

**Enbridge 2011 Rates
Board Staff Interrogatories
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
EB-2010-0146**

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES

ISSUE 1 – CALCULATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. Ref: Ex. B /Tab 1/ Sch 2 /

Please confirm that there have been no departures from the terms of the EB-2007-0615 settlement for the calculation of the 2011 revenue requirement, assignment of the revenue requirement to the rate classes, and the derivation of the 2011 rates. If there were departures, please identify and describe the nature of any departures.

ISSUE 4 - CUSTOMER ADDITIONS

2. Ref: Ex. B /Tab 1/ Sch 4 /

Please provide a table of new customer additions, comparing Board-approved with actual, for each of the past 5 years. Please also include the 2011 forecast.

ISSUE 5 – GAS VOLUME BUDGET

3. Ref: Ex. B /Tab 1/ Sch 5/

a. Please provide a table of historic and forecast gas volumes, in a similar format to the example shown below, broken down by general service and contract that shows the Board-approved versus the actual volumes for the 5-year period 2006 through 2010 (as available). Please also include the 2011 forecast. Additionally, please include the average number of customers.

Example

| | Year 1 | | Year 2 | | Year 3, etc. | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | Board-approved | Actual | Board-approved | Actual | Board-approved | Actual |
| General Service | | | | | | |
| Contract | | | | | | |
| Total Volume | | | | | | |
| No. Customers (avg.) | | | | | | |

b. Please also provide a table similar to part a. above showing weather-normalized volumes.

ISSUE 7 – Y FACTOR – DSM PROGRAM

4. Ref: Ex. B /Tab 2/ Sch 2/ para 4

The excerpt provided from the Board's EB-2010-0175 DSM Plan Decision talks about Enbridge filing a future amendment to its 2011 DSM Plan to recognize the government's policy with respect to increased conservation programs for low income consumers. The Decision also mentions the possibility of a funding request for "additional funds for low-income programs". How would any such additional funding be handled? Would the incremental funding be managed through the 2011 DSMVA?