

November 19, 2010

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Re: Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited

Application for an Electricity Distribution Rate Change

Submission of AMPCO's Interrogatories

Board File No. EB-2010-0142

Dear Ms. Walli:

In accordance with the Board's Procedural Order # 1 dated October 18, 2010, attached please find AMPCO's interrogatories.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or require further information.

Sincerely yours,

(ORIGINAL SIGNED BY)

Adam White President Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario

Copies to: Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited

Intervenors

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 1 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| 1 | 1. GENER | AL |
|----|-------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Interrogat | tory # <u>1</u> |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Ref: B1-T4 | 4-52 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | THESL inc | luded a Corporate Organization Chart for Toronto Hydro Corporation. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Please pro | ovide an organizational chart for THESL. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | 1.2 Are To | pronto Hydro's economic and business planning assumptions for 2011 appropriate? |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Interrogat | tory # 2 |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Ref: C1-T4 | 4-S1, Appendix A, Page 3 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | gagement and Communication, THESL indicates that the Board-approved strategic |
| 18 | goals and | objectives are communicated to employees. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | What met | thods does THESL use to communicate its goals and objectives to employees? |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | 1.3 Is serv | vice quality, based on the OEB specified performance indicators, acceptable? |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Interrogat | tory # 3 |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | Ref: B1-T | 13-S1, Table 1 |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | gency Response Measure shows a decrease from 86% in 2008 to 79.5% in 2009, which |
| 29 | is below t | he 80% OEB Standard. |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | Please pro | ovide an explanation for the decrease. |
| 32 | | |
| 33 | 3. OPERA | TIONS, MAINTENANCE and ADMINISTRATION COSTS |
| 34 | | |
| 35 | Issue 3.1 | Are the overall levels of the 2011 Operation, Maintenance and Administration |
| 36 | | budgets appropriate? |
| 37 | | |
| 38 | Interrogat | tory # 4 |
| 39 | _ | |
| 40 | Ref: C2-T2 | 2-S1, Page 4 |
| 41 | | |

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 2 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

THESL indicates that in support of its environmental strategy to be carbon neutral by 2020, Facilities and Asset Management has initiated in its purchasing strategy, plans necessary to reduce carbon emissions that may represent an upfront premium to capital purchase expense.

a) Please provide a copy of THESL's environmental strategy referred to above.

b) Please provide the reference in THESL's purchasing strategy that speaks to reducing carbon emissions.

Interrogatory # 5

Ref: C2-T2-S2, Page 3

The evidence indicates that spending on office furniture declines from \$1.5 million to \$0.8 million from 2009 to 2010 and increases by \$0.6 million from 2010 to 2011.

Please explain why spending on office furniture declined from 2009 to 2010.

Interrogatory # 6

Ref: C2-T2-S2, Page 3; C2-T2-S1, Page 4

The evidence indicates that projects at 5800 Yonge include replacement of the roof at a cost of \$0.8 million. Under the Environmental Initiatives listed at the second reference, the evidence states that THESL is investigating the installation of a green roof at 500 Commissioners that may represent an upfront premium to capital purchase expense.

a) Was a green roof considered or included as part of the roof replacement at 5800 Yonge and if not why not?

b) For the environmental initiatives listed at C2-T2-S1, Page 4, has THESL undertaken a cost/benefit analysis?

Interrogatory # 7

Ref: C2-T2-S2, Page 3

The evidence states "This work totals an additional \$5.3 million for administrative offices and operations centers compared to 2009", whereas the projects individually listed total \$5.2 million.

Please confirm that \$5.2 million is the correct number.

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 3 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

1

Interrogatory # 8

| 2 | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| 3 | Ref: C2 | -T4-S1, Page 3 |
| 4 | | |
| 5 6 | The evi | dence indicates that THESL purchased approximately 30 hybrid vehicles in 2010. |
| 7 8 9 | Please vehicle | provide a breakdown of the type of vehicles purchased, costs and premium paid per . |
| 10 11 12 13 | Issue 3 | .4 Are the 2011 Human Resources related costs (wages, salaries, benefits, incentive payments, labour productivity and pension costs) including employee levels, appropriate? Has Toronto Hydro demonstrated improvements in efficiency and value for dollar associated with its compensation costs? |
| 15 | Interro | gatory # 9 |
| 16 17 | Ref: C2 | -T1-S1, Page 3 |
| 18 19 20 21 | "Toron | of the Compensation Policy, under Section 4.2 Pay Competitiveness, THESL states that to Hydro will conduct a compensation benchmarking study at least every 3 years to line the external competiveness of compensation programs". |
| 22 23 24 25 | When wurter to | was the last benchmarking study undertaken and when will the next study be aken? |
| 26 | Interro | gatory # 10 |
| 27 28 29 | Ref: C2 | -T1-S2, Page 1 |
| 30 31 32 | | dence states "GEA implementation requires technical and engineering expertise that ues to evolve and is expected to be in high demand and short supply in the coming years". |
| 33 34 35 | a) | Please describe the specific technical and engineering expertise THESL requires to deliver the <i>Green Energy and Green Economy Act, 2009</i> ("GEA")? |
| 36 37 38 | b) | Does THESL currently have this expertise on staff? Please explain. How many existing FTEs are undertaking GEA related work? |
| 39 40 41 42 | c) | How many employees within the headcount increase projected in 2011 will be undertaking GEA work,;what percentage of each FTE will be dedicated to GEA work; and what will they be doing?" |
| | | |

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 4 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| <u>Interrogator</u> | y # 11 |
|---------------------|--------|
|---------------------|--------|

Ref: C2-T1-S2, Appendix A

Table 1 (Employee Compensation) indicates that THESL has not hired part-time employees from 2008 to 2010 and does not plan to in 2011.

