standards regarding spill response equipment)</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Detour Gold is in the process of developing an Environmental Management System that will include procedures related to fuelling and fuel storage, as well as spill prevention methods and contingency plans. These (and other) procedures / documents are not prepared as yet, but we have committed to having this information available in advance of any construction.</li></ul>
373	E-mail	05/31/2010	Project Operations – Winter Roads	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The DLPP EA discusses the use of ice bridges for crossing of streams and bodies of water during winter months. Due to the concerns related to erosion and sedimentation during spring melt, the WEC would like to review Detour's documentation that outline specific practices related to the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Erosion protection plans (particularly during spring melt/freshet)</li><li>- Re-vegetation plans</li><li>- Erosion/sedimentation monitoring plans</li><li>- Contingency plans related to sedimentation, should this occur (including minimum requirements related to emergency response supplies on hand and reporting protocols.)</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The use of ice roads and ice bridges in northern Ontario and Canada is a well-established practice. Both the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Transport Canada have determined that the construction and utilization of these temporary structures are acceptable providing general mitigative measures are followed. Crossings will include strategic placement of snow over the existing ground surface / creation of an ice crossing by flooding in place and will not include cutting into existing stream banks etc. to expose soil. Should soil become exposed / excessive rutting that damages the underlying frozen ground be found to occur, the winter road usage will be discontinued immediately and the travel way relocated to another portion of the right-of-way. Repair and monitoring of damage (if any) will occur thereafter.</li></ul>
373	E-mail	05/31/2010	Project Construction – Contractor Management Program	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Since the majority of the work outlined in the DLPP EA will be conducted by construction contractor(s), the WEC would like to review Detour's contractor management program(s) that will ensure that the contractors will adhere to the environmental commitments made by Detour to WFN.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Comment noted. Contractors will be required to comply with all applicable regulatory requirements as well as commitments made by Detour Gold through the Environmental Assessment and other processes.</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Project design	Mick Gauthier( Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment);	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q. What kind of base will be on the fuel tanks? Will it be cement pads?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: DGC is looking at aprons for the tanks there for if there is a leak you have outside containment.</li></ul>



ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	W/FN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
				Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)			
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Indigenous Traditional Knowledge/Land Use	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: What happened with the trap line?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: The Trapper family had the trap line around the project. Many of these issues are addressed within negotiations.</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Water Resources/Management	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will the tailings pond need to be bigger because of the tonnage increase?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: No it won't as the reserve is still the same. The LOM may be diminished by it however.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: How much water will be taken out of the environment and where will it then go?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Water management is a key part. We are trying to integrate the water from whole site. There are 3 parts to the water cycle 1) Water from the mill 2) From the open pit 3) Run off and rainfall. Water from the mill to tailings, 90% taken from tailings back to the mill and trying to get this even higher. 10% will be fresh water.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will all water used in the process be contained?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Water management has two stages of treatment. Cyanide is used in the process. There will be a 2 air system which destroys the cyanide. This cyanide is destroyed in the mill. When the treated effluent goes to the tailings then it will age for a number of months in the pond. The discharge will go to East Lake in the fall. Aging process works the best in the summer.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Who makes the design?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: AMEC's geotechnical engineers, and then is reviewed by others, SRK &amp; Banks</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Is there a filtering process in the 2nd phase. Is it a lagoon system?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Basically enough time for it to settle out.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: How many parts per million is acceptable?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: The Ministry of Environment sets the standards.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Does the minimum need to match the level of what you are discharging in to?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: (MOE) Samples will be taken. Water must be non toxic. We will be doing tests with rainbow trout for 96 hours.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will you be taking out what sinks to the bottom?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: No.</li></ul>
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: After the tailings are full and then you cover them will the clay cap keep water from seeping out?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: It will not be a clay cap, but will reclaimed and yes there could be seeps. There is a very strong reduction in contaminants as water seeps through.</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Vegetation	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: When you revegetate will you put topsoil?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: A couple different things: tailings-- looking at pods, others will be covered with overburden and topsoil; creating islands of overburden soil; and caribou are important in this area, and we are making an effort by developing islands with tree cover and a lichen for caribou. Lichen for caribou will be a research project.</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Fisheries, Aquatic Resources	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will fish still live?</li><li>Q: What will be the effect of stream crossing during the construction of the line?</li><li>Q: We are concerned that the potential for sediment problems is real. Is there a sediment control problem.</li><li>Q: What sort of spawning habitat are you creating?</li><li>Q: When pit floods will you restock with fish?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: There are still fish living in East Lake</li><li>A: The issue can be strength of the ice. We are allowing ice bridges.</li><li>A: The land is pretty flat, there will be no exposed soil.</li><li>A: Generally set back to 120m but there is one area that we are using for the commitment to create additional spawning. Smaller creeks, sometimes overwinter. We are looking at digging deeper pools to overwinter. This was done at Victor and it offsets effects.</li><li>A: It will take 100 years to flood the pit we may actively help it flood.</li></ul>

ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	W/FN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Wildlife	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Are there any other at risk species, other than caribou?</li><li>Q: Are Eagles a species at risk?</li><li>Q: How big is the herd?</li><li>Q: Is there a uniqueness of the herd with a high rate of twins?</li><li>Q: I am concerned about the power line and the construction of it. This is a tough time for caribou. They forage in high areas and it will be calving time.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Different birds</li><li>A: Bald Eagles aren't at the same level of protection. Caribou is the focus of protection efforts</li><li>A: The concentration in Sunday Lake in 1991 was 200-300 animals. This is why MRN is very protective. We have never seen those numbers again.</li><li>A: I haven't heard of that.</li><li>A: We must build the line in the winter. Least amount of destruction</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Upset conditions/Emergency Response	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Let's say you are running full blast and your dam breaks.</li><li>Q: What about other chemicals?</li><li>Q: Is SO<sub>2</sub> toxic?</li><li>Q: Will SO<sub>2</sub> end up in the air?</li><li>Q: If SO<sub>2</sub> was dumped into a river would it be fine? Will it eventually clean itself out?</li><li>Q: If there was a spill what would you do to manage it?</li><li>Q: How long to go through naturally?</li><li>Q: Who would be responsible for a spill - contractor or DGC?</li><li>Q: Response time for spill?</li><li>Q: How about we ask for emergency response? I want to see that plan. It is a good idea to have a spill response team on site.</li><li>Q: How will cyanide get to the site?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: We have a couple of lines of defense. 1) Cyanide is destroyed in the mill. 2) How we design the dam. If however the dam does break the effluent will go down the stream, but we are doing everything we can to reduce that chance.</li><li>A: They are at lower levels, less contamination. The dam will have a lot of waste rock strength.</li><li>A: It is an oxidizing agent. Other methods are not as effective.</li><li>A: It's an oxidizing agent, and it is how you kill cyanide. If there is a breach it can be dangerous. It must be managed.</li><li>A: No it would not be fine. It will eventually clean out, but you may have a fish kill. You can use different forms of a reagent. Look at transport systems, spill systems, standard processes used. Nothing is risk free.</li><li>A: It would go down stream before you could do much.</li><li>A: I would have to look into that.</li><li>A: Contractor legally, but it is in DGC's interest.</li><li>A: If on land you would just go clean it up. If on water you can't. The system would recover in weeks to months.</li><li>A: (MOE) you are legally required to have contingency plan. We have committed to having an emergency response team. We are committed in EA. I don't see any issue in having that available to the community.</li><li>A: Trucks, this is fairly routine and will be done by trained operators.</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Project Operations – Use of Herbicide	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will there be herbicides used? Comment: I can't speak for the community but I really don't like the stuff. It was never said but in 1986 there were herbicides used and in two years they were told that they couldn't eat the liver of moose if there were white spots.</li><li>Q: Were herbicides used in the first Detour mine?</li><li>Q: Do you have mechanisms in place to control contractors?</li><li>Q: How long is the temporary power permit for?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: You are not alone in that comment. We need to think about it further. in EA it is listed as an option. Herbicides aren't as bad as most think.</li><li>A: I'm not sure</li><li>A: There are conditions linked to the permits, the communities will be consulted on this.</li><li>A: Not quite sure. Only needed during construction</li></ul>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Project Design/Power Supply/Air Emissions	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinas (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Is 5 megawatts enough for the project?</li><li>Q: What about if you need more power?</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: No we need approx. 20 MW.</li><li>A: We can't use more. That requires a different EA, which is why they are keen to get the transmission line in quickly. May connect at Island</li></ul>



