Filed: 2010-11-12 EB-2010-0008 J15.2 Page 1 of 3

## UNDERTAKING J15.2

## <u>Undertaking</u>

To explain the component of gross revenue charge built into payment amount.

5 6 7

1

2 3

4

## 8 <u>Response</u> 9

10 The gross revenue charge ("GRC") is included as a cost as part of the revenue 11 requirement used in calculating the payment amount for regulated hydroelectric 12 production. By virtue of the formula used to derive the payment amount (revenue 13 requirement divided by forecast production), the payment amount per MWh includes a 14 portion related to GRC.

15

16 For example, in setting payment amounts for regulated hydroelectric production in EB-17 2007-0905, the OEB approved total GRC costs of \$424.0M (Payment Amounts Order, 18 EB-2007-0905, Appendix A, Table 1, line 16, column (i)). This amount formed part of the 19 Approved Revenue Requirement for Regulated Hydroelectric of \$1,153.4M (Payment 20 Amounts Order, EB-2007-0905, Appendix B, Table 1, line 3). Based on the forecast 21 production figure of 31,459 GWh (presented as 31.5 TWh in Payment Amounts Order, 22 EB-2007-0905, Appendix B, Table 1, line 4), each payment amount of \$36.66/MWh 23 includes \$13.48/MWh for GRC costs (\$424.0M / 31,459 GWh).

24

When OPG computes the entries into the Hydroelectric Water Conditions Variance Account, it multiplies the variance in production due to the difference between forecast and actual water conditions by the OEB-approved payment amount of \$36.66/MWh in order to arrive at the amount of revenue over or under-collected by OPG. A change in hydroelectric production results in a change in GRC from the originally forecast amount included in the revenue requirement. OPG includes this GRC variance as part of the entries in the Hydroelectric Water Conditions Variance Account.

32

Entries into the variance account for the impact of GRC are necessary and appropriate because had the actual water conditions been known at the time the payment amounts were set, the GRC costs would have been different from the forecast amount, leading to a higher or lower revenue requirement. The variance account entry for GRC keeps both the ratepayers and OPG whole by flowing the appropriate credit or debit to ratepayers and ensuring that OPG recovers the GRC cost associated with production based on actual water conditions.

40

41 For the period April 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009, the GRC cost would have been 42 \$34.1M higher (Ex. H1-T1-S1, Table 2, line 5, column (b) + column (c)), or at \$458.1M. 43 This was due to hydroelectric production being 2,470 GWh higher than forecast as a 44 result of better than expected water conditions (Ex. H1-T1-S1, Table 2, line 3, column (b) 45 + column (c)). By recording a credit to ratepayers for this production variance at the full 46 payment amount of \$36.66/MWh, which includes \$13.48/MWh for GRC costs, OPG is 47 returning a portion of the original forecast of GRC costs of \$424.0M for the test period. 48 This fully offsets the fact that OPG has collected more GRC costs in the normal course Filed: 2010-11-12 EB-2010-0008 J15.2 Page 2 of 3

1 as a result of having higher revenues by virtue of receiving the \$36.66/MWh payment 2 amount for a higher volume of production. Because these two amounts offset each 3 other, without the GRC entry into the variance account, OPG would be under-recovering 4 the actual GRC costs.

6 The calculation showing how the GRC entry into the variance account ensures appropriate level of recovery is as follows:

8		
9	Amount of GRC originally included in the revenue requirement	\$424.0M
10		
11	Additional amount collected due to higher production resulting	
12	from better than expected water conditions	
13	(2,470 GWh x \$13.48/MWh)	\$33.3M
14		
15	Amount returned to ratepayers as part of the variance account	
16	by crediting for production variance at \$36.66/MWh	
17	(2,470 GWh x \$13.48/MWh)	(\$33.3M)
18		
19	GRC entry into the variance account	<u>\$34.1M</u>
20		
21	Net amount of GRC being collected from ratepayers	\$458.1M

22 23

5

7

24 Without the line "GRC entry into the variance account" above, the net amount of GRC 25 being collected from ratepayers would be at \$424.0M, and OPG would not recover the 26 additional GRC of \$34.1M associated with higher production due to the variance in water 27 conditions. As GRC is a material cost directly resulting from the level of production at 28 OPG's regulated hydroelectric facilities (which in turn is affected by uncontrollable 29 factors such as weather conditions) and is not a cost within OPG's control, the exclusion 30 of the impact on this cost from the scope of the variance account would be unfair to both 31 ratepayers and OPG. The inclusion of the GRC component in the variance account acts 32 symmetrically by crediting ratepayers when water conditions are lower than expected, 33 and vice versa. 34

35 OPG has applied the same method of computing entries to the Hydroelectric Water Conditions Variance account (including the GRC component) during the period starting 36 37 on April 1, 2008 as it had during the period April 1, 2005 to March 31, 2008. The OEB 38 approved the balance computed in the variance account using this method as at 39 December 31, 2007 for recovery from ratepayers in EB-2007-0905, and authorized the 40 continuation of the account effective April 1, 2008.

41

42 Specifically, Appendix F, page 3 of the EB-2007-0905 Payment Amounts Order states 43 that "The Hydroelectric Water Conditions Variance Account shall record the financial 44 consequences of differences between forecast and actual water conditions as proposed 45 in OPG's application." (emphasis added). Higher or lower GRC costs resulting from a 46 variance in production due to different actual water conditions is a "financial 47 consequence" to OPG. Later in the same paragraph and continuing on the following

Filed: 2010-11-12 EB-2010-0008 J15.2 Page 3 of 3

- page, the Payment Amounts Order specifically directs OPG to record both the revenue and GRC impacts of differences in hydroelectric energy production in this account. 1 2