Please explain why THESL does not hire part-time employees on a contract/temporary basis as part of the staffing strategy.

Interrogatory # 12

Ref: C2-T1-S5, Page 2

THESL indicates that replacing departing employees has proven to be a challenge and employers responding to the survey reported unfilled vacancies for Managers and Supervisors, Engineers and Technicians and Trades. Please complete the following Table to show the number of unfilled (FTE) vacancies for THESL by position category at year end.

| # of Unfilled Vacancies | 2008 Actual at Dec 31 | 2009 Actual at Dec 2009 | 2010 Bridge at Dec 31 | 2011 Test Projected at Dec 31 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Executive | | | | |
| Managerial | | | | |
| Management/Non- | | | | |
| Union | | | | |
| Union | | | | |
| Total | | | | |
| Total number of employees* | 1546 | 1574 | 1773 | 1944 |
| *Ref: C2-1-2, Appendix A | | | | |

Interrogatory # 13

Ref: C2-T1-S3, Page 1

The increase in costs related to the OMERS defined benefit pension plan is "due to the increase in FTE between 2009 and 2011 (based on the reorganization and expected hiring)....."

Please provide a brief overview and status report on the reorganization referred to above.

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 5 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| 1 | Interrogatory # 14 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Ref: C2-T1-S5, Page 4 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | In 2011, THESL continues to upgrade its distribution infrastructure. In terms of the labour |
| 6 | necessary for plan implementation, THESL projects a shortfall based on current staffing levels of |
| 7 | approximately 320 full-time employees ("FTEs") in 2011. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Please explain how the 320 FTE's is calculated. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Interrogatory # 15 |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Ref: C2-T1-S5, Page 4 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | THESL indicates that to support the capital plan, THESL has adopted a variety of options |
| 16 | including hiring new workers, ramping up the apprenticeship program and using contractors. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Please explain the process THESL undertakes and the criteria used to determine which option is |
| 19 | followed. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Interrogatory # 16 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Ref: C2-T1-S5, Page 6 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | "Leveraging productivity efforts to improve and sustain efficiencies" is listed as one of the key |
| 26 | components of THESL's workforce strategy. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | Please explain this component and provide examples of how it has been implemented. |
| 29 | |
| 30 | Interrogatory # 17 |
| 31 | |
| 32 | Ref: C2-T1-S5, Page 7; C2-1-2, Appendix A |
| 33 | |
| 34 | On page 7 of the first reference, the evidence states that "In 2011, over 90 new employees will |
| 35 | be hired into leadership, trades and technical positions, along with engaging contractors." Table |
| 36 | 1: Employee Compensation at the second reference shows an increase of 171 FTEs from the |
| 37 | 2010 Bridge Year to the 2011 Test Year. |
| 38 | Diagra avalain the difference between the two recent are |
| 39 | Please explain the difference between the two numbers. |
| 40 | |

41 42

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 6 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| 1 | 4. CAP | ITAL EXPENDITURES and RATE BASE |
|----------|---------|---|
| 2 3 | 4.1 | Are the amounts proposed for Rate Base appropriate? |
| 4 5 | Intorre | ogatom, # 19 |
| 6 | mem | ogatory # 18 |
| 7 8 | Ref: D | 1-T2-S1, Page 1, Table 1 |
| 9 10 | | provide an explanation of TS Primary Above 50 and Other Distribution Assets shown on ntinuity of Gross Fixed Assets in Table 1. |
| 11 | the co | intiliaity of Gross rixed Assets in Table 1. |
| 12 13 | Issue 4 | 1.2 Are the amounts proposed for 2011 Capital Expenditures appropriate including the specific Operational and Emerging Requirements categories? |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Interro | ogatory # 19 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 18 | Ref: D | 1-T3-S1, Page 4 |
| 19 20 | | ridence states that increased recruiting costs associated with the workforce staffing plan to expected to increase the administrative and general expenses for the test year. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | provide the recruiting costs for the past three historical years, the bridge year and the |
| 23 | test ye | ear. |
| 24 25 | Interro | ogatory # 20 |
| 26 | interre | agatory ii 20 |
| 27 | Ref: D | 1-T6-S2 |
| 28 | | |
| 29 30 | | e 2011 Test Year, the capital expenditures (net of in-service transfers) is shown as \$99.7 of for Construction Work In Progress ("CWIP"). |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | Please | show how this amount was calculated including a list of the projects contained in CWIP. |
| 33 34 | Interre | ogatory # 21 |
| 35 | interre | gatory # 21 |
| 36 | Ref: D | 1-7-1, Page 16, Table 1 |
| 37 | | |
| 38 | a) | Please provide the calculation for the AFUDC costs for each year. |
| 39 | 1.1 | |
| 40 41 | b) | Please provide a breakdown and explanation by year of the costs included in "Other" under Sustaining Capital. |
| 42 | | unuer Justanning Capital. |