ROC #	Event Type	Date	Topic Code	WFN Representatives or Stakeholders Involved	Detour Lake Project Team Involved	Summary/Comments and Interests	Response
						<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Does the EA of temporary power cover transport of fuels for spills?</li><li>Q: What type of machines are the generators?</li><li>Q: Do they need to meet standard emissions?</li><li>Q: Are the emissions low enough that Detour won't have to purchase carbon credits?</li><li>Q: What are typically the environmental things that go wrong during closure?</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Falls 115kv line.</li><li>A: Yes MNR does EA.</li><li>A: They will be up to date units modeled on CAT units. Self contained</li><li>A: Yes.</li><li>A: I am not able to answer at this time (Detour will meet emission standards).</li><li>A: You look at safety, physical stability and chemical aspects. The Closure Plan isn't definite. It can change as info is discovered. The advantage of an historic site is increased security.</li></ul></div>
362	Meeting	06/03/2010	Closure Plan	Mick Gauthier (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources); Bryan Gelinias (Taykwa Tagamou Nation); Larry Lefebvre (Ontario Ministry of Environment); Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	David Simms	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Q: Will the beginning of overburden pile be sloped during closure so someone can get out?</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A: Yes, also a fence will be put up.</li></ul></div>
401	Letter	6/11/2010			n/a	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>MNDMF writing on behalf of the One Window Coordination Process (OWCP) to remind the WFN of the 3 Provincial EA comment period deadlines as well as to provide information about future consultation for the Detour's Mind Production Closure Plan.</li></ul></div>	LETTER FROM GLENN SIEM TO WFN – INCLUDE?
389	E-mail	06/18/2010	Document Reviews	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Cheryl Tremblay forwarded questions and recommendations via the WFN Chief and Council regarding the Individual Power EA</li></ul></div>	
366	Letter	06/23/2010		Denyse Nadon (Wahgoshig First Nation)	Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Primary stakeholders were sent hardcopies and/or CD copies of the Detour Lake Power Project Individual Environmental Assessment. Stakeholders were asked which format they would prefer to receive. All primary stakeholders, including those who did not respond to document type request, were given the link to access the document online. Documents were received between April 26 and 30, 2010 (all primary stakeholders are included here).</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>NA</li></ul></div>
407	E-Mail	6/25/2010	Document Reviews	Cheryl Tremblay	Sheila Daniel Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Sheila Daniel sent a response to the Wahgoshig Environmental Committee Comments regarding the Detour Lake Power Project, Individual Environmental Assessment document and requested to confirm that document was received and forward to appropriate Wahgoshig First Nation representatives. (DLPF EA Response to Wahgoshig Env Committee Comments of May 31_2010.pdf - an attachment)</li></ul></div>	
408						<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>DG contacted Eagles Nest Business Consulting and offered to meet and discuss Closure Plan with WFN. ENBC did not receive the Closure Plan, asked to provide few dates for future meeting and mentioned that currently reviewing the Power Project EA Review and Class EA Report and should have a response shortly.</li></ul></div>	
#NA	Email	09/16/2010	Document Reviews	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Email to follow up on receipt of Closure Plan and offer to meet with WEC to review.</li><li>WFN indicates that review of DL CPP Terms of Reference and Final Environmental Study Report reviews are nearly completed.</li></ul></div>	
#NA	Email	09/09/2010	Document Reviews	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Request from WFN to get a copy of the Closure Plan</li></ul></div>	
#NA	Letter	08/16/2010	Document Reviews	Cheryl Tremblay	Derek Teevan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Letter with responses to WFN comments on the draft Environmental Study Report (ESR). Letter indicated that final ESR will be available for 30 day review starting August 17, 2010.</li></ul></div>	Detour Gold indicated Closure Plan will be sent via MNDM&F within the week. Offered to answer any remaining questions.

Acronyms:

WFN – Wahgoshig First Nation  
WEC – Wahgoshig Environment Committee  
MOU – Memorandum of Understanding  
IBA – Impact Benefit Agreement  
EA – Environmental Assessment  
#NA – Number not assigned