

**Hydro One Networks Inc.**

483 Bay Street, North Tower, 15th Floor  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
M5G 2P5



**LAW**

Michael Engelberg, Assistant General Counsel  
Telephone: (416) 345-6305  
Fax: (416) 345-6972  
E-mail: [mengelberg@HydroOne.com](mailto:mengelberg@HydroOne.com)

---

October 15, 2010

Ms Kirsten Walli  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
27th Floor  
2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli:

**EB-2010-0132 - Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc. Cost of Service Application -  
Technical Conference Reply**

I am writing as counsel for the Applicant, Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc. (hereinafter "Brampton"), regarding the above-noted proceeding. Further to the discussion at the Technical Conference on October 13, and further to the letter sent to the Board the same day by Mr. Shepherd on behalf of the School Energy Coalition, the response on behalf of Brampton is as follows.

This Application, filed by Brampton on June 30, 2010, asked for, among other items, the clearing of and disposition of Account 1562. Since that time, as Mr. Shepherd pointed out, Board staff and intervenors have asked numerous interrogatories of the Applicant concerning that issue in this proceeding, and those questions have been and continue to be answered by Brampton. Brampton therefore states that this issue is appropriately the subject matter of this Application, which is a cost-of-service application, and that this issue already has been and continues to be dealt with in this Application.

Mr. Shepherd has raised the matter of Board proceeding EB-2008-0381, Deferred PILs from 2001-2005, in which Enwin, PowerStream and Halton Hills are the Applicants. As an intervenor in that proceeding, Brampton is well aware of the subject matter thereof and the evidence therein, in addition to being aware of the progress of that proceeding.

As a result of its monitoring of that proceeding, Brampton has become aware that its own circumstances giving rise to balances in Account 1562 and the PILs issue differ greatly from the circumstances of the three applicants in that proceeding. Additionally, it has been made clear in the Enwin-PowerStream-Halton Hills proceeding that any decision arising in that proceeding will be based on the circumstances of, and evidence filed by, Enwin, PowerStream and Halton Hills. As Mr. Shepherd stated in his letter, "...we are aware that the EB-2008-0381 decision will

not be binding on the Board panel in this Brampton proceeding...” Mr. Shepherd’s letter also provides his view that the EB-2008-0381 proceeding will not be completed until three to six months from now, a time estimate which Brampton submits is optimistic, particularly the shorter end of the estimate. It would be very inappropriate, in Brampton’s view, to carve its Account 1562 clearance matter out of this Application.

Brampton therefore submits that there is no good or appropriate reason to await a future Board decision in the proceeding in which Enwin, PowerStream and Halton Hills are the applicants. Brampton submits that it is most appropriate for its Application to continue to proceed as filed and as dealt with by the Board and by intervenors to date, including Brampton’s request that its Account 1562 be addressed according to Brampton’s particular circumstances as shown by its filing and as shown by the interrogatories already asked in the Brampton proceeding by Board staff and by intervenors, including the School Energy Coalition.

Brampton therefore asks that its particular Account 1562 matter continue to be dealt with by the Board together with the other matters in its cost-of-service application.

Yours very truly,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY MICHAEL ENGELBERG

Michael Engelberg

c.EB-2010-0132 Intervenors (Electronic Only)