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 7 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| 1 2 3 | c) | Under General Plant, please provide a breakdown and explanation of the costs by year in "Other". |
|------------------|---------|---|
| 4 5 6 7 | d) | Under Emerging Requirements, \$12.2 million is shown for Externally Initiated Plant Relocations. Please show how this figure was derived. Please explain why it is different the amount shown at D1-T8-S9, Table 1. |
| 8 9 | Interro | gatory # 22 |
| 10 11 | Ref: D1 | T8-S5, Page 1, Table 1; D1-T8-S10, Page 5, Table 1 |
| 12 13 14 | | at the first reference shows Engineering Capital as \$39.4 million in the 2011 Test Year. second reference, Table 1 shows \$43.3 million for Engineering Capital. |
| 15 16 | Please | explain the difference between the two numbers. |
| 17 18 | Interro | gatory # 23 |
| 19 20 | Ref: D1 | -T8-S1, Page 11; D1-T8-S9-S1, Table 1 |
| 21 22 23 | | at the first reference shows a total budget of \$62.6 million in 2011 for Underground Buried Cable. |
| 24 25 26 | | at the second reference provides a list of Projects \$500K and over for 2011 for ground Direct Buried Cable Projects that total \$45.6 million. |
| 27 28 | Please | provide a breakdown of the remaining \$17 million in costs. |
| 29 30 | Interro | gatory # 24 |
| 31 32 | Ref: D1 | -T8-S1, Page 28; D1-T8-S1, Page 29, Table18 |
| 33 34 35 | change | Municipal Substations, the evidence indicates that "Eight power transformers will be d out in 2011" Table 18 at the second reference shows 7 Station Transformer units are rehabilitation in 2011. |
| 36 37 | - | reconcile the two numbers. |
| 38 39 40 | Interro | gatory # 25 |
| 41 | Ref: D1 | T8-S5, Page 1 |

42

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 8 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| 1 2 | THESL s | states that a portion of the costs associated with engineering, design, and operations is ed. |
|--------|----------------|---|
| 3 | | |
| 4 5 | What p | ortion of these costs will be expensed? |
| 6 7 | Interro | gatory # 26 |
| 8 9 | Ref: D1 | -T8-S6-1, Page 2, Table 1; C2-T4-S2, Page 2, Table 2 |
| 10 | Table1 | at the first reference shows the Total Fleet and Equipment Services budget as \$9.9 |
| 11 | | in the 2010 Bridge Year and \$13.3 million in the 2011 Test Year. At the second reference |
| 12 | | shows the Fleet and Equipment Services budget as \$11.6 million in the 2010 Bridge Year |
| 13 | | 3.5 million in the 2011 Test Year. |
| 14 | απα φ± | on think the Lott reached. |
| 15 | Please | explain the difference between the two sets of numbers. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Issue 7 | .5: Are the fixed-variable splits for each class appropriate? |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | <u>Interro</u> | gatory # 27 |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Ret: M | 1-T1-S1, Page 5 |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | dence indicates that THESL has "maintained the fixed/variable split at 2010 approved |
| 24 | | or the purposes of designing the fixed and variable components of rates except for the |
| 25 | | 0-4999KW and the Large User class. For these two classes, the fixed component was |
| 26 | | d since the fixed rate for these two classes is well above the ceiling rate as suggested in |
| 27 | | ard Cost Allocation Model. The variable rate was adjusted upwards for these two classes |
| 28 | | pensate and ensure the revenue recovery was maintained at the proposed revenue-cost |
| 29 | ratios". | |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | a) | Please provide the floor and ceiling calculations for each rate class as suggested in the |
| 32 | | Board Cost Allocation model. |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | b) | Please provide the variable rate for the Large User class if the fixed rate is maintained at |
| 35 | | the 2010 approved level for the 2011 rate year. |
| 36 | | |
| 37 | Interro | gatory # 28 |
| 38 | | |

39

40

Ref: M1-T2-S2, Page 5

Filed: 2010-11-19 EB-2010-0142 AMPCO Interrogatories Page 9 of 9

EB-2010-0142

Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) 2011 Electricity Distribution Rate Application AMPCO Interrogatories on THESL Evidence

| l | The Distribution Volumetric Rate for 2011 is shown as \$4.7083/kVA for the Large Use custome |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Please provide the Distribution Volumetric Rate for the Large Use class using the units\$/kWh. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Interrogatory # 29 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Ref: M1-T2-S2, Page 5 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Please provide a sample bill for a typical Large User customer. |
| 10 | |