



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7
Toronto Office: 34 King St E Suite 1102 Toronto Ont. M5C 2X8

November 24, 2010

VIA E-MAIL/RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor; 2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli

Re: EB-2010-0067 Burlington Hydro Inc. 2011 IRM Adjustment
Interrogatories of Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Please find enclosed VECC's interrogatories regarding the above Application.

Yours truly,

Original signed

Michael Buonaguro
Counsel for VECC

Encl.

Cc Michael Kysley
Chief Financial Officer

EB-2010-0067 Burlington Hydro Inc. 2011 IRM Adjustment -VECC IRs

LRAM

QUESTION # 1

References: Tab 7 Indeco Report, pages 3-4 and Table 1

- a) When (year and date) did the OPA change its Input assumptions (unit savings and free ridership) for CFLs under the Every Kilowatt Counts Campaigns?
- b) Provide a copy of the SeeLine EKC calculators before and after the change.
- c) Confirm /Show how the EKC assumptions used in this claim compare to post (2006?) OPA EKC calculator change and to the latest OPA Mass Market Measures and Input Assumptions.
- d) What is meant by OPA 2009? Does this refer to the OPA LDC Program evaluations? If so provide the Letter with the date of this evaluation and the detailed extract(s) for Burlington Hydro OPA Residential Programs.
- e) What persistence factors have been applied to the 2006 EKC programs and Measures, specifically CFLs and SLEDs?

QUESTION # 2

References: Tab 7 Indeco Report, page 3 and Appendix A

Preamble: IndEco finds that appropriate measure specifications were used to calculate program energy savings. For the calculation of LRAM claims, values provided by the 2010 OPA Measures and Assumptions list were used for prescriptive measures (OPA 2010a).

- a) For LRAM the OEB Guidelines and Policy Letter of January 27, 2009 Specify that ***LRAM***
The input assumptions used for the calculation of LRAM should be the best available at the time of the third party assessment referred to in section 7.5.
For example, if any input assumptions change in 2007, those changes should apply for LRAM purposes from the beginning of 2007 onwards until changed again.....

Confirm/discuss how the claim is in conformity with this Guideline

- b) Confirm the Input Assumptions used by IndEco for the following 3rd tranche and post third tranche CDM programs:

- Residential EKC 2006 and 2007-- list of measures, # units and unit kwh savings, lifetime and free ridership for each of 2009-2010.
- 2005 Public Education and Outreach program--list of measures # units and unit kwh savings, lifetime and free ridership for each of 2009-2010

If any of the Input assumptions have changed from BHs OEB approved LRAM Claim 2005-2008 please indicate the change(s)

- c) For each of the above measures in the current claim, provide the comparable input values from the OPA 2010 Mass Market Measures and Assumptions List.
- d) For CFLs installed in 2005/2006 explain why the unit savings is maintained at 104 kwh and the free-ridership is maintained at 10% in the current claim (for 2009 and 2010).

QUESTION # 3

References:

- i) Managers Summary Page 6 line 21 (Table)
- ii) Sheet J2.5 LRAM
- iii) IndEco Report Tables 3 and 7

- a) Using as the **only** source of assumptions for the residential sector third tranche and post third tranche programs, the OPA 2010 Mass Market Measures and Assumptions adopted by the Board in January 2009, provide a calculation of the residential sector 2009-2010 LRAM claim and supporting LRAM schedules (for 3rd tranche and post third tranche programs) (including Carrying charges) and recalculate the rate riders.
- b) Amend the residential rate riders as necessary.

REVENUE TO COST RATIO ADJUSTMENTS

QUESTION #4

- Reference:**
- i) Revenue Cost Ratio Adjustment Work Form, Sheet C1.1
 - ii) Manager's Summary, page 3
 - iii) 2010 Draft Rate Order, Appendix C, page 3
- a) Please reconcile the approved 2010 Revenue to Cost ratios by customer class as shown in Sheet C1.1 and the Manager's Summary with the 2010 values set out in the 2010 Draft Rate Order dated March 15, 2